Supplementary Information

for

Gene Expression Signatures in Blood from a West African Sepsis Cohort Define Host Response Phenotypes

Josh G. Chenoweth¹, Carlo Colantuoni², Deborah A. Striegel¹, Pavol Genzor¹, Joost Brandsma¹, Paul W. Blair^{1,3}, Subramaniam Krishnan¹, Elizabeth Chiyka¹, Mehran Fazli¹, Rittal Mehta¹, Michael Considine⁴, Leslie Cope⁴, Audrey C. Knight², Anissa Elayadi¹, Anne Fox⁵, Ronna Hertzano⁶, Andrew G. Letizia⁵, Alex Owusu-Ofori^{7,8}, Isaac Boakye⁹, Albert A. Aduboffour⁷, Daniel Ansong^{10,11}, Eno Biney¹², George Oduro¹², Kevin L. Schully¹³, Danielle V. Clark¹.

¹ Austere environments Consortium for Enhanced Sepsis Outcomes (ACESO), The Henry M. Jackson Foundation for the Advancement of Military Medicine, Inc., Bethesda, Maryland, USA. ²Department of Neurology, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA.

³Department of Pathology, Uniformed Services University, Bethesda, MD.

⁴Sidney Kimmel Comprehensive Cancer Center, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD.

⁵Naval Medical Research Unit EURAFCENT Ghana detachment, Accra, Ghana.

⁶Section on Omics and Translational Science of Hearing, Neurotology Branch, National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA.

⁷Laboratory Services Directorate, Komfo Anokye Teaching Hospital (KATH), Kumasi, Ghana. ⁸Department of Clinical Microbiology, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology (KNUST), Ghana.

⁹Research and Development Unit, KATH, Kumasi, Ghana.

¹⁰Child Health Directorate, KATH, Kumasi, Ghana.

¹¹Department of Child Health, KNUST, Kumasi, Ghana.

¹²Accident and Emergency Department, KATH, Kumasi, Ghana.

¹³Austere environments Consortium for Enhanced Sepsis Outcomes (ACESO), Biological Defense Research Directorate, Naval Medical Research Command-Frederick, Ft. Detrick, Maryland, USA.

These authors contributed equally: Josh G. Chenoweth and Carlo Colantuoni.

Correspondence and requests should be addressed to Josh G. Chenoweth [jchenoweth@ace-so-sepsis.org]



Supplementary Fig. 1. Public sepsis signatures and endotypes in the longitudinal Ghana sepsis cohort.

[a] PCA decomposition of the public sepsis data set (Cazalis et.al., 2014, GSE57065, PMID 26215705) which includes normal donors (Controls, grey circles), sepsis subjects with high SAPSII score (orange shapes), sepsis subjects with low SAPSII score (blue shapes). The collection time points include time 0h (circle), 24h (triangle), and 48h (cross). [b] Projection of the data set in [a] onto PC1 from the Ghanaian cohort in this study. [c] Expression of marker genes in the Ghanaian cohort (this study) corresponding to the Adaptive, Coagulopathic, and Inflammopathic sepsis subtypes identified in Sweeney et.al., (2018, PMID 295379885) study. Color fill corresponds to the expression change of sepsis versus healthy subjects, over time, for survivors and those that died by 28 days. The Sweeney et.al., genes that had the same directionality of expression change as this study are highlighted with thick circle borders. [d] The correlation comparing gene markers of MARS1 through 4 sepsis endotypes (138 of 140 genes used) described in Scicluna et.al., (2017, PMID 28864056) with genes in this study. The gene expression change (sepsis versus healthy) for survivors and those who died by 28 days over time was calculated, and Log2 Fold Changes were compared using Pearson correlation. [e] Every subject of this study was evaluated using the SepstratifieR machine learning algorithm (Cano-Gamez et.al., 2022, PMID 36322631). The probability of membership in one of the sepsis response groups (SRS1, SRS2, SRS3 - healthy) for each subject and each timepoint was plotted as a heatmap. The probability of survivors being in the SRS3 group increased over time, however, most of those who died by 28 days remained in either the SRS1 or SRS2 groups. Exact sample numbers (n) for figure panels are described in Supplementary Data 2. The boxplots in [b] describe the median (middle horizontal line), 1st and 3rd guartiles (bottom and top of box respectively), and data minimum and maximum (vertical whiskers).



