

Fig. S1. CREs identification and additional +15.2prox1a enhancer characterisation (A)
Microsynteny surrounding the vertebrate *Prox1/prox1a* locus. Downstream of *Prox1/prox1a*, *Smyd2* is found in all analysed sequences. Upstream of *Prox1/prox1a*, *Rps6ck1* is found in all species, except zebrafish. The annotations used are listed in Table S1.
(B) Conservation analysis of the 54 kbp region surrounding the *prox3* locus comparted to nine
vertebrate species. Results are shown compared to zebrafish. Blue peaks: Exons; red peaks:
Region of conserved non-coding DNA; black arrowheads: Conserved peaks.

(C) Schematics showing the identified *prox1a* enhancers, the snATAC signature in LEC and VEC, the conservation across Osteichthyes and the H3K4me1 and H3K27ac signature from whole embryos at 48 hpf, indicating primed and active enhancers. Orange: position of the identified enhancers. Arrowheads: H3K4me1 and H3K27ac signals in correspondence to the *prox1a* enhancers.

(D) Multiz alignment and PhyloP tracks from the UCSC Genome browser showing conservation across vertebrates of +15.2prox1a and -2.1prox1a. Conservation is shown compared to human.

(E) Masked confocal projections of the image in Fig. 1C. Arrowheads: expression in the facial LECs (60 hpf) and FCLV (5 and 7 dpf). Asterisks: Expression in facial lymphatic endothelium. (F) Confocal projections of VA-L labelled with $Tg(+15.2prox1a:EGFP;XCA:DsRed2)^{uu7kk}$ (cyan) and $Tg(prox1a:RFP)^{nim5}$ (magenta) at 54 hpf, showing no lymphatic expression.

(G) Confocal projections of the primary head sinus labelled with $Tg(+15.2prox1a_1:EGFP;XCA:DsRed2)^{uu7kk}$ at 5 dpf, showing +15.2prox1a driven venous expression (arrowhead).

(H) Confocal projections of trunk lymphatics labelled with Tg(+15.2prox1a:EGFP;XCA:DsRed2)^{uu7kk} (cyan) and $Tg(prox1a:RFP)^{nim5}$ (magenta) at 5 dpf. Arrowheads: lymphatic vessels. Asterisks: posterior cardinal vein.

(I) Embryo labelled with $Tg(+15.2prox1a:basEGFP;ACry:GFP)^{uu13kk}$ (cyan) and $Tg(prox1a:RFP)^{nim5}$ (magenta). Arrowhead: expression in the FCLV and facial lymphatics. Asterisks: expression in the skin. Arrow: expression in the pancreas. g: expression in the gut. gb: expression in the gallbladder. Scale bar: 200 µm.

(J) Conserved motif structure of the identified lymphatic +15.2prox1a enhancer. Motif sequences are listed in Table S4.

(K) Predicted conserved binding site for +15.2prox1a in vertebrates. Motif locations are listed in Table S4.

Scales bars represent 50µm unless otherwise stated.



Fig. S2. Additional -2.1prox1a enhancer characterisation

(A) Masked confocal projections of the image in Fig. 2A. Arrowheads: Expression in the developing lymphatic valve. Asterisks: Expression in the facial lymphatic endothelium.

(B) Confocal projections of facial (top) and trunk (bottom) lymphatics at 5 dpf labelled with the empty ZED vector as a control. Arrowheads: Neuronal structures.

(C) Confocal projections of facial and trunk lymphatics at 5 dpf labelled with Tg(prox1a:RFP)nim5

(magenta) and injected with *empty_MSC:basEGFP;ACry:GFP* plasmid to create a transient control.

(D) Confocal projections of the LVVs at 5 dpf labelled with Tg(-2.1prox1a:

basEGFP;ACry:GFP)^{*uu10kk*} (cyan) and *Tg*(-5.2*lyve1b:DsRed2*)^{*nz101*} (magenta). Boxes: LVVs and LV position. Arrowheads: expression in the LVV and LV.

