PNAS www.pnas.org

 Supplementary Information for Strigolactones promote flowering by inducing the miR319-*LA*-*SFT* module in tomato Ivan Visentin, Leticia Frizzo Ferigolo, Giulia Russo, Paolo Korwin Krukowski, Caterina Capezzali, Danuše Tarkowská, Francesco Gresta, Eleonora Deva, Fabio Tebaldi Silveira Nogueira, Andrea Schubert, Francesca Cardinale* *Francesca Cardinale, DISAFA PlantStressLab, largo P. Braccini 2, Grugliasco (TO), 10095 Italy. Phone number: +390116708875 **Email:** francesca.cardinale@unito.it **This PDF file includes:** Supplementary text Figures S1 to S10 Tables S1 to S5 Legends for Datasets S1 to S3 SI References **Other supplementary materials for this manuscript include the following:** Datasets S1 to S3

- **Supplementary text**
- 27
28

Results

Strigolactone deficiency widely affects the transcription of genes in the flowering network

32 Besides the genes highlighted in the manuscript body, we found a down-regulation of several
33 MADS-box transcription factors involved in tomato floral transition (Table S1, Dataset S2), MADS-box transcription factors involved in tomato floral transition (Table S1, Dataset S2), namely the *FRUITFULL*-*like* genes *FUL1* and *FUL2* (1), *MADS-BOX PROTEIN13* (*MBP13*), *MBP14*, *MBP15*, *MBP18*/*FYFL*, *MBP20* and *MBP56* (2), *JOINTLESS* (*J*) (3), tomato B-class MADS-box gene *TM6*/*TDR6* and *AGAMOUS1* (*TAG1*) (4, 5). Three members of the *CONSTANS* (*CO*)/*CONSTANS-like* (*COL*) gene family, related to photoperiodic signaling and flowering in tomato (3), were found down-regulated (*CO1*, *CO3* and *COL4a*), while *COL* was slightly up-regulated. The transcription factor-encoding gene *NAP2* (*NUCLEOSOME ASSEMBLY PROTEIN2*) of the *NAC* (*NAM*, *No apical meristem*; *ATAF*; *CUC*, *Cup-shaped Cotyledon*) family, activated by Apetala3/Pistillata (AP3/PI), is strongly down-regulated (log₂FC
42 = -4.5). This protein controls both leaf senescence and fruit yield in tomato, and NAP2- = -4.5). This protein controls both leaf senescence and fruit yield in tomato, and *NAP2-* 43 overexpressing plants start producing flowers around one week earlier than wt plants (6, 7).
44 Three other genes encoding NAC-domain transcription factors, NAM2 and NAM3, and the NAM Three other genes encoding NAC-domain transcription factors, *NAM2* and *NAM3*, and the *NAM* homologue *GOBLET* (*GOB)*, involved in floral morphogenesis in tomato (7, 8), were also down- regulated in SL- plants. Other DEGs listed in Table S1 have not been functionally characterized in tomato yet, and have been mainly identified through bioinformatic (9) or transcriptome studies (10, 11) based on the role of their putative homologues in floral transition pathways of *A. thaliana* and other model plants. Among down-regulated genes we found the tomato 50 orthologues of the genes coding for: MYB-related transcription factors LATE ELONGATED
51 HYPOCOTYL (LHY) and CIRCADIAN CLOCK ASSOCIATED 1 (CCA1) (12): TIMING OF CAB 51 HYPOCOTYL (LHY) and CIRCADIAN CLOCK ASSOCIATED 1 (CCA1) (12); TIMING OF CAB
52 EXPRESSION 1 (TOC1), a member of the PSEUDO-RESPONSE REGULATOR (PRR) family 52 EXPRESSION 1 (TOC1), a member of the PSEUDO-RESPONSE REGULATOR (PRR) family
53 (9) that controls photoperiodic flowering response in A. thaliana, positively regulating CCA1 and (9) that controls photoperiodic flowering response in *A. thaliana*, positively regulating *CCA1* and *LHY* expression (13); the Transducin/WD40 repeat-like superfamily protein COP1, an E3 55 ubiquitin-protein ligase that acts as a repressor of photomorphogenesis and is involved in the
56 degradation of CO during the night (14, 15): the EARLY FLOWERING (ELF) 3 and ELF4, which degradation of CO during the night (14, 15); the EARLY FLOWERING (ELF) 3 and ELF4, which 57 function as modulators of light signal transduction downstream of phytochromes and control
58 botoperiodic flowering by interacting with COP1 and regulating GIGANTEA (GI) stability (16. 58 photoperiodic flowering by interacting with COP1 and regulating GIGANTEA (GI) stability (16,
59 17): and ELF7, a RNA polymerase II-associated factor Paf1 involved in the regulation of 17); and ELF7, a RNA polymerase II-associated factor Paf1 involved in the regulation of flowering time (18). On the other hand, among the most interesting up-regulated genes, we found the one encoding the circadian oscillator GI, involved in photoperiod-dependent floral transition in several plant species (19); and a putative orthologue of the AP2-like transcription factor-encoding *TARGET OF EAT1* (*TOE1*) named *AP2d* (20). Moreover, a set of genes encoding transcription factors belonging to the large Nuclear Factor Y (NF-Y) family, involved in flowering control (21), were found to be down-regulated in the SL- genotype. Several genes in Table S1 are also related to DNA modifications and chromatin remodeling: the gene coding for the replication protein RPA1b is up-regulated (22, 23), while one for the

