Supplementary Information

Substrate Scope Expansion of 4-Phenol Oxidases by Rational Enzyme Selection and Sequence-Function Relations

Daniel Eggerichs, Nils Weindorf, Heiner G. Weddeling, Inja M. Van der Linden and Dirk Tischler^{*}

Content

Supplementary Note 1: Amino acid cluster analysis	3
Description of the Amino Acid Cluster Analysis (A ² CA) tool	3
User guide	4
Active side comparison of 4-phenol oxidoreductases	8
Supplementary Methods 1: Heterologous production of wildtype 4-phenol oxidases	12
Protein yields	13
SDS-PAGE analysis and absorption spectra	14
Supplementary Methods 2: Sequence analysis of 4-phenol oxidases	18
Codon optimized sequences	18
Multiple Sequence alignment	21
Supplementary Methods 3: Initial enzyme characterization	23
Thermal stability and solvent tolerance	23
Initial rates for substrate conversion	25
Substrate overview and products detected by GC-MS	27
Supplementary Methods 4: Substrate binding in 4-phenol oxidases	31
Substrate binding in <i>Ao</i> EUGO	32
Substrate binding in <i>Asp</i> EUGO	33
Supplementary Methods 5: Buffer optimization	34
Comparison of AspEUGO and GbEUGO	34
Supplementary Methods 6: Michaelis-Menten kinetics	37
Michaelis-Menten kinetics of AspEUGO and GbEUGO	38
Supplementary Methods 7: Correlation of first shell residues of the catalytic center with	
substrate selectivity	40
Correlation of residue type in <i>ortho</i> position	41
Correlation of residue type in <i>para</i> position	42
Supplementary Note 2: Oxidase screening	44
Background	44
Overview	44
Evaluation	45

Supplementary Methods 8: Creation and characterization of enzyme variants	47
List of mutagenesis primers	48
List of created variants	49
Activity of purified enzyme variants	50
Crude extract assay for ScEUGO A166X and V427X libraries for target (i)	51
Michaelis-Menten kinetics of <i>Gc</i> 4EPO wildtype and V166D variant	52
Structural analysis of Gc4EPO V166D	54
Michaelis-Menten kinetic and structural analysis of ScEUGO Q425E	55
Crude extract assay for ScEUGO L381X and V427X libraries for target (iii)	56
Michaelis-Menten kinetic and structural analysis of ScEUGO V427I L282M	57
Structural analysis of <i>Sc</i> EUGO V427I	59
Supplementary References	60

Supplementary Note 1: Amino acid cluster analysis

Description of the Amino Acid Cluster Analysis (A²CA) tool

The tool is written in the programming language R and R studio (Vers. 2023.03.0+386, "Cherry Blossom") was used as editor. The script was created under R version 4.2.0 ("Vigorous Calisthenics"). For higher versions, compatibility issues are reported. The tool can be downloaded from: www.doi.org/10.57760/sciencedb.09549

A²CA visualizes selected residues from a multiple sequence alignment (MSA) in a phylogenetic context. Therefore, the input of a MSA and a corresponding phylogenetic tree is required. Further, characteristics of selected amino acids can be computed and included in the visualization. In the following, the computing logic of the program will be outlined. For a user guide, see the next section.

After successful upload of the input files, the user can select a reference sequence. Upon selection, the respective amino acid sequence is loaded from the alignment file into the residue selection menu. While extracting the residues, the temporary file Target AA is written which contains the respective residue and the alignment position including gaps. After the user selects a residue from the list, the alignment position is used to extract residues for all sequences into the file Selection Matrix. This file contains, the identifier of each sequence in the first column and residues at selected positions in the following columns represented in single letter code. Based on the user selection for amino acid characteristics, the single letter code is subsequently replaced by the respective value in the file Parameter Matrix. The matrix has the same dimension as the Selection Matrix, but the numerical values allow for calculations. The user can choose between the calculation of the sum or the average of the values for selected amino acid residues. As each row of the Parameter Matrix contains the values for an individual sequence, the row values are calculated and saved in the RowValue Matrix. The calculated values from this matrix are added as last row to the Selection Matrix which results in the final table which is displayed in the software (Displayed Table).

For visualization, the phylogenetic tree from the input file is used as first layer in a facet-plot. Successively, additional layers are added during the calculation process. The selected amino acids are represented as a heat map next to the tree tips of the phylogenetic tree. The data for the heat map is taken from the selection matrix. Thus, the heatmap represents the single letter code of each residue which is colored accordingly. As the tree and the Selection_Matrix use the same identifiers, no additional sorting of the matrix is required. For more detailed analysis, a bar chart can be added as additional layer to the facet-plot. As data for this plot, the RowValue_Matrix is used. The resulting facet-pot is displayed in the software as output and can be saved in the .png, .pdf or .wmf format.



User guide

After double clicking the "run.vbs" file, the software opens in the default web browser. The version number is displayed, and the software can be entered by clicking "continue".

|--|

Amino Acid Cluster Analysis (Version 1.1.1)

Welcome to version 1.1.1 of A2CA! To further improve the software, please suggest improvents or report bugs. Contact: Prof. D. Tischler: dirk.tischler@rub.de D. Eggerichs: daniel.eggerichs@rub.de Thank you for the interest in the software and good luck with your research. CONTINUE A multiple sequence alignment (MSA) and a phylogenetic tree are required as input. Both can be uploaded in the respective sections (red box). The MSA is displayed in the "Alignment" tab (blue arrow), while the phylogenetic tree is displayed in the "Tree" tab (green arrow).

Amino Acid Cluster Analysis Version	n Analysis Customization References	
Select an alignment file BROWSE Alignment.fasta Upload complete	Tree Table Alignment Jownload plot as Image: Second plot as Image: Imag	
Select a tree file	Audit: WP_8601 selected	
BROWSE Tree.nwk		
Upload complete Select reference sequence WP_8601 Select amino acids		AXA48910 Q824B8 Q32038 AX_5838 B0RLH7 H7C857 EO_1072 Q99750
Use amino acid properties default custom Choose calculation mode average sum Choose value representation absolute relative to reference Visualize		NP_3535 AXA4148 SDR197 WP_0915 EO_3853 WP_8801 WP_5852 EO_7230 XP_9050 WP_0109 AZ5492
 yes no Select parameter ▼ 		

Now, a reference sequence can be selected (orange box), which enables the further selection of amino acid residues from this sequence (yellow box). Upon residue selection, the figure will be updated to show the color-coded residue for every position in the phylogenetic tree.

Amino Acid Cluster Analysis Version	Analysis Customization References
Select an alignment file BROWSE Alignment.fasta Upload complete Select a tree file	Tree Table Alignment Image: Download plot as Download plot as Image: Open Opdf Owmf WP_8601 selected
BROWSE Tree.nwk Upload complete Select reference sequence WP_8601 Select amino acids L150 Use amino acid properties	AXA48910 Q824B8 Q32036 AX_5836 BORLH7 H7C857 EO_1072 Legend Q99760 S NP_3335 T AXA4146 V SDR197 L
 default () custom Choose calculation mode average () sum Choose value representation absolute relative to reference Visualize yes () no Select parameter Hydrophobicity () 	WP_0915 E0_3833 WP_8801 WP_5852 E0_7230 XP_9050 WP_0109 AZ5492

The respective parameters of the amino acid selection can be visualized as a bar chart (red arrow). The calculation parameters can be selected from a default list (green box). Alternatively, own parameters can be uploaded in the "Customization" section. The calculation mode can be chanced according to the requirements (blue box). The plot can be saved as a graphic by clicking the download button. The raw data can be accessed in the "Table" tab and downloaded accordingly.

Amino Acid Cluster Analysis V	ersion Analysis Customization References	
Select an alignment file	Tree Table Alignment	
Upload complete	Download plot as png O pdf O wmf	
Select a tree file	Audit: WP_8601 selected	
BROWSE Tree.nwk		
Select reference sequence WP_8601 Select amino acids L150 Use amino acid properties default	Tree Hydrophobicity AXA485 Q32036 AX_583 B0RLH H7085 E0_107 Q9750 NP_303 AXA414 SDR19 VP_98 E0_723 VP_90 E0_723 VP_305	10 5 7 7 2 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15



Active side comparison of 4-phenol oxidoreductases

Figure S1. Comparison of the sum of the residue size for each sequence in the family of 4-phenol oxidoreductases. Based on the sequence of *Rj*EUGO, positions 392 (H-cluster), 166 and 427 (T-cluster) were selected for the comparison as both clusters interact with the *ortho* substituent of the substrate molecule. Two enzymes from *Streptomyces cavernae* (WP_1283780151) and *Geodermatophilus sabuli* (WP_097207849) of the subfamily of bacterial 4-phenol oxidases (light red) were selected for further studies as they contain a comparably wide catalytic pocket. Also, the enzyme from *Gulosibacter chungangensis* (WP_158051316) was chosen due to a comparably narrow catalytic pocket (light green). The data for the figure was calculated by and exported from A²CA. Primary data is provided in Supplementary Data 1.



Figure S2. Comparison of the hydrophobicity of residues in position 392 (H-cluster) (*Rj*EUGO numbering) for each sequence in the family of 4-phenol oxidoreductases. Two enzymes from *Arthrobacter* sp. (WP_0712138341) and *Allonocardiopsis opalescens* (WP_1062434191) were identified with a more polar residue in this position and were selected for further characterization (light red). Also, the enzyme from *Gulosibacter chungangensis* (WP_158051316) was chosen due to a comparably hydrophobic residue in this position (light green). The data for the figure was calculated by and exported from A²CA. Primary data is provided in Supplementary Data 1.



Figure S3. Comparison of the average hydrophobicity of residues in the W-cluster for each sequence in the family of 4-phenol oxidoreductases. Two enzymes from *Gulosibacter chungangensis* (WP_158051316) and *Allonocardiopsis opalescens* (WP_1062434191) were identified with a less polar cluster and were selected for further characterization (light red). The enzyme from *Actinoplanes* sp. N902-109 (WP_015625223) contained the comparably most hydrophobic W-cluster but was not included in this study (light yellow). The data for the figure was calculated by and exported from A²CA. Primary data is provided in Supplementary Data 1.



Figure S4. Comparison of the residue size and the average hydrophobicity of residues in the A-cluster for each sequence in the family of 4-phenol oxidoreductases. For many subclades, the residue size is quite similar. The third major clade sticks out due to a gap in the alignment. More subclade specific differences are observed with regard to hydrophobicity. The data for the figure was calculated by and exported from A²CA. Primary data is provided in Supplementary Data 1.

Supplementary Methods 1: Heterologous production of wildtype 4-phenol oxidases

For heterologous protein production, codon-optimized sequences were synthesized and cloned in pET16bp vectors. Most proteins could be produced in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) with yields ranging between 20 and 160 mg L⁻¹ (Table S1). The expression of *Gs*EUGO was only possible by co-expression of the chaperone vector pKE7. The pBADNk vector encoding for *Rj*EUGO was kindly provided by Prof. M. Fraaije (Groningen, The Netherlands).

Gibson-assembly

Codon-optimized genes were synthesized by Twist Bioscience and resulting gene fragments were cloned into pET16bp vectors by means of Gibson-assembly.¹ All PCRs were performed using the PrimeSTAR Max DNA-polymerase (Takara) with 25 μ L of total reaction volume, 100 ng of template DNA, and 10 ng of the respective primers. Treatment with DpnI (10 U) for 1.5 hours at 37°C removed template DNA. Successful amplification was verified by agarose gel electrophoresis using a 1 % agarose gel containing in-gel dye, SERVA HiSens Stain G. For Gibson-assembly, 3.75 μ L of Gibson master mix (NEB) were mixed with 0.5 μ L of plasmid backbone and 1 μ L of the gene of interest, as well as 2.25 μ L of water. After one hour incubation at 50°C, a heat shock transformation in *E. coli* DH5 α was performed. For validation, plasmids were obtained from transformants and controlled by sequencing before transformation in the respective expression strain (Table S1) was conducted.

Heat shock transformation

100 ng of plasmid were mixed into the 50 μ L aliquots of chemically competent *E. coli* cells which were incubated on ice for 20 minutes. The heat shock was performed at 42°C for 45 seconds followed by another 2 minutes incubation on ice. Afterwards, 950 μ L of LB-medium (10 g L⁻¹ tryptone, 5 g L⁻¹ yeast extract, 10 g L⁻¹ sodium chloride) were added and incubation for 90 min at 37°C was performed for recovery. The cells were harvested by centrifugation (5 min at 5,500 x g) and ~900 μ L of the supernatant were discarded. The cell pellet was resuspended in the remaining 100 μ L and subsequently streaked out onto a LB-agar plate, containing the respective antibiotics (100 mg L⁻¹ ampicillin for pET16bp and pBADNk, and additional 50 mg L⁻¹ chloramphenicol for pKJE7). The plates were incubated at 37°C overnight and transformants were observed the next day.

Main culture

A 50 to 100 mL preculture in LB-medium (10 g L⁻¹ tryptone, 5 g L⁻¹ yeast extract, 10 g L⁻¹ sodium chloride) containing the respective antibiotics (100 mg L⁻¹ ampicillin for pET16bp and pBADNk, and additional 50 mg L⁻¹ chloramphenicol for pKJE7) was inoculated with the respective expression strain and was grown overnight at 37°C. The preculture was used to inoculate TB auto-induction medium (12 g L⁻¹ peptone, 24 g L⁻¹ yeast extract. 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer pH 7.0, 0.5 g L⁻¹ glucose, 2.0 g L⁻¹ lactose, 5.0 mL L⁻¹ glycerol) to a starting OD₆₀₀ of 0.05. Either 1 L medium in a 2.8 L Fernbach flask or 4.5 L medium in a 5 L fermenter were used. After inoculation, the cultures were shaken (Fernbach flask, 130 rpm) or stirred (fermenter, 300 rpm, 2 L min⁻¹ air supply) for 4 hours at 37°C, reaching an OD₆₀₀ of about 1.0. Then, the temperature was reduced to 25°C and cultures were incubated overnight. Final OD₆₀₀ ranged from 5 to 8 in Fernbach flasks and reached 8 to 10 in the fermenter.

Cell harvest and protein purification

The main cultures were harvested by centrifugation (20 min at 5,000 x g and 4°C) and the cell pellet was washed in 30 mL 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer pH 7.0 per liter of culture medium. The resuspension was transferred into 50 mL tubes and centrifuged again. The resulting cell pellets were either directly used for cell lysis or stored at -20°C until further use.

