Risk of corneal transplant rejection following COVID-19 vaccination: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Parul Chawla Gupta¹, Bijaya K Padhi², Hashem Abu Serhan^{3*}, Arkadiusz Dziedzic⁴, Mahalaqua Nazli Khatib⁵, Shilpa Gaidhane⁶, Quazi Syed Zahiruddin⁷, Abhay M Gaidhane⁸, Neelima Kukreti⁹, Sarvesh Rustagi¹⁰, Prakasini Satapathy^{11,12}

⁵Division of Evidence Synthesis, Global Consortium of Public Health and Research, Datta Meghe Institute of Higher Education, Wardha, India. Email: nazli.786@rediffmail.com

⁶One Health Centre (COHERD), Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College, Datta Meghe Institute of Higher Education, Wardha, India. Email: drshilpagaidhane@gmail.com

⁷South Asia Infant Feeding Research Network (SAIFRN), Division of Evidence Synthesis, Global Consortium of Public Health and Research, Datta Meghe Institute of Higher Education, Wardha, India. Email: <u>zahirquazi@gmail.com</u>

⁸Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College, and Global Health Academy, School of Epidemiology and Public Health. Datta Meghe Institute of Higher Education, Wardha, India. Email: abhaygaidhane@gmail.com

⁹School of Pharmacy, Graphic Era Hill University, Dehradun, India. Email: nkukreti@gehu.ac.in

¹⁰School of Applied and Life Sciences, Uttaranchal University, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India. Email: sarveshrustagi@uumail.in

¹Department of Ophthalmology, Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh 160012, India. Email: pcgpgi@gmail.com

²Department of Community Medicine and School of Public Health, Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh-160012, India. Email: bkpadhi@gmail.com

³Department of Ophthalmology, Hamad Medical Corporation, Doha, Qatar. Email: habuserhan@hamad.qa

⁴Department of Conservative Dentistry with Endodontics, Medical University of Silesia, 40-055 Katowice, Poland. Email: adziedzic@sum.edu.pl

¹¹Center for Global Health Research, Saveetha Medical College and Hospital, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Saveetha University, Chennai, India. Email: prakasini.satapathy@gmail.com

¹²Medical Laboratories Techniques Department, AL-Mustaqbal University, 51001 Hillah, Babil, Iraq.

*Corresponding author

Hashem Abu Serhan

Email: habuserhan@hamad.qa

Supplementary Materials

Table S1. PRISMA Checklist

Table S2. Inclusion and Exclusion criteria

Table S3. The adjusted search terms as per searched electronic databases

Table S4. Newcastle-Ottawa Scale for the quality assessment of studies

Table S1. PRISMA Checklist

Table 51. I Kish			Location			
Section and	Item	Checklist item (Prevalence of kidney diseases among the dengue patients: A systematic review and meta-				
Topic	#	analysis)	where item is reported			
TITLE						
Title	1	Identify the report as a systematic review.	1			
ABSTRACT						
Abstract	2	See the PRISMA 2020 for Abstracts checklist. (made as per the Journal guidelines)	2			
INTRODUCT	ION					
Rationale	3	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of existing knowledge.	3			
Objectives	Objectives 4 Provide an explicit statement of the objective(s) or question(s) the review addresses.		3			
METHODS						
Eligibility criteria	5	5 Specify the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review and how studies were grouped for the syntheses.				
Information sources	6	Specify all databases, registers, websites, organisations, reference lists and other sources searched or consulted to identify studies. Specify the date when each source was last searched or consulted.				
Search strategy	7	Present the full search strategies for all databases, registers and websites, including any filters and limits used.				
Selection process	8	Specify the methods used to decide whether a study met the inclusion criteria of the review, including how many reviewers screened each record and each report retrieved, whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.				
Data collection	9	9 Specify the methods used to collect data from reports, including how many reviewers collected data from each report, whether they worked independently, any processes for obtaining or confirming data				