 MULTICOPY SUPRESSOR OF IRA1 (MSI1)-like chromatin-adaptor protein MSI1 (21) is down-69 regulated. Also, two genes encoding the DNA mismatch repair proteins MutS HOMOLOGS,
69 MSH1 (24), and MSH2 (25), are up- and down-regulated, respectively. Three more 70 MSH1 (24), and MSH2 (25), are up- and down-regulated, respectively. Three more
71 uncharacterized gene products were identified in the GO enrichments process, all of which 71 uncharacterized gene products were identified in the GO enrichments process, all of which
72 were found to be down-regulated in the SL- plants: the putative orthologue of the A. thaliana were found to be down-regulated in the SL- plants: the putative orthologue of the *A. thaliana BONSAI* (*BNS*), encoding an ubiquitin–protein ligase complex that regulates cell cycle progression (26); one encoding the cell wall-localized class III peroxidase PER17, the 75 orthologue of which is involved in the transition to flowering and timing of lignified tissue
76 formation in A. thaliana (27): and the one encoding a Snf1-related kinase-interacting protein formation in *A. thaliana* (27); and the one encoding a Snf1-related kinase-interacting protein (*SKI2*, similar to At1g80940), which is annotated as involved in the regulation of flower development based on InterPro classification.

Materials and Methods

Plant materials and growth conditions

84 The tomato *SICCD7*-silenced line 6936, here called SL-, and its wt genotype M82 were a kind
85 aift by Dr. H. J. Klee (University of Florida) (28) and show 70-80% reduction of strigolactone gift by Dr. H. J. Klee (University of Florida) (28) and show 70-80% reduction of strigolactone content in root tissues and exudates. The *LApro>>Lam* 86 *-GFP* genotype (29) expresses *La-2*, a 87 miR319-insensitive version of *LA* (30), under the control of the *LA* promoter and in translational 88 fusion with GFP in the M82 background. Seeds were sterilized in 4% (v/v) sodium hypochlorite 89 containing 0.02% (v/v) Tween 20, rinsed thoroughly with sterile water, and then placed for 48 90 h on moistened filter paper at 25°C in darkness. Plants were grown for two weeks in a walk-in
91 climate chamber (16/8h light/dark 25°C) in a seedbed with standard soil (Terra Nature, NPK 91 climate chamber (16/8h light/dark 25°C) in a seedbed with standard soil (Terra Nature, NPK
92 12:14:24) and subsequently moved into 5-liter pots under greenhouse conditions. From the 92 12:14:24) and subsequently moved into 5-liter pots under greenhouse conditions. From the 93 transplanting to the end of the experiments. plants were fertilized with a standard half-strength transplanting to the end of the experiments, plants were fertilized with a standard half-strength 94 Hoagland solution twice a week. Plant age was counted starting at the emergence of cotyledons
95 from the soil bed. from the soil bed.

96 For the experiment described in fig. 2A and S3A, 4-day-old M82 wt plants were sprayed until
97 munoff on the whole aerial part with a 5 uM solution of GR24^{5DS} (synthetic strigolactone analogue 97 munoff on the whole aerial part with a 5 μ M solution of GR24^{5DS} (synthetic strigolactone analogue 98 from Strigolactone analogue 98 from Strigolactone analogue from StrigoLab Srl, Turin, Italy) in 0.01% v/v acetone in water (n=6-13). Analogously, the control 99 plants were sprayed with a corresponding acetone solution. Six days after the first treatment,
100 when around 50% of the plants were at the transition stage, the plants were split in two groups: 100 when around 50% of the plants were at the transition stage, the plants were split in two groups:
101 aroup 1 was not further treated (fig. S3A) while group 2 received an additional GR24^{5DS} group 1 was not further treated (fig. S3A) while group 2 received an additional GR245DS 102 treatment (fig. 2A). The meristems were evaluated 4 to 12 days after the first treatment under
103 the stereomicroscope and classified as vegetative meristem (VM), transition meristem (TM), the stereomicroscope and classified as vegetative meristem (VM), transition meristem (TM), 104 inflorescence meristem (IM) or floral meristem (FM).

