The SCREENIVF Hungarian version is a valid and reliable measure accurately predicting possible depression in female infertility patients

Judit Szigeti F.*, PhD, PsyD, Institute of Behavioural Sciences, Semmelweis University, Üllői út 26, 1085 Budapest, Hungary; Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, Semmelweis University, Üllői út 26, 1085 Budapest, Hungary

Réka E. Sexty, PhD, Department of Psychology, University of Graz, Dachgeschoß - 2. Stock, 2, 8010 Graz, Austria; <u>reka.sexty@uni-graz.at</u>

Georgina Szabó, MA, MSc, Doctoral School of Mental Health Sciences, Semmelweis University, Üllői út 26, 1085 Budapest, Hungary; North Buda Saint John's Hospital Center and Outpatient Clinic, Department of Psychiatry, Diós árok 1-3, 1125 Budapest, Hungary; <u>georginee@gmail.com</u>

Csaba Kazinczi, MA, Department of Clinical Psychology, Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary; Doctoral School of Clinical Medicine, University of Szeged, 6722 Szeged, Hungary; <u>kazinczicsaba.pszichologus@gmail.com</u>

Zsuzsanna Kéki, MSc, Directorate for Human Reproduction, National Directorate General for Hospitals, Buda-part tér 2, BudaPart Gate Irodaház A. ép. 406, 1117 Budapest, Hungary; keki.zsuzsanna@okfo.gov.hu

Miklós Sipos, PhD, Assisted Reproduction Center, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Semmelweis University, Üllői út 26, 1085 Budapest, Hungary; <u>sipos.miklos.dr@gmail.com</u>

Péter Przemyslaw Ujma, PhD, Institute of Behavioural Sciences, Semmelweis University, Üllői út 26, 1085 Budapest, Hungary; <u>peteru88@gmail.com</u>

György Purebl, PhD, Institute of Behavioural Sciences, Semmelweis University, Üllői út 26, 1085 Budapest, Hungary; <u>purebl.gyorgy@gmail.com</u>

Supplementary information

Table S1

Title: Item and item-subscale statistics for the Hungarian version of the SCREENIVF

SCREENIVE subscales and item content	$\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{a}}$	+ SD ^b	Corrected item-subscale	Cronbach's	
SCREENT VF subscares and tem content	1VI	± 5D	correlation	deleted	
Anxiety (A ^c)					
A1 feeling fine	2.20	.936	.672	.875	
A2 feeling satisfied	2.47	.963	.699	.873	
A3 worrying too much	2.28	.968	.590	.880	
A4 being happy	2.38	.956	.694	.873	
A5 disturbing thoughts	2.32	.984	.621	.878	
A6 feeling safe	2.04	.910	.509	.885	
A7 feeling pleased	2.59	1.012	.653	.876	
A8 haunting thoughts	1.83	.896	.450	.889	
A9 taking disappointments seriously	2.04	1.033	.662	.875	
A10 worried about current troubles	2.40	1.053	.690	.873	
Depression (D ^d)					
D1 sadness	.54	.608	.666	.766	
D2 negative view of future	.72	.727	.585	.778	
D3 failures	.61	.684	.574	.780	
D4 anhedonia	.66	.748	.583	.779	
D5 self-disappointment	.48	.761	.575	.781	
D6 self-blame	.44	.677	.509	.792	
D7 suicidal ideation	.09	.300	.381	.814	
Social support (S ^e)					
S1 someone to help one when tense	3.39	.832	.739	.886	
S2 someone to talk to about nice experiences	3.79	.538	.656	.902	
S3 someone to comfort one when in pain	3.47	.781	.825	.863	
S4 someone to talk to when sad	3.52	.751	.854	.857	
S5 someone to help one with difficult job	3.55	.724	.736	.883	
Helplessness cognitions (HC ^f)					
HC1 missing out on important things	2.20	1.016	.595	.857	
HC2 fertility problem controlling life	2.14	.948	.682	.842	
HC3 feeling useless	2.00	.014	.624	.852	
HC4 life incomplete	2.65	.019	.711	.836	
HC5 affect important aspects	2.13	.014	.743	.831	
HC6 feeling helpless	2.64	.057	.634	.850	
Acceptance cognitions (AC ^g)					
AC1 ability to deal with consequences	2.22	.835	.685	.928	
AC2 ability to live with fertility problem	2.07	.923	.795	.915	
AC3 learning how to accept fertility problem	1.95	.871	.834	.910	
AC4 ability to accept fertility problem	1.98	.933	.839	.909	
AC5 cope with fertility problem even if not solved	2.09	.890	.771	.918	
AC6 ability to cope with fertility problem	2.16	.847	.827	.911	

