Cell Reports Medicine, Volume 5

Supplemental information

Transcriptomic and spatial dissection of human *ex*

vivo right atrial tissue reveals proinflammatory

microvascular changes in ischemic heart disease

Suvi Linna-Kuosmanen, Eloi Schmauch, Kyriakitsa Galani, Johannes Ojanen, Carles A. Boix, Tiit Örd, Anu Toropainen, Prosanta K. Singha, Pierre R. Moreau, Kristiina Harju, Adriana Blazeski, Åsa Segerstolpe, Veikko Lahtinen, Lei Hou, Kai Kang, Elamaran Meibalan, Leandro Z. Agudelo, Hannu Kokki, Jari Halonen, Juho Jalkanen, Jarmo Gunn, Calum A. MacRae, Maija Hollmén, Juha E.K. Hartikainen, Minna U. Kaikkonen, Guillermo García-Cardeña, Pasi Tavi, Tuomas Kiviniemi, and Manolis Kellis



http://compbio2.mit.edu/scheart/





Fig. S1. Heart cell-types and subtypes distribution and enrichments. Related to Figures 1 and 2.

A. Top 3 enriched gene sets (GO Biological Process) for top 1000 genes with FDR < 0.05 for each cell type. Dot color and size is $-\log 10$ (adjusted p-value). Only significant (adjusted p-value < 0.05) enrichments are shown. B. Hematoxylin-eosin-stained histology slide of a right atrial appendage sample from a patient with IHD (included in the dataset). C. Proportion of the main cell types, per condition. D. Interactive website overview. An interactive website is accessible at http://compbio.mit.edu/scheart. It provides access to the analyzed snRNA-seq and spatial transcriptomics data (both Visium and Resolve Molecular Cartography) presented in this study. The user can select the ensemble of cells to focus on (all heart cells, vascular heart cells, immune heart cells, pericardial fluid data) and explore different information types (1. Gene set enrichment of subtype/cell-type marker genes 2. Subtype/cell-type marker gene overview. 3. Subtype/cell-type marker genes table. 4. IPA enrichment table from differential expression result of a selected condition in the subtype/cell-type. 5. Differential expression result table of a selected condition in the subtype/cell-type. 6. Gene expression module analysis in the selected subtype/cell-type). E. Spatial expression of NPR3 on a Resolve molecular cartography section of the right atrium in IHD sample. F. Proportion of vascular cells, per condition. G. Top 10 enriched gene sets (GO Biological Process) for top 200 genes with FDR < 0.05 in each subtype, for tip cells (TIP), inflammatory endothelial cells (INF) and dividing endothelial cells (DIV). Dot color and size is - $\log 10$ (adjusted enrichment p-value). Only significant (adjusted p-value < 0.05) enrichments are shown.



Fig. S2. Signaling pathway activity enrichment across condition and spatial transcriptomics gene expression changes. Related to Figure 3.

A. Sirtuin signaling pathway from IPA in cardiomyocytes in IHD, IHF, and NIHF. Colors indicate measured and inferred expression/activity changes. Blue stands for downregulation or inhibition, orange/red for upregulation. Yellow line indicates inconsistent changes and purple highlights measured significant changes in the dataset. **B.** Expression of *TRBC2*, *CCL5*, *CD36*, *PPARA*, *PPARG* on Visium slides, from a patient with heart failure and a control sample (*n*=4). **C.** VEGF signaling pathway from IPA in cardiomyocytes and endocardial endothelial cells in IHD, IHF, and NIHF. Blue stands for downregulation or inhibition of the molecule, orange/red for upregulation. Yellow line indicates inconsistent changes.



Fig. S3. VEGF signaling pathway from IPA in endothelial subtypes. Related to Figure 3.

Blue stands for downregulation or inhibition, orange/red for upregulation. Yellow line indicates inconsistent changes.



Fig. S4. HIF signaling pathway from IPA in endothelial subtypes in IHD, IHF, and NIHF. Related to

Figure 3.