(E) Confocal projections of the heart at 5 dpf labelled with $Tg(-2.1 prox 1a: basEGFP; ACry:GFP)^{uu10kk}$ (cyan) and $Tg(kdr-l:ras-cherry)^{s916}$ (magenta). A: atrium. V: ventricle. BA: bulbus arteriosus. Arrowheads: cardiac valves position.

(F) Conserved motif structure of the identified lymphatic -2.1prox1a enhancer. The motif sequences are listed in Table S4.

(G) Predicted conserved binding site for -2.1prox1a in vertebrates. Motif locations are listed in Table S4.

Scales bars represent 50µm.



Fig. S3. snATAC-seq validation and additional ATAC-identified enhancers characterisation (A) Clusters of endothelial cells (ECs) and endocardium at 4 dpf with umaps showing the chromatin state at known lymphatics and venous loci. High accessibility at *prox1a* and *cdh6* marks the lymphatic endothelial cell (LECs) cluster. High *kdrl* accessibility marks the venous endothelial cells (VECs) and arterial endothelial cell (AECs) cluster, and high *lyve1b* accessibility marks LECs and VECs. muLECs: Mural lymphatic endothelial cells.

(B) GO enrichment of developmentally relevant terms in differentially accessible peaks in LECs.

(C) Schematic representation of the *-2.1prox1a* enhancer indicating the overlap between the *-2.1prox1a_3* sequence from the identified peak using the ATAC-sequencing, *-2.1prox1a* identified using the conservation method (Fig. 1A) and the segmentation into *-2.1prox1a_1* and *-2.1prox1a_2* (Fig. 4B). Predicted binding for lymphatic-associated transcription factors is indicated below the enhancer fragments. Blue: Binding sites identified in zebrafish (p-val < 1e- 04). Red: Conserved binding sites within vertebrates. Yellow: Binding sites conserved in vertebrates but absent in zebrafish.

(D) Confocal projections of facial lymphatics labelled with $Tg(-2.1prox1a_3:EGFP; XCA:DsRed2)^{uom119}$ (cyan) and $Tg(prox1a:RFP)^{nim5}$ (magenta) at 5 dpf. Arrowheads: Expression in the developing lymphatic valve. Asterisks: expression in the facial lymphatic endothelium. Scale bars: 50µm.

(E) UCSC Genome browser Multiz alignment of the *-71prox1a* enhancer in zebrafish, showing conservation in Actinopterygii but not tetrapods.

(F-H) Tile-scan, face and trunk confocal projection of whole F1 embryo at 5dpf. In second and third panels, skin signal has been removed manually. Tile-scan scalebar = 200µm, zoom-in panels scalebar: 50µm.

(F) Embryo labelled with *Tg(-87prox1a:basEGFP;ACry:GFP)^{uu14kk}* (cyan) and *Tg(prox1a:RFP)^{nim5}* (magenta). Arrowhead: expression in the facial lymphatics. Asterisks: expression in the skin and connective tissue.

(G) Embryo labelled with $Tg(-71prox1a:basEGFP;ACry:GFP)^{uu15kk}$ (cyan) and $Tg(prox1a:RFP)^{nim5}$ (magenta). Arrowhead: expression in the lymphatics. Asterisks: expression in the skin. Arrows: expression in the PCV. gb: expression in the gallbladder.

(H) Embryo labelled with *Tg(-14prox1a:basEGFP;ACry:GFP)*^{*uu16kk*} (cyan) and *Tg(prox1a:RFP)*^{*nim5*} (magenta). Arrowhead: expression in the facial lymphatics. Asterisks: expression in the skin.



Fig. S4. prox1a microsynteny in Actinopterygii and predicted TFs binding to the snATAC-identified enhancers

(A) The microsynteny surrounding the Actinopterygii *prox1a* locus. Downstream of *prox1a*, *Smyd2a* is found in all analysed sequences. Upstream of *Prox1/prox1a*, *Rps6ck1* is found in *Lepisosteus oculatus* and Euteleostei, but not in Otocephala. The annotations used are listed in Table 1.

