What turns CREB on? And off? And why does it matter?

Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences

André Steven¹, Michael Friedrich¹, Paul Jank², Nadine Heimer¹, Jan Budczies³, Carsten Denkert², Barbara Seliger¹

¹ Institute for Medical Immunology, Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, 06112 Halle (Saale), Germany

² Institute of Pathology, Philipps University Marburg, 35043 Marburg, Germany

³ Institute of Pathology, University Clinic Heidelberg, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany

Note: The listed references in this document are included under their indicated number in the main manuscript.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary Tables

Supplementary Table 1: Modulation of CREB protein in tumors and its clinical relevance. Phrases in italics reflect outcomes that differ from the majority of the literature.

 n/a = not analyzed

Supplementary Table 2: Known stimuli modulating CREB activity and downstream signal pathways in human und murine tumor cells.

 n/a = not specified; Species: m = mouse, h = human, r = rat

Supplementary Table 3: Structural alterations of the CREB1 gene in different tumor entities.

 $n =$ number of samples

The cBioPortal database (https://cbioportal.org/) was used for the analysis of the mutation load in different tumors. The study with the highest number of samples was chosen. Only tumor entities with a mutation rate > 1.0% and > 50 samples were included. The most common genetic alterations are listed first.

Supplementary Table 4: Mutation rate of CREB1 in different tumor cell lines.

For the analysis, CREB-mutated tumor cell lines were screened in cBioPortal with the dataset "Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia (Novartis/Broad, Nature 2012)"

Supplementary Table 5: Small molecule inhibitors targeting the interaction between CREB and CBP (KID – KIX) and their *in vitro* and/or *in vivo use*.

The different inhibitors were tested on different murine or human cell lines at varying concentrations and for different time points. $n/a = not$ specified

Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Figure 1:

Supplementary figure legends

Supplementary Figure 1: Domain structure of CREB and important aa residues.

The scheme shows the longest CREB1 protein isoform with 341 aa. Important amino acid residues that can be posttranslational modified are numbered. Most serine residues that can be phosphorylated (blue) are localized in the KID but also in the glutamine rich O2 domain. Ubiquitination (orange) or SUMOylation (brown) is possible through lysine site-chains in the bZIP, while lysine connected with acetylation (green) are mainly found in the KID and the α region. O glycosylation (purple) is possible in the Q2 domain.

Supplementary Figure 2: CREB is a central player in gene regulation.

The transcription factor CREB is of central importance in oncogenesis. Several signal transduction pathways (e.g., PI3K/AKT, RAS/MEK, cAMP/PKA) may lead to activation of CREB phosphorylation, causing dimerization of CREB and binding to the CRE-DNA element. On the one hand, CREB regulates protein-coding genes, such as bcl-2, as well as noncoding genes of miRNAs or long noncoding RNAs. In the latter case, a negative feedback loop is also possible because some of the CREB-regulated miRNAs themselves can target CREB mRNA. Furthermore, posttranslational modifications of the CREB protein can significantly influence its activity. In addition to the abovementioned phosphorylation, this also includes modifications by ubiquitination or SUMOylation. The activity and function of CREB, such as the promotion of angiogenesis, are thus influenced not only by the expression level but also by the PTMs of CREB.