105 For the leaf-spraying experiment described in fig. 2B-E, 3-week-old wt plants grown under the 106 same conditions mentioned above were sprayed with the same 5 μ M solution of GR24^{5DS} in 107 0.01% v/v acetone in water, or with a corresponding acetone solution (n=8). Ripening fruits (31) 108 were counted until 80 days, and weighed until 92 days after the treatment. Leaves (about 100 mg fw) were collected as above 2, 6, and 24 hours after the treatment and stored at -80° C until 109 mg fw) were collected as above 2, 6, and 24 hours after the treatment and stored at −80°C until 110 analysis. For the leaf-spraying experiments described in fig. 3C-E, 8-day-old or 4-week-old wt 111 and LA_{pro} >>LA^m-GFP plants were treated as above with GR24^{5DS} (n=8). The number of leaves and *LA_{pro}>>LA^m-GFP* plants were treated as above with GR24^{5DS} (n=8). The number of leaves
112 to the first inflorescence was counted at anthesis (*i.e.* stage 3 as defined earlier (32)). For the to the first inflorescence was counted at anthesis (*i.e.* stage 3 as defined earlier (32)). For the 113 experiment in fig. 3A, 4-week-old wt plants were grown and treated as in the experiment in fig. 114 2B-E (n=5). For each plant, a sample consisting of three young leaves was collected 0, 15', 1h, 115 6h and 24h after treatment. The samples were processed for gene transcript quantification as 116 described below. For the experiment in fig. 4 and S5, vegetative wt plants were treated with 5 μ M GR24^{5DS} 8 days after seedling emergence, and harvested one week later; another subset 118 was treated in the reproductive phase. 23 days after germination, and harvested at 30 days. 118 was treated in the reproductive phase, 23 days after germination, and harvested at 30 days.
119 Each treatment had n=6 (each sample the pool of 10 individual meristems). Each treatment had n=6 (each sample the pool of 10 individual meristems).

120 For the grafting experiment described in fig. 1, 3B, S2A-B, three grafted lines were produced
121 by the clamp-grafting technique on plants at the 2/4-leaf stage (about 3 weeks after seedling by the clamp-grafting technique on plants at the 2/4-leaf stage (about 3 weeks after seedling 122 emergence) and with a stem diameter of 1.5–2 mm (n=5; wt or SL- rootstock and scion, wt/wt 123 or SL-/SL-, respectively; and wt scion grafted to a SL- rootstock, wt/SL-). After 3 additional 124 weeks of acclimation. grafted plants were transplanted and grown in the greenhouse as above. 124 weeks of acclimation, grafted plants were transplanted and grown in the greenhouse as above.
125 The daily count of new individual flowers at anthesis started 3 weeks after graft production (*i.e.* 125 The daily count of new individual flowers at anthesis started 3 weeks after graft production (*i.e.* 126 at transplant) and continued for 3 weeks. A subset of self-grafted wt/wt plants were treated 1 127 and 3 weeks after grafting with 5 μ M GR24^{5DS}. Ripening fruits (31) were counted and weighed 128 60 days after grafting. For gene transcript quantification, leaves of comparable physiological 129 stage (about 100 mg fw) were collected 20 days after grafting from each plant, deep-frozen, 130 and stored at -80°C until analysis. 130 and stored at −80°C until analysis.
131 For transcriptome analysis, at least

For transcriptome analysis, at least 3 fully expanded leaves were collected (one per plant) from 132 5 wt and SL- plants, grown for 3 weeks after seedling emergence in a walk-in climate chamber
133 set at 16/8h light/dark 25°C. Leaves were collected at 9.00 am. 3 h into the light period. set at 16/8h light/dark 25°C. Leaves were collected at 9.00 am, 3 h into the light period.