(B) Conservation analysis of the identified *prox1a* enhancers 9 Actinopterygii species. Results are shown compared to zebrafish. Blue peaks: exons; Red peaks: conserved non-coding DNA; Purple bars: snATAC-identified enhancers; Orange bar: conservation-identified enhances.

(C) Conserved motif structure and predicted conserved binding sites of the identified lymphatic -87prox1a enhancer in Actinopterygii. Motif locations are listed in Table S4 and S5.

(D) Conserved motif structure and predicted conserved binding sites of the identified lymphatic -71prox1a enhancer in Actinopterygii. Motif locations are listed in Table S4 and S5.

(E) Conserved motif structure and predicted conserved binding sites of the identified lymphatic *-14prox1a* enhancer in Actinopterygii. Motif locations are listed in Table S4 and S5.



Fig. S5. Additional characterisation of the core element of -2.1prox1a activity

(A) Confocal projections of facial lymphatics labelled with $Tg(-2.1 prox 1a_1:EGFP; XCA:DsRed2)^{uu5kk}$ (cyan) and $Tg(prox 1a:RFP)^{nim5}$ (magenta) at 54 hpf, showing no lymphatic expression.

(B) Confocal projections of VA-L labelled with *Tg(-2.1prox1a_1:EGFP;XCA:DsRed2)^{uu5kk}* (cyan) and *Tg(kdr-I:ras-cherry)*^{s916} (magenta) at 54 hpf, showing no lymphatic expression.

(C) Confocal projections of facial lymphatics labelled with $Tg(-2.1 prox1a_2:EGFP;$ XCA:DsRed2)^{uu6kk} (cyan) and $Tg(kdr-l:ras-cherry)^{s916}$ (magenta) at 54 hpf, showing -2.1 prox1a_2 driven expression in the VA-L (arrowhead).

(D) Confocal projections of the primary head sinus labelled with $Tg(-2.1 prox1a_1:EGFP; XCA:DsRed2)^{uu5kk}$ and $Tg(-2.1 prox1a_2:EGFP; XCA:DsRed2)^{uu6kk}$ at 5 dpf, showing no expression.

(E) Enhancer-driven expression in Tg(-2.1prox1a_1:EGFP;XCA:DsRed2)^{uu5kk}, Tg(-2.1prox1a_2:EGFP;XCA:DsRed2)^{uu6kk}

and $Tg(+15.2prox1a:EGFP;XCA:DsRed2)^{u^{7k}}$ in the facial lymphatics (arrowheads) at 5 dpf, excluding bleed-through as a source of the signal. Scales bars represent 50µm.