For lysis, cell pellets were resuspended in 30 mL of buffer A (10 mM Tris pH 7.5, 500 mM sodium chloride), before treated with 15 cycles by sonication (Bandelin sonoplus). Cell debris were removed by centrifugation (1.5 h at 20,000 x g and 4°C) and the supernatant was filtered through a 0.2 µm pore before being used for protein affinity chromatography, which was performed with an Äkta Start FPLC system (GE Healthcare) equipped with a 5 mL Ni-NTA-HisTrap column. Elution was performed stepwise with 20% and 100% buffer B (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 500 mM imidazole) in buffer A. During the whole process, the absorbance at 280 nm was monitored to collect protein containing fractions. Further, SDS samples were collected (Figures S5a to S12a). Yellow fractions were pooled, and the buffer was exchanged overnight at 8°C to 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer pH 7.5 by means of dialysis. Dialyzed proteins were transferred into 1.5 mL tubes and a final glycerol concentration of 60% was added for storage at -20°C. SDS samples were collected during the purification.

Determination of protein concentration

To determine the concentration of cofactor loaded protein, the absorption of the histidinylbound FAD-cofactor was measured at 441 nm ($\varepsilon = 14,200 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$, Figures S5b to S12b).² The absorption was transformed into cofactor concentration using the Lambert-Beers law.

Donor organism	Accession number	Designation (this study)	Vector backbone	Host organism	Yield [mg L ⁻¹]	Source
Streptomyces cavernae	WP_128378015	ScEUGO	pET16bp	<i>E. coli</i> BL21 (DE3)	28	This study
Geodermatophilus sabuli DSM 46844	WP_097207849	GsEUGO	pET16bp	<i>E. coli</i> NiCo21 (DE3) + pKE7	2.4	This study
<i>Rhodococcus jostii</i> RHA1	Q0SBK1	<i>Rj</i> EUGO	pBADNk	<i>E. coli</i> Top10	94	Jin et al. ²
<i>Nocardioides</i> sp. YR527	WP_091045259	<i>N</i> spEUGO	pET16bp	E. coli BL21 (DE3)	172	Eggerichs et al. ³
<i>Arthrobacter</i> sp. UCD-GKA	WP_071213834	AspEUGO	pET16bp	E. coli BL21 (DE3)	106	This study
<i>Geodermatophilaceae</i> bacterium URHA0031	WP_026846239	<i>Gb</i> EUGO	pET16bp	E. coli BL21 (DE3)	52	This study
Allonocardiopsis opalescens DSM 45601	WP_106243419	<i>Ao</i> EUGO	pET16bp	E. coli BL21 (DE3)	25	This study
Gulosibacter chungangensis KCTC 13959	WP_158051316	Gc4EPO	pET16bp	E. coli BL21 (DE3)	24	Alvigini et al. ⁴

Protein vields

T-bla C4 Overview about SDS-PAGE analysis and absorption spectra



Figure S5. a SDS PAGE from the expression of the 4-phenol oxidase from *Streptomyces cavernae* (*Sc*EUGO). Al: after induction, P: pellet, CE: crude extract, FT: flow through, W: wash, E: elution, M: Marker (PAGE-Ruler Plus, Thermo Scientific) **b** UV/vis spectrum of the elution fraction after dialysis.



Figure S6. a SDS PAGE from the expression of the 4-phenol oxidase from *Geodermatophilus sabuli* DSM 46844 (GsEUGO). Al: after induction, P: pellet, CE: crude extract, FT: flow through, W: wash, E: elution, M: Marker (Prestained Protein Ladder (10-180 kDa), Cohesion Biosciences) **b** UV/vis spectrum of the elution fraction after dialysis.



Figure S7. a SDS PAGE from the expression of the 4-phenol oxidase from *Rhodococcus jostii* RHA1 (*Rj*EUGO). Al: after induction, P: pellet, CE: crude extract, FT: flow through, W: wash, E: elution, M: Marker (Prestained Protein Ladder (10-180 kDa), Cohesion Biosciences) **b** UV/vis spectrum of the elution fraction after dialysis.



Figure S8. a SDS PAGE from the expression of the 4-phenol oxidase from *Nocardioides* sp. YR527 (*N*spEUGO). Al: after induction, P: pellet, CE: crude extract, FT: flow through, W: wash, E: elution, M: Marker (Prestained Protein Ladder (10-180 kDa), Cohesion Biosciences) **b** UV/vis spectrum of the elution fraction after dialysis.



Figure S9. a SDS PAGE from the expression of the 4-phenol oxidase from *Arthrobacter* sp. UCD-GKA (AspEUGO). Al: after induction, P: pellet, CE: crude extract, FT: flow through, W: wash, E: elution, M: Marker (Prestained Protein Ladder (10-180 kDa), Cohesion Biosciences) **b** UV/vis spectrum of the elution fraction after dialysis.



Figure S10. a SDS PAGE from the expression of the 4-phenol oxidase from *Geodermatophilaceae* bacterium URHA0031 (*Gb*EUGO). Al: after induction, P: pellet, CE: crude extract, FT: flow through, W: wash, E: elution, M: Marker (Prestained Protein Ladder (10-180 kDa), Cohesion Biosciences) **b** UV/vis spectrum of the elution fraction after dialysis.



Figure S11. a SDS PAGE from the expression of the 4-phenol oxidase from *Allonocardiopsis opalescens* DSM 45601 (*Ao*EUGO). Al: after induction, P: pellet, CE: crude extract, FT: flow through, W: wash, E: elution, M: Marker (PAGE-Ruler Plus, Thermo Scientific) **b** UV/vis spectrum of the elution fraction after dialysis.



Figure S12. a SDS PAGE from the expression of the 4-phenol oxidase from *Gulosibacter chungangensis* KCTC 13959 (*Gc*4EPO). Al: after induction, P: pellet, CE: crude extract, FT: flow through, W: wash, E: elution, M: Marker (Prestained Protein Ladder (10-180 kDa), Cohesion Biosciences) **b** UV/vis spectrum of the elution fraction after dialysis.

Supplementary Methods 2: Sequence analysis of 4-phenol oxidases

Codon optimized sequences

> WP_128378015 [Streptomyces cavernae]

ATG ACG CGC ACA CTG CCG CCT GGC GTG AGT GAT GAG GAC TTC ACC AGC GCG CTG ACC GCA TTT CGC GAT GTT CGC ACG GAT GAG GCT GTT GTG GGT GAC GAG TTT GAA CTG GCC CGC TTTCAC GAT CCG TAC CCG GTT GGA GAT GCT GAT GCT CAT TTA GCC TCT GCG GTG ATT AGC CCT CGC GAC ACG GAA CAA GTA CAG GAA GTC GTG CGC ATT GCA AAC CGC TAT GGC ATT CCG CTT TCG GTG ATT TCA ACT GGC CGG AAT AAT GGC ТАТ GGC GGT AGT GCG CCG CGT TTA AGC GGC GCG GTT GTT GTG AAT ACG GGC GAA CGC ATG AAC CGC ATT CTG GAA TTG GTG GAT GAG AAA CTG GGA TAC GCG CTG GAA CCT GGC GTG ACA TAC TTC GAT CTG CAC GAA TAC CTT TCG GAT CTG GAA GCC CAT GCA CCG TTA ATG ATT GAC TGC CCG GGT TGG GGT TCG GTG GTT GGG AAC GCG GCA GGC TAT ACC CCG CAC TTC ATG TTA GAT CGT GGG TAT GGG GAT TGG CAA ACT GGT ATG GAA GTA GTC CTT CCA CAG GGT GAT GTT ATG CGT ACT GGC ATG GGC GCC TTA CCG GGT AGC ACG ACA TGG CAG CTC ATT CCG TAT GGT TTT GGA CCA TAC CCA GAC GGC ATG TTC ACC CAG TCC AAC CTG GGT ATT GTC ACG AAA ATG GGC ATT GCA CTC ATG CAG AAA CCG CCA GCG TCC ATG ACC TAT CAG ATC ACG TTT GAG AAC GAA AGC GAT CTG GAG CAG ATC GTC GAC ATC ATG CTG CCA CTG CGT ATC AAT ATG GCT CCG CTG CAG AAT GTA CCG GTT CTG CGC AAC ATC ATC CTC GAT GCC GCC GTA GTG TCT CAA CGG GCC GAT TGG TAC GAT GGG GAT GGG CCT GCG ATC GAA CGC ATG AAG AAA GAG CTG GGC TTG GGT TAC TGG CTG CCG CCC GAA AAT TTC TAC GGC ACC CTG TAT GGC CCA CCG CAA CTC ATC GAA ATG AAC TAC GGC ATT ATT AAG GAC GCC TTT GGC CAG ATT CCT GAT CGT GGA GCA TTG CAA GGT TCA CGC TTT CAG ACC CAT GAG GAA CGT CAC CAT GTC GAT CGC CAC AAA ATC AAC AAT GGT ATC CCC TCC CTG TCT GAG ATG AAA CTT ATG GAC TGG ATT CCC GGT GCA GGA CAT GTC CCG ATC AGT CCG CCG GTA GGT CGT GAC GCT ATG AAA CAG TTC CGC ATG GGT TTT AGC GTG CGT TCA CGT GCG GAC GAA TAT GCG AAG GAC TAT GCA GCG CAG TTT GTG GTC GGG TTA CGG GAA ATG CAC CAT ATT GCG CTG CTT CTG GAC GCG ACA GCA CGT AAT GAA ACC TTG GCC TTG ACT CGT ጥጥጥ GAT ACC CAA CTG CTG ATT GCC GAA GGG TAT GGC GAA TAT CGT ACC CAT AAT GCC CTG ATG GAT CAA GTT ATG GGC GAT GAA GCT GCT ACC TAT AAC TGG GGC GAT GGC GCG CTG CTG AAA TTC CAT GAA GCG ATC AAA GAC GCC CTC GAC CCC AAC GGT ATT ATT GCG CCT GGT AAA TCG GGT GTG TGG CCA GCA CGC TAT CGC GGG AAA GGA TTG GCG TAG

>WP_097207849 [Geodermatophilus sabuli DSM 46844]

ATG GCA CGC TTG CTT CCA CCA GGT CTG TCT GAG TCG GAT TTT GAT GCC GCC ATT GCG CGT TTC CGC GAT GTA GTA GGC GAC AAA TAC GTC GTA ACA GAG GAT GGG GAT TTA GCG CGT TAT CGT CCG GTT GAC CCG TAT GGG TCT GAG CCG GCC ACT GGT GCT TCA GCT GCG ATT AGT CCT GAA AGC ACT GAA CAG GTT CAG GAA ATC GTT CGT ATT GCG AAC GAA TAT GGT GTC CCG TTG TCG CCG ATT AGT ACC GGA CGC AAC AAC GGC TAT GGA GGC GGG CAA CCT CGC CTT TCA GGC GCA GTC GTG GTG AAT ACC GGA GAA CGG ATG AAT CGT ATC ATC GAG TAC GGT TAT GCC CTG CTG GAA CCA GGC GTG TCC TAT TTC GAT CTG TAC GAG TAC CTC GTC AAC GAG AAG GAA GCC AAT GCT CCG TCC ТТG ATG TTA GAC TGC CCA GAT CTG GGT TGG GGT TCA GTG GTC GGG AAC ACC CTT GAT CGC GGA GTG GGT TAT ACG CCT TAT GGT GAC CAT CTG ATG TGG CAG ACT GGC CTG GTG GAA GTA CTG CCT ACA GGG GAA GTG ATG CGC ACA GGC ATG GGT GCG GTA CCA GGC TCT ACT ACA TGG CAG TTG TTC CCG TTT CCG GAT GGC CTC TTC ACC CAG AGT AAT CTG ATT GTT CAG TAC GGT TTT GGA GGA ACG AAA ATG CAA CTC AGC ACC TTC CTC ATC TTC CGC GAA GAC GGC ATT ATG CAG CGT CCG CCG AGC ACG ACG GAT GAG CTG GCG CAA GTC GTT GAC ATC ATG TTT CCC TTG CGC GTG AAT ATG GCC CCG CTG CAG AAT GTC CCC GTA CTG GGT TCC AAA CGG CTG CGT AAC ATT GTG GAT GCG GTT GTG ACC GAA TGG CAT GAT GGG GAT GGT CCA CTT CCC GCA GAA GCA ATT GAG CGC ATG AAA TCG GAA CTG GGC TTA GGC TAC TGG AAC CTG TAT GGC ACG GTG TAT GGC CCT CCG CCT GTC GTC GAA CAA TAT CTG GGC ATG ATC CGC GAT GCC TTT CTG CAA GTT CCG GGC TCG CGC TTT AGC ACC CAT CAT GAT CGC GAT GAA GCG ACC GAT CGT GGC GCT CAC GTG CTT CAT GAC CGT CAT CGC ATC AAC AAC GGT ATT CCG AGT CTG GAC GAA ATG AAG CTG CTG GAA TTT GTT CCG GGT AAT GGC CAC ATT GGT TTTAGC CCC ATC AGC GCC CCA GAT GGG GCG GAC GCC TTA CGC CAG GCT CAA ATG GTG GCG GCG GCA CAG CGT CAG CGT GAT GAA TAC CGC CAG GAT TAC TTT GTG GTT GGC CTG CGG GAA ATG CAC CAC ATT GCC TTG CTG ττα TTC GAC ACC ACC AAA CCG GAA CAG CGT CAA CGT GCC CTG GAC CTG GCA CGC CTG ATG GTT CTC ATT GAC GAA GCA GCA GCG GAG GGG TAT GGC GAG TAC CGC ACG CAT AAT GCG GAT CAG GCT TTA CGT CGC TTT CAC GAA ACC ATC AAG GAT GTG ATG GGT ACG TAC GAT TGG GGT GAC GGT GCG CTG GAT CCG AAC TCC ATC ATG GCA CCG GGC AAA AGC GGC ATT TGG GGC CGG AAA TAT CGC GAC AAA GGT TTA GCG TAG

>WP_071213834 [Arthrobacter sp. UCD-GKA]