134

135 **Library construction, sequencing, and processing of mRNA data**

136 Total RNA was extracted from 3-week-old wt and SL- tomato leaves using the Spectrum Plant 137 Total RNA Kit (Sigma Aldrich). After digestion of contaminant DNA by DNAse I 138 (ThermoScientific) at 37°C for 30 min, RNA quantity and quality were determined with a
139 Nanodrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Wilmington, DE, United 139 Nanodrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Wilmington, DE, United States) and sent to Novogene Europe for library construction and sequencing (Cambridge, 141 United Kingdom). There, RNA degradation and contamination were monitored on 1% agarose
142 gels, RNA purity was checked using the NanoPhotometer® spectrophotometer (IMPLEN, CA, gels, RNA purity was checked using the NanoPhotometer® spectrophotometer (IMPLEN, CA, 143 USA), and RNA integrity (RIN>6) and quantities were assessed using the RNA Nano 6000 144 Assay Kit of the Bioanalyzer 2100 system (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA). cDNA libraries were prepared from 1 µg total RNA using NEBnext Ultra TM RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina 146 (NEB, USA) following the manufacturer's recommendations. A total of six libraries (three each 147 for wt and SL- leaves) were constructed and quantified using a Qubit 2.9 fluorometer (Life
148 Technologies) and sequenced on an Illumina platform to generate paired-end reads. Raw reads 148 Technologies) and sequenced on an Illumina platform to generate paired-end reads. Raw reads
149 of FASTQ format were processed through in-house scripts and clean reads were obtained by of FASTQ format were processed through in-house scripts and clean reads were obtained by 150 removing reads containing adapter, poly-N sequences and reads with low quality. A total of 151 35825 high-quality, clean reads were mapped using HISAT2 (33) to the reference genome of 151 35825 high-quality, clean reads were mapped using HISAT2 (33) to the reference genome of
152 Solanum lycopersicum cv "Heinz 170" assembly ITAG SL3.0 *lycopersicum* 153 (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/data/view/GCA_000188115.3). Expressed genes passing quality 154 checks, trimming and FPKM filtering are listed in Table S4. The number of mapped reads for 155 each gene was counted using hTseq-count (34). Values of fragments per kilobase of exon per 156 million fragments mapped (FPKM) for the assembled transcription units were calculated. After 157 filtering and trimming, approximately 31.63 to 47.29 million clean pair-end reads were obtained filtering and trimming, approximately 31.63 to 47.29 million clean pair-end reads were obtained 158 from each of the six libraries. Expressed tomato genes ranged from 18261 (sample SL-_2) to 159 19048 (sample wt 3, Table S4), using a cut-off FPKM value > 0.3 to declare a gene as
160 expressed. The DESeq2 R package was used to normalize expression levels and perform expressed. The DESeq2 R package was used to normalize expression levels and perform 161 differential expression analysis based on the negative binomial distribution (35). Following read
162 count normalization, the resulting P values were adjusted using the Benjamini and Hochberg's count normalization, the resulting P values were adjusted using the Benjamini and Hochberg's 163 approach for controlling the False Discovery Rate (FDR). Genes with a Benjamini–Hochberg 164 adjusted p value/FDR < 0.05 and a log₂ fold change (log₂FC) >+0.7;<-0.7 were assigned as 165 DEGs. A high Pearson's correlation coefficient (r) was observed among FPKM values of 166 biological replicates of the same genotype and condition in the sequenced set (average r = 167 0.92). Considering the mean of three biological replicates for each genotype, 18013 genes were 168 found to be expressed in both lines, while 983 genes were only expressed in wt and 696 genes 169 in SL- plants (fig. S10A). A total of 8166 protein-coding genes were found differentially 170 expressed (DEGs) in the SL- plants with respect to wt (FDR ≤ 0.05; Dataset S3), corresponding 170 expressed (DEGs) in the SL- plants with respect to wt (FDR ≤ 0.05; Dataset S3), corresponding
171 to 23.56% of the predicted protein-coding genes. These genes were additionally filtered based to 23.56% of the predicted protein-coding genes. These genes were additionally filtered based 172 on their log₂ FC (thresholds -0.7 > log₂ FC > +0.7). After filtering, we obtained a dataset of 7140 173 DEGs, which display a higher proportion of down-regulated genes in the SL- plants (5412) in 174 comparison to up-regulated genes (1728) (fig. S10B). To confirm the RNAseq results, we
175 analyzed the expression of selected genes by gRT-PCR, focusing on flowering-related loci. Fig. analyzed the expression of selected genes by gRT-PCR, focusing on flowering-related loci. Fig. 176 S8 shows high correlation between transcript levels observed in the RNAseq dataset and in 177 targeted qRT-PCR on independent samples.

178
179

179 **Functional analysis of tomato DEGs**

180 Enrichment analysis of each DEG gene ontology (GO) term and KEGG pathway (36) was
181 performed with the ShinyGO v0.61 GO Enrichment Analysis tool using default parameters 181 performed with the ShinyGO v0.61 GO Enrichment Analysis tool using default parameters 182 (http://bioinformatics.sdstate.edu/go/) (37) and comparing the frequency of query genes with 183 the complete reference genome for S. *Ivcopersicum* (SL 3.0). Enrichment analyses were based 183 the complete reference genome for *S. lycopersicum* (SL 3.0). Enrichment analyses were based 184 on a hypergeometric distribution followed by FDR correction. Significant GO terms and KEGG 185 functional categories (FDR < 0.05) were reported. 186

187 **Gibberellin treatments and quantification**

188 For the assessment of general gibberellin sensitivity, 2-week-old wt and SL- plants (n=8) were
189 sprayed on the whole aerial part with a 10 µM solution of GA₃ (Sigma-Aldrich) until runoff. 189 sprayed on the whole aerial part with a 10 μ M solution of GA₃ (Sigma-Aldrich) until runoff.
190 Control plants were sprayed with a corresponding volume of water only. The increment of the 190 Control plants were sprayed with a corresponding volume of water only. The increment of the 191 first internode length was measured every five days, starting from five days after the treatment 191 first internode length was measured every five days, starting from five days after the treatment 192 and reported as the difference between the measured values of GA_3 -treated and mock-treated and reported as the difference between the measured values of GA_3 -treated and mock-treated 193 plants of the same genotype at the same time point.

