Supplementary information

Amyloid-beta antibody binding to cerebral amyloid angiopathy fibrils and risk for amyloid-related imaging abnormalities

Linda Söderberg¹, Malin Johannesson¹, Eleni Gkanatsiou¹, Patrik Nygren¹, Nicolas Fritz¹, Olof Zachrisson¹, Adeline Rachalski¹, Anne-Sophie Svensson¹, Emily Button¹, Giacomo Dentoni¹, Gunilla Osswald¹, Lars Lannfelt^{1, 2}, Christer Möller¹

¹BioArctic AB, Warfvinges väg 35, 112 51, Stockholm, Sweden.
²Dept. of Public Health/Geriatrics, Uppsala University, 751 85, Uppsala, Sweden.

Supplementary Fig. 1



Supplementary Figure 1. IP-MALDI analyses of the FA-extracted pellet fractions from leptomeningeal tissues of AD subjects. **a**, Mass spectra for the indicated study subjects showing A β -assigned peaks. **b**, The relative abundance of the A β peptides identified in each pellet fraction sample (average of all subjects in **a**), as compared with the water-soluble extract from the same individual (data presented in Fig. 4).

Supplementary Fig. 2



Supplementary Figure 2. Schematic outline of the steps used to isolate and characterize CAA A β fibrils from human leptomeningeal tissue. Repeated water extraction of the tissue generated ten water fractions. The A β content of each fraction was analyzed using the V-PLEX® A β peptide panel 1 (4G8) assay (MSD). Fractions with high A β levels (usually fractions 2 to 6) were pooled, generating a representative sample from each subject for use in subsequent analyses. In a supplementary characterization, the final pellet that remained after all the water extractions had been completed was extracted in 70% formic acid prior to peptide characterization using immunoprecipitation (IP) matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI). IHC, immunohistochemistry; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; CLSM, confocal laser scanning microscopy; SPR, surface plasmon resonance.

AD009			
Antibody	k_{a1} (M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹)	k_{d1} (s ⁻¹)	<i>K_{D1}</i> (n M)
Aducanumab	$3.36\pm1.20\times10^5$	$1.14 \pm 0.28 imes 10^{-1}$	358 ± 71.7
Bapineuzumab	$6.72 \pm 7.86 imes 10^5$	$1.31 \pm 0.83 \times 10^{-3}$	5.96 ± 4.96
Crenezumab	ND	ND	ND
Donanemab	$1.25\pm0.37\times10^4$	$3.08 \pm 0.39 \times 10^{-2}$	728 ± 119
Gantenerumab	$6.46\pm1.41\times10^4$	$3.01 \pm 0.71 \times 10^{-2}$	471 ± 57.0
Lecanemab	$5.36\pm2.73\times10^4$	$3.91 \pm 1.30 \times 10^{-2}$	764 ± 134
Solanezumab	ND	ND	ND
AD062			Г
Antibody	ka1 (M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹)	k_{d1} (s ⁻¹)	<i>KD</i> 1 (nM)
Aducanumab	$8.53 \pm 3.47 imes 10^4$	$1.03 \pm 0.41 \times 10^{-1}$	1220 ± 282
Bapineuzumab	ND	ND	ND
Crenezumab	ND	ND	ND
Donanemab	ND	ND	ND
Gantenerumab	$3.29\pm0.40\times10^4$	$4.59 \pm 0.48 \times 10^{\text{-2}}$	1400 ± 92.6
Lecanemab	ND	ND	ND
Solanezumab	ND	ND	ND
4 0000			
Antibody	k_{a1} (M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹)	k_{d1} (s ⁻¹)	Kp1 (n M)
Aducanumab	$1.33 \pm 1.00 \times 10^{6}$	$5.48 \pm 3.01 \times 10^{-2}$	54.9 ± 31.3
Bapineuzumab	$9.93 \pm 10.1 \times 10^{5}$	$9.10 \pm 4.73 \times 10^{-5}$	0.17 ± 0.13
Crenezumab	ND	ND	ND
Donanemab	$2.28 \pm 1.23 imes 10^4$	$8.29 \pm 2.84 imes 10^{-3}$	465 ± 367
Gantenerumab	$7.71 \pm 4.23 \times 10^4$	$2.29 \pm 0.89 imes 10^{-2}$	347 ± 132
Lecanemab	$7.96 \pm 1.44 \times 10^4$	$8.35 \pm 1.14 imes 10^{-2}$	1060 ± 160
Solanezumab	ND	ND	ND
	·	·	
AD021		1	
Antibody	$k_{a1} (M^{-1}s^{-1})$	k_{d1} (s ⁻¹)	K_{D1} (nM)
Aducanumab	$2.52 \pm 0.18 \times 10^5$	$1.38 \pm 0.08 \times 10^{-1}$	548 ± 36.7
Bapineuzumab	$3.33 \pm 0.21 \times 10^5$	$9.83 \pm 4.70 \times 10^{-5}$	0.30 ± 0.16
Crenezumab	$8.30 \pm 2.62 \times 10^3$	$1.53 \pm 1.02 \times 10^{-2}$	2020 ± 1540
Donanemab	$2.53 \pm 1.43 \times 10^4$	$3.02 \pm 1.18 \times 10^{-2}$	1260 ± 192
Gantenerumab	$7.49 \pm 0.58 \times 10^4$	$2.92 \pm 0.34 \times 10^{-2}$	390 ± 22.0
Lecanemab	$1.28 \pm 0.11 \times 10^{5}$	$2.18 \pm 0.12 \times 10^{-2}$	170 ± 9.85
Solanezumab	ND	ND	ND

Supplementary Table 1: SPR kinetics of antibody binding to CAA A β fibrils

Data shown as mean \pm SD. ND: not detected.

 k_{al} : association rate constant; k_{dl} : dissociation rate constant; K_{Dl} : dissociation constant.