ATG AGC CGT ATT ATT CCG CTG GAT GTA TCC GAA GCC GAC TTT GAT GCG GCG CTG GAA GAA TTT CGT GGA ACC CAA GTT CTG AGT TCT CCA GTT GAA CTC GAA GCT CTT GGG GCG TGG GCG TTT GCG GAC CCA TAT CCG ACG AAT GGC CTG GAG TTC CTG CCA GGT GCT GTA ATC TCG CCA TCG ACT CCA GAA GAA GTA CAG GTG ATT AAC AAA GTT CCG CTG TCT CCG GTT TCC AAT TTG GGC GTG GGG ATT GCC AAA TAC ACA GGG AAG GGC TAT CGC CTG TCG GGT GCG GCA CCA GGA ACA GTC GTC GTT AAC ACC GGT GAA CGG ATG AAC AAG ATC ATC GAA GTA AAC GAG AAA TAT GCG TTT GCG CTC GTG GAA CCT GGT GTC ACG TAC TTT GAT CTG TAC AAC CAT ATT CAG GAG AAA GGC TAC AAT TTG TGG ATT GAT GTC CCG GAC TTA GGC TGG GGC AGC ATT GTC GGC AAC ACC GAC CAT TGG TCA CTG GAT CGT GGG GTG GGC TAT ACC CCG TAT GGT TGG CAG ACA GGG TTG GAG GTC GTT CTG GCT TCT TGG CAG TTG CCT GAT GGT GAT CTG CGT ACG GGT ATG GGC ATG GGT AGC GAC GCA CTG TTT CCG TAT GGC TTC GGT CCG TAC CCG GAC GGC TTG TTT TCC CAA AGC AAC TAT GGC ATT GTG ACC AAA CTG GGC ATT GCA CTG ATG CCT GCT CCT CCG GCG AGC GAG ACT TTC CTC ATT ACG TTC GAA AAC GAG GCC GAT CTG GAA CAG GTG ATT GAC ATC ATG CTG CCG CTC CGC ATC GGT ATG GCC CCG TTA CAG AAC GTT CCA GTG TTG CGC AAC ATC TTC ATG GAT GCT GCG GCC TCA CAT CGC GAT GAG TGG CAT GCT GGC CCG GGA CAT GTG CTG TCG GAC GAC GAA ATC AAG ACC ATG CAA CGC GAA CTG AAT CTG GGT TAC TGG AAT CTG TAT GCC AGT ATC GAA ATG TTC CTG GCC ATG ATC TTC GTG TAC GGT CCG CCT CCT CAG AAA GAA GCG CTG CAA GTT CCG GGA GCA CGC TTT GCT ACG ACC AAA GAT CGT CCC GAA AGT CCG GAA GAT CGC GGT GGT CAT GTG CTT CAC GAT CGT CAC AAA ATC AAT CGT GGC ATT CCC ACC ATT GAA GAA CGC CAC CTG ATG GAT TGG GTC CCC AAT GGT GGT CAC ACT AGC TTT TCT CCG GTA AGC GCG CCG GAC GGG AAA GAT GCG ATG CGC CAG GCG CTT ATG GTG AAG AAA CGG GCA GAC GAG TTT GGC CAG GCA GAT TAT GCC GCA CAA TTC ATT GTG GGG CTT CGG GAG ATG CAT CAC ATC TGC CTG TTC CTG TAT AAT ACC GCG GTA CCG CGT GAG CGC GAT AAC ACC CTG GCT ATG GCA CAT TTG GTG GAG GAA GAA GCC GCC GAT GCG GGC TAT GGG GAG TAT CGC ACT CAT CTG GCC TTA ATG GAC CAG GTC ATG GCG ACG TTT GAT TAC AAT GAT GGC GCA TTA CTT CGC TTT CAC GAA CGT GTT AAA GAC GCC CTG GAT CCC AAC TCC ATT CAC AAC TCC ATT ATG GCC CCG GGT AAA AGC GGC ATC TGG GGA GCA CGC TAC CGT GAT CGT GGC CTC GAA TTA TTA CAT GCA CCC GCG TTA GAA GAT AGT ACC CCG AAT TCA GCG TAG

>WP_026846239 [Geodermatophilaceae bacterium URHA0031]

ATG AGC CGC ATC CTC CCT CCG GAA CTG AGC GAT AGC GAT TTT GAT GCC GCT ATT GCG CGC TTT CGC GAC GTG GTT GGC GAG AAA CAT GTG CTT ACC GAG GAT GGG GAC CTG TCT CGG TAT CGG GAT CCG TAT CCG GTG GGT GGG CAG CCT TCC GGA GGT GCA AGT GCA GCG GTC GCG CCC GAA ACG TCG GAA CAG GTA CAG GAA ATT GTC CGC ATT GCG AAC GAG TAT GGG GTA CCC CTG AGT CCG ATT TCT ACC GGC AAG AAC AAC GGC TAC GGC GGC GGT CAA CCA CGT CTG TCT GGT GCT GTG GTT GAC ACC GGC CTG CGC ATG AAC CGC ATC CTG GAA GTC AAT GAG AAG TTT GGC TAC GCG CTG CTT GAA CCA GGG GTT TCC TAC TTC GAC CTG TAC GAA CAC TTG CAG GCG AAT GCC CCG TCC TTG ATG CTG GAT TGC CCG GAT CTG GGT TGG GGT AGT GTG GTT GGT AAT ACG CTT GAT CGC GGT GTG GGC TAT ACC CCT TAT GGC GAT CAC TTG ATG TGG CAA ACA GGC CTG GAA GTA GTG TTG CCC ACC GGC GAT GTC ATG CGT ACG GGT ATG GGT GCG GTT CCC GGT TCA AAC GCG TGG CAG CTG TTT CCG TAC GGA TTC GGG CCG TTT CCG GAC GGG CTG TTT ACA CAG AGC AAC TTA GGT ATT GTG ACC AAA ATG GGG ATT GCG CTT ATG CAA CGT CCA CCA GCC TCT GAG ACT TTC GTC ATT TCC TTC GAT CGC GAA GAG GAT CTC GAA CAG GTA GTG GAC ATT ATG TTG CCG TTA CGC ATC AAC ATG GCA CCG CTT CAG AAT GTC CCG GTT CTC CGC AAC ATC ATC TTG GAT GCC GGC GTT GTG AGC AAA CGC ACT GAG TGG CAT GAT GGT GAC GGC CCA CTG CCG CCA GAA GCC ATT AGC CGT ATG AAA GCT GAA CTG GGC TTG GGC TAT TGG AAT CTG TAT GGA ACC GTA TAC GGT CCT CCG CCA GTG CTG GAA GCT CAT CTG GGT ATC ATC AAA GAC GCG TTC TTA CAG GTG CCG GGC AGT CGC TTT GCA ACG ACG CAG GAT CGT GAT GAA GCG ACT GAT CGT GGG GCT CAC GTC CTG CAT GAT CGT CAC CGG ATT AAT AAC GGA ATT CCG TCA CTC GAC GAA ATG AAA CTG ATG GAA TTC GTA CCG AAT GGT GGC CAT ATT GGC TTT AGC CCT GTC TCA GCA CCG GAT GGT GCC GAT GCG CTG CGT CAG TCG CAA ATG GTG CGC CGT CGT GCG GAC GAA TAC CGC AAG GAT TAT GCC GCG CAA TTC ATC GTG GGC TTA CGT GAG ATG CAT CAC ATT GGC CTC TTC CTG TTT GAC ACG ACC GAT GCC GTT GCC CGT CAG GAA ACA CTG GAC TTA GCT CGC GTT CTG ATC GAT GAA GCT GCA GCG GGG GGG TAT GGC GAA TAT CGC ACC CAT AAC GCA CTG ATG GAC CAA GTC ATG GGT ACC TAT AAT TGG GGA GGT GAC GCC TTA CTG AAA TTT CAC GAG ACT ATC AAA GAC GCC TTA GAT CCG AAT TCG GTG ATG GCA CCT GGA AAA TCG GGC ATT TGG GGC CGC AAA TAC CGC GAT CGT GCC CTG GCG TAG

>WP_106243419 [Allonocardiopsis opalescens DSM 45601]

ATG GTC CGG AAA TTG CCG CCA GGT TTG AAT GAA CAA TCG TTT GCG GAT GCG GTT GCA AGC TTT CGC CGT GCG GTA GGG GAC AAA TGG GTT ATT GAC GAC GAT GCC CAA CTT GCC AAC TAC CGT GAC CCC TTT GCG GTG CTC GAC CCG GAA CAC CTG ACG GCA AGT GCC GTA GTG ATG CCA GCC AGC GTG GAA GAA GTG CAG GCA GTT TTA GCG GTT GCG AAC GAC ACT GGC GTG CCA CTG TCT CCG GTG TCC ATT GGT AAG AAC CTG GGC TAT GGT GGG CCT GCA CCG CGC TTA CCC GGC GCG GTT GTC GTG GAT CTC AAA CGT ATG AAT CGC ATT CTT GAG GTG AAC GAG AAG TTT GGT TAT GCT CTG GTA GAG CCG GGA GTT TCT TTC ATG GAG TTA GAC AAC TAT CTG CGC GAA CGC GGG ATC GAT TTC TGG GTC GAT GTT CCG GAT CTG GGT TGG GGC AGC GTC TTA GGC AAT ACG CTG GAA CGT GGA GTT GGC TAT ACA GCG TAT GGT GAT CAC TTT GCC ATC CAG TGC GGT ATG GAG GTG GTG CTG GCG GAT GGC GAC GTC GTA CGC ACG GGA ATG GGC GGT GTA CCA GGC TCA AGC ACG GCT CAG CTG TTT AAG TAC GGC TTT GGT CCG GTC TAT GAC GGC ATT TTC ACG CAG TCT AAC TTC GGC ATC GTC ACC AAA ATG GGT CTG TGG CTG TTG CCG AAA CCG CCG GGC TAT CAA GCG TAC ATG ATT ACC CTG GCC CAT GAG GAA GAT TTG GGG CCT TTC GTA GAA ATT CTC CGC ACC CTG AAG ATG AAT GGC ACC ATC ACC AAT GTG CCG TCA TTA CGC AGT GTC TTG CTG GAT GCT GCA GCT GTT GCA CCA CGC TCT CAT TTC TAC AGT GGC ACT GGA CCC GTG CCC GAT TCG GTA TCC CGC AAA ATT ATG GCG GAC CTG AAC ATT GGG TGG TGG AAC TTC TAT GGT GCG ATG TAT GGC CCG CAA GCC TCG ATC GAT CTT CAG TGG CAG ACA GTT CGG GAT GCC TTT TCC ACC ATT CCT GGC GCG AGC TTC TAC CTC GCT GGT GAA CAC GAT TCC CCA GTC TTG GCA ACT CGT GCT AAA GTG ATG GCG TGT CAA CCG AAT CTG GAA ACC GCT GAC ATC TTT CAG TGG TTC GAC AAT GGA GGC CAT GTG GAT TTT GCC CCG CTG AGT CCT GCG ACC AGC GAA GAT GCC CTG TCA CAG TAC GCA ATG GTT CGT GAT GCG TGC TTA GAG TTT GGG AAA GAT TAC ATG GGC AAT TGG ATC GTG GGT CGT CGC GAA ATG CAT CAT ATC CAG ATG ACA ATG TTC GAT ACC AAA GAC CCG GAT GAT CGC ACC CGC ACT CTG GCC TTT ACG AAA CAG CTG ATT CGT CAG GCC GCA GAA CGT GGT TAC GGC GCC TAT CGC GCT CAC CCT GCA ATC ATG GAT GAA GTG GCG GCG ACC TTT TCG TTT AAC GAT GGT GCG CTC ATG CGT CTG AGC GAA CGG GTC AAA GAC GCC CTG GAT CCG AAA GGG ATT CTG GCA CCG GGT AAA CAA GGG ATT TGG CCG AAA AGC CTG CGT GGT AAA GGC CTT GCG TAG

>KAB1645308 [Gulosibacter chungangensis KCTC 13959]

ATGAATTTTCGCACGCTCCCGGATGGGGTTAGTGCCGAGCTTGCAAATGCGATAAGCGAGTTTAGTGAAACTATCGGCGAATACGTGCGCGTAGAAGCCACCGTCAGCGAATATGATGAATTCCCCGTCACCGGCGGTGATGAATTCAAGGGCAGTGCAGCCGCCGCCGCCGACGACGCCGCAAAATTCCCCGTTGTCATTGCCAATAAATATGGCATCCCGGCCGCCGCCGCATACCCGGGTGCA<td

CCC GCC GCG CGG TTC GAG ACG AAT GAG ACG CTC CCA CTT CGC GAG GGT GAT CGT GCG AGC GAG CTG CTG AAC GCC GGT GAT GAA CTG AAC ACC GGT GTC CCG AAC CGT CAC TCC GCC GCG GTA TTC GAC TGG TTC CCG AAC GCT ACC GCC GCG GAG ATC TGG TTC CCG AAC GCT ACG ACC GCG GAG ATC TGG TTC GAC GAG GAT ACG ACC GCA ATT TGT TTG TTC GAC GAC CAC GCC GCG GAC GCC GCG GAC ATT GAA ACC CTG GAC GAC GCC GCG GAC ATT GAA GAA GAA ACC CTG GAT GAC GAC GAC GAC GAC GAC GCA ATT GAA GAA GAC GCT GAA ACC TAT AAT AAC CAC ATT CAA GAT GCA GCT GAC GAC GCC GAG TTC ATT GAT ACC AAC ATT AAT ACC CAC GCA ATC CAC GCA ATC TCC CAC GAG CCC GAG CCC ATT AAA GAA ACC TAT ATT ACT GAC ACC GAC ACC ATT CAA GAA ACC CCC GAC ATT AAA ACC ATT AAA ACC ATT CAA GAA ACC CCC GAA ATC CAA GAA ACC CCC AAT AAA GAA ACC ATT AAA ACC AAC CCT GAA ACC CAC ATT CAA CGA CCC GAG CCA ATT AAA ACA ACA ATT AAA ACC ACC ATT CAA CGA ATC TGG CCC GAG CCA ATT AAA ACA TAA

Multiple Sequence alignment

Based on the translated protein sequence, using the standard codon usage for *E. coli*, a multiple sequence was calculated using MEGA 11.⁵ The standard parameter in the program were used.