194 The sample preparation and analysis of gibberellins were performed as described (38) with 195 some modifications. Briefly, tissue samples of about 5 mg dry weight (DW) from n=3 biological 196 replicates were ground to a fine powder using 2.7-mm zirconium oxide beads (Retsch GmbH 197 & amp; Co. KG, Haan, Germany) and a MM 400 vibration mill at a frequency of 30 Hz for 3 min 198 (Retsch GmbH & amp; Co. KG, Haan, Germany) with 1 mL of ice-cold 80 % acetonitrile
199 containing 5 % formic acid as extraction solution. The samples were then extracted overnight 199 containing 5 % formic acid as extraction solution. The samples were then extracted overnight 200 at 4 °C using a benchtop laboratory rotator Stuart SB3 (Bibby Scientific Ltd., Staffordshire, UK)
201 after adding 17 internal gibberellin standards ([²H₂]GA₁, [²H₂]GA₃, [²H₂]GA₄, [²H₂]GA₆, [² after adding 17 internal gibberellin standards $(I^2H_2[GA_1, I^2H_2]GA_3, I^2H_2]GA_4, I^2H_2]GA_5, I^2H_2]GA_6,$ 202 [²H2]GA7, [²H2]GA8, [²H2]GA9, [²H2]GA15, [²H2]GA19, [²H2]GA20, [²H2]GA24, [²H2]GA29, [²H2]GA34, 203 $[{}^{2}H_{2}]GA_{44}$, $[{}^{2}H_{2}]GA_{51}$, and $[{}^{2}H_{2}]GA_{53}$ (OlChemlm, Czech Republic). The homogenates were 204 centrifuged at 36,670 *g* and 4 °C for 10 min, then the corresponding supernatants were further 205 purified using mixed-mode SPE cartridges (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) and analyzed by ultra206 high performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (UHPLC-MS/MS; 207 Micromass, Manchester, UK). Gibberellins were detected using multiple-reaction monitoring
208 mode of the transition of the ion IM–HI⁻ to the appropriate product ion. The Masslynx 4.2 mode of the transition of the ion $[M-H]$ ⁻ to the appropriate product ion. The Masslynx 4.2
209 software (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) was used to analyze the data and the standard isotope software (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) was used to analyze the data and the standard isotope 210 dilution method (39) was used to quantify endogenous gibberellin levels.

211 **Gene transcript quantification**

213 Total RNA from tomato leaves was extracted with the Spectrum Plant Total RNA Kit (Sigma 214 Aldrich) and treated with DNase I (ThermoScientific) at 37°C for 30 min to remove residual
215 aenomic DNA. First-strand cDNA was synthesized from 3 ug of purified total RNA using the 215 genomic DNA. First-strand cDNA was synthesized from 3 µg of purified total RNA using the 216 High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems) according to the 217 manufacturer's instructions. A modified protocol with a stem-loop primer (40) was followed for 217 manufacturer's instructions. A modified protocol with a stem-loop primer (40) was followed for
218 targeted miR319 and miR156 cDNA synthesis, gRT-PCR was carried out in a StepOnePlus targeted miR319 and miR156 cDNA synthesis. $qRT-PCR$ was carried out in a StepOnePlus 219 machine (Applied Biosystems) using the SYBR method (Luna Universal One-Step RT-qPCR
220 Kit. New England Biolabs): for loci and primers, see Table S4. Transcript concentrations were 220 Kit, New England Biolabs); for loci and primers, see Table S4. Transcript concentrations were
221 normalized on ACTIN (ACT), ELONGATION FACTOR-1 α (EF-1 α) or small nuclear RNA U6 221 normalized on *ACTIN* (*ACT*), *ELONGATION FACTOR-1α* (*EF*-*1α*) or *small nuclear RNA U6* 222 (*snU6*) transcripts as endogenous controls. Three independent biological replicates were
223 analyzed as a minimum, and each qRT-PCR reaction was run in technical triplicates. Transcript 223 analyzed as a minimum, and each qRT-PCR reaction was run in technical triplicates. Transcript 224 amounts were quantified through the $2^{\text{A}\Delta\text{C}t}$ method. amounts were quantified through the $2-\Delta\alpha$ ^{ct} method.

225 226 **Statistical analysis**

227 Significant differences among grafted plants were statistically analyzed by applying a one-way 228 ANOVA test and Tukey's HSD post-hoc test was used for mean separation when ANOVA
229 results were significant ($p < 0.05$). Significant differences of pairwise comparisons were 229 results were significant ($p < 0.05$). Significant differences of pairwise comparisons were
230 assessed by Student's t test. The SPSS statistical software package (SPSS Inc., Cary, NC. 230 assessed by Student's t test. The SPSS statistical software package (SPSS Inc., Cary, NC, 231 v.22) was used. RNAseq results were validated via qRT-PCR as previously done (41) on genes 231 v.22) was used. RNAseq results were validated via qRT-PCR as previously done (41) on genes
232 related to flowering. In short, log₂FC values of SFT (Solyc03q063100.2), SP5G 232 related to flowering. In short, log2FC values of *SFT* (Solyc03g063100.2), *SP5G* 233 (Solyc05g053850.3), *SP6A* (Solyc05g055660.2), *MBP20* (Solyc02g089210.3), *FUL1* 234 (Solyc06g069430.3), *LA* (Solyc07g062680.2), *GA2ox4* (Solyc07g061720.3), *GA20ox2* 235 (Solyc06g035530.3), *GA3ox2* (Solyc03g119910.3) were obtained from both RNAseq and qRT-236 PCR analyses by contrasting SL- with wt plants. The Spearman's rank correlation method (42) 237 was used to analyze the correlation between these two datasets. A Spearman's $\rho \ge 0.75$ was 238 used as threshold to consider two datasets positively highly correlated. used as threshold to consider two datasets positively highly correlated.