Section and Topic	Item #	Checklist item (Prevalence of kidney diseases among the dengue patients: A systematic review and meta- analysis)	Location where item is reported		
process		from study investigators, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.			
Data items	10a	List and define all outcomes for which data were sought. Specify whether all results that were compatible with each outcome domain in each study were sought (e.g., for all measures, time points, analyses), and if not, the methods used to decide which results to collect.	3		
	10b	List and define all other variables for which data were sought (e.g., participant and intervention characteristics, funding sources). Describe any assumptions made about any missing or unclear information.	4, Table S4		
Study risk of bias assessment	11	Specify the methods used to assess risk of bias in the included studies, including details of the tool(s) used, how many reviewers assessed each study and whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.	Table S4		
Effect measures	12	Specify for each outcome the effect measure(s) (e.g. risk ratio, mean difference) used in the synthesis or presentation of results.			
Synthesis methods	13a	Describe the processes used to decide which studies were eligible for each synthesis (e.g. tabulating the study intervention characteristics and comparing against the planned groups for each synthesis (item #5)).	4,5		
	13b	Describe any methods required to prepare the data for presentation or synthesis, such as handling of missing summary statistics, or data conversions.	NA		
	13c	Describe any methods used to tabulate or visually display results of individual studies and syntheses.			
	13d	Describe any methods used to synthesize results and provide a rationale for the choice(s). If meta- analysis was performed, describe the model(s), method(s) to identify the presence and extent of statistical heterogeneity, and software package(s) used.	4.5		
	13e	Describe any methods used to explore possible causes of heterogeneity among study results (e.g. subgroup analysis, meta-regression).	5		
	13f	Describe any sensitivity analyses conducted to assess robustness of the synthesized results.	6		
Reporting bias	14	Describe any methods used to assess risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis (arising from	5		

Section and Topic	Item #	Checklist item (Prevalence of kidney diseases among the dengue patients: A systematic review and meta- analysis)	Location where item is reported
assessment		reporting biases).	
Certainty assessment	15	Describe any methods used to assess certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for an outcome.	
RESULTS			
Study selection	16a	Describe the results of the search and selection process, from the number of records identified in the search to the number of studies included in the review, ideally using a flow diagram.	
	16b	Cite studies that might appear to meet the inclusion criteria, but which were excluded, and explain why they were excluded.	NA
Study characteristics	17	Cite each included study and present its characteristics.	4,5 Table 1
Risk of bias in studies			Table S4
Results of individual studies	19	For all outcomes, present, for each study: (a) summary statistics for each group (where appropriate) and (b) an effect estimate and its precision (e.g. confidence/credible interval), ideally using structured tables or plots.	Table 1, Figure 2
Results of syntheses	20a	For each synthesis, briefly summarise the characteristics and risk of bias among contributing studies.	4
	20b	Present results of all statistical syntheses conducted. If meta-analysis was done, present for each the summary estimate and its precision (e.g. confidence/credible interval) and measures of statistical heterogeneity. If comparing groups, describe the direction of the effect.	5,4 Figure 2
	20c	Present results of all investigations of possible causes of heterogeneity among study results.	5
	20d	Present results of all sensitivity analyses conducted to assess the robustness of the synthesized results.	Figure S2
Reporting biases	Present assessments of risk of bias due to missing results (arising from reporting biases) for each synthesis assessed.		Table S4
Certainty of evidence	22	Present assessments of certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for each outcome assessed.	NA

Section and Topic	Item #	Checklist item (Prevalence of kidney diseases among the dengue patients: A systematic review and meta- analysis)	Location where item is reported
DISCUSSION			
Discussion	23a	Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence.	5,6,7
	23b	Discuss any limitations of the evidence included in the review.	7
	23c	Discuss any limitations of the review processes used.	7
	23d	Discuss implications of the results for practice, policy, and future research.	7
OTHER INFO	RMAT	TION	
Registration and protocol	24a	Provide registration information for the review, including register name and registration number, or state that the review was not registered.	
	24b	Indicate where the review protocol can be accessed, or state that a protocol was not prepared.	3
	24c	Describe and explain any amendments to information provided at registration or in the protocol.	NA
Support	25	Describe sources of financial or non-financial support for the review, and the role of the funders or sponsors in the review.	8
Competing interests			7
Availability of data, code and other materials	27	Report which of the following are publicly available and where they can be found: template data collection forms; data extracted from included studies; data used for all analyses; analytic code; any other materials used in the review.	Supplementary Materials

Table S2. Inclusion and Exclusion criteria

Research Question: Does the COVID-19 vaccine increase the risk of corneal transplant rejection??

