Study Name; Year; Country	Study Aim and Type	Participant Population	Study Intervention	Endpoint Results	Study Limitations; Adverse Events				
Interventions	Interventions delivered in the postpartum (pp) period								
Balance after Baby ¹ 2014, USA	Aim: To examine effectiveness of web-based lifestyle intervention based on DPP modified for women with GDM to ↓ pp weight retention Study type: RCT; Intent to treat analyses	Inclusion criteria: Aged 18–45 y, GDM in recent pregnancy Sample size: N=75 randomized (57% White, 29% Black, 15% Asian; 20% Hispanic participants)* Mean (SD) BMI: 31.2 (5.8) kg/m ² intervention; 31.6 (5.5) kg/m ² control Trial retention: 91% at 12 mo	Intervention: N=36 Web-based lifestyle program emphasizing dietary choices, recommendation ↑ PA ≥150 min/wk, self-monitoring, telephone or email sessions with lifestyle coach, dietitian counseling (weekly for 1st 12 wk every 2 wk for 12 wk, and monthly thereafter) <u>Comparator</u> : (Control) N=39 Handout at recruitment	Primary endpoints:Change (Δ) in measured bodyweight at 12 mo from first ppmeasured weight and self-reportedprepregnancy weightResults:Between group difference of 3.3 kgadjusting for baseline weight(p=.022) from 6 wk to 12 mo pp.Women in intervention were closerto prepregnancy weight at 12 mo pp(-0.7 kg; -3.5 to 2.2) vs. control (4.0 kg; 1.3 to 6.8, p=.035).	 Limitations: Single-center trial Potential bias from self-reported prepregnancy weight Cost-effectiveness not measured 				
LEVA in Real Life study ^{13,14} 2016, 2017, Sweden	Aim: To assess short- and long- term effectiveness of diet behavior modification treatment for weight ↓ in pp women in primary health care setting	Inclusion criteria: Self-reported BMI ≥27 kg/m ² at 6–15 wk pp Sample size: N=110 randomized (ethnicity not reported [NR]) N=100 (12 w), 89 (12 mo), and 87 (24 ma) included in	Intervention: N=54 Diet behavior modification group: 4-d diet record after baseline visit; Within 1–2 wk: in- person dietician visit (1.5 h). Received booklet of diet plan covering 4 diet principles, weekly and final weight-loss goals, instructed to weigh $\ge 3 \text{ x/wk}$, and use weight as proxy for energy	Primary endpoint: Δ weight at 12 wk and 12 moSecondary endpoint: Δ weight at 24 moResults:At 12 wk, median weight Δ inintervention [-6.1 kg (-8.4, -3.2) vs-1.6 kg (-3.5, -0.4) in control (p<0.001). Difference maintained at	 Limitations: Well-educated, motivated sample; may not be generalizable Potential bias from self-reported prepregnancy weight Lack of data on weekend dietary behavior At 24 mo fu, no data on 				
	<u>Study type</u> : RCT; Completers-	and 8 / (24 mo) included in completers only analyses	food choices and calculations for	12 mo: -10.0 kg (-11.7, -5.9) vs -4.3 kg (-10.2, -1.0) (p=0.004).	weight management strategies; high				

Supplemental Table. Randomized Controlled Trials of Pregnancy and Postpartum Lifestyle Interventions Targeting Postpartum Weight

Copyright 2024 American Heart Association, Inc.

