nature portfolio

Sarah M Fortune Corresponding author(s): Galit Alter

Last updated by author(s): 2024 02 20

Reporting Summary

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our Editorial Policies and the Editorial Policy Checklist.

For all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.

\sim		40.00	
۲	at	コミキ	ics

n/a	Confirmed
	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
	The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
	A description of all covariates tested
\boxtimes	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i>) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted <i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.</i>
\boxtimes	For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
\boxtimes	For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
\boxtimes	Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's <i>d</i> , Pearson's <i>r</i>), indicating how they were calculated
	. Our web collection on statistics for biologists contains articles on many of the points above.

Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code

Data collection

All systems serology data generated on the iQue Plus Screener was collected using Forecyt software.

Data analysis

Univariate comparisons of individual assayed antibody features were performed in GraphPad Prism 9. Mixed linear models were created using the R package "Ime4" and visualized using "ggplot2" version 3.3.5 in R version 4.0.2. LASSO features selection using the R package "systemsseRology". ROC curves were generated, and AUC calculated, in Python using package "sklearn.metrics" version 1.2.1, and confidence intervals programmed using "scipy.stats" version 1.10.1.

The code used for the analyses in this paper is available on Github at https://github.com/ChuangqiWang/TB_Progressor.

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

RISK6 scores included in analyses within the current manuscript were previously calculated for both the ACS cohort (ref 15) and the GC6 cohort (GEO Accession number: GSE94438). All data generated as part of this manuscript will be available in the main text or the supplementary materials. No custom biological materials were generated during the course of this research. All samples were obtained from the researchers who conducted the original ACS and GC6 observational studies, all of whom can be contacted about sample availability from the respective cohorts.

Research involving human participants, their data, or biological material

Policy information about studies with <u>human participants or human data</u>. See also policy information about <u>sex, gender (identity/presentation)</u>, <u>and sexual orientation</u> and <u>race</u>, <u>ethnicity</u> and <u>racism</u>.

Reporting on sex and gender

Both male and female participants, by self-reported sex, were included in both ACS and GC6 studies. Included participants from ACS were 67.1% female, and those from GC6 were 58.7% female. Sex-stratified analyses of the measured Mtb-specific antibody responses are key findings presented in the manuscript, and they are shown in Figures 3 and 5.

Reporting on race, ethnicity, or other socially relevant groupings

Population characteristics

ACS is a cohort of HIV-negative South African adolescents aged 12-18 years. GC6 is a cohort of HIV-negative people aged 10–60 years who had household exposure to an adult with sputum smear-positive TB, and all included participants here were from South Africa. Available demographic data for each cohort is described in Supplementary Tables 1 and 2.

Recruitment

See https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5392204/#SD2

Ethics oversight

All clinical research performed in this study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The clinical samples analyzed in this manuscript were collected as part of the original ACS and GC6-74 observational studies and are described in detail in the original publication and in the Experimental Design section. Samples were collected at all sites only after written informed consent was given by the patients' legal guardian. Subjects in ACS were compensated an amount of R50 (approximately 7 US dollars) in the form of a non-cash payment such as a voucher at every occasion of a blood draw. Subjects in GC6 were compensated for loss of income and transport costs incurred due to research visits. For the ACS cohort study, protocols were approved by the University of Cape Town Research Ethics Committee, Cape Town, South Africa. For the GC6-74 study, protocols were approved by the institutional review boards of Stellenbosch University, Case Western Reserve University, the Uganda National Council for Science and Technology, and the Joint Gambian Government/MRC Ethics Committee. The systems serology analysis was approved by Massachusetts General Hospital.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection	n.
☑ Life sciences ☐ Behavioural & social sciences ☐ Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences	
For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see nature com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat pdf	

Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample size Sample size was determined based on sample availability at the clinical sites where the ACS and G6-74 studies were conducted.

Data exclusions Raw data were excluded if they failed to meet quality control measures, specifically if the Luminex bead count was less than 20 for a given

data point. Otherwise, no data were excluded.

Replication

All assays were performed in two technical replicates, or two biological replicates where applicable, and strong correlation between replicates was confirmed as part of quality control of raw data. The univariate and multivariate antibody signatures identified as associated with

progression in the ACS cohort were validated in a second cohort, GC6.

Randomization Participant allocation was not random, but rather included matched cases and controls. ACS and GC6 were both conducted as large

Randomization	prospective cohort studies. A number of individuals within each study progressed to active TB within the study period. All available sample:
	from these progressors were included in the current study, as well as non-progressors matched by available demographic features.

Blinding

Materials & experimental systems

n/a | Involved in the study

Commonly misidentified lines

(See ICLAC register)

Investigators were blinded as to progressor/non-progressor status during experimental data collection. We performed a supervised computational analysis, and thus analysis was not performed in a blinded manner.

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

n/a Involved in the study

Antibodies	ChIP-seq	
Eukaryotic cell lines	Flow cytometry	
Palaeontology and a	orchaeology MRI-based neuroimaging	
Animals and other o	rganisms	
Clinical data		
Dual use research of	f concern	
Plants		
Antibodies		
Antibodies used	Phycoerythrin (PE)-conjugated goat anti-human antibodies from Southern Biotech: IgG (9040-09), IgG1 (9052-09), IgG2 (9070-09), IgG3 (9210-09), IgG4 (9200-09), IgA1 (9130-09), IgA2 (9140-09), and IgM (9020-09). Anti-human CD66b antibody from BioLegend (305112).	
Validation	All antibodies used were validated by the manufacturers. RRID numbers are available for all antibody reagents as follows: AB_2796601 (IgG), AB_2796621 (IgG1), AB_2796639 (IgG2), AB_2796701 (IgG3), AB_2796693 (IgG4), AB_2796656 (IgA1), AB_2796664 (IgA2), AB_2796577 (IgM), AB_2563294 (CD66b).	
Eukaryotic cell lin	es	
Policy information about <u>ce</u>	ell lines and Sex and Gender in Research	
Cell line source(s)	THP-1 cells (human acute monocytic leukemia cell line, American Type Culture Collection);	
Authentication	Cell lines were not authenticated after purchase.	
Mycoplasma contamination	On Cell lines were not tested for mycoplasma contamination.	

No commonly misidentified cell lines were used in this study.