	Inclusion					
Participants	Participants: Individuals underwent corneal transplant	Participants with other surgeries				
Exposure	COVID-19 vaccine of any type	Other vaccines				
Outcome	Corneal transplant rejection					
	RCTs, observational studies, longitudinal studies, retrospective studies, prospective studies, case-control studies,	Qualitative, policy, opinion, case studies, case reports, reviews, and animal studies				
Study Designs	Geography-Global level Date of Search- Publish till 12 th Feb 2024	None				
	Published articles					

Table S3. The adjusted search terms as per searched electronic databases [as of 12.02.2024]

Databa se	No	Search Query	Results
Date: 12-2024	-02-		
PubMe d	#1	"COVID-19"[MeSH Terms] OR "severe acute respiratory syndrome related coronavirus"[MeSH Terms] OR "COVID-19"[All Fields] OR "Coronavirus"[All Fields] OR "SARS-COV-2"[All Fields] OR "covid*"[All Fields]	429581
	#2	"vaccin" [Supplementary Concept] OR "vaccin" [All Fields] OR "vaccination" [MeSH Terms] OR "vaccination" [All Fields] OR "vaccinable" [All Fields] OR "vaccination" [All Fields] OR "vaccinate" [All Fields] OR "vaccinated" [All Fields] OR "vaccinations" [All Fields] OR "vaccinations" [All Fields] OR "vaccinations" [All Fields] OR "vaccinations" [All Fields] OR "vaccines" [MeSH Terms] OR "vaccines" [All Fields] OR "vaccines" [All Fields] OR "vaccines" [MeSH Terms] OR "vaccines" [All Fields] OR "vaccines" [All Fields] OR "vaccines" [MeSH Terms] OR "vaccines" [All Fields] OR "vaccination" [MeSH Terms] OR "vaccination" [All Fields] OR "vaccinable" [All Fields] OR "vaccination" [All Fields] OR "vaccinated" [All Fields] OR "vaccinations" [All Fields] OR "vaccinations" [All Fields] OR "vaccines" [All Fields] OR "vaccinator" [All Fields] OR "vaccinators" [All Fields] OR "vaccines" [All Fields] OR "vaccines" [All Fields] OR "vaccinations" [All Fields] OR "vaccines" [All Fields] OR "vaccines" [All Fields] OR "vaccination" [All Fields] OR "vaccinated" [All Fields] OR "vaccination" [MeSH Terms] OR "vaccination" [All Fields] OR "vaccinates" [All Fields] OR "vaccination" [All Fields] OR "vaccinations" [All Fields] OR "vaccinates" [All Fields] OR "vaccination" [All Fields] OR "vaccinations" [All Fields] OR "vaccinates" [All Fields] OR "vaccinations" [All Fields] OR "vaccinations" [All Fields] OR "vaccinations" [All Fields] OR "vaccinations" [All Fields] OR "vaccinations" [All Fields] OR "vaccinations" [All Fields] OR "vaccines" [All Fields] OR "vaccinations" [All Fields] OR "vaccinations" [All Fields] OR "vaccines" [All Fields] OR "vaccinations" [All Fields] OR "vaccinations" [All Fields] OR "vaccines" [All Fields] OR "vaccines" [All Fields] OR "vaccinations" [All Fields] OR "vaccines" [All Fields] OR "vaccines" [All Fields] OR "vaccinations" [All Fields] OR "vaccines" [All Fields] OR "vaccines" [All Fields] OR	521130
	#3	"corneal transplant*"[All Fields] OR "graft rejection"[All Fields] OR "corneal rejection"[All Fields] OR ("corneal transplantation"[MeSH Terms] OR ("corneal"[All Fields] AND "transplantation"[All Fields]) OR "corneal transplantation"[All Fields] OR ("corneal"[All Fields] AND "graft"[All Fields]) OR "corneal graft"[All Fields]) OR ("corneal"[All Fields]) OR ("cornea"[MeSH Terms]	95963