Study Name; Year:	Study Aim and Type	Participant Population	Study Intervention	Endpoint Results	Study Limitations; Adverse Events
Country	Type				
	only analyses	Median (IQR) BMI: 28.4 (6.4) kg/m ² Trial retention: 91% at 12 wk; 85% at 12 mo; 81% at 24 mo	weight \$\\$; strategies to overcome barriers; Contacted biweekly by dietitian with text messages, asked to report weight and provided with reinforcement /feedback; text message after 6 wk replaced with telephone call for questions and feedback.	Intervention vs control: \downarrow BMI, waist circumference, hip circumference, and body fat % at 12 wk and 12 mo (all p <0.05); greater \uparrow steps at 12 wk (1187 ± 2371 vs - 542 ± 2854 steps/d, p =0.005), greater energy intake \downarrow at 12 wk [-667 (-1176, -209) vs180 (-543, +191) kcal/d, p <0.001], but not at	proportion of new pregnancies limited statistical power <u>Adverse events:</u> NR
			<u>Comparator</u> : (Control) N=56 Baseline, brochure on healthy eating and recommendations for a weight \downarrow of 0.5 kg/wk.	12 mo than control; Intervention \downarrow % fat intake (p =0.004) and \uparrow % protein intake than control at 12 wk (p <0.001). Intervention \downarrow % saturated fat energy and \uparrow energy- adjusted fiber intake >control at 12 wk (both p <0.01), but not at 12 mo.	
Fit	Aim: To assess 12	Inclusion criteria:	<u>Intervention</u> : 6 clinics, N=174	Primary endpoint:	Limitations:
Moms/Mamás Activas ²	mo effects of culturally and	6 wk to 12 mo pp; BMI >25 or BMI 22–24.9 kg/m ²	participants ; WIC program $+ 12$ mo internet-based weight \downarrow	Weight Δ at 12 mo	• Sample restricted to women in WIC program;
2017, USA	adapted, primarily internet-based weight loss intervention for	and exceeding prepregnancy weight by ≥4.5 kg Sample size:	program. Bilingual (English and Spanish) guidance and resources, automated feedback, weekly lessons, web diary, weight and PA tracker, instructional and	Results: 12 mo: weight \downarrow 2.3 kg (95% CI, 1.1–3.5) >in intervention vs. usual care (UC) over 12 mo (p <.001)	 some not reached or refused Providing participants with internet access could be cost-prohibitive
	women in Special Supplemental	N=12 clinics randomized N=371 participants (82% Hispanic participants)*	board. 4 weekly text messages (new web-site content and	Secondary endpoint: Proportion returning to preconception weight and Δ in PA	• Intervention tested as a package so unknown which components most
	for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC program).	Mean (SD) BMI: 31.7 (5.1) kg/m ² Trial retention: 89% at 12	feedback), monthly face-to-face group sessions at WIC clinics, promotional cards during regular WIC program visits	Results: 32.8% in intervention and 18.6% in UC were \leq preconception weight by 12 mo [difference 14.2]	 Potential bias from self- reported prepregnancy weight
	-	mo		(4.7–23.5) percentage points, p	Adverse events:

Study Name; Year;	Study Aim and Type	Participant Population	Study Intervention	Endpoint Results	Study Limitations; Adverse Events
	Study type: Cluster RCT; Intent to treat analyses		<u>Comparator</u> : (Usual care) 6 clinics, N=196 (1 clinic withdrew after randomization), WIC program + brief orientation and newsletters every 2 mo	<.001). Intervention NS (p =.76) effects on MPA or VPA (difference, -0.7 min/d [95% CI, -42.0 to 10.6]) or other activity, which \downarrow over time. Calorie intake \downarrow over time but NS (p =.06) group differences (-154 kcal/d [95% CI, -325 to 17]).	No group differences
Basharat et al. ³ 2018, Pakistan	Aim: To evaluate effect of low glycemic index diet on weight ↓ among pp women with obesity Study Type: RCT; Analysis type not specified	Inclusion criteria: Available prepregnancy weight, baseline BMI >30 kg/m ² , delivery within 6 wk Sample size: N=74 (ethnic distribution NR) Mean (SD) BMI: 31.2 (0.6) kg/m ² intervention; 31.5 (0.6) kg/m ² control Trial retention: NR	Intervention: N=38 Moderate energy low glycemic index diet for 12 wk, 7-d menu cycle suggested. Comparator: (Control) N=36 Routine diet as before	Endpoints: Weight and BMI at 12 wk Results: Significant intervention effect on weight \downarrow (83.6±0.75 vs. 89.1±2, p=0.02); BMI (29.4±0.36 vs. 30±0.2, p=0.02), body fat % (36.9±0.9 vs. 40.5±0.3, p=0.03) and fat mass (26.4±0.28 vs. 29.3±0.2, p=0.02) in intervention vs. control.	 Limitations: Unspecified analyses Lack of information on randomization and blinding Trial retention not reported Lack of information on participant characteristics
PAIGE ⁴ 2018, UK	Aim: To examine influence of pp lifestyle intervention for women with GDM and overweight Study type: RCT; Intent to treat analyses	Inclusion criteria: PP women ≥18 y; BMI ≥25 kg/m ² and history of GDM in recent pregnancy Sample size: N=60 randomized (85% White participants) Mean (SD) BMI: 34.1 (6.3) kg/m ² intervention; 33.6 (5.4) kg/m ² UC	Intervention: N=29 Usual care + 4 elements: initial 60-min educational session at early pp OGTT, referral to Slimming World (12-wk membership), pedometer, and structured telephone and text support <u>Comparator</u> : N=31 Usual care only: educational DVD	Primary endpoint:Weight \downarrow at 6 moResults: Intervention vs control:weight \downarrow at 6 mo: 3.9 ± 7.0 kg vs 0.7 ± 3.8 kg, p=0.02.Secondary endpoint:Fasting glucose and 2-h OGTTglucose, waist circumference, BMI,and pedometer counts	 Limitations: High nonattendance for routine pp OGTT affected recruitment and limits generalizability Primarily White participants, despite higher risk for GDM in minoritized racial and ethnic groups Inconsistent adherence to pedometers

