Supplementary Material: BYPASS-CTCA

Contents

1. BYPASS-CTCA Investigators, Committees and Collaborators

2. Table S1. Grafts evaluated during ICA.

3. Table S2. Subgroup analysis for the primary endpoint of ICA procedural duration.

4. Table S3. Patient Satisfaction Scores with the ICA Procedure

5. Table S4. Subgroup analysis for the primary endpoint of patient satisfaction with the

ICA

6. Table S5: Patient Satisfaction Scores with the CTCA Procedure

7. Table S6: Subgroup analysis for the primary endpoint of CIN

8. Table S7: Percutaneous Coronary Intervention Data

1. BYPASS-CTCA Investigators, Committees and Collaborators

Investigators:

Dr Daniel Jones, Dr Anne-Marie Beirne, Dr Matthew Kelham, Dr Krishnaraj S. Rathod, Mervyn Andiapen, Lucinda Wynne, Dr Thomas Godec, Nasim Forooghi, Dr Rohini Ramaseshan, Prof James Moon, Dr Ceri Davies, Dr Christos Bourantas PhD, Prof Andreas Baumbach, Prof Charlotte Manisty, Dr Andrew Wragg, Prof Amrita Ahluwalia, Dr Francesca Pugliese, Prof Anthony Mathur

Trial Steering Committee: Prof Adam Timmis, Dr Paul Rees, Prof Riyaz Patel

Data Safety and Monitoring Board: Dr Ajay Gupta, Dr Thomas Treibel, Dr Oliver Guttmann, Dr Fizzah Choudry, Professor Rhian Gabe

Research Team:

Amy Richards, Bunny Saberwal, Zara Kavanagh, Nicola Champion, Russell Hall

CVCTU:

Marian Benford, Shahana Chowdhury, Victoria Hammond, Simon Menezes

CT team:

Emma Cheasty, Anu Balan, Leon Menezes, Emily Castle, Joanna Yap- Sanderson, Apurva Sinha, Joanne Vickers, Carmel McCarthy.

Cath Lab Team:

Steve Hamshere, Katrina Comer, Katherine Egan, Mohanakumar Sooriyamohan, Maria Tsiatsani, Rob Hall.

2. Table S1. Grafts evaluated during ICA. Data are reported as number (%)

	dated during ICA. Data are I	CTCA + ICA N=321	ICA N=342	P-value
	0	38 (11.8%)	11 (3.2%)	<0.001
	1	99 (30.8%)	66 (19.3%)	
Number of grafts evaluated at	2	94 (29.3%)	104 (30.4%)	
ICA, n (%)	3	63 (19.6%)	129 (37.7%)	
	4	24 (7.5%)	31 (9.1%)	
	5	3 (0.9%)	1 (0.3%)	
	0	129 (40.2%)	208 (60.8%)	<0.001
	1	91 (28.4%)	87 (25.4%)	
Number of grafts not evaluated,	2	71 (22.1%)	41 (12.0%)	
n (%)	3	23 (7.2%)	6 (1.8%)	
	4	6 (1.9%)	0 (0%)	
	5	1 (0.3%)	0 (0%)	
Reasons for not evaluating, n (%)	CT showed already occluded	150 (46.7%)	0	<0.001
	CT showed graft as patent	77 (24.0%)	0	
	Known Occluded	0 (0%)	58 (17.0%)	
	Assumed occluded	0 (0%)	13 (3.8%)	
	Unable to locate	1 (0.3%)	73 (21.4%)	

3. **Table S2. Subgroup analysis for the primary endpoint of ICA procedural duration.**Data reported as mean (SD) minutes and mean difference with 98.33% CI. *Adjusted for baseline creatinine & ACS

	Treatment group		Covariate adjusted*		
	ICA + CTCA N=321	ICA N=342	Difference (98.33% CI)	P-value	Interaction p-value
Non-Caucasian (N=284)	18.2 (10.4)	39.1 (16.3)	-21.0 (-25.0 to -17.0)	<0.001	0.20
Caucasian (N=401)	16.9 (10.2)	39.8 (17.4)	-22.9 (-26.3 to -19.5)	<0.001	- 0.38
No ACS (N=375)	18.6 (10.3)	39.1 (17.1)	-20.5 (-23.9 to -17.0)	<0.001	0.00
ACS (N=310)	15.9 (10.0)	40.0 (16.7)	-24.1 (-27.9 to -20.3)	<0.001	- 0.09
No previous angiogram since CABG (N=368)	17.8 (10.1)	41.3 (16.2)	-23.5 (-27.0 to -20.0)	<0.001	0.24
Previous angiogram since CABG (N=317)	17.0 (10.4)	37.8 (17.4)	-20.8 (-24.6 to -17.1)	<0.001	- 0.21
Junior operator (N=495)	18.6 (9.2)	37.1 (15.0)	-18.6 (-21.5 to -15.6)	<0.001	0.01
Senior operator (N=168)	18.6 (10.9)	44.1 (19.2)	-25.6 (-31.0 to -20.1)	<0.001	- 0.01

4. **Table S3. Patient Satisfaction Scores with the ICA Procedure.** Data are reported as number (%) aside from the mean scores which are mean (SD). For the mean score, very good = 1 and very poor = 5.