WP_106243419 KAB1645308 WP_071213834 WP_097207849 WP_026846239 WP_091045259 WP_128378015 ABG95085	-MVRKLPPGLNEQSFADAVASFRRAVGDKWVIDDDAQLANYRDPFAVLDPEHLTASAVVM 59 MNFRTLPDGVSAEQFANAISEFSETIGSEYVRVDEATVSEYDDKFPVTDGDEFKGSAVIW 60 -MSRIIPLDVSEADFDAALEEFRGALGAQWVLSSPVELEAFADPYPTTNGLEFLPGAVIS 59 -MARLLPPGLSESDFDAAIARFRDVVGDKYVVTEDGDLARYRDPYPVGSEPATGASAAIS 59 -MSRILPPELSDSDFDAAIARFRDVVGEKHVLTEDGDLSRYRDPYPVGGQPSGGASAAVA 59 -MSRTLPPDVSEQDFARALDAFAAVVGEKWVISDPAELATYADPYPVGEGFGQAPSAVVS 59 -MTRTLPPGVSDEDFTSALTAFRDVVGDEFVRTDEAELARFHDPYPVGDADAHLASAVIS 59 -MTRTLPPGVSDERFDAALQRFRDVVGDKWVLSTADELEAFRDPYPVGAAEANLPSAVVS 59 * :* :. * *: * .: * :: * : : : * :
WP_106243419 KAB1645308 WP_071213834 WP_097207849 WP_026846239 WP_091045259 WP_128378015 ABG95085	PASVEEVQAVLAVANDTGVPLSPVSIGKNLGYGGPAPRLPGAVVVDL-KRMNRILEVNEK 118 PGSTEDVQVIVRIANKYGIPLHAFSGGRNLGYGGSSPMLTGTVLLHLGKRMNRVLEINEK 120 PSTPEEVQVIVGIANKYKVPLSPVSTGKNLGYGGAAPRLSGTVVVNTGERMNKIIEVNEK 119 PESTEQVQEIVRIANEYGVPLSPISTGRNNGYGGGQPRLSGAVVVNTGERMNRILEVNEK 119 PADVEQVQAVVRIANEHGIPLMPISTGKNNGYGGASPRLAGSVVVNTGARMNRILEVNEK 119 PRDTEQVQEVVRIANRYGIPLSVISTGRNNGYGGASPRLSGAVVVNTGERMNRILEVNEK 119 PESTEQVQEIVRIANEYGIPLSVISTGRNNGYGGAAPRLSGSVVVNTGERMNRILEVNEK 119 PSTEQVQEVVRIANRYGIPLSVISTGRNNGYGGAAPRLSGSVVVNTGERMNRILEVNEK 119 * *:** :: :** :** :** *** *** * * *:*::: ***::**
WP_106243419 KAB1645308 WP_071213834 WP_097207849 WP_026846239 WP_091045259 WP_128378015 ABG95085	FGYALVEPGVSFMELDNYLRERGIDFWVDVPDLGWGSVLGNTLERGVGYTAYGDHFAIQC 178 LAYAVVEPGVDYKTLYEAVRDSGAKLMIDPAELDWGSVMGNTMEHGVGYTPYADHSMWRC 180 YAFALVEPGVTYFDLYNHIQEKGYNLWIDVPDLGWGSIVGNTLDRGVGYTPYGDHMSWQT 179 YGYALLEPGVSYFDLYEYLEANAPSLMLDCPDLGWGSVVGNTLDRGVGYTPYGDHLMWQT 179 YGYALVEPGVTYFDLYEYLEEHAPSLMLDCPDLGWGSVVGNTLDRGVGYTPYGDHLMWQT 179 LGYALLEPGVTYFDLHEYLEEHAPSLMIDCPDLGWGSVVGNTLDRGVGYTPYGDHLMWQT 179 YGYALLEPGVTYFDLHEYLEAHAPSLMIDCPDLGWGSVVGNTLDRGVGYTPYGDHFMWQT 179 YGYALLEPGVTYFDLHEYLEAHAPSLMIDCPDLGWGSVVGNTLDRGVGYTPYGDHFMWQT 179 ::*::**** : * ::. ::*:****:**
WP_106243419 KAB1645308 WP_071213834 WP_097207849 WP_026846239 WP_091045259 WP_128378015 ABG95085	GMEVVLADGDVVRTGMGGVPGSSTAQLFKYGFGPVYDGIFTQSNFGIVTKMGLWLLPKPP 238 GMEVVLADGEVLRTGMGGLPGSEAWHLYPGQLGPSIEGLFQSNFGICTRMGMQLMPTPP 240 GLEVVLPDGDLLRTGMGAMSGSDAWQLFPYGFGPYDGLFSQSNYGIVTKLGIALMPAPP 239 GLEVVLPTGEVMRTGMGAVPGSTTWQLFQYGFGPFPDGLFTQSNLGIVTKMGIALMQRPP 239 GLEVVLPGGVMRTGMGAVPGSNAWQLFPYGFGPFPDGLFTQSNLGIVTKMGIALMQRPP 239 GLEVVLPQGEVMRTGMGALPGSTTWQLIPYGFGPFPDGLFTQSNLGIVTKMGIALMQRPP 239 GMEVVLPQGDVMRTGMGALPGSTTWQLIPYGFGPFPDGMFTQSNLGIVTKMGIALMQRPP 239 GLEVVLPQGEVMRTGMGALPGSDAWQLFPYGFGPFPDGMFTQSNLGIVTKMGIALMQRPP 239 *:**** *:::*****: .* ::* :** :** :*: *:* *:*
WP_106243419 KAB1645308 WP_071213834 WP_097207849 WP_026846239 WP_091045259 WP_128378015 ABG95085	GYQAYMITLAHEEDLGPFVEILRTLKMN-GTITNVPSLRSVLLDAAAVAPRSHFYSGTGP 297 EMLSFAIYFENEDDLPAIMETTLPLRIGMAPLQAAPIVRNVTFDAACVSKREEWQTEPGP 300 ASETFLITFENEADLEQVIDIMLPLRIGMAPLQNVPVLRNIFMDAAAVSHRDEWHAGPGH 299 SSTTFLITFDREEDLAQVVDIMFPLRVMAPLQNVPVLRNIVLDAGVVSKRTEWHDGDGP 299 ASETFVISFDREEDLEQVVDIMLPLRINMAPLQNVPVLRNIILDAGVVSKRTEWHDGDGP 299 ASATYLITFENESDLEQIVDTMLPLRINMAPIQNVPVLRNIILDAAVSQRSEWYDGEGP 299 ASMTYQITFENESDLEQIVDIMLPLRINMAPLQNVPVLRNIILDAAVVSQRADWYDGDGP 299 ASQSFLITFDKEEDLEQVVDIMLPLRINMAPLQNVPVLRNIILDAAVSSRTEWFDGDGP 299 :: * : .* ** .:: *::. *: *: *: *: *: *: *
WP_106243419 KAB1645308 WP_071213834 WP_097207849 WP_026846239 WP_091045259 WP_128378015 ABG95085	VPDSVSRKIMADLNIGWWNFYGAMYGPQASIDLQWQTVRDAFSTIPGASFYLAGEH 353 LTDEAKQRMVDELGIGHWIVYGTCYGPRWQIDKYIEMIRDAYLQIPGARFETNETLPLRE 360 LSDDEIKTMQRELNLGYWNLYASVYGPPPQIEMFLAMIKEAFLQVPGARFATTKDRPESP 359 LPAEAIERMKSELGLGYWNLYGTVYGPPPVLEQYLGMIRDAFLQVPGSRFSTHHDRDE-A 358 LPPEAISRMKAELGLGYWNLYGTVYGPPPVLEAHLGIIKDAFLQVPGSRFATTQDRDE-A 358 LPAEAIERMKSELDLGYWNFYGTVYGPPPMIEMYLSMIKEAFLQIPGARFFTHEDRND-P 358 LPPEAIERMKKELGLGYWNFYGTLYGPPQLIEMNYGIIKDAFGQIPGSRFQTHEERH 356 MPAEAIERMKKLDLGFWNFYGTLYGPPPLIEMYYGMIKEAFGKIPGARFFTHEERD 356 : . : :*.:* * .*.: ***

WP 106243419	DSPVLATRAKVMACQPNLETADIFQWFDNGGHVDFAPLSPATSEDALSQYAMVRDAC 410
KAB1645308	GDRASELLNARHELNTGVPNRHSAAVFDWFPNAGHFFYAPVSAPSGEDAAKQYEDTKRIS 420
WP 071213834	EDRGGHVLHDRHKINRGIPTIEERHLMDWVPNGGHTSFSPVSAPDGKDAMRQALMVKKRA 419
WP_097207849	TDRGAHVLHDRHRINNGIPSLDEMKLLEFVPNGGHIGFSPISAPDGADALROAOMVRORA 418
WP_026846239	TDRGAHVLHDRHRINNGIPSLDEMKLMEFVPNGGHIGFSPVSAPDGADALROSOMVRRRA 418
WP_091045259	ADRGAHVLHDRHKINNGRPSLDELAVLDFVPHGGHIGFSPVSAPEGRDAMROAAMVKARA 418
WP 128378015	-DRGAHVLODRHKINNGIPSLSEMKLMDWIPGAGHVGFSPISPPVGRDAMKOFRMVRSRA 415
ABG95085	-DRGGHVLODRHKINNGIPSLDELOLLDWVPNGGHIGFSPVSAPDGREAMKOFEMVRNRA 415
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
WP 106243419	lefgkdymgnwivgrremhhiqmtmfdtkdpddrtrtlaftkqlirqaaergygayrahp 470
KAB1645308	DDHGIDYLAQFIIGLREMHHICLPLYDTADPASRKETLDMTRELIRAGAEEGYGIYRAHN 480
WP 071213834	DEFGODYAAOFIVGLREMHHICLFLYNTAVPRERDNTLAMARILVEEAADAGYGEYRTHL 479
WP_097207849	DEYRODYAAOFVVGLREMHHIALLLFDTTKPEORORALDLARVLIDEAAAEGYGEYRTHN 478
WP_026846239	DEYRKDYAAOFIVGLREMHHIGLFLFDTTDAVAROETLDLARVLIDEAAAAGYGEYRTHN 478
WP_091045259	DEYVKDYAAOFIIGLREMHHICLFLYDTHDADAROETLDLTRLLIKEAAAEGYGEYRTHN 478
WP 128378015	DEYAKDYAAQFVVGLREMHHIALLLFDTQDATARNETLALTRLLIDEAAAEGYGEYRTHN 475
 ABG95085	NEYNKDYAAOFIIGLREMHHVCLFIYDTAIPEAREEILOMTKVLVREAAEAGYGEYRTHN 475
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
WP 106243419	AIMDEVAATFSFNDGALMRLSERVKDALDPKGILAPGKOGIWPKSLRGKGLA 522
KAB1645308	VLADOVAETYSFNNHIORRSHERIKDALDPNGILNPGKSGIWPERLRNK 529
WP 071213834	ALMDOVMATFDYNDGALLRFHERVKDALDPNSIMAPGKSGIWGARYRDRGLELLHAPALE 539
WP_097207849	ALMDOVMGTYDWGDGALRRFHETIKDALDPNSIMAPGKSGIWGRKYRDKGLA 530
WP 026846239	ALMDOVMGTYNWGGDALLKFHETIKDALDPNSVMAPGKSGIWGRKYRDRALA 530
WP 091045259	ALMDDVMATFDWNDGALLKFHESIKDALDPNGVIAPGKSGVWPAKYRGRGL 529
WP 128378015	ALMDOVMGTYNWGDGALLKFHEAIKDALDPNGIIAPGKSGVWPARYRGKGLA 527
ABG95085	ALMDDVMATFNWGDGALLKFHEKIKDALDPNGIIAPGKSGIWSORFRGONL 526
	. * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
WP 106243419	522
KAB1645308	529
WP 071213834	DSTPNSA 546
WP_097207849	530
WP 026846239	530
WP_091045259	529
WP 128378015	527
ABG95085	526

Table S2. Sequence identity of selected 4-phenol oxidases towards the eugenol oxidase from Rhodococcus jostii RHA1 (RjEUGO)

Enzyme	Accession number	Organism	Sequence identity to <i>Rj</i> EUGO
<i>Rj</i> EUGO	Q0SBK1	Rhodococcus jostii RHA1	100%
<i>N</i> spEUGO	WP_091045259	Nocardioides sp. YR527	76%
ScEUGO	WP_128378015	Streptomyces cavernae	76%
GsEUGO	WP_097207849	Geodermatophilus sabuli DSM 46844	73%
<i>Gb</i> EUGO	WP_026846239	Geodermatophilaceae bacterium URHA0031	73%
AspEUGO	WP_071213834	Arthrobacter sp. UCD-GKA	68%
Gc4EPO	KAB1645308	Gulosibacter chungangensis KCTC 13959	51%
AoEUGO	WP_106243419	Allonocardiopsis opalescens DSM 45601	50%

Supplementary Methods 3: Initial enzyme characterization

Thermal stability and solvent tolerance

Thermal shift assay

Enzyme solutions of 5 μ M concentration were prepared in 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer pH 7.5 containing a final SYPRO-Orange concentration of 5 x (according to commercial stock solution, ThermoFischer). Of this mixture, 25 μ L were used for every replicate in a 96-well-qPCR-plate. A temperature gradient ranging from 20 to 90°C, with an increment of 3°C min⁻¹ was applied while continuous detection was done using the FRET-channel of the qPCR-cycler.

No large differences were observed for the melting points of six of the tested proteins (Table S3). *Gb*EUGO was found to be the most stable one with a melting point of 65.5°C, followed by *Rj*EUGO, with a melting point of 64.0°C. *N*spEUGO was found to be the least stable one, with a melting point of 56.0°C. These results are in agreement with additional stability tests for the selected enzymes (Figure S13). Here, it was observed that *Gb*EUGO is also stable towards a broad spectrum of organic solvents (Figure S14).

Enzyme	Melting point [°C]
ScEUGO	61.5
GbEUGO	65.5
RjEUGO	64.0
NspEUGO	56.0
AspEUGO	61.5
GcEUGO	58.5

Table S3. Melting points determined by thermal shift assay. Primary data is provided in Supplementary Data 5.



Figure S13. Temperature stability of selected EUGOs. **a** Relative activity of EUGOs from *Geodermatophilaceae bacterium, Geodermatophilus sabuli, Rhodococcus jostii RHA1* and *Arthrobacter* sp. after two-hour incubation at the respective temperature on 2 mM eugenol (2) in 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer pH 7.5. **b** Relative activity after two-hour incubation at 50°C for indicated EUGOs. All experiments were performed as triplicate and the standard deviation is shown as error bars.



Figure S14. Solvent stability of *Gb*EUGO. Relative amount of coniferyl alcohol after two-hour reaction of *Gb*EUGO in 50 mM bis-Tris-propane buffer pH 9.5 with 2 mM eugenol (2) as substrate supplemented with 10 vol% of the indicated solvent. As reference a reaction without solvent was used. No solvent decreased the product amount significantly, but ethanol, acetonitrile and acetone were found to increase the product amount over 10%. All reactions were performed as triplicate and the standard deviation is shown as error bars.