Study Name; Year; Country	Study Aim and Type	Participant Population	Study Intervention	Endpoint Results	Study Limitations; Adverse Events
		Trial retention: 75% at 6 mo		Results: NS difference in blood glucose at baseline or 6 mo. Intervention vs control: \downarrow BMI (-1.4±2.7 kg/m ² vs. 0.2±1.4 kg/m ² , p=0.03) and \downarrow waist circumference (-2.9±6.7 cm vs. 1.7±5.3 cm). Pedometer counts NR.	
Estudio	Aim: To assess	Inclusion criteria:	Intervention: N=100	Primary endpoint:	Limitations:
PARTO ⁵	impact of	Hispanic women at ↑	Lifestyle Intervention: Bilingual	Δ in weight prepregnancy to 6-wk,	• ~ 30% did not participate
	culturally-	risk for type 2 diabetes	(English and Spanish) print	6-mo, and 12-mo pp	in study pp and missing
2021, USA	modified,	(plasma glucose ≥140	materials, 4 face-to-face and 13		pp weights
	motivationally	mg/dL) on routine	telephone booster sessions.	Results: Intervention arms: NS	• Potential bias from self-
	individually	screening for GDM	Questionnaires at 6 wk, 6 mo,	differences in weight Δ using	reported pp weight
	tailored	Sample size:	\uparrow PA by 10% per wk and calorie	weights across all timepoints	
	intervention on pp	N=204 randomized (100%)	goals based on DPP and	$(\beta=0.03, 95\% \text{ CI} - 3.38, 3.45)$	
	weight retention	Hispanic participants)*	considered breastfeeding status.	(p 0.05, 75, 70 Cr 5.150, 51.15)	
	among Hispanic		6	Secondary endpoints:	
	women with	Mean (SD) BMI: 31	<u>Comparator:</u> (Health and	Weight ↓ to prepregnancy weight if	
	abnormal OGTT	$(8.1) \text{ kg/m}^2$	Wellness Intervention) N=104	BMI normal or 5% \downarrow of	
	during pregnancy		Received general health	prepregnancy BMI overweight at 6	
		Trial retention: 70% at	information. Same number of	wk, 6 mo and 12 mo	
	Study type:	12 mo pp	contact sessions as intervention.		
	RCT; Intent to treat			Results: 12 mo pp: lifestyle	
	analyses		Both arms: introductory phase	intervention significant \uparrow odds of	
			(29 wk gestation to birth), active	weight \downarrow (OK 2.52, 95% CI 1.09, 5.82) vs Health and Wellness: NS	
			maintenance (6 mo $_1$ y nn)	difference between arms across time	
			manifemance (0 mo 1 y pp)	points (OR 0.78, 95% CI 0.46, 1.34)	
				In both arms, ↑ pp sports/exercise	
				associated with $>\downarrow$ weight (β =-2.39,	
				95% CI -4.66, -0.13, p=0.04). NS	