Blue stands for downregulation or inhibition, orange/red for upregulation. Yellow line indicates inconsistent changes.



Fig. S5. Renin signaling pathway from IPA in endothelial subtypes in IHD, IHF, and NIHF. Related to

Figure 3.

Blue stands for downregulation or inhibition, orange/red for upregulation. Yellow line indicates inconsistent changes.



Fig. S6. Endothelial cell response to disturbed flow and IL-1b stimulation. Related to Figure 3.

A. Sirtuin signaling pathway from IPA in HUVEC cells under atheroprone (disturbed) flow versus static cells (*N*=3). Blue stands for downregulation or inhibition, orange/red for upregulation. Yellow line indicates inconsistent changes. **B.** IL-1b network from IPA in stimulated HAEC cells. The cells were treated for 2, 8, 14, or 32h with IL-1b. The resulting RNA-seq data were analyzed with IPA (Qiagen) to construct the upstream regulator networks from the graphical summaries. **C.** Sirtuin signaling pathway from IPA in IL-1b-treated HAECs after 2, 8, 14, and 32h of treatment. Blue stands for downregulation or inhibition, orange/red for upregulation. Yellow line indicates inconsistent changes and purple highlights measured significant changes in the dataset. All signaling pathways were constructed with IPA (Qiagen) from either core or comparison analysis.



Fig. S7. Immune cell proportion changes and transcriptional response. Related to Figures 4 and 5.

A. Expression of *CD163*, *LYVE1*, *IL7R* on Visium slides, from a patient with heart failure and a control sample (*n*=4). **B.** Proportion of selected immune cells across conditions in heart tissue and pericardial fluid. Whiskers show the maximum and minimum values, except for outliers (more than 1.5 times the interquartile). **C.** Enrichment of general terms and pathway sharing between terms in macrophages (MP) in stable CAD and acute MI from IPA. A pathway shared between the terms is shown as a circle of the same size and color on the same vertical line between the terms. **D.** Glucocorticoid signaling pathway from IPA in MPs in stable CAD compared to control. Blue stands for downregulation or inhibition, orange/red for upregulation. Yellow line indicates inconsistent changes and purple highlights measured significant changes in the dataset.



EC 🔜 VEC 🔜 EEC 🔜 SMC 📒 L 🔜 MP 🔜 CLL8 + EGR2 📕 CXCL10

Fig. S8. Spatial distribution of CXCL10 expression. Related to Figure 6.

A. Expression of *CXCL10* on Visium slides, from a patient with heart failure and a control sample (*n*=4). **B.** Spatial images (Resolve Molecular Cartography) for EC (*EMCN, ERG, PECAM1, CDH5, VWF*), VEC (*DKK2, ENPP2, PCSK5, CYYR1*), EEC (*PCDH7*), SMC (*NTRK3, MRVII*), L (*BCL11B, CD247, SKAP1, THEMIS*), MP (*CD163, MRC1, F13A1, MS4A6A*), inflammatory (*CCL8* and *EGR2*), and *CXCL10* gene expression in IHD (upper panels and down left) and control (down right) samples.



Fig. S9. Co-expression modules of interest and associated gene-set enrichments. Related to Figure 7.

A. Gene set enrichment with Enrichr for the gene-gene co-expression module containing *SVIL* in SMC. **B.** Gene set enrichment with Enrichr of the gene-gene co-expression module containing *SVIL* in pericardial fluid cells. **C-F.** Gene-gene co-expression modules within Pericardial fluid T lymphocytes, NK cells, B and plasma cells and Mesothelial cells, in addition to a zoom in view of *SVIL*-containing modules, with their associated gene set enrichments from Enrichr.



Fig. S10. Dissection of JCAD / SVIL locus genetic variants, and JCAD/SVIL expression distribution in heart tissue. Related to Figure 7.