Initial rates for substrate conversion

Xylenol orange assay for determination of initial rates

Initial rates were determined by colorimetric detection of hydrogen peroxide in the reaction solution as described in earlier studies.^{6,7} Triplicates of 100 μ L, containing a respective buffer (typically 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer pH 7.5) and 2.5 μ M to 10 mM of substrate (typically 2 mM) shaken at 25°C in a 96-well-plate. For determination of tolerance towards solvents or ionic strength, additional substances were added in a respective amount. The reactions were started by the addition of a final enzyme concentration of 10 to 250 nM (typically 50 nM). After 3, 6, and 9 minutes, 20 μ L of each reaction were transferred into a new 96-well-plate, already containing 180 μ L of detection solution (250 μ M FeSO₄, 25 mM H₂SO₄, 100 μ M xylenol orange). After 30 min, the absorption at 560 nm (A₅₆₀) was measured and the initial rate was calculated from the linear slope through all three measurement points applying a hydrogen peroxide calibration curve (see Supplementary Data 4). For fitting of Michaelis-Menten curves please refer to section Supplementary Equations 1 to 4.

Table S4. Initial rate [s⁻¹] of selected enzymes on the indicated substrate. The rate was determined by xylenol orange assay in 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer pH 7.5 wit 2 mM of the respective substrate at 25°C. Errors represent the standard deviation of a triplicate measurement. The cells are colored in a gradient from highest (blue) to lowest (light orange) rate. Product formation was validated by GC-MS. The measured substrates and detected products are listed in Table S5.

No	ScEUGO	GsEUGO	<i>Rj</i> EUGO	N spEUGO	AspEUGO	Gb EUGO	AoEUGO	Gc4EPO
1	0.48 ± 0.29	5.30 ± 0.36	1.60 ± 0.41	3.24 ± 0.06	1.67 ± 0.06	5.08 ± 0.13	n.d.	n.d.
2	5.25 ± 0.64	5.63 ± 0.05	4.77 ± 0.14	3.73 ± 0.08	1.40 ± 0.06	4.41 ± 0.15	2.99 ± 0.50	3.91 ± 0.08
3	1.21 ± 0.09	1.42 ± 0.05	0.39 ± 0.04	0.26 ± 0.03	0.14 ± 0.06	0.34 ± 0.03	0.23 ± 0.02	n.d.
4	0.30 ± 0.03	0.29 ± 0.03	0.62 ± 0.03	1.35 ± 0.03	0.70 ± 0.12	0.37 ± 0.01	2.63 ± 0.04	0.03 ± 0.02
5	2.93 ± 0.20	3.12 ± 0.02	4.77 ± 0.15	2.82 ± 0.01	2.14 ± 0.13	3.08 ± 0.12	3.75 ± 0.06	5.78 ± 0.25
6	2.64 ± 0.18	2.16 ± 0.11	0.25 ± 0.02	n.d.	n.d.	0.07 ± 0.01	0.10 ± 0.09	n.d.
7	1.12 ± 0.11	1.00 ± 0.07	1.92 ± 0.07	n.d.	1.44 ± 0.04	0.57 ± 0.17	2.84 ± 0.12	6.37 ± 0.03
8	0.07 ± 0.01	0.78 ± 0.05	1.41 ± 0.09	0.88 ± 0.01	0.25 ± 0.12	0.75 ± 0.07	0.68 ± 0.03	2.29 ± 0.10
9	0.33 ± 0.02	1.64 ± 0.03	2.66 ± 0.13	n.d.	0.99 ± 0.03	1.74 ± 0.10	2.13 ± 0.10	3.66 ± 0.12
10	0.03 ± 0.01	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
11	0.11 ± 0.02	0.15 ± 0.05	0.06 ± 0.01	0.28 ± 0.09	0.13 ± 0.04	0.13 ± 0.02	n.d.	1.03 ± 0.06
12	1.50 ± 0.09	0.22 ± 0.06	0.08 ± 0.02	0.33 ± 0.05	n.d.	0.13 ± 0.05	0.33 ± 0.04	0.07 ± 0.01
13	0.10 ± 0.08	0.35 ± 0.07	0.11 ± 0.03	0.50 ± 0.03	n.d.	0.34 ± 0.05	n.d.	n.d.
14	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
15	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.09 ± 0.04	0.05 ± 0.03	n.d.	0.10 ± 0.09	0.10 ± 0.02
16	0.27 ± 0.01	n.d.	0.44 ± 0.04	0.06 ± 0.03	0.14 ± 0.02	0.16 ± 0.03	0.40 ± 0.04	0.13 ± 0.02
17	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.05 ± 0.03	n.d.	n.d.	0.37 ± 0.04
18	n.d.	0.08 ± 0.07	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.05 ± 0.04	n.d.	0.16 ± 0.02
19	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	<mark>0.05 ± 0.01</mark>	n.d.	n.d.
20	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.09 ± 0.05	n.d.	0.03 ± 0.02	n.d.	0.12 ± 0.02
21	n.d.	0.06 ± 0.02	0.07 ± 0.03	n.d.	0.05 ± 0.01	0.14 ± 0.09	0.16 ± 0.08	0.07 ± 0.03
22	0.21 ± 0.04	0.20 ± 0.12	0.44 ± 0.02	n.d.	n.d.	0.41 ± 0.07	n.d.	n.d.
23	0.24 ± 0.11	0.19 ± 0.10	0.48 ± 0.07	0.09 ± 0.08	0.16 ± 0.05	0.22 ± 0.01	n.d.	0.06 ± 0.02
24	n.d.	n.d.	0.03 ± 0.01	0.04 ± 0.02	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
25	0.34 ± 0.20	1.10 ± 0.04	0.81 ± 0.13	0.07 ± 0.03	0.51 ± 0.10	0.53 ± 0.05	0.58 ± 0.07	2.96 ± 0.02
26	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.06 ± 0.04	n.d.	1.42 ± 0.03
27	0.09 ± 0.07	n.d.	0.22 ± 0.10	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
28	n.d.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.d.	n.a.	n.a.
29	n.a.	n.a.		n.d.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
30	n.a.	n.a.	0.06 ± 0.04	0.08 ± 0.04	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
31 22	n.a.	n.u.	n.u. n.d	n.u.	n.u. n.d	n.a. n.d	n.a. n.d	11.U.
32 22	0.44 ± 0.00	1.0.	1.0.	0.11 ± 0.05	0.48 ± 0.00	0.22 ± 0.08	0.27 ± 0.07	4.38 ± 0.18
34	0.44 ± 0.03	n d	0.05 ± 0.11	0.11 ± 0.03	0.40 ± 0.09	0.22 ± 0.00	n d	2.38 ± 0.07
35	n d	n.d.	n.d.	0.03 ± 0.01	n d	n d	n.d.	2.00 ± 0.07
36	n d	n.d.	n.d.	0.09 ± 0.02	n.d.	n.d.	n.d. n.d	n.d.
37	n d	n.d.	n d	0.00 ± 0.00	n d	n.d.	n.d.	3 42 + 0 1
38	0.07 ± 0.03	n d	n d	n d	n d	0.05 ± 0.04	n d	0.39 ± 0.04
39	0.09 ± 0.05	nd	0.08 ± 0.02	n d	0.10 ± 0.02	0.10 ± 0.04	nd	0.10 ± 0.05
40	0.06 ± 0.03	0.02 ± 0.01	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.09 ± 0.06	n.d.	n.d.
41	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	0.19 ± 0.02
42	0.34 ± 0.02	0.48 ± 0.09	1.95 ± 0.20	0.20 ± 0.04	n.d.	0.60 ± 0.01	0.90 ± 0.03	0.60 ± 0.03
43	n.d.	0.04 ± 0.03	0.03 ± 0.02	n.d.	n.d.	0.04 ± 0.03	n.d.	n.d.
44	0.04 ± 0.01	0.09 ± 0.02	0.08 ± 0.01	0.12 ± 0.01	0.04 ± 0.01	n.d.	n.d.	2.88 ± 0.18
45	0.03 ± 0.02	n.d.	0.06 ± 0.01	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.61 ± 0.07
46	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.

n.d. = not detected; n.m. = not measured

Substrate overview and products detected by GC-MS

GC-MS measurements

200 to 400 μ L of the reaction mixture were extracted with an equal amount of ethyl acetate in by vortexing. For phase separation, the tubes were centrifuged (5 min at 17,000 x g). The ethyl acetate phase was dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, before being vortexed and centrifuged again. Afterwards, 100 μ L were transferred into a glass vial containing an inset and closed via a septum cap. Measurement was performed on a Shimadzu GCMS-QP2020 NX equipped with a FS-Supreme 5ms column (length: 30 m, id: 0.25 mm, od: 0.36 mm, thickness: 0.25 μ m) from CS-Chromatographie Service GmbH was used. Either a temperature gradient ranging from 150°C to 200°C with 5°C min⁻¹ increase, and 5 min hold time at the beginning and the end, or a temperature gradient from 150°C to 250°C with a temperature increase of 10°C min⁻¹ and a hold time of 2.5 min at the beginning and the end was applied. Compounds were identified by NIST2017 library search.

No	Name	Substrate	Main product	Side product(s)
1	Chavicol	HO	НООН	n.d.
2	Eugenol	HO	НО ОН	n.d.
3	4-Allyl-2,6- dimethoxyphenol	HO MeO	HO HO MeO	n.d.
4	4-Hydroxybenzyl alcohol	НО ОН	HO	n.d.
5	Vanillyl alcohol	НО ОН	HO MeO	n.d.
6	4-Hydroxy-3,5- dimethoxybenzyl alcohol	HO HO MeO	HO HO MeO	n.d.
7	3,4-Dihydroxybenzyl alcohol	но он	HOHO	n.d.
8	3-Bromo-4- hydroxybenzyl alcohol	но Вг ОН	HO Br	n.d.
9	4-(1- Hydroxyethyl)phenol	НО ОН	HO	n.d.
10	4-Aminobenzyl alcohol	H ₂ N OH	n.d.	n.d.
11	4-Methoxymethylphenol	HO	HO	n.d.
12	Ethyl vanillyl ether	HO MeO	HO MeO	n.d.
13	Butyl vanillyl ether	HO MeO	HO MeO	n.d.
14	4-(Aminomethyl)phenol	HONH2	n.d.	n.d.
15	4-(1-Aminoethyl)phenol	HO NH ₂	HO	n.d.
16	Vanillyl amine	HO MeO NH ₂	HO MeO	n.d.
17	Tyrosol	НО ОН	HO	n.d.

Table S5. Overview of the substrates tested in this study and the products detected by GC-MS after enzymatic conversion. For
initial rates of wildtype enzymes see table S2, and for enzyme variants see Table S6.

Table S3 continued

No	Name	Substrate	Main product	Side product(s)
18	4-(2- Methoxyethyl)phenol	HO	HO	n.d.
19	Tyramin	HONH2	n.d.	n.d.
20	4-(2- Bromoethyl)phenol ²	HO	но	HO
21	Hydroxytyrosol	но	но	n.d.
22	3-Hydroxytyramine	HO HO NH ₂	n.d.	n.d.
23	4-Hydroxy-3- methoxyphenethylamine	HO MeO NH ₂	n.d.	n.d.
24	<i>p</i> -Cresol	HO	n.d.	n.d.
25	4-Methylcatechol	HOHO	HOHO	НО ОН
26	2-Methoxy-4- methylphenol	HO	HO MeO O	НО ОН
27	2-Amino-4- methylphenol	HO H ₂ N	n.d.	n.d.
28	2-Chloro-4- methylphenol	HO	n.d.	n.d.
29	2-Brom-4-methylphenol	HO Br	n.d.	n.d.
30	<i>p</i> -Toluidine	H ₂ N	n.d.	n.d.
31	<i>p</i> -Toluenethiol	HS	n.d.	n.d.
32	4-Ethylphenol	HO	HO	n.d.
33	4-Ethylcatechol ¹	HOHO	но	HO HO HO HO HO OH
34	4-Ethylguaiacol ¹	HO	HO MeO	HOMEO

Table S3 continued

No	Name	Substrate	Main product	Side product(s)
35	4-Ethylaniline	H ₂ N	n.d.	n.d.
36	4-Ethylthiophenol	HS	n.d.	n.d.
37	4-Propylphenol	HO	HO	n.d.
38	4-Butylphenol	HO	HO	n.d.
39	4-Isopropylphenol	HO	HO	n.d.
40	4-sec-Butylphenol	HO	n.d.	n.d.
41	2-Methoxy-4- propylphenol	HO MeO	HO MeO	n.d.
42	4-Cyclopentylphenol	HO	HO	n.d.
43	4-Cyclohexylphenol	HO	HO	n.d.
44	5-Hydroxyindan	HO	HO	HO
45	5,6,7,8-Tetrahydro-2- naphtol	HO	HO	HO
46	4-Hydroxybenzyl cyanide	HOCN	n.d.	n.d.

¹For *Gc*4EPO, the dehydrogenated product was the main product; ²Substrate **20** hydrolyses to **17** in aqueous medium, which then converted; n.d. = not detected

Supplementary Methods 4: Substrate binding in 4-phenol oxidases

Homology modelling

The structures of the eugenol oxidase from *Rhodococcus jostii* RHA1 (PDB: 5FXP and 5FXE), the 4-ethylphenol oxidase from *Gulosibacter chungangensis* (PDB: 7BPI), the vanillyl alcohol oxidase from *Penicillium simplicissimum* (PDB: 2VAO) and the *p*-cresol methyl hydroxylase from *Pseudomonas putida* (PDB: 1WVE) were applied as template using the Yasara software.⁸ Homology models were docked with eugenol as substrate and refined by molecular dynamics simulation (10 ns, YASARA2-force field, water-filled periodic simulation box 5 Å around the structure). The structures were inspected using PyMOI.⁹

Autodocking of putative substrates

Autodocking experiments were performed using YASARA's dock_runscreening macro, for which the VINA program was used.¹⁰ Ligand-receptor complexes of interest were refined by molecular dynamics simulation for 10 ns.



Figure S15. Catalytic center of the homology model of *Ao*EUGO bound to chavicol (1) before (a) and after 25 ns of MD simulation (b). The FAD cofactor is shown in orange and the substrate chavicol (1) in light red. Polar interaction between the substrates and the amino acid residues are depicted as dashed, yellow lines. The residues of the P-cluster, Y91, Y466 and R467, and Glu387 are depicted as sticks. The substrate rotates in the simulation and the phenolate is bound by Glu387 and Tyr91.



Figure S16. Catalytic center of the homology model of *Ao*EUGO bound to eugenol (2) before (a) and after 25 ns of MD simulation (b). The FAD cofactor is shown in orange and the substrate eugenol (2) in light red. Polar interaction between the substrates and the amino acid residues are depicted as dashed, yellow lines. The residues of the P-cluster, Y91, Y466 and R467, and Glu387 are depicted as sticks. The *o*-methoxy group of 2 prevents substrate rotation.