Study Name; Year; Country	Study Aim and Type	Participant Population	Study Intervention	Endpoint Results	Study Limitations; Adverse Events
				group differences in weight \downarrow	
				outcome (OR 1.65, 95% CI 0.78,	
				3.48). Findings unchanged when	
				considering adherence to the	
				Lifestyle intervention	
Interventions	delivered in in preg	gnancy and postpartum			
Gestational	Aim: To compare	Inclusion criteria:	Intervention: 22 medical	Primary endpoints:	Limitations:
Diabetes'	effectiveness of	Pregnant women with	facilities; N=1087 participants	Proportion meeting weight goal at	 Missing clinic-measured
Effects on	DPP-derived	GDM	2 wk pregnancy: letter with	12 m pp (reaching pregravid	weight data (26% at 6 m
Moms (GEM) ⁶	lifestyle		personalized goals for GWG, diet	weight if pregravid BMI <25 kg/m ²	pp, 33% at 12 m pp); ↓ to
	intervention on pp	Sample size:	and PA tips	or \downarrow 5% of pregravid weight if	14% at 6 m and 19% at
2016, USA	weight retention	N=44 facilities	6 wk-6 m pp: print/telephone-	BMI \geq 25 kg/m ²) and pregravid to	12 m with use of self-
	for women with	randomized with N=2280	based lifestyle program (DPP-	pp weight Δ	reported weight
	GDM	participants (41% Asian,	derived); Lifestyle coach		
		25% White, 22%	reviewed 13-session guidebook	Results: Intervention vs UC 12-m	Adverse events: No
	Study type:	Hispanic, 5% Black, 3%	and weekly goals for diet and PA.	pp: ↑ odds (OR 1.28, [95% CI 1.10,	difference in minor adverse
	Cluster RCT;	multiracial, 2% other	7–12 m pp: 3 newsletters	1.47]) of meeting weight goals;	events
	Intent to treat	participants)*	encouraged maintaining health	proportion meeting weight goals \uparrow at	
	analyses		behaviors	6 wk (25.5% vs. 22.4%; OR 1.17	
		Mean (SD) BMI: 28.7 (6.8)		[95% CI 1.01, 1.36]) and 6 m (30.6%	
		kg/m ²	<u>Comparator</u> : (UC) 22 medical	vs. 23.9%; OR 1.45 [95% CI 1.14,	
			facilities; n=1193 participants	1.83]) but difference \downarrow at 12 m	
		Trial retention: 97% over	Pregnancy: telephone-based case	(33.0% vs. 28.0%; OR 1.25 [95% CI	
		12 mo	management for glucose control	0.96, 1.62]). At 6 m, intervention vs	
			6 wk pp: letter encouraged	UC retained < weight (mean 0.39 kg	
			diabetes screening and provided	[SD 5.5] vs 0.95 [5.5]; mean	
			printed materials on healthy BMI,	difference -0.64 kg [95% CI -1.13 -	
			eating and PA. If prediabetes:	0.14]. Equally effective across racial	
			materials on lifestyle prevention	and ethnic groups.	
			strategies and educational classes		
				Secondary endpoints: Δ from	
				pregnancy to pp in daily energy	
				intake, % calories from fat, PA,	