		OR "cornea" [All Fields] OR "corneal" [All Fields]) AND ("failure" [All Fields] OR "failures" [All Fields])) OR "Keratoprosthesis" [All Fields] OR ("corneal transplantation" [MeSH Terms] OR ("corneal" [All Fields] AND "transplantation" [All Fields]) OR "corneal transplantation" [All Fields] OR "keratoplasties" [All Fields] OR "keratoplasty" [All Fields])				
	#4	#1AND #2AND#3	94			
EMBA SE	#1	'covid-19'/exp OR 'covid-19' OR 'severe acute respiratory syndrome related coronavirus'/exp OR 'severe acute respiratory syndrome related coronavirus' OR 'covid-19':ti,ab OR 'coronavirus':ti,ab OR 'sars-cov-2':ti,ab OR 'covid*':ti,ab	508076			
	#2	vaccin:ti,ab OR 'vaccination'/exp OR 'vaccination' OR 'vaccination':ti,ab OR 'vaccinable':ti,ab OR 'vaccinate':ti,ab OR 'vaccinated':ti,ab OR 'vaccinates':ti,ab OR 'vaccinates':ti,ab OR 'vaccinates':ti,ab OR 'vaccinates':ti,ab OR 'vaccines'/exp OR 'vaccines' OR 'vaccines':ti,ab OR 'vac	666677			
	#3	'corneal transplant*':ti,ab OR 'graft rejection':ti,ab OR 'corneal rejection':ti,ab OR ('corneal':ti,ab AND 'graft':ti,ab) OR 'corneal graft':ti,ab OR ('corneal':ti,ab AND 'rejection':ti,ab) OR (('cornea'/exp OR 'corneal':ti,ab OR 'corneal':ti,ab OR 'corneal':ti,ab OR 'failures':ti,ab) OR 'keratoprosthesis':ti,ab OR 'corneal transplantation'/exp OR ('corneal':ti,ab AND 'transplantation':ti,ab) OR 'corneal transplantation':ti,ab OR 'keratoplasties':ti,ab OR 'keratoplasty':ti,ab				
	#4	#1 AND #2AND #3	80			
WOS advanc ed	#1	((((((TI=("COVID-19")) OR TI=("severe acute respiratory syndrome related coronavirus")) OR TI=("COVID-19")) OR TI=("Coronavirus")) OR TI=("SARS-COV-2")) OR TI=("covid*") OR(((((AB=("COVID-19")) OR AB=("severe acute respiratory syndrome related coronavirus")) OR AB=("COVID-19")) OR AB=("Coronavirus")) OR AB=("Covid*")	361567			
	#2	((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((396537			

#3	<i>‡</i> 3	((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((37727
		or failures)) OR AB=(keratoprosthesis)) OR AB=(keratoplasties or keratoplasty)	
#4	‡4	#1 AND #2AND #3	55

Table S4. Newcastle-Ottawa Scale for the quality assessment of studies

STUDY		SELECTION (max 4 points)			COMPARABILITY	OUTCOME (max 3 points)			SCO
					(max 2 points)	_			RE
	Representativeness	Selection	Ascertainment	Demonstration of the outcome of interest was not present at start of study	Comparability the basis of the design or analysis	Assessme nt of outcome	Was follow-up long enough for outcomes to occur?	Adequacy of the follow-up	(out of 9)
Igarashi 2023	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	0	7
Busin 2022	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	9
Roberts 2023	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	9
Fujimoto 2021	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	9
Culp 2022	1	1	1	1	2	1	?	?	?
Igarashi 2023	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	9