A. Browser shot of the rs9337951 locus on chromosome 10 with histone marker signals for aorta, endothelial cells, heart, liver, muscle, and spleen. **B**. Schematic describing the regions targeted for CRISPR-mediated deletion using different combinations of two guide RNAs (gRNAs within the *JCAD/SVIL* locus. **C.** The effect of CRISPR deletion on *JCAD* gene expression. **D.** Confirmation of the success and efficiency of deletion using PCR. **E.** Schematic describing the regions targeted for CRISPR-mediated inhibition using different combinations of two gRNAs **F.** The effect of CRISPR inhibition on *JCAD* gene expression. **G.** *JCAD* and *SVIL* expression in tissue cells by snRNA-seq. **H**. *SVIL* expression in main cell types in snRNA-seq data. **I.** *SVIL* expression together with mitochondrial (MT) and typical ambient RNA genes in the snRNA-seq data.



Fig. S11. Heart snRNA-seq barcode rank plots. Related to STAR Methods.

A-B. Heart snRNA-seq barcode rank Plots. A: Combined plot for all samples. B: Separate plot for each sample.



Fig. S12. snRNA-seq QC metrics distribution. Related to STAR Methods.

A. Scatter plot representing the number of detected genes and the total number of counts, for each nucleus, in the heart snRNA-seq samples (left), and pericardial fluid snRNA-seq samples (right). **B.** QC metric distribution across nuclei for heart snRNA-seq samples, after filtering. From left to right: number of detected genes, total number of counts, mitochondrial expression percentage.



- 6.5 - 6.0 - 5.5

5.0

- 4.5 - 4.0 - 3.5

- 3.0 - 2.5







Fig. S13. Resolve spatial transcriptomics QC metrics distribution. Related to STAR Methods.

A. Distribution of number per transcript, for each Resolve spatial transcriptomics slide, in log scale. **B.** Average number of counts (left) and detected genes (right) per spot, for each Resolve spatial transcriptomics.



Fig. S14. Visium spatial transcriptomics QC distribution. Related to STAR Methods.

A. Distribution of number per transcript, for each Visium spatial transcriptomics slide, in log scale. **B.** Expression distribution (per capture area) of the top 20 highest expressed genes, in all Visium spatial transcriptomics samples. **C.** Scatter plot representing the number of detected genes and the total number of counts, for each spot, in all Visium spatial transcriptomics samples. **D.** QC metric distribution across all spots for all Visium spatial transcriptomics samples, after filtering. From left to right: number of detected genes, total number of counts, mitochondrial expression percentage.





Fig. S15. Distribution of the number of counts and genes for Visium spatial transcriptomics. Related

to STAR Methods.

A-B. Average number of counts (**A**) and detected genes (**B**) per spot, for each Visium spatial transcriptomics sample.

Table S1. Results for allelic activity reporter assay. Related to Figure 7.