Study Name; Year; Country	Study Aim and Type	Participant Population	Study Intervention	Endpoint Results	Study Limitations; Adverse Events
				hypertension, depression. Results : 6 m pp: intervention > ↑ min/wk of VPA (mean difference 15.4 min/wk [95% CI 4.9, 25.8]); No differences in MPA, total volume of activity (MET min/wk), ↓ daily energy intake and % calories from fat, hypertension, or depression.	
Sprouts ⁷⁻⁹ 2017–2018, USA	Aim: To compare effects of Parents as Teachers (PAT, control) curriculum with nutrition and PA enhanced PAT curriculum (PATE, experimental) on pp PA, diet quality, and weight at 12 m pp in rural, southern, primarily Black participants <u>Study type:</u> RCT; Completers-only analyses	 Inclusion criteria: >18 y, <19 wk pregnant with 1st, 2nd, or 3rd child, singleton pregnancy; and resident of Mississippi Sample size: N=105 randomized (97% Black and 3% White participants)* N=54 included in completers-only analyses Mean (SD) BMI: 29.2 (7.7) kg/m² intervention group; 28.6 (8.2) kg/m² comparator group 	PATE (lifestyle enhanced home visit curriculum) + control weight management and childhood obesity prevention: culturally sensitive, in-person individualized education; monthly newsletters, and instructional videos; MyPlate eating plans for gestational or pp periods, weight \uparrow and \downarrow charts, and goal setting for diet and PA; anticipatory guidance and parenting support. PP PA was topic of pp mo 6, 9, and 11 visits. Participants set exercise goals at each pp visit. Comparator: N=30 PAT (standard home visit curriculum); In-person home	Primary endpoint: Δ weight, PA, and diet quality at 12 mo pp Results: Weight: NS effects for treatment, time, or interaction. PA: Intervention vs control weekly min MVPA at pp mo 1 and 12: 40 and 40 vs 8 and 50. Sig. time effect but result NS. Diet: Control means: (95% CI) of total Healthy Eating Index-2010 scores were 36.8 (32.5 to 41.1), 36.5 (31.9 to 41.1), 40.2 (35.7 to 44.8), 39.3 (34.7 to 43.9), and 36.4 (31.8 to 41.0) at mo 1, 4, 6, 8, and 12, respectively. Intervention means: 42.3 (37.5 to 47.0), 41.6 (36.3 to 46.9), 40.2 (34.8 to 45.7), 45.8 (40.5 to 51.1), and 37.6 (32.6 to 42.7),	 Outcome data collection not blinded Impact of transition of parent educators High participant dropout Small sample size No objective measure of PA Underreported dietary intake, which ↑ with ↑ BMI Low attendance at group meetings Potential bias from self- reported prepregnancy weight
		Trial retention: 44% at 12 mo	visits, optional monthly group meetings, developmental screenings, and resource network for families.	respectively. Intervention scores sig. > vs. control across time (p=0.034). Time and interaction effects NS.	INK