Substrate binding in AspEUGO



Figure S17. Autodocking of the homology model of *A*spEUGO with the product from eugenol conversion coniferyl alcohol (**a**) and 3,4-dihydroxybenzyl alcohol (**7**, **b**). The FAD cofactor is shown in orange and the respective substrate in light red. Residues of the P-cluster, Y91, Y475 and R476, are highlighted as well as S396. Polar interactions are depicted as dashed, yellow lines.

Supplementary Methods 5: Buffer optimization

pH dependent enzyme stability

Enzyme solutions of 1 μ M concentration were incubated for 16 h in Britton-Robinson buffer at respective pH values, before the activity was measured uniformly in potassium phosphate buffer pH 7.5 using 2 mM eugenol as substrate by the xylenol orange assay (see Supplementary Methods 3). A final enzyme concentration of 50 nM was used, and the new pH value was adopted by dilution of the enzyme stock.

Buffer dependent enzyme activity

Initial rates were determined by the xylenol orange assay (see Supplementary Methods 3) using 50 nM of enzyme and 2 mM of eugenol in 50 mM of varying buffers. Buffers were selected according to the pH optimum of the respective enzyme.

Buffer dependent enzyme stability

In a 96-well plate, 200 μ L reaction solution were placed containing 5 nM enzyme and 10 mM vanillyl alcohol as substrate in an indicated buffer. The plate was covered with transparent foil to avoid evaporation and was incubated for 16 h at 30°C shaking at 750 rpm in a Tecan plate reader. In 15 min intervals, the absorption of the product vanillin was measured at 350 nm. The vanillin concentration was calculated from a calibration curve (see Supplementary Data 4) and was divided by the applied enzyme concentration to calculate the conversions per active site of enzyme (turnover). Over the course of the reaction, maximal 0.5 mM vanillin were produced (5% conversion) to assure constant conditions for the catalyst during the reaction time.

Temperature dependent enzyme stability

Enzyme solutions of 1 μ M concentration were incubated for 2 h in an indicated buffer in a temperature range between 4 to 60°C, before the activity was measured by the xylenol orange assay (see Supplementary Methods 3) uniformly using 2 mM eugenol as substrate in potassium phosphate buffer pH 7.5.

Comparison of AspEUGO and GbEUGO

To determine the influence of Ser392 on the substrate acceptance, *A*spEUGO was compared in detail to the closest homolog available, *Gb*EUGO. Both oxidases share a sequence similarity of 79% but differ in the Ser/Gly in position 392 of the catalytic pocket. To investigate the enzymes at their respective optimal conditions, pH and buffer screening was conducted. This approach was chosen over the introduction of point mutations, as the rest of the protein is likely adapted to the altered function as well. Initial rates for the conversion of eugenol (**2**) of both enzymes reached a maximum at pH 9.5, which is comparable to other EUGOs (Figure S18).^{2,3} *A*spEUGO reached a 2.5-fold higher rate at pH 9.5 compared to pH 7.5 while a less than 1.5-fold increase was found for *Gb*EUGO. On the contrary, changes in the reaction buffer had a larger impact on the performance of *Gb*EUGO (Figure S19) which is probably linked to the enzyme's lower tolerance for ionic strength (Figure S20). In the end, CHAPS buffer at pH 9.5 was identified to work best for *A*spEUGO while bis-Tris-propane at pH 9.5 was identified as optimal for *Gb*EUGO (Figure S21). Subsequently, kinetic parameters were determined for both enzymes in their respective buffer conditions (see main text).



Figure S18. Relative activity of *A*spEUGO and *Gb*EUGO in dependency of the pH value. The initial activity was determined by xylenol orange assay in Britton-Robinson buffer at the indicated pH using a final concentration of 50 nM enzyme and 2 mM eugenol (2) as substrate. The reaction at pH 7.5 was used as reference value for both enzymes. All rates were determined as a triplicate and the standard deviation is shown as error bar.



Figure S19. Relative activity of AspEUGO (a) and *Gb*EUGO (b) in dependency of the buffer. The initial activity was determined by xylenol orange assay in the indicated buffer using a final concentration of 50 nM enzyme and 2 mM eugenol (2) as substrate. The reaction in Britton-Robinson buffer pH 9.5 was used as reference value for both enzymes. All rates were determined as a triplicate and the standard deviation is shown as error bar. All buffers were adjusted to pH 9.5.



Figure S20. Relative activity of AspEUGO and *Gb*EUGO in dependency of the ionic strength. The initial activity was determined by xylenol orange assay in CHAPS buffer pH 9.5 for AspEUGO and bis-Tris-propane buffer pH 9.5 for *Gb*EUGO. A final concentration of 50 nM enzyme and 2 mM eugenol (2) as substrate were used. The reactions were performed in presence of the indicated amount of sodium chloride while the condition without additional salt was used as reference value for both enzymes. All rates were determined as a triplicate and the standard deviation is shown as error bar.



Figure S21. Conversions per active site of AspEUGO (a) and *Gb*EUGO (b) over time. The reaction was performed in the indicated buffer using a final concentration of 5 nM enzyme and 10 mM vanillyl alcohol as substrate. The concentration of the product vanillin was measured at 350 nm and was used to calculate the conversions per active site by division by the enzyme concentration (turnover).

Supplementary Methods 6: Michaelis-Menten kinetics

Michaelis-Menten kinetics were determined by the xylenol orange assay (see supplementary methods 3). The given substrate was prepared in differing concentrations ranging from 2.5 μ M to 10 mM in the indicated buffer. The reaction was started by the addition of a respective amount of enzyme ranging between 15 to 250 nM. For *Gc*4EPO and for *Sc*EUGO variants, the curves were fitted according to the classical Michaelis-Menten equation, where v_{max} represents the maximum velocity, K_M the substrate concentration [S] at a velocity v of half v_{max}.

$$v = \frac{v_{max}[S]}{K_M + [S]} \tag{1}$$

For AspEUGO and *Gb*EUGO, additional effects were observed. For fitting of cooperative effects, the Hill equation was used where the additional parameter n describes the degree of cooperativity.¹¹

$$v = \frac{v_{max}}{1 + \frac{K^n}{[S]^n}} \tag{2}$$

For AspEUGO, we further observed substrate inhibition which was described according to Haldane. Here, K_i represents the inhibition constant.¹²

$$v = \frac{v_{max}}{1 + \frac{K}{[S]} + \frac{[S]}{K_i}} \tag{3}$$

As for AspEUGO cooperativity and inhibition were observed at the same time, a model according to LiCata was applied which combines Hill and Haldane equation.¹³ For simplicity, we assume that there is a single inhibition side per enzyme and the inhibition complex is catalytically inactive.

$$v = \frac{v_{max}}{1 + \frac{K^n}{[S]^n} + \frac{[S]}{K_i}}$$
(4)

For comparability between enzymes, v_{max} was transformed into k_{cat} by the following relation, where E_0 represents the enzyme concentration.

$$\frac{v_{max}}{E_0} \tag{5}$$

Michaelis-Menten kinetics of AspEUGO and GbEUGO



Figure S22. Michaelis-Menten kinetics of AspEUGO (**a**) and *Gb*EUGO (**b**) on 4-hydroxybenzyl alcohol (**4**) as substrate. The initial rates were determined by xylenol orange assay in CHAPS buffer pH 9.5 for AspEUGO and bis-Tris-propane buffer pH 9.5 for *Gb*EUGO. A final concentration of 50 mM buffer and 50 nM enzyme were used. The curves were fitted according to Hill. All reactions were performed as triplicate and the standard deviation is shown as error bars. Kinetic parameters are summarized in Table S6.



Figure S23. Michaelis-Menten kinetics of AspEUGO (a) and *Gb*EUGO (b) on 3,4-dihydroxybenzyl alcohol (7) as substrate. The initial rates were determined by xylenol orange assay in CHAPS buffer pH 9.5 for *AspEUGO* and bis-Tris-propane buffer pH 9.5 for *GbEUGO*. A final concentration of 50 mM buffer and 50 nM enzyme were used. The curves were fitted according to Hill. All reactions were performed as triplicate and the standard deviation is shown as error bars. Kinetic parameters are summarized in Table S6.



Figure S24. Michaelis-Menten kinetics of AspEUGO (a) and *Gb*EUGO (b) on eugenol (2) as substrate. The initial rates were determined by xylenol orange assay in CHAPS buffer pH 9.5 for *AspEUGO* and bis-Tris-propane buffer pH 9.5 for *Gb*EUGO. A final concentration of 50 mM buffer and 50 nM enzyme were used. The curves were fitted according to Hill. All reactions were performed as triplicate and the standard deviation is shown as error bars. Kinetic parameters are summarized in Table S6.



Figure S25. Michaelis-Menten kinetics of AspEUGO (**a**) and *Gb*EUGO (**b**) on vanillyl alcohol (**5**) as substrate. The initial rates were determined by xylenol orange assay in CHAPS buffer pH 9.5 for AspEUGO and bis-Tris-propane buffer pH 9.5 for *Gb*EUGO. A final concentration of 50 mM buffer and 50 nM enzyme were used. The curves were fitted according to Hill. All reactions were performed as triplicate and the standard deviation is shown as error bars. Kinetic parameters are summarized in Table S6.

Substrate	No	Enzyme	Model	К _м [µM]	k _{cat} [s ⁻¹]	n
Eugenol	2	AspEUGO	Hill	28 ± 1	21 ± 0.4	2.8 ± 0.3
Eugenol	2	Gb EUGO	Hill	23 ± 1	23 ± 0.2	3.7 ± 0.3
Vanillyl alcohol	5	AspEUGO	Hill	49 ± 3	24 ± 0.8	1.5 ± 0.1
Vanillyl alcohol	5	Gb EUGO	Hill	31 ± 1	16 ± 0.4	1.8 ± 0.1
4-Hydroxybenzyl alcohol	4	AspEUGO	Hill	33 ± 2	19 ± 0.5	1.8 ± 0.1
4-Hydroxybenzyl alcohol	4	Gb EUGO	Michaelis-Menten	455 ± 50	17 ± 1	-
3,4-Dihydroxybenzyl alcohol	7	AspEUGO	Hill	459 ± 33	2.4 ± 0.04	2.7 ± 0.8
3,4-Dihydroxybenzyl alcohol	7	Gb EUGO	Michaelis-Menten	5122 ± 827	4.9 ± 0.5	-

Table S6. Kinetic parameters of AspEUGO and *Gb*EUGO.

Supplementary Methods 7: Correlation of first shell residues of the catalytic center with substrate selectivity

Calculation of sequence-activity correlations

The natural logarithm of the activity data of each wildtype enzyme (Table S4) and the hydrophobicity and volume of single amino acids residues (Supplementary Data 2) were correlated in an automatized fashion by a custom R script (Supplementary Data 3). The respective residue characteristic for each enzyme was plotted against the logarithmic activity and a test for correlation was performed. The correlation coefficient r was calculated by the Pearson method (6) for every residue property-activity combination, where m_x and m_y represent the mean of the respective variables.

$$r = \frac{\sum(x - m_x) * (y - m_y)}{\sqrt{\sum(x - m_x)^2 * \sum(y - m_y)^2}}$$
(6)

As output, the r values were saved in a matrix and all individual plots were saved for manual inspection (Supplementary Data 3). From the matrix, substrates with structural motives of interest were selected to compare the influence of residues of the catalytic center with observed substrate acceptance (Figures 4 and S26 to S28).

Correlation of residue type in ortho position



Figure S26. Analysis of the changes in the logarithm of the observed enzyme activity for benzyl alcohol derivatives with changing *ortho* substituent. **a** Observed activity for the enzymes of this study on the respective substrate (for details see Table S4). The error bars represent the standard deviation from a triplicate measurement. **b** Heat map for changes with the steric size (top) or and the polarity (bottom) of the first shell residues of the catalytic pocket. Primary data is provided in Supplementary Data 2 and 03. **c** Diversity of amino acids of the selected enzymes at respective positions.

Correlation of residue type in para position



Figure S27. Analysis of the in the logarithm of the observed enzyme activity with changing *para* substituent of *ortho* methoxy phenols. **a** Observed activity for the enzymes of this study on the respective substrate (for details see Table S4). The error bars represent the standard deviation from a triplicate measurement. **b** Heat map for changes with the steric size (top) or and the polarity (bottom) of the first shell residues of the catalytic pocket. Primary data is provided in Supplementary Data 2 and 3. **c** Diversity of amino acids of the selected enzymes at respective positions.



Figure S28. Analysis of the in the logarithm of the observed enzyme activity with changing size in *para* substituents. **a** Observed activity for the enzymes of this study on the respective substrate (for details see Table S4). The error bars represent the standard deviation from a triplicate measurement. **b** Heat map for changes with the steric size of the first shell residues of the catalytic pocket. Primary data is provided in Supplementary Data 2 and 3. **c** Diversity of amino acids of the selected enzymes at respective positions.

Supplementary Note 2: Oxidase screening

Background

Common oxidase screenings are coupled to secondary peroxidase reactions, like HRP, which react with the phenolic substrates of the 4-phenol oxidase.^{14,15} Thus, a new screening approach was established within this study. Based on an *in vitro* hydrogen peroxide detection assay (xylenol orange assay), a high throughput screening assay was developed which is applicable in 96-well format and works in cell-free crude extract. In order to enable hydrogen peroxide detection, naturally present *E. coli* catalases like hydroperoxidase I and II had to be inactivated as these heme-dependent enzymes are several orders of magnitude faster than 4-phenol oxidases.¹⁶ Inhibition of heme-containing catalases from human or other eukaryotes has previously been achieved by 3-amino-1,2,4-triazol (3AT).^{17–19} Further, hydroxylamine (HA) was reported to efficiently inhibit the manganese-containing catalase of the Gram-negative bacterium *Thermoleophilum album*.²⁰ Both compounds were tested for their performance in various hydrogen peroxide concentrations (Figure S29). While the addition of 3AT to *E. coli* crude extract had no effect on the coloration of the screening solution, HA addition induced a similar response to hydrogen peroxide as in the buffer control. Therefore, in the 96-well screening assay with crude extracts, 1 mM HA was used to inhibit intrinsic catalase activity.



Figure S29. Colorization of the detection solution of the XO assay with increasing hydrogen peroxide concentration. *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) cell-free crude extract was able to complete remove the hydrogen peroxide from the solution without inhibitor. Therefore, 3-amino-1,2,4-triazole and hydroxylamine were tested as catalase inhibitors. With addition of 1 mM Hydroxylamine, a similar response is obtained as without crude extract while no effect is observed for 3-amino-1,2,4-triazole.