Note. ^aMean; ^bStandard deviation; ^cAnxiety subscale item; ^dDepression subscale item; ^eSocial support subscale item; ^fHelplessness cognitions subscale item; ^gAcceptance cognitions subscale item.

Table S2

Title: Sensitivity and specificity statistics for different cutoff points on the SCREENIVF Depression subscale and Risk Factors scale

		SCREENIVF Risk Factors Scale		SCREENIVF 'At risk' c/o ^a 0/1			SCREENIVF 'At risk' c/o 1/2		
SCREEN- IVF Depression subscale cutoff	BDI ^b Category	Predictive power (BLR ^c)	AUC ^d in ROC ^e - analysis	Predictive power (BLR)	Youden -index	'At risk' population rate	Predictive power (BLR)	Youden -index	'At risk' population rate
3/4 (Beck et al., 1997)	C/NC ^f (c/o 9/10)	84.5 B=1.862 Wald= 158.99	.877 [CI ^g : .849- .905]	84.5 B=3.395 Wald= 242.70	J=69.0	50.9%	77.4 B=3.399 Wald= 139.57	J=54.7	31.9%
	NM/MS ^h (c/o 18/19)	86.7 B=1.376 Wald= 144.80	.905 [CI: .880- .930]	80.5 B=5.271 Wald= 27.342	J=60.1		81.4 B=3.100 Wald= 133.84	J=64.8	
4/5 (Cluster analysis)	C/NC (c/o 9/10)	82.3 B=1.787 Wald= 139.95	.848 [CI: .817- .879]	82.3 B=3.155 Wald= 218.09	J=64.6	42.0%	76.0 B=3.557 Wald=118. 61	J=51.9	29.3%
	NM/MS (c/o 18/19)	87.6 B=1.434 Wald= 151.28	.918 [CI: .895- .940]	80.5 B=5.592 Wald= 30.75	J=67.4		83.1 B=3.116 Wald= 144.61	J=65.1	
6/7 (M ⁱ +SD ^j)	C/NC (c/o 9/10)	77.8 B=1.636 Wald= 109.39	.795 [CI: .760- .831]	77.8 B=2.757 Wald= 170.46	J=55.6	39.2%	69.8 B=3.143 Wald= 85.24	J=39,4	22.8%
	NM/MS (c/o 18/19)	88.5 B=1.462 Wald= 155.54	.898 [CI: .863- .932]	80.5 B=3.363 Wald= 103.28	J=64.7		87.4 B=3.380 Wald= 174.41	J=66.4	

Note. All models were significant at the p<.01 level. The best sensitivity was reached with the SCREENIVF Depression subscale cutoff of 3/4 and the Risk Factors scale cutoff of 0/1. The best specificity was reached with the SCREENIVF Depression subscale cutoff of 6/7 and the Risk Factors scale cutoff of 1/2. ^acut-off; ^bBeck Depression Inventory; ^cbinary logistic regression; ^darea under curve; ^ereceiver operating characteristic; ^fCase vs No-case; ^gconfidence interval; ^hNo-to-mild vs Moderate-to-severe; ⁱMean; ^jStandard deviation.

Figure S1

Title: Two-step cluster analysis of the SCREENIVF Risk Factors scale with a 6/7 (mean+standard deviation) cutoff on the Depression subscale



Figure S2

Title: ROC curves for total and individual SCREENIVF risk factors



Diagonal segments are produced by ties.