Study Name; Vear:	Study Aim and	Participant Population	Study Intervention	Endpoint Results	Study Limitations; Adverse Events
Country	турс				
PAT +	Aim: To evaluate	Inclusion criteria :	Intervention: N=133	Primary endpoint:	Limitations:
Lifestyle ¹⁰	efficacy of home-	Age 18–45; BMI 25.0–	PAT + Lifestyle; Curriculum	$\% \Delta$ in weight from baseline to 12	 Single race group,
	based lifestyle	45.0 kg/m ² at 1st visit in	content adapted from DPP; Goal	mo pp.	homogeneous
	intervention	1st trimester; singleton	to return to baseline weight with		sociodemographic and
2019, USA	delivered through	viable gestation ≤ 15 wk;	reinforcement of learned prenatal	Results:	geographic
	Parents as	low-income determined by	eating and PA behaviors	PAT + Lifestyle group gained	backgrounds limit
	Teachers (PAT) on	Medicaid status, or zip		<weight (2.5="" 5.7="" kg="" kg,="" p="0.01)</td" vs.=""><td>generalizability</td></weight>	generalizability
	↓ weight gain	code.	<u>Comparator</u> : N=134		 Unable to determine
	through 12-mo pp		Standard PAT curriculum;	<u>Secondary endpoint</u> :	maintenance of results
	in low-income	Sample size:	Maternal, infant and early	1) proportion to baseline weight at	past 12 mo pp
	Black women with	N=267 randomized	childhood information to \uparrow	12 mo pp, 2) proportion with GWG	
	overweight or		parenting knowledge and skills	(weight gain baseline to 35–36 wk	Adverse events:
	obesity	N=185 completers		gestation) categorized as above or	NR
	~ -	included in analysis (100%)	Both arms: Home visits with	not above Institute of Medicine	
	Study type:	Black participants)*	parent educators every 2 wk	weight gain during pregnancy	
	RCT; Modified		during pregnancy and every mo	guidelines	
	intent to treat	Mean (SD) BMI: 31.9	for 12-mo pp.		
	analyses	(5.0) kg/m ² intervention;		Kesults:	
		$32.7 (5.2) \text{ kg/m}^2$		PAI + Lifestyle more likely to	
		comparator		return to baseline weight $(38.0\% \text{ vs.})$	
		1		21.5% p=0.01) from baseline to 12	
		Trial retention: 69% at 12		In pp vs. standard PA1. PA1 \pm	
		mo		than standard PAT, but NS	
	A:m. To aggagg	Induction oritorias	Intervention, N-112	Dring own on de sint:	Timitationa.
Brognongy and	AIM: TO assess	18 to 14 y gostational	<u>Intervention</u> : N=112 Rehavioral lifestyle intervention	PP weight retention at 12 m	<u>Limitations:</u>
Pregnancy and Postportum	programan and pr	10 10 44 y, gestational	Early programmy to 6 m pp. 2	rr weight retention at 12 m	• Findings may not
(HIDD) ¹¹	behavioral lifestyle	$age \leq 10$ wK, while of Black participants	counseling sessions brief	Dosults.	generalize to women with
(1111)	intervention on pr	English-speaking and	telephone counseling behavioral	At 6 m. Intervention retained <	racial and ethnic
2022 USA	weight	nrenregnancy RMI >25	nodcasts and social media	weight vs UC (difference: -3.6 kg	hackgrounds or whose
2022, 0011		kg/m^2 and weight < 370	support for weight self-	95% CI -5 5 to -1 8) had 2 3 times	first prenatal care visit is
	1	ng in and weight _ 570	Support for weight ben	2070 CI 0.0 to 1.0), nud 2.0 times	mor premaran care visit is
	Study type:	lbs.	monitoring. \uparrow PA, and dietary	↑ odds of no weight retention (95%	later than 16 wk

Study Name; Year;	Study Aim and Type	Participant Population	Study Intervention	Endpoint Results	Study Limitations; Adverse Events
Country	J 1				
	treat analyses	Sample size: N=228 randomized (44% Black and 56% White participants)* Mean (SD) BMI: 32.3 (5.9) kg/m ² Trial retention: 79% at 12 m	Pregnancy intervention: Counseling session (≤18 wk gestation): reports and feedback on diet and PA, weight tracking graph, guidance on GWG, PA and diet goals, set initial PA and diet goal; received handouts, pedometer, and weigh scale. After session, 10 weekly counseling calls on behavioral strategy, a diet/PA topic, and 10 podcasts. Received shorter weekly or biweekly counseling calls throughout pregnancy. Postpartum intervention: Weekly check-in calls; 4 wk pp: received 1st of 16 weekly podcasts on 16 core DPP sessions; focused on gradual weight ↓; 6–8 wk pp: Counseling session and 6- mo weight tracking graph; Through 6 mo pp, biweekly calls. Pregnancy to 6 mo pp: Encouraged to join Facebook group to reinforce intervention and support each other <u>Comparator</u> : N=107 (UC) Encouraged to attend prenatal care with provides; 6 mailings per mo in pregnancy and pp; weekly	retaining \geq 5% of prepregnancy weight (adjusted OR 0.3, 95% CI 0.1 to 0.5) At 12 mo: Intervention effect on pp weight maintained (condition difference: -2.4 kg, 95% CI -4.3 to -0.5). Intervention had \downarrow odds of retaining \geq 5% of prepregnancy weight (adjusted OR 0.3, 95% CI 0.2 to 0.6)	randomized • Completers of pp visits had higher socioeconomic status than noncompleters • Did not reach recruitment goal; may be underpowered <u>Adverse events:</u> NR