Supplementary Fig. 2. Deconvolution of bulk RNA-Seq data using CIBERSORT.

[a] Heatmap of average sample cell type estimates from CIBERSORT using the classifier from Vallania et.al., (2018, PMID 30413720). The columns and rows were clustered using unsupervised hierarchical clustering with a distance equal to 1 – Pearson correlation. **[b]** Same as in [a] but using classifier from Newman et.al., (2015, PMID 25822800) **[c]** Pearson correlation of sample PC1 scores with the cell type classifiers used in CIBERSORT deconvolution [a-b].Sample numbers (n) and statistical values for figure panels are described in Supplementary Data 2

	Ghana RNA-Seq THIS STUDY	Cazalis et.al., 2014 Sepsis & Shock GSE57065		Ghana RNA-Seq THIS STUDY	Cazalis et.al., 2014 Sepsis & Shock GSE57065
	Time H & y & k & k & k & k & k & k & k & k & k	C 525 52		- Г С С С Р Н 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	SAPSII: <u>low</u> <u>high</u> c 등창왕 등창왕
P1	beathy survived: died	0.2 Control (C)	P16		9.0 8.0 Control (C)
P2			P17	╵ ╸╡╡╪╡╪╪╪╪╪╪┊╡┊╸╸	
P3			P18		
P4			P19) 	
P5			P20		
P6			P21		
P7			P22	╻ ╻ ╻ ┙ ╛ <u>╪</u> ┿╪┿╪╪┿	
P8			P23		
P9	╏ ╻╷╷╷╷╷╷╷╷╷╷╷╷ ╻╷╡╇╋╋╋╋╋╋╋╋╇╸╿┥╡		P24		
P10			P25		
P11			P26		
P12			P27		
P13			P28		
P14			P29		
P15			P30		

Supplementary Fig. 3. Individual CoGAPS gene expression patterns through time and public data projection.

The CoGAPS pattern values (left columns) were plotted as boxplots divided into groups as healthy (gold), surviving (tan), and subjects who died by 28 days (red) over time (0, 6, 24, 48, 72, 28 days, 6 and 12 months). The Cazalis et.al., (2014, GSE57065, PMID 26215705, right columns) data was projected onto CoGAPS pattern data. Normal donors (Controls, grey), sepsis subjects with high SAPSII scores (orange), and sepsis subjects with low SAPSII scores (blue). Sample numbers (n) and statistical values for figure panels are described in Supplementary Data 2. All boxplots describe the median (middle horizontal line), 1st and 3rd quartiles (bottom and top of box respectively), and data minimum and maximum (vertical whiskers).



Supplementary Fig. 4. CoGAPS patterns confer risk or protection for sepsis 28-day mortality.

[a] Random forest model using 70/30 split for the training and testing sets, as well as stratified k-fold cross-validation with 10 folds and 10 repeats, implemented across all patterns. Variable importance was measured in the training set via Gini importance. Error bar corresponds to one standard deviation (S.D.) **[b]** Table showing results of analysis for pattern protection or risk using odds ratios method. Shown are 95% confidence intervals generated using logistic regression on the most important patterns. **[c]** Performance of the most important CoGAPS patterns in the testing set was measured via the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC). Area under the curve (AUC) with one standard deviation (S.D.) is shown. **[d]** Comparison of performance of four most important patterns via AUROC analysis using three different modeling methods (SVM: support vector machine, LR: logistic regression; RF: random forest). Random forest achieives best performance (0.887 +/- 0.087) in this comparison. Sample numbers (n), statistical values, and source data for figure panels are described in Supplementary Data 2.