B

 Fig. S1. (**A**) Appearance of wt/wt, wt/SL- and SL-/SL- plants 30 days after grafting. (**B**) Tomato plants cv M82 at anthesis (around 5 weeks from seedling emergence). The plant on the right 247 was treated with 5 μ M GR24^{5DS} 8 days after seedling emergence, while the plant on the left was mock treated at the same age.

252

253
254 **Fig. S2.** Effects of different grafting combinations and/or treatment with 5 μM GR24^{5DS} on (**A**) 255 cumulative yield per plant in homo- or hetero-grafting of wt and strigolactone-depleted (SL-) 255 cumulative yield per plant in homo- or hetero-grafting of wt and strigolactone-depleted $(SL-)$
256 scions and rootstocks. (B) Comparisons between the number of leaves at the time of anthesis 256 scions and rootstocks. (**B**) Comparisons between the number of leaves at the time of anthesis 257 in mock-treated wt/wt plants, wt/SL- plants and wt/wt plants or (**C**) non-grafted wt plants treated 257 in mock-treated wt/wt plants, wt/SL- plants and wt/wt plants or (C) non-grafted wt plants treated
258 with 5 µM GR24^{5DS} (1 and 3 weeks after grafting). Data represent the mean ± SE of n=10 258 with 5 μ M GR24^{5DS} (1 and 3 weeks after grafting). Data represent the mean \pm SE of n=10 259 biological replicates. * indicates significant differences between wt/wt plants and wt/SL- plants, 260 as determined by Student's t test (\bar{p} < 0.05). In panel A the letters indicate significant differences 261 as determined by a one-way ANOVA test and Tukey's HSD post-hoc test (p < 0.05). as determined by a one-way ANOVA test and Tukey's HSD post-hoc test $(p < 0.05)$.

265 **Fig. S3.** Meristem maturation of mock- or GR24^{5DS}-treated plants. For representative images 266 of the four sequential developmental stages: vegetative meristem (VM), transition meristem 266 of the four sequential developmental stages: vegetative meristem (VM), transition meristem
267 (TM), inflorescence meristem (IM) and floral meristem (FM), see fig. 2A. Plants were treated 267 (TM), inflorescence meristem (IM) and floral meristem (FM), see fig. 2A. Plants were treated 268 with a 5 uM solution 4 days after seedling emergence, i.e. before floral transition. The 268 with a 5 µM solution 4 days after seedling emergence, i.e. before floral transition. The
269 meristems were evaluated under the stereomicroscope 4 to 12 days after the treatment (n=6-269 meristems were evaluated under the stereomicroscope 4 to 12 days after the treatment (n=6-
270 13). 13).

272

273

274 **Fig. S4**. Functional GO categories from the BP-GO enrichment of DEGs in strigolactone-275 depleted leaves in comparison to wt. Light blue and fuchsia bars indicate the number of up-
276 and down-regulated DEGs, respectively. Black dots show the Log10FDR value of each enriched and down-regulated DEGs, respectively. Black dots show the Log₁₀FDR value of each enriched 277 category, with FDR < 0.05 as a threshold.

279 **Fig. S5.** Effects of exogenous strigolactones and age on the transcripts of marker genes for 280 meristematic development: FA (FALSIFLORA); LIN (LONG INFLORESCENCE); DST 280 meristematic development: *FA* (*FALSIFLORA*); *LIN* (*LONG INFLORESCENCE*); *DST* 281 (*DELAYED SYMPODIAL TERMINATION*); *AN* (*ANANTHA*); *WOX9* (*WUSCHEL-RELATED* 282 *HOMEOBOX9*); *TM5* (*TOMATO MADS5*); *ARF5* (*AUXIN RESPONSE FACTOR5*). Vegetative (veg.) wt plants were treated with 5 μ M GR24^{5DS} 8 days after seedling emergence, and 284 harvested one week later; another subset was treated in the reproductive (rep.) phase, 23 days harvested one week later; another subset was treated in the reproductive (rep.) phase, 23 days 285 after germination, and harvested 30 days after germination. Transcript abundances were 286 normalized to endogenous $E F 1 \alpha$ and $A C T$ and presented as fold-change value over mean 286 normalized to endogenous *EF1α* and *ACT* and presented as fold-change value over mean 287 values of meristems in untreated vegetative plants, which were set to 1. Data represent the 288 mean ± SE of n=6 biological replicates (each the pool of 10 apical meristems) analyzed in 288 mean ± SE of n=6 biological replicates (each the pool of 10 apical meristems) analyzed in 289 technical triplicates. Different letters on top of bars indicate statistically significant differences 289 technical triplicates. Different letters on top of bars indicate statistically significant differences
290 among all samples as determined with one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's post-hoc test: no among all samples as determined with one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's post-hoc test; no 291 significant differences for pairwise comparisons between treated and untreated samples of the 292 same age could be detected by Student's t-test ($p < 0.05$). same age could be detected by Student's t-test ($p < 0.05$).