Overview

After creation and transformation of the site-saturation library, colonies were picked and transferred into a 96-well deep-well plate containing LB medium. The plate was incubated overnight as a preculture. From this preculture, a new 96-well deep-well plate was inoculated containing TB autoinduction medium. The main culture was grown for four hours at 37°C and at 25°C overnight. The cells were harvested by centrifugation and then chemically lysed. The protein containing supernatant was used for the assay after centrifugation. But for quantitative comparison of the kinetic rates between each well, the amount of protein had to be taken into consideration, as a higher enzyme yield correlates with higher hydrogen peroxide production. This would select for better production strains but not necessarily for improved enzyme variants. Thus, normalization of the enzyme amount was conducted. As normalization on the culture density excludes enzyme yield or disruption efficiency by the chemical lysis, the FAD

fluorescence of the crude extract was measured. Since 4-phenol oxidases contain a covalently bound FAD, the fluorescence of the crude extract gives a good estimation of the oxidase concentration. The measurement also includes the fluorescence of free FAD and other cell components but was found to be a better approximation than cell density. Immediately after fluorescence measurement, a substrate mix was added to each well and the hydrogen peroxide production was measured over time by the xylenol orange assay. The first sample was taken after 10 minutes. The duration for the second and third sample was adjusted for each individual substrate mixture based on the coloration of the detection solution. For substrates with wildtype activities <0.2 s⁻¹, samples were generally taken in the time frame of 45 minutes.



Figure S30. Schematic representation of the high throughput screening assay. Colonies after site-saturation mutagenesis were picked individually and incubated in 96-deep well plates in LB medium overnight at 37°C. The main cultures were inoculated with 10 μ L of the preculture. Overnight cultivation was performed in auto-induction medium at 37°C for the first 4 h and at 25°C for the following 14 h. Cells were harvested by centrifugation and resuspended in 300 μ L lysis solution. 50 μ L of supernatant were transferred to a black 96-well plate and mixed with 47 μ L 100 mM glycine NaOH buffer pH 9.5. The FAD fluorescence was measured (excitation: 441 nm, emission: 520 nm) to normalize for oxidase production level. Afterwards, a final concentration of 1 mM hydroxylamine was added to inhibit *E. coli* catalases and the reaction was started with the addition of 2 μ L of a 100 mM substrate mix. At respective time points, 20 μ L of the solution were taken and mixed in a fresh 96-well plate with 180 μ L detection solution. After 30 min, the absorption was measured at 560 nm. The slope from three time points was used for evaluation.

Evaluation

According to the xylenol orange assay, the absorption was measured at 560 nm (A_{560}) after incubation for 30 minutes in the dark. For the evaluation of the screening results, the absorption values of each individual well were divided by the fluorescence counts (F_{FAD}) of the crude extract. Following the slope (m) of the absorption, normalized by the fluorescence (A_n) for all 3 time points (t) was determined.

$$A_n = \frac{A_{560}}{F_{FAD}} \tag{7}$$

$$m = \frac{\sum (t - \bar{t})(A_n - \overline{A_n})}{\sum (t - \bar{t})^2}$$
(8)

The slope of each individual well (m_i) was divided by the average slope of the wildtype (m_{WT}) controls to get a relative slope (m_r) .

$$m_r = \frac{m_i}{m_{WT}} \tag{9}$$

Special attention was taken when calculating the slope of the individual wells for saturation of the detection solution. In that case the curve is not linear, and the last sample point had to be excluded from the slope calculation. An excel template is provided in the supplement for automatic evaluation.

Supplementary Methods 8: Creation and characterization of enzyme variants

Site-directed mutagenesis

QuikChange PCR with fully overlapping primers was performed (Table S7) using 100 ng template DNA mixed with a final amount of 0.4 μ M of each primer and the PrimeSTAR Max DNA-polymerase (Takara) in a total volume of 25 μ L. Successful amplification was verified by agarose gel electrophoresis, before the PCR product was digested by DpnI (10 U) and afterwards, was transformed in *E. coli* DH5 α . Mutations were validated by sequencing, before transformation in the expression host *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) was conducted.

Generation of site-saturation libraries

The mutant libraries were created by the QuikChange procedure described above using primer with the degenerate codon NNK (Table S7). After QuikChange and DpnI digestion, the plasmids were transformed into *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) by heat shock transformation and plated on LB-agar plates containing 100 mg L⁻¹ ampicillin. The colonies formed were used for further cultivation and usage in the screening.

Oxidase screening assay

Colonies were picked after transformation and transferred into individual wells of a 96-well deep-well plate (square well, round bottom) containing 1 mL of LB-medium (10 g L⁻¹ tryptone, 5 g L⁻¹ yeast extract, 10 g L⁻¹ sodium chloride) with 150 mg L⁻¹ ampicillin. For every library, a full 96-well plate was used to achieve a library coverage >90%. Every plate contained wildtype controls which were grown in a separate preculture and placed diagonally from well A1 to H8. The plates were closed by foil and grown overnight at 37°C and 130 rpm. Then, a new 96-well deep-well plate (square well, round bottom) containing 1 mL of TB auto-induction medium (12 g L⁻¹ peptone, 24 g L⁻¹ yeast extract. 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer pH 7.0, 0.5 g L⁻¹ glucose, 2.0 g L⁻¹ lactose, 5.0 mL L⁻¹ glycerol) and 150 mg L⁻¹ ampicillin was inoculated with 10 µL of the preculture and also closed with foil. The plates were incubated for 4 hours at 37°C and following at 25°C overnight. The plates of the preculture were stored overnight at 8°C to allow for inoculation of another preculture for sequencing after screening.

The cells were harvested by centrifugation (20 min. at 4,347 x g and 4°C) and the supernatant was carefully discarded. The cell pellets were lysed chemically by resuspension in 300 µL B-PERTM Bacterial Protein Extraction Reagent (ThermoFisher Scientific) containing 0.25 mg mL⁻¹ lysozyme and 0.05 mg mL⁻¹ DNase. After 15 min incubation at room temperature, the crude extract was separated from the cell debris by centrifugation (30 min. at 4,347 x g and 4°C). From each well, 50 µL of the crude extract were transferred into a black 96-well plate containing 47 µL of 100 mM Glycine-NaOH buffer pH 9.5 and the FAD fluorescence was measured with an excitation wavelength of 441 nm and an emission wavelength of 520 nm, for normalization. Then, 1 µL of a 100 mM hydroxylamine hydrochloride solution was added to inhibit *E. coli* catalases and the plate was incubated for 5 min at 25°C and 750 rpm.

The reaction was started by the addition of 2 µL substrate solution containing 100 mM of up to three substrates to reach a final substrate concentration of 2 mM, respectively. The plate was incubated at 25°C and 750 rpm and at three time points ranging between 10 and 90 minutes, samples were taken to determine the hydrogen peroxide concentration by xylenol orange assay. For evaluation of the results, please refer to Supplementary Note 2. Wells with highest activity were selected and a 10 mL LB culture was inoculated from the respective preculture for plasmids preparation. Finally, mutations were validated by sequencing.

Crude extract assay

A 50 mL main culture was prepared for a respective enzyme variant and the wildtype as described above. The cells were harvested in 10 mL aliquots by centrifugation (20 min at 4,347 x g) and the cell wet weight (CWW) was determined. Chemical lysis was performed by addition of 6 mL B-PERTM Bacterial Protein Extraction Reagent (ThermoFisher Scientific) and water mixture (2:1 Bper:water) per gram of CWW. After 15 minutes incubation at RT, the cell debris was separated by centrifugation (10 min at 15,000 x g and 15°C). 5 to 10 µL of crude extract were transferred into each well of a black 96-well plate which was filled up with Glycine-NaOH buffer pH 9.5 to a final volume of 97 µL. Similar to the screening assay, hydroxyl amine was added, and the FAD fluorescence was determined, before the assay was started by addition of a final substrate concentration of 1 mM. Samples for the xylenol orange assay were taken after 4 and 8 minutes. The evaluation was performed according to the screening assay.

List of mutagenes	sis primers
-------------------	-------------

Enzyme	Primer	Sequence							
ScEUGO	V427X_for	5´- GAAGGACTATGCAGCGCAGTTT <u>NNK</u> GTCGGGTTACGGGAAATG -3´							
ScEUGO	V427X_rev	5 ⁻ CATTTCCCGTAACCCGAC <u>MNN</u> AAACTGCGCTGCATAGTCCTTC -3 ⁻							
ScEUGO	A166X_for	5´- CGTTAGATCGTGGG <u>NNK</u> GGCTATACCCCGTATG -3´							
ScEUGO	A166X_rev	5´- CATACGGGGTATAGCC <u>MNN</u> CCCACGATCTAACG -3´							
S <i>c</i> EUGO	L381X_for	5´- ATGAAA <u>NNK</u> ATGGACTGGATTCCCGGTGCAGGACATGTCGGTTTTAGCCC -3´							
S <i>c</i> EUGO	L381X_rev	5´- GTCCAT <u>MNN</u> TTTCATCTCAGACAGGGAGGGGATACCATTGTTGATTTTGT -3´							
ScEUGO	Q425X_for	5´- GGACTATGCAGCG <u>NNK</u> TTTGTGGTCGGGTTACG -3´							
ScEUGO	Q425X_rev	5´- CGTAACCCGACCACAAA <u>MNN</u> CGCTGCATAGTCC -3´							
S <i>c</i> EUGO	L282X_for	5´- ATCATC <u>NNK</u> GATGCCGCCGTAGTGTCTCAACGGGCCGATTGGTACGATGG -3´							
S <i>c</i> EUGO	L282X_rev	5´- GCATC <u>MNN</u> GATGATGTTGCGCAGAACCGGTACATTCTGCAGCGGAGCCAT -3´							
ScEUGO	E378T_for	5´- TGTCT <u>ACG</u> ATGAAACTTATGGACTGGATTCCCGGTGCAGGACATGTCGGT -3´							
ScEUGO	E378T_rev	5´- TTCAT <u>CGT</u> AGACAGGGAGGGGGATACCATTGTTGATTTTGTGGCGATCTTG -3´							
S <i>c</i> EUGO	E378Q_for	5´- TGTCT <u>CAG</u> ATGAAACTTATGGACTGGATTCCCGGTGCAGGACATGTCGGT -3´							
ScEUGO	E378Q_for	5´- TTCAT <u>CTG</u> AGACAGGGAGGGGGATACCATTGTTGATTTTGTGGCGATCTTG -3´							
Gc4EPO	I432X_for	5´- GCAATTC <u>NNK</u> ATTGGCCTGCGTGAGATGCACCACATTTGTTTGCCGCTGT -3´							
Gc4EPO	I432X_rev	5´- CCAAT <u>MNN</u> GAATTGCGCAAGATAGTCGATGCCGTGGTCGTCGCTGATTCG -3´							
Gc4EPO	V166X_for	5´- CATGGT <u>NNK</u> GGCTACACCCCCTATGCCGACCACTCGATGTGGCGGTGCGG -3´							
Gc4EPO	V166X_rev	5 - GTAGCCMNNACCATGCTCCATGGTGTTGCCCATGACGCTGCCCCAGTCGA -3 -							

Table S7 Primer list

List of created variants

Enzyme	Variant	Cluster	Method	Target	Purified	Expression host
ScEUGO	A166G	Т	Site-saturation mutagenesis	(i)	No	<i>E. coli</i> BL21 (DE3)
ScEUGO	A166S	Т	Site-saturation mutagenesis	(i)	No	E. coli BL21 (DE3)
ScEUGO	V427A	Т	Site-saturation mutagenesis	(i)	No	E. coli BL21 (DE3)
ScEUGO	V427T	Т	Site-saturation mutagenesis	(i)	No	E. coli BL21 (DE3)
ScEUGO	V427Y	Т	Site-saturation mutagenesis	(i)	Yes	E. coli BL21 (DE3)
Gc4EPO	V166D	Т	Site-saturation mutagenesis	(i)	Yes	E. coli BL21 (DE3)
ScEUGO	E378T	W	Quick Change	(ii)	Yes	<i>E. coli</i> BL21 (DE3)
ScEUGO	E378Q	W	Quick Change	(ii)	Yes	<i>E. coli</i> BL21 (DE3)
ScEUGO	Q425E	W	Site-saturation mutagenesis	(ii)	Yes	<i>E. coli</i> BL21 (DE3)
ScEUGO	D151N	W	Quick Change	(ii)	Yes	<i>E. coli</i> BL21 (DE3)
ScEUGO	D151N Q425E	W	Quick Change	(ii)	Yes	E. coli BL21 (DE3)
ScEUGO	L381I	А	Site-saturation mutagenesis	(iii)	No	<i>E. coli</i> BL21 (DE3)
ScEUGO	V427F	Т	Site-saturation mutagenesis	(iii)	Yes	<i>E. coli</i> BL21 (DE3)
ScEUGO	V427I	Т	Site-saturation mutagenesis	(iii)	Yes	E. coli BL21 (DE3)
ScEUGO	V427M	Т	Site-saturation mutagenesis	(iii)	No	<i>E. coli</i> BL21 (DE3)
ScEUGO	V427I L282M	Τ, Α	Site-saturation mutagenesis	(iii)	Yes	E. coli BL21 (DE3)

Table S8	. Overview o	f enzyme	variants	generated	in this	study.
				0		

Activity of purified enzyme variants

Table S9. Activity of purified enzyme variants. The rate was determined by xylenol orange assay in 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer pH 7.5 wit 2 mM of the respective substrate at 25°C. Errors represent the standard deviation of a triplicate measurement. The cells are colored in a gradient from highest (blue) to lowest (light orange) rate. Product formation was validated by GC-MS. The measured substrates and detected products are listed in Table S5.