		teloH/	AEC Oh	teloHAE	C 6h ll 1b	teloHAEC 24h II1b		HASMC chol		
RS Number	Position (GRCh37)	logFC	FDR	logFC	FDR	logFC	FDR	logFC	FDR	variant typ
rs2478839	chr10:30306804	0,029497	0,89317	-0,22841	0,594769	0,068412	0,895356	0,326823	0,203501	common
rs12762440	chr10:30306879	0,503904	0,12795	0,584236	0,479108	-0,21375	0,566648	-0,50645	0,101235	rare
rs9337951	chr10:30317073	-0,70934	0,0661	-0,22455	0,555735	-0,08465	0,895356	0,471101	0,201433	common
rs531337994	chr10:30317781	0,721114	0,0661	0,307885	0,488862	0,871545	0,16561	1,106221	0,004756	rare
rs7920682	chr10:30317826	-0,44092	0,17706	0,519276	0,479108	-0,27119	0,56637	-0,58955	0,115174	common
rs7920686	chr10:30317838	-0,44092	0,17706	0,519276	0,479108	-0,27119	0,56637	-0,58955	0,115174	common
rs7921028	chr10:30317853	-0,50678	0,33249	1,147921	0,479108	-0,40207	0,56637	-0,1748	0,75763	rare
rs2478835	chr10:30317949	0,256671	0,36864	-0,24893	0,549716	0,61482	0,264878	0,504799	0,101235	common
rs2487928	chr10:30323892	-0,16943	0,42384	0,312584	0,479108	-0,02049	0,912102	-0,26084	0,2621	common
rs148641196	chr10:30331736	-0,92915	0,00225	-1,3914	0,001085	0,108742	0,895356	-1,05572	0,004181	rare
rs113622617	chr10:30331813	0,383709	0,12795	0,696887	0,042102	0,774711	0,49638	0,681045	0,091074	rare
rs1342150	chr10:30331829	-0,4658	0,0661	-1,0913	0,003272	-0,68255	0,49638	-0,83159	0,004756	common
rs193042870	chr10:30331895	0,353719	0,18304	0,954916	0,041686	1,121558	0,332584	-0,4443	0,116393	rare
rs7089816	chr10:30335422	-0,16507	0,43332	-0,25323	0,488862	-0,15209	0,56637	-0,24679	0,2621	common
rs12248176	chr10:30335464	0,115829	0,58075	-0,05112	0,914561	-0,06663	0,895356	0,1731	0,423611	rare
rs2505084	chr10:30335520	0,396745	0,17706	0,02093	0,931401	0,307866	0,332584	0,114771	0,566492	common

Table S2A. CAREBANK samples characteristics. Related to STAR Methods.

	Control	IHD	IHF	NIHIF
Ν	6	11	11	3
Gender	4M 2F	9M 2F	10M 1F	2M 1F
Age (years) *	67±4	68 ± 8	66 ± 13	72 ± 6
BMI (kg/m2) *	29 ± 4	30 ± 4	28 ± 5	29 ± 4
Smoker	0	0	4	0
Atrial fibrillation	0	0	2	2
Treatment for hypertension	4	9	9	3
Treatment for diabetes	2	4	6	0
Treatment for Hypercholesterolemia	3	10	11	1
Heart failure	0	0	5	3
Prior Stroke / TIA	1	0	1	0
Previous myocardial infarction	0	0	4	0
Previous PCI	0	3	2	0
Previous CABG	0	0	0	0
Number of stenosis in the coronary arteries	0	2	2	0
LVEF*	64 ± 4	64 ± 7	38 ± 7	41 ± 9
Betablocker	2	10	9	3
Calcium blocker	0	3	4	2
ACE-inhibitor	5	7	10	3
Warfarin	0	0	3	0
NOAC	0	1	1	1
ASA	4	9	7	0
ADPB	0	1	1	0
Statin	3	10	11	1
Insuline	0	3	4	0

*Mean ± Standard deviation

 Table S2B. PERIHEART samples characteristics. Related to STAR Methods.

	Control	stable CAD	acute MI	remote MI
Ν	4	5	4	5
Gender	all male	all male	all male	all male
Age (years) *	61±7	68 ±4	66 ±6	72 ±6
BMI (kg/m2) *	26 ±4	26 ±3	25 ±2	28 ±3
Smoker	0	0	2	2
Atrial fibrillation	0	0	0	0
Treatment for hypertension	3	4	3	3
Treatment for diabetes	0	1	1	2
Treatment for Hypercholesterolemia	1	3	4	3
Heart failure	0	0	2	1
Prior Stroke / TIA	0	0	0	0
Previous myocardial infarction	0	0	4	5
Previous PCI	0	0	1	3
Previous CABG	0	0	0	0
Number of stenosis in the coronary arteries	0	3	3	3
LVEF *	75 ±4	61 ±7	49 ±13	48 ±9
Betablocker	2	2	3	5
Calcium blocker	0	0	2	0
ACE-inhibitor	1	1	2	4
Warfarin	0	0	0	2
NOAC	0	0	0	0
ASA	0	4	3	4
ADPB	0	0	1	1
Statin	1	4	3	5
Insuline	0	0	0	0

*Mean ± Standard deviation