Δ-2.1prox1a vessel area at the valve

Fig. S6. Additional characterisation of \triangle -2.1prox1a mutant embryos

(A) Confocal projections of *Tg(gata-i4:GFP)*^{uu11kk} (cyan)and *Tg(-5.2lyve1b:DsRed2)*^{nz101} (magenta) in sibling and Δ -2.1prox1a mutant embryos at 3 dpf. Quantification of vessel section at the valve (arrowheads) in Δ -2.1prox1a embryos at 3 dpf. Scale bar: 20μ m. Mean \pm sd, sibling (n=14) vs mutants (n=8): two-tailed Student's t-test, ns (p=0.134). 2 technical replicates, biological replicates correspond to the number of datapoints per condition. (B) Confocal projections of Tg(fli1:nEGFP)^{v7} (cyanand Tg(-5.21yve1b:DsRed2)¹⁰¹ (magenta) in sibling and Δ -2.1prox1a mutant embryos at 7 dpf. Quantification of vessel section at the valve (arrowheads) in Δ -2.1prox1a embryos at 7 dpf. Scale bar: 50µm. Mean ± sd, sibling (n=29) vs mutants (n=29), two-tailed Mann-Whitney test, ns (p=0.424). 3 technical replicates, biological replicates correspond to the number of datapoints per condition. (C) Confocal projections of $Tg(fli1:nEGFP)^{v7}$ (cyanand $Tg(-5.2Iyve1b:DsRed2)^{101}$ (magenta) in sibling and Δ -2.1prox1a mutant embryos at 14 dpf. Quantification of vessel section at the valve (arrowheads) in Δ -2.1prox1a embryos at 14 dpf. Scale bar: 50μ m. Mean ± sd, sibling (n=13) vs mutants (n=21): two-tailed Student's t-test, ns (p=0.180). 3 technical replicates, biological replicates correspond to the number of datapoints per condition. (D) Quantification of FCLV volume in \triangle -2.1prox1a embryos at 5, 7 and 14 dpf. Mean ± sd. Left: two-tailed Student's ttest of 5 dpf siblings (n=16) vs △-2.1prox1a (n=22), ns (p=0.064). Centre: two-tailed Student's t-test of 7 dpf siblings (n=21) vs △-2.1prox1a (n=26), ns (p=0.236). Right: two-tailed Student's t-test of 14dpf siblings (n=8) vs △-2.1prox1a (n=17), ns (p=0.187). (E) Average valve phenotype in sibling (cyan) vs \triangle -2.1prox1a (magenta) embryos at 5 dpf and 7 dpf, showing similar gross vessel morphology. Scale bar: 20µm.

(F) Quantification of sibling (n=6) vs Δ -2.1prox1a mutant (n=6) valve cell nuclei roundness in TEM-imaged embryos at 7 dpf. Mean ± sd. Representative images are present in Fig. 5D. two-tailed Student's t-test, ns (p=0.286). 2 technical replicates, biological replicates correspond to the number of datapoints per condition.

(G) Scoring of valve leakage in Qtracker injected 7 dpf siblings (n=21) vs Δ -2.1prox1a (n=12) embryos. Mean ± sd. Representative images are present in Fig. 5E. Fisher's test, p=0.026. 2 technical replicates, biological replicates correspond to the number of datapoints per condition.

Table S1. mVista analysis sequences

Available for download at https://journals.biologists.com/dev/article-lookup/doi/10.1242/dev.202525#supplementary-data

Table S2. Tested prox1a putative CREs

Available for download at https://journals.biologists.com/dev/article-lookup/doi/10.1242/dev.202525#supplementary-data

Table S3. Primers and gRNAs

Available for download at https://journals.biologists.com/dev/article-lookup/doi/10.1242/dev.202525#supplementary-data

Table S4. Conserved motifs detected in evolutionary conserved enhancers

Available for download at https://journals.biologists.com/dev/article-lookup/doi/10.1242/dev.202525#supplementary-data

Table S5. Predicted TFs binding sites in prox1a enhancers

Available for download at https://journals.biologists.com/dev/article-lookup/doi/10.1242/dev.202525#supplementary-data

Table S6. Complete list motifs based on zebrafish (p-val < 1e-04)

Available for download at https://journals.biologists.com/dev/article-lookup/doi/10.1242/dev.202525#supplementary-data

Table S7. GO terms enrichment in more and less accessible sequences in LECs

Available for download at https://journals.biologists.com/dev/article-lookup/doi/10.1242/dev.202525#supplementary-data

Table S8. gRNAs used

Available for download at https://journals.biologists.com/dev/article-lookup/doi/10.1242/dev.202525#supplementary-data

Additional files are uploaded containing tables separately

Table S5A. Predicted TFs binding sites in prox1a enhancers

Available for download at https://journals.biologists.com/dev/article-lookup/doi/10.1242/dev.202525#supplementary-data

Table S6A. Complete list motifs based on zebrafish (p-val < 1e-04)

Available for download at https://journals.biologists.com/dev/article-lookup/doi/10.1242/dev.202525#supplementary-data

Table S7A. GO terms enrichment in more and less accessible sequences in LECs

Available for download at https://journals.biologists.com/dev/article-lookup/doi/10.1242/dev.202525#supplementary-data