		CTCA + ICA N=321	ICA N=341	P-value	
	Very good, n (%)	234 (72.9%)	191 (56.0%)	_	
	Good, n (%)	86 (26.8%)	138 (40.5%)		
Please rate the preparation and	Moderate, n (%)	0 (0%)	10 (2.9%)	<0.001	
information prior to this test	Poor, n (%)	0 (0%)	2 (0.6%)		
	Very poor, n (%)	1 (0.3%)	0 (0%)	_	
	Mean score (SD)	1.3 (0.5)	1.5 (0.6)	<0.001	
	No concern, n (%)	142 (44.2%)	150 (44.0%)		
	Little, n (%)	105 (32.7%)	96 (28.2%)	_	
Please rate your degree of concern prior	Moderate, n (%)	53 (16.5%)	71 (20.8%)	0.58	
to this test	Intense, n (%)	17 (5.3%)	20 (5.9%)		
	Very intense, n (%)	4 (1.3%)	4 (1.2%)	_	
	Mean score (SD)	1.9 (1.0)	1.9 (1.0)	0.47	
	Very good, n (%)	101 (31.5%)	32 (9.4%)		
	Good, n (%)	174 (54.2%)	96 (28.2%)	_	
	Moderate, n (%)	42 (13.1%)	154 (45.3%)	<0.001	
Please rate the comfort of this test	Poor, n (%)	3 (0.9%)	51 (15.0%)	_	
	Very poor, n (%)	1 (0.3%)	7 (2.1%)		
	Mean score (SD)	1.8 (0.7)	2.7 (0.9)	<0.001	
	No helplessness, n (%)	157 (48.9%)	78 (22.9%)		
	Little, n (%)	131 (40.8%)	70 (20.6%)	_	
Please rate your degree of helplessness	Moderate, n (%)	26 (8.1%)	137 (40.3%)	<0.001	
during this test	Intense, n (%)	5 (1.6%)	53 (15.6%)		
	Very intense, n (%)	2 (0.6%)	2 (0.6%)	_	
	Mean score (SD)	1.6 (0.8)	2.5 (1.0)	<0.001	
Please rate your pain during and immediately after this test (0-5)	Mean score (SD)	1.5 (1.2)	2.8 (1.5)	<0.001	
	Very good, n (%)	178 (55.4%)	67 (19.7%)		
	Good, n (%)	130 (40.5%)	91 (26.7%)	_	
Please rate your overall satisfaction with	Moderate, n (%)	13 (4.1%)	124 (36.4%)	<0.001	
this test	Poor, n (%)	0 (0%)	51 (15.0%)	_	
	Very poor, n (%)	0 (0%)	8 (2.4%)		
	Mean score (SD)	1.5 (0.6)	2.5 (1.0)	<0.001	

5. **Table S4. Subgroup analysis for the primary endpoint of patient satisfaction with the ICA.** Data reported as mean (SD) and mean difference with 98.33% CI. *Adjusted for baseline creatinine & ACS

	Treatment group		Covariate adjusted*		
	ICA + CTCA N=321	ICA N=341	Difference (98.33% CI)	P-value	Interaction p-value
Non-Caucasian (N=277)	1.5 (0.6)	2.5 (1.0)	-1.0 (-1.2 to -0.7)	<0.001	0.46
Caucasian (N=385)	1.5 (0.6)	2.6 (1.1)	-1.1 (-1.3 to -0.9)	<0.001	- 0.46
No ACS (N=365)	1.5 (0.6)	2.5 (1.0)	-1.1 (-1.3 to -0.8)	<0.001	0.00
ACS (N=297)	1.5 (0.6)	2.6 (1.1)	-1.0 (-1.3 to -0.8)	<0.001	- 0.90
No previous angiogram since CABG (N=352)	1.5 (0.6)	2.5 (1.1)	-1.0 (-1.3 to -0.8)	<0.001	0.00
Previous angiogram since CABG (N=310)	1.5 (0.6)	2.5 (1.0)	-1.1 (-1.3 to -0.8)	<0.001	- 0.99
Junior operator (N=494)	1.5 (0.6)	2.4 (1.0)	-0.9 (-1.1 to -0.8)	<0.001	0.02
Senior operator (N=168)	1.5 (0.5)	2.8 (1.0)	-1.3 (-1.6 to -0.9)	<0.001	- 0.03

6. **Table S5. Patient Satisfaction Scores with the CTCA Procedure.** Data are reported as number (%) aside from the mean scores which are mean (SD). For the mean score, very good = 1 and very poor = 5.