295 **Fig. S6.** KEGG pathways categories enriched among DEGs in leaves of strigolactone-depleted
296 tomato plants in comparison to wt. Grey bars indicate the number of DEGs and black dots show 296 tomato plants in comparison to wt. Grey bars indicate the number of DEGs and black dots show
297 the Log₁₀FDR value for each enriched KEGG pathway category identified by the KEGG ID in 297 the Log₁₀FDR value for each enriched KEGG pathway category identified by the KEGG ID in 298 brackets, with FDR < 0.05 as a threshold. brackets, with FDR $<$ 0.05 as a threshold.

300

301
302 **Fig. S7.** Schematic representation of the tomato gibberellin (GA) biosynthetic pathway. GGDP, 303 geranylgeranyl diphosphate; CPS (TPS40), ent-copalyl diphosphate synthase; KS (TPS24), 303 geranylgeranyl diphosphate; CPS (TPS40), *ent*-copalyl diphosphate synthase; KS (TPS24), 304 *ent*-kaurene synthase; KO, *ent*-kaurene oxidase; KAO, *ent*-kaurenoic acid oxidase; GA13ox, 305 GA 13-oxidase; GA20ox, GA 20-oxidase; GA3ox, GA 3-oxidase; GA2ox, GA 2-oxidase; GA-306 cat, GA-catabolite. Arrows by gene acronyms indicate whether each gene is up- or down-
307 regulated, or remains stable in strigolactone-depleted plants compared to the wt. regulated, or remains stable in strigolactone-depleted plants compared to the wt.

 Fig. S8. RNAseq validation through qRT-PCR analysis. **Upper left:** correlation between 311 RNAseq (x-axis) and qRT-PCR (y-axis) log₂FC values of transcripts obtained by comparing 312 strigolactone-depleted (SL-) and wt plants. Correlation was calculated through the Spearman's 312 strigolactone-depleted (SL-) and wt plants. Correlation was calculated through the Spearman's 313 rank correlation method (Spearman's ρ and p -value, R^2 and best-fit line equation are shown). 313 rank correlation method (Spearman's ρ and p-value, R^2 and best-fit line equation are shown).
314 **All other panels:** validation of the RNAseg analysis by qRT-PCR. Transcript quantification of **All other panels:** validation of the RNAseq analysis by qRT-PCR. Transcript quantification of *SFT* (Solyc03g063100.2); *SP5G* (Solyc05g053850.3); *SP6A* (Solyc05g055660.2); *MBP20* (Solyc02g089210.3); *FUL1* (Solyc06g069430.3); *LA* (Solyc07g062680.2); *GA2ox4* (Solyc07g061720.3); *GA20ox2* (Solyc06g035530.3); *GA3ox2* (Solyc03g119910.3). Transcript abundances were normalized to endogenous *EF1α* and *ACT* and presented as fold-change 319 values over mean values of wt plants, which were set to 1. Data represent the mean \pm SE of 320 n=3 biological replicates. * indicates significant differences as determined by Student's t test (p n=3 biological replicates. * indicates significant differences as determined by Student's t test (p < 0.05).

Fig. S9. Effect of strigolactone deprivation on gibberellin metabolism. (A) Concentration of the 325 biosynthetic precursors of bioactive gibberellins and (B) of their deactivation products in wt and 325 biosynthetic precursors of bioactive gibberellins and (B) of their deactivation products in wt and
326 strigolactone-depleted (SL-) plants. Data represent the mean ± SE of n=3 biological replicates 326 strigolactone-depleted (SL-) plants. Data represent the mean ± SE of n=3 biological replicates
327 analyzed in technical quadruplicates. See fig. S7 for metabolite positioning in the gibberellin 327 analyzed in technical quadruplicates. See fig. S7 for metabolite positioning in the gibberellin pathway.

-
-

338
339 **Fig. S10.** Comparison of expressed genes between wt and strigolactone-depleted (SL-) tomato 340 lines. (A) Venn diagram displaying the number of genes identified in either or both genotypes; 340 lines. (**A**) Venn diagram displaying the number of genes identified in either or both genotypes;
341 (**B**) volcano plot of the number and distribution of up- and down-regulated DEGs (FDR < 0.05, (**B**) volcano plot of the number and distribution of up- and down-regulated DEGs (FDR < 0.05, log_2FC >+0.7 and <-0.7 respectively), showing statistical significance (padjust) versus magnitude of change (fold change, FC). magnitude of change (fold change, FC).

344 **Table S1.** Selection of tomato DEGs between wt and strigolactone-depleted leaves related to

345 flowering and/or included in the GO category Reproduction (GO: 0000003). A comprehensive 346 list of all DEGs related to this category can be found in Dataset S2.