No	ScEUGO V427Y	Gc4EPO V166D	ScEUGO E378Q	ScEUGO E378T	ScEUGO Q425E	ScEUGO D151N	ScEUGO D151N Q425E	ScEUGO V427F	ScEUGO V427I	S <i>c</i> EUGO V427I L282M
1	0.12 ± 0.06	0.11 ± 0.09	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	0.71 ± 0.04	1.33 ± 0.09	n.m.
2	2.07 ± 0.01	0.36 ± 0.02	0.11 ± 0.05	0.23 ± 0.02	0.55 ± 0.08	0.06 ± 0.05	0.05 ± 0.01	5.36 ± 0.07	7.20 ± 0.30	4.33 ± 0.11
3	n.d.	n.m.	0.16 ± 0.03	0.46 ± 0.01	0.34 ± 0.08	0.08 ± 0.01	n.d.	0.44 ± 0.07	1.11 ± 0.06	1.04 ± 0.07
4	0.09 ± 0.03	2.44 ± 0.08	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	0.53 ± 0.07	1.51 ± 0.13	n.m.
5	2.50 ± 0.17	5.01 ± 0.22	3.14 ± 0.22	1.68 ± 0.17	3.12 ± 0.05	0.52 ± 0.09	n.d.	4.86 ± 0.43	2.99 ± 0.13	1.55 ± 0.06
6	0.14 ± 0.02	n.m.	n.m.	2.84 ± 0.12	4.05 ± 0.64	0.14 ± 0.04	0.22 ± 0.03	0.40 ± 0.06	1.91 ± 0.11	2.10 ± 0.09
7	n.m.	2.87 ± 0.17	n.m.	n.m.	0.41 ± 0.11	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	3.19 ± 0.13	n.m.
8	0.36 ± 0.02	14.70 ± 0.47	n.m.	n.m.	0.25 ± 0.02	n.m.	n.m.	0.62 ± 0.08	0.52 ± 0.08	0.42 ± 0.02
9	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	0.10 ± 0.05	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	3.29 ± 0.05	2.76 ± 0.30
10	0.07 ± 0.04	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.
11	n.m.	0.23 ± 0.04	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	0.43 ± 0.03	n.m.
12	n.m.	n.m.	0.40 ± 0.04	1.08 ± 0.03	0.40 ± 0.03	n.m.	0.07 ± 0.03	n.m.	1.58 ± 0.13	0.84 ± 0.08
13	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.d.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	1.25 ± 0.02	0.29 ± 0.03
15	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.d.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	0.09 ± 0.05	n.m.
16	n.m.	n.d.	0.12 ± 0.03	0.12 ± 0.08	0.98 ± 0.04	n.d.	n.m.	n.m.	0.98 ± 0.04	0.21 ± 0.03
17	n.m.	0.06 ± 0.04	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.
18	n.m.	n.m.	n.d.	n.m.						
24	n.m.	n.d.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.
25	n.m.	0.27 ± 0.22	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.
26	n.m.	n.d.	n.m.	n.d.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.d.	n.m.
27	n.m.	0.16 ± 0.05	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.
28	n.m.	n.d.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.
29	n.m.	n.d.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.
32	n.d.	0.96 ± 0.07	n.m.	n.d.	n.m.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.m.	n.m.
33	n.m.	n.m.	0.30 ± 0.24	n.m.						
34	n.m.	n.m.	0.20 ± 0.04	0.22 ± 0.01	n.m.	0.04 ± 0.03	n.d.	n.m.	n.d.	n.d.
36	n.d.	n.d.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.
37	n.m.	0.61 ± 0.01	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	0.05 ± 0.04	n.d.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.
38	n.m.	n.d.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.d.	n.m.
39	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	0.08 ± 0.04	n.m.	n.m.	0.09 ± 0.03	0.18 ± 0.07
41	n.m.	0.63 ± 0.05	n.m.	n.m.	0.04 ± 0.01	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.d.
42	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	0.08 ± 0.02	0.08 ± 0.01	n.m.	3.66 ± 0.07	4.87 ± 0.23
44	n.m.	n.m.	0.13 ± 0.03	0.22 ± 0.01						

n.d. = not detected, n.m. = not measured



Figure S31. Relative activity of ScEUGO A166G and A166S compared to the wildtype in a crude extract screening on selected substrates. Substrate No **4**, **8** and **9** were only tested for ScEUGO A166S as this variant appeared to be the more interesting one. Error bars represent the standard deviation of a triplicate measurement.



substrate No **Figure S32.** Relative activity of *Sc*EUGO V427A, V427T and V427Y compared to the wildtype in a crude extract screening on selected substrates. Error bars represent the standard deviation of a triplicate measurement.

Michaelis-Menten kinetics of Gc4EPO wildtype and V166D variant



Figure S33. Michaelis-Menten kinetic of *Gc*4EPO wildtype (**a**) and *Gc*4EPO V166D (**b**) on 3-bromo-4-hydroxybenzyl alcohol (**8**) as substrate in 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer pH 7.5. Final enzyme concentrations of 50 nM for the wildtype and 17.5 nM for the variant were used. The curves follow the classical Michaelis-Menten behavior. All reactions were performed as triplicate and the standard deviation is shown as error bars. Kinetic parameters are summarized in Table S10.



Figure S34. Michaelis-Menten kinetic of *Gc*4EPO V166D on 4-hydroxybenzyl alcohol (4) as substrate in 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer pH 7.5. A final enzyme concentration of 17.5 nM was used. The wildtype was no active enough on the substrate to record a kinetic. The curve follows the classical Michaelis-Menten behavior. All reactions were performed as triplicate and the standard deviation is shown as error bars. Kinetic parameters are summarized in Table S10.



Figure S35. Michaelis-Menten kinetic of *Gc*4EPO V166D on 3,4-dihydroxybenzyl alcohol (7) as substrate in 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer pH 7.5. A final enzyme concentration of 17.5 nM was used. The wildtype was no active enough on the substrate to record a kinetic. The curve follows the classical Michaelis-Menten behavior. All reactions were performed as triplicate and the standard deviation is shown as error bars. Kinetic parameters are summarized in Table S10.

 Table S10. Kinetic parameters for Gc4EPO wildtype and V166D variant.

Substrate	No	Enzyme	Model	K _M	k _{cat}
3-Bromo-4-hydroxybenzyl alcohol	8	Gc4EPO	Michaelis-Menten	19 ± 1	1.6 ± 0.02
3-Bromo-4-hydroxybenzyl alcohol	8	Gc4EPO V166D	Michaelis-Menten	134 ± 17	14.7 ± 0.6
4-Hydroxybenzyl alcohol	4	Gc4EPO V166D	Michaelis-Menten	230 ± 63	2.1 ± 0.2
3,4-Dihydroxybenzyl alcohol	7	<i>Gc</i> 4EPO V166D	Michaelis-Menten	106 ± 33	1.8 ± 0.2



Figure S36. Catalytic center of *Gc*4EPO WT (**a**) and V166D (**b**) in complex with 3-bromo-4-hydroxybenzyl alcohol (**8**) after refinement by 0.1 ns molecular dynamics simulation. The FAD cofactor is shown in orange and the substrate in light red. Polar interaction between the substrate and the amino acid residues are depicted as dashed, yellow lines. The residues of the P-cluster, Y92, Y476 and R477, and Phe397 are depicted as sticks. The changed residue in position 166 is shown in teal. Glu166 interacts with the residues of the P-cluster and causes the substrate to turn in the direction of the substrate entrance tunnel.

Michaelis-Menten kinetic and structural analysis of ScEUGO Q425E



Figure S37. Catalytic center of ScEUGO WT (**a**) and Q425E (**b**) docked with vanillyl amine (**16**) after refinement by 0.1 ns molecular dynamics simulation. The FAD cofactor is shown in orange and the substrate in light red. Polar interaction between the substrate and the amino acid residues are depicted as dashed, yellow lines. The residues of the W-cluster, D151 and R366, and Q/E425 are depicted as sticks. In the wildtype, the amine group interacts with D151 which results in a non-optimal positioning of the benzylic hydrogens for a transfer to the FAD cofactor. The Q425E exchange results in a change in the hydrogen bond network. E425 now interacts with the amine group which positions the benzylic hydrogens in direction of the FAD.



Figure S38. Michaelis-Menten kinetic of ScEUGO Q425E on vanillyl amine (**16**) as substrate in 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer pH 7.5. A final enzyme concentration of 250 nM was applied. The curve follows the classical Michaelis-Menten behavior. All reactions were performed as triplicate and the standard deviation is shown as error bars. The K_M value was determined to 114 \pm 13 µM while the k_{cat} value was 1.2 \pm 0.06 s⁻¹.



Crude extract assay for ScEUGO L381X and V427X libraries for target (iii)

Figure S39. Relative activity of *Sc*EUGO L381I compared to the wildtype in a crude extract screening on selected substrates. Error bars represent the standard deviation of a triplicate measurement.



Figure S40. Relative activity of *Sc*EUGO V427M, V427I and V427F compared to the wildtype in a crude extract screening on selected substrates. Error bars represent the standard deviation of a triplicate measurement.

Michaelis-Menten kinetic and structural analysis of ScEUGO V427I L282M



Figure S41. Michaelis-Menten kinetic of *Sc*EUGO V427I L282M on 4-cyclopentylphenol (**42**) as substrate in 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer pH 7.5. A final enzyme concentration of 40 nM was applied. The curve follows the classical Michaelis-Menten behavior. All reactions were performed as triplicate and the standard deviation is shown as error bars. The K_M value was determined to 74 ± 4 μ M while the k_{cat} value was 5.1 ± 0.07 s⁻¹.



Figure S42. Autodocking results of 4-cyclopentylphenol (**42**) after 0.1 ns refinement by molecular dynamics simulations in ScEUGO WT (**a**), ScEUGO V427I (**b**) and ScEUGO V427I L282M (**c**). The FAD cofactor is shown in orange **42** in light red. I427 pushes the substrate into the catalytic center while no immediate effect for M282 can be observed.



Figure 43. Proposed movement of M282 during conversion of 4-cyclopentylphenol (**42**). **a** Structural data obtained from autodocking experiments in ScEUGO V427I L282M variant using **42** and the dehydrogenation product 4-(cyclopent-1-en-1-yl)phenol as ligands. The docked structures were refined by molecular dynamic simulation. **b** Schematic representation of the mechanistical steps. The hydride abstraction to the FAD causes the benzylic carbon to change from sp³ to sp² hybridization. As a consequence, the cyclopentyl ring moves in plane with the phenolic ring. M282 has to move to generate space for the intermediate and residue fills the space on top the cyclopentyl ring.



Figure S44. Comparison of the catalytic center from the crystal structure of *Rj*EUGO (PDB: 5FXE, **a**) and the homology model of *Sc*EUGO V427I L282M (**b**) docked with 4-cyclopentylphenol (**42**) after 0.1 ns refinement by molecular dynamics simulation. The FAD cofactor is shown in orange and the respective substrate in light red. All first shell residues of the catalytic center are shown as sticks.



Figure S45. Comparison of the catalytic center from the homology model of *N*spEUGO (a) docked with eugenol (2) and *Sc*EUGO V4271 (b) docked with 4-cyclopentylphenol (42) after 0.1 ns refinement by molecular dynamics simulation. The FAD cofactor is shown in orange and the respective substrate in light red. All first shell residues of the catalytic center are shown as sticks.

Supplementary References

- 1. Gibson, D. G. *et al.* Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. *Nature Methods* 6, 343–345; 10.1038/nmeth.1318 (2009).
- 2. Jin, J., Mazon, H., van den Heuvel, R. H. H., Janssen, D. B. & Fraaije, M. W. Discovery of a eugenol oxidase from *Rhodococcus* sp. strain RHA1. *The FEBS Journal* 274, 2311–2321; 10.1111/j.1742-4658.2007.05767.x (2007).
- Eggerichs, D., Zilske, K. & Tischler, D. Large scale production of vanillin using an eugenol oxidase from *Nocardioides* sp. YR527. *Molecular Catalysis* 546, 113277; 10.1016/j.mcat.2023.113277 (2023).
- 4. Alvigini, L. *et al.* Discovery, Biocatalytic exploration and structural analysis of a 4ethylphenol oxidase from *Gulosibacter chungangensis*. *Chembiochem: A European Journal of Chemical Biology* 22, 3225–3233; 10.1002/cbic.202100457 (2021).
- 5. Tamura, K., Stecher, G. & Kumar, S. MEGA11: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 11. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 38, 3022-3027 (2021).
- Ewing, T. A., van Noord, A., Paul, C. E. & van Berkel, W. J. H. A xylenol orange-based screening assay for the substrate specificity of flavin-dependent *para*-phenol oxidases. *Molecules (Basel, Switzerland)* 23; 10.3390/molecules23010164 (2018).
- 7. Gay, C., Collins, J. & Gebicki, J. M. Determination of iron in solutions with the ferric-xylenol orange complex. *Analytical Biochemistry* 273, 143–148; 10.1006/abio.1999.4207 (1999).
- Krieger, E. & Vriend, G. YASARA View molecular graphics for all devices from smartphones to workstations. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* 30, 2981–2982; 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu426 (2014).
- 9. Schrödinger, L. L. C. & DeLano, W. PyMOL (2020).
- 10. Trott, O. & Olson, A. J. AutoDock Vina: Improving the speed and accuracy of docking with a new scoring function, efficient optimization, and multithreading. *Journal of Computational Chemistry* 31, 455–461; 10.1002/jcc.21334 (2010).
- 11. Hill, A. V. A new mathematical treatment of changes of ionic concentration in muscle and nerve under the action of electric currents, with a theory as to their mode of excitation. *The Journal of Physiology* 40, 190–224; 10.1113/jphysiol.1910.sp001366 (1910).
- 12. Haldane, J. B. S. Enzymes. 3rd ed. (MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1930).
- LiCata, V. J. & Allewell, N. M. Is substrate inhibition a consequence of allostery in aspartate transcarbamylase? *Biophysical Chemistry* 64, 225–234; 10.1016/s0301-4622(96)02204-1 (1997).
- 14. Debon, A. *et al.* Ultrahigh-throughput screening enables efficient single-round oxidase remodelling. *Nature Catalysis* 2, 740–747; 10.1038/s41929-019-0340-5 (2019).
- Weiß, M. S., Pavlidis, I. V., Vickers, C., Höhne, M. & Bornscheuer, U. T. Glycine oxidase based high-throughput solid-phase assay for substrate profiling and directed evolution of (*R*)- and (*S*)-selective amine transaminases. *Analytical Chemistry* 86, 11847–11853; 10.1021/ac503445y (2014).
- 16. Bravo, J. *et al.* Crystal structure of catalase HPII from *Escherichia coli*. *Structure* 3, 491– 502; 10.1016/s0969-2126(01)00182-4 (1995).

- Bagnyukova, T. V., Vasylkiv, O. Y., Storey, K. B. & Lushchak, V. I. Catalase inhibition by amino triazole induces oxidative stress in goldfish brain. *Brain Research* 1052, 180–186; 10.1016/j.brainres.2005.06.002 (2005).
- 18. Margoliash, E. & Novogrodsky, A. A study of the inhibition of catalase by 3-amino-1:2:4:triazole. *The Biochemical Journal* 68, 468–475; 10.1042/bj0680468 (1958).
- 19. Walton, P. A. & Pizzitelli, M. Effects of peroxisomal catalase inhibition on mitochondrial function. *Frontiers in Physiology* 3, 108; 10.3389/fphys.2012.00108 (2012).
- 20. Allgood, G. S. & Perry, J. J. Characterization of a manganese-containing catalase from the obligate thermophile *Thermoleophilum album*. *Journal of Bacteriology* 168, 563–567; 10.1128/jb.168.2.563-567.1986 (1986).