very good – I and ve		CTCA+1CA	
		CTCA + ICA (n=329)	
	Very good, n (%)	270 (82.3%)	
	Good, n (%)	56 (17.1%)	
Please rate the preparation and information prior to this	Moderate, n (%)	1 (0.3%)	
test (1-5)	Poor, n (%)	0 (0%)	
1001 (1 0)	Very poor, n (%)	1 (0.3%)	
	Mean score (SD)	1.2 (0.4)	
	No concern, n (%)	265 (80.6%)	
	Little, n (%)	38 (11.6%)	
Please rate your degree of	Moderate, n (%)	16 (4.9%)	
concern prior to this test (1-5)	Intense, n (%)	8 (2.4%)	
	Very intense, n (%)	2 (0.6%)	
	Mean score (SD)	1.3 (0.7)	
	Very good, n (%)	209 (63.5%)	
	Good, n (%)	103 (31.3%)	
Please rate the comfort of this	Moderate, n (%)	16 (4.9%)	
test (1-5)	Poor, n (%)	0 (0%)	
	Very poor, n (%)	1 (0.3%)	
	Mean score (SD)	1.4 (0.6%)	
	No helplessness, n (%)	130 (69.9%)	
	Little, n (%)	76 (23.1%)	
Please rate your degree of	Moderate, n (%)	16 (4.9%)	
helplessness during this test (1-	Intense, n (%)	5 (1.5%)	
5)	Very intense, n (%)	2 (0.6%)	
	Mean score (SD)	1.4 (0.7)	
Please rate your pain during and immediately after this test (0-5)	Mean score (SD)	0.5 (0.8)	
	Very good, n (%)	232 (70.5%)	
	Good, n (%)	90 (27.4%)	
Please rate your overall	Moderate, n (%)	7 (2.1%)	
satisfaction with this test (1-5)	Poor, n (%)	0 (0%)	
	Very poor, n (%)	0 (0%)	
	Mean score (SD)	1.3 (0.5)	
	· ·	• •	

7. **Table S6: Subgroup analysis for the primary endpoint of CIN.** *Adjusted for baseline creatinine & ACS

	Treatment group		Covariate adjusted*			
	ICA + CTCA N=315	ICA N=319	OR (98.33% CI)	P-value	Interaction p-value	
Non-Caucasian (N=261)	2 (1.79)	36 (24.16)	0.06 (0.01 to 0.34)	<0.001	0.662	
White (N=373)	8 (3.94)	53 (31.18)	0.08 (0.03 to 0.22)	<0.001	0.662	
No ACS (N=339)	4 (2.38)	41 (23.98)	0.07 (0.02 to 0.26)	<0.001	0.040	
ACS (N=295)	6 (4.08)	48 (32.43)	0.09 (0.03 to 0.25)	<0.001	— 0.818	
No previous angiogram since CABG (N=343)	7 (3.70)	47 (30.52)	0.08 (0.03 to 0.23)	<0.001	0.026	
Previous angiogram since CABG (N=291)	3 (2.38)	42 (25.45)	0.07 (0.02 to 0.30)	<0.001	— 0.826	
Junior operator (N=454)	10 (4.07)	59 (28.37)	0.10 (0.04 to 0.24)	<0.001		
Senior operator (N=161)	0	30 (27.03)	-	<0.001	-	

8. **Table S7. Percutaneous Coronary Intervention Data.** Data are reported as number (%) aside from the mean scores which are mean (SD).

		CTCA + ICA N=139	ICA N=141	P Value
Number undergoing PCI		139 (40.4%)	141 (41.0%)	0.88
Multi-vessel Intervention		7 (5.0%)	10 (7.1%)	0.47
Total number of vessels treated		148	151	0.47
	LMS	13	12	0.81
	Cx	37	31	0.37
	Im	0	3	0.25
	LAD	20	17	0.60
Vessel Treated	RCA	34	33	0.84
	Graft			-
	LIMA	1	2	0.57
	Radial	1	1	0.99
	VG	42	52	0.24
	Rotational Atherectomy	7 (5.0%)	8 (5.7%)	0.71
Adjunctive technique	Shockwave	2 (1.4%)	1 (0.7%)	
Adjunctive teeninque	Laser	0 (0%)	1 (0.7%)	0.71
	None	130 (93.5%)	131 (92.9%)	
	0	36 (25.9%)	27 (19.2%)	
	1	68 (48.9%)	68 (48.2%)	
Number of stents	2	27 (19.4%)	36 (25.5%)	0.24
	3	6 (4.3%)	10 (7.1%)	
	4	2 (1.4%)	0 (0%)	
otal contrast (mls)		126.8 (84.0)	258.7 (76.9)	<0.001
luoroscopy Time (mins)		22.8 (13.0)	25.2 (13.8)	0.11
adiation effective dose (mSv)		6.9 (6.3)	6.2 (4.4)	0.29