Supplementary Fig. 5. CoGAPS pattern 4 is depleted in myeloid cells of severely ill COVID-19 subjects.

[a] Projection of single-cell RNA-Seq data describing immune cells in COVID-19 (Wilk et.al., 2020, PMID 32514174) onto pattern 4. UMAP projections are colored by the magnitude of the CoGAPS pattern values in individual cells. The color gradient bar shows the range of projected values, percentile pins (%-tile) show selected percentile cutoffs, and the histogram depicts the distribution of all pattern values. The primary enriched cell types for pattern 4 are dendritic cells and CD14+ Monocytes (arrows and labels). [b] Projection of COVID-19 severity data from Wilk et.al., 2020 onto pattern 4. The colors indicate the cells from either healthy (grey), hospitalized (orange), or ICU (dark red) patients. [c] Boxplot of Wilk et.al., data (2020) showing the number of cells of each cell type in pattern 4. Color fill marks the normal donors (grey), hospitalized patients (orange), and ICU patients (dark red). Red number labels indicate cases with less than 20 cells. Sample numbers (n) and statistical values for figure panels are described in Supplementary Data 2. All boxplots describe the median (middle horizontal line), 1st and 3rd quartiles (bottom and top of box respectively), and data minimum and maximum (vertical whiskers).



Subject status: Control Sepsis ICU ICU-SEP UTI URO Int URO Sepsis

Supplementary Fig. 6. Patterns 11 and 14 show monocyte immunophenotypes.

[a] Boxplot of CoGAPS pattern 11 which is depleted in healthy donors (gold fill) as compared to survivors (tan), and those who died by 28 days (red). The middle panel shows a projection of scRNA-Seq sepsis data published by Reyes et.al., 2020 (PMID 32066974) onto pattern 11. The color gradient bar shows the range of projected values, percentile pins (%-tile) show selected percentile cutoffs, and the histogram depicts the distribution of all pattern values. A subset of monocytes is highlighted with an arrow and label (M). The boxplots on the bottom show projection of different monocyte populations in Reves et.al., grouped by subject status into Controls (gold), Sepsis=hospitalized bacteremia (light purple), ICU=Intensive care (light tan), ICU-Sepsis=intensive care plus sepsis (dark purple), UTI=Urinary tract infection in the emergency department (light brown), Int-Uro=UTI with mild or transient organ dysfunction (brown), Uro-sepsis=UTI with clear or persistent organ dysfunction (dark brown) onto pattern 11. The most prominent group of monocytes is MS3. [b] Same analysis as in [a] but for pattern 14. The predominant type of monocytes is MS1. Sample numbers (n) and statistical values for figure panels are described in Supplementary Data 2. All boxplots describe the median (middle horizontal line), 1st and 3rd quartiles (bottom and top of box respectively), and data minimum and maximum (vertical whiskers).



Supplementary Fig. 7. Neutrophil subtypes in CoGAPS patterns

[a] Heatmaps showing the ranks of the top neutrophil subtype genes from Kwok et.al 2023 (PMID 37095375) in each pattern. The top 20 genes enriched in sepsis in Kwok et.al., were used to identify the neutrophil subtypes except for mature neutrophils where only 17 genes matched. The top 5 patterns where neutrophil subtype genes are highly ranked are P14, P13, P11, P23, and P15 (as indicated by the intensity of the fill). **[b]** Expression changes of genes linked to different neutrophil populations were shown to be enriched in sepsis by Kwok et.al., 2023 in Ghana sepsis cohort (this study). Color fill corresponds to log2 fold changes of sepsis versus healthy subjects for survivors and those who died at 28 days. Significant adjusted p-values (two-sided Student's t-test, p-adjusted <0.05) are indicated by an asterisk (*). Sample numbers (n) and statistical values for figure panels are described in Supplementary Data 2. All boxplots describe the median (middle horizontal line), 1st and 3rd quartiles (bottom and top of box respectively), and data minimum and maximum (vertical whiskers).