349 **Table S2.** Tomato DEGs involved in auxin biosynthesis and metabolism ([GO:0009851] and 350 [GO:0009850]), transport and export ([GO:0009926] and [GO:0010315]), and responses 351 ([GO:0009734] and [GO:0009733]) as retrieved after the differential expression analysis.

- 353 **Table S3.** Tomato DEGs involved in gibberellin signalling (included in the KEGG ID: sly04075)
- 354 and biosynthesis (included in the KEGG ID: sly01110) as retrieved from KEGG maps after the
- 355 enrichment analysis.

 Table S4. Expressed genes passing quality checks, trimming and FPKM filtering in 3 independent replicates of wild-type *Solanum lycopersicum* M82 (wt) or *CCD7*-silenced leaves in the same background (SL-). SL 3.0: *Solanum lycopersicum* (tomato) genome assembly SL3.0 from the Solanaceae Genomics Project.

362 363

365 **Table S5.** List of primers used in this work, with target gene names.

369 **Dataset S1** (separate file). Gene ontology categories for Biological Processes (BP-GO)
370 enriched in strigolactone-depleted (SL-) tomato leaves in comparison to wt (FDR<0.05; log₂FC enriched in strigolactone-depleted (SL-) tomato leaves in comparison to wt (FDR<0.05; log2FC >+0.7;<-0.7), obtained using the ShinyGO v0.61 Gene Ontology Enrichment Analysis tool.

 Dataset S2 (separate file). List of DEGs included in the GO category Reproduction (GO: 0000003).

- **Dataset S3** (separate file). List of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in the strigolactone-
- 375 depleted (SL-) plants with respect to wt (padjust \leq 0.05).
-
-

SI References

-
- 1. X. Jiang *et al.*, *FRUITFULL-like* genes regulate flowering time and inflorescence architecture in tomato. *Plant Cell* **34**, 1002-1019 (2022).
- 2. R. Wang *et al.*, Re-evaluation of transcription factor function in tomato fruit
- development and ripening with CRISPR/Cas9-mutagenesis. *Sci. Rep.* **9**, 1696 (2019).
- 3. C. Périlleux, G. Lobet, P. Tocquin, Inflorescence development in tomato: gene
- functions within a zigzag model. *Front. Plant Sci.* **5**, 121 (2014).
- 4. E. Gimenez *et al.*, *TOMATO AGAMOUS1* and *ARLEQUIN/TOMATO AGAMOUS-LIKE1* MADS-box genes have redundant and divergent functions required for tomato reproductive development. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **91**, 513-531 (2016).
- 5. T. Yang, Y. He, S. Niu, S. Yan, Y. Zhang, Identification and characterization of the *CONSTANS (CO)*/*CONSTANS-like* (*COL*) genes related to photoperiodic signaling and flowering in tomato. *Plant Sci.* **301**, 110653 (2020).
- 6. X. Ma *et al.*, The NAC transcription factor SlNAP2 regulates leaf senescence and fruit yield in tomato. *Plant Physiol.* **177**, 1286-1302 (2018).
- 7. Y. Berger *et al.*, The NAC-domain transcription factor GOBLET specifies leaflet boundaries in compound tomato leaves. *Development* **136**, 823-832 (2009).
- 8. A. Hendelman, R. Stav, H. Zemach, T. Arazi, The tomato NAC transcription factor SlNAM2 is involved in flower-boundary morphogenesis. *J. Exp. Bot.* **64**, 5497-5507 (2013).
- 9. C. Bendix, C. M. Marshall, F. G. Harmon, Circadian clock genes universally control key agricultural traits. *Mol. Plant* **8**, 1135-1152 (2015).
- 10. P. Facella *et al.*, Diurnal and circadian rhythms in the tomato transcriptome and their modulation by cryptochrome photoreceptors. *PLoS One* **3**, e2798 (2008).
- 11. Y. Tanigaki *et al.*, Transcriptome analysis of plant hormone-related tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) genes in a sunlight-type plant factory. *PLoS One* **10**, e0143412 (2015).
- 12. R. Schaffer *et al.*, The late elongated hypocotyl mutation of Arabidopsis disrupts circadian rhythms and the photoperiodic control of flowering. *Cell* **93**, 1219-1229 (1998).
- 13. J. L. Pruneda-Paz, G. Breton, A. Para, S. A. Kay, A functional genomics approach reveals CHE as a component of the Arabidopsis circadian clock. *Science* **323**, 1481- 1485 (2009).
- 14. X. W. Deng, T. Caspar, P. H. Quail, *COP1*: a regulatory locus involved in light- controlled development and gene expression in Arabidopsis. *Genes Dev.* **5**, 1172- 1182 (1991).
- 15. S. Jang *et al.*, Arabidopsis COP1 shapes the temporal pattern of CO accumulation conferring a photoperiodic flowering response. *EMBO J.* **27**, 1277-1288 (2008).
- 16. M. R. Doyle *et al.*, The *ELF4* gene controls circadian rhythms and flowering time in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Nature* **419**, 74-77 (2002).