Study Name;Study Aim andYear;TypeCountryType	Participant Population	Study Intervention	Endpoint Results	Study Limitations; Adverse Events
		podcasts: 10 during pregnancy and 16 during pp.		
HELP ¹² <u>Aim</u> : To evaluate the effects of	Inclusion criteria : Demographic profile of 20	<u>Intervention</u> : N=10 intervention centers, with	Primary endpoint: BMI at 12 mo pp	Limitations: • Low adherence: 1/3 of
2021, UK weight management intervention on ↓ BMI 12 mo pp for pregnant women with obesity Study type: Non- blinded cluster RCT; Intent to trea analyses	maternity units chosen based on race, ethnicity, and BMI of patients, and size of unit (births per y). Pregnant women ≥18 y with BMI ≥30 kg/m ² Sample size: N=20 maternity units trandomized with N=598 participants (90% White, 5% Asian, 4% Black, 2% mixed/other participants) Mean (SD) BMI: 37.2 (5.4 kg/m ² Trial retention: 75% at 12 mo	median of 31 participants/cluster (range 20– 36) Recruitment to 6 wk pp: free, weekly, 1.5 hours weight management group sessions (max # of 36 sessions) with midwife and Slimming World (SW) consultant; 6 wk pp: one voucher for free SW session at 'regular' community group. 3 and 6 mo pp: call with midwife to provide longer-term support and discuss weight, healthy eating, PA and barriers to success. <u>Comparator</u> : (UC) N=10 control sites with median of 29.5 participants/cluster (range 26–32) Given leaflets on healthy eating and PA during pregnancy	Results:NS difference between groups inBMI at 12 moSecondarv endpoint:Antenatal and birth complications,pregnancy weight \uparrow , waistcircumference, waist-hip ratio, childweight centile, mental health, PA,diet, alcohol, quality of life, cost,smoking and breast-feedingbehaviorsResults:NS difference between groups forany weight-related outcomes.Intervention had improved healthyeating (3.08, 95% CI 0.16 to 6.00, p<0.04), improved fiber (3.22, 1.07	 bow underence: in 5 of intervention group did not attend sessions or engage with midwife calls, reducing the intervention dose Predominantly White participants Risk of bias from unblinded trial design Baseline condition differences in BMI Differential attrition in intervention group Adverse events: 1114 adverse events, of which 245 were serious adverse events, but none related to intervention

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; DPP, Diabetes Prevention Program; fu, follow-up; g, gram; GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus; GWG, gestational weight gain; ILS, intensive lifestyle; IQR, interquartile range; MET, metabolic equivalents; min, minutes; MPA, moderate-intensity physical activity; MVPA, moderate-to-vigorous-intensity physical activity; NR, not reported; NS, not significant; OR, odds ratio; PA; physical activity; PAT, Parents as Teachers; PATE, Parents as

Teachers enhanced; pp, postpartum; RCT, randomized clinical trial; Sig., significant; UC, usual care; VPA, vigorous-intensity physical activity; increase(d); \downarrow , decrease(d).

*Participant self-reported race and ethnicity

References

- 1. Nicklas JM, Zera CA, England LJ, Rosner BA, Horton E, Levkoff SE, Seely EW. A web-based lifestyle intervention for women with recent gestational diabetes mellitus: a randomized controlled trial. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2014;124:563–570.
- 2. Phelan S, Hagobian T, Brannen A, Hatley KE, Schaffner A, Muñoz-Christian K, Tate DF. Effect of an Internet-Based Program on Weight Loss for Low-Income Postpartum Women: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA*. 2017;317:2381–2391.
- 3. Basharat S, Gilani SA, Burq AI, Bashir S. Low glycaemic index diet is effective in managing weight among obese postpartum women. *JPMA J Pak Med Assoc*. 2018;68:548–553.
- 4. Holmes VA, Draffin CR, Patterson CC, Francis L, Irwin J, McConnell M, Farrell B, Brennan SF, McSorley O, Wotherspoon AC, Davies M, McCance DR, PAIGE Study Group. Postnatal Lifestyle Intervention for Overweight Women With Previous Gestational Diabetes: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2018;103:2478–2487.
- 5. Palnati M, Marcus BH, Pekow P, Rosal MC, Manson JE, Chasan-Taber L. The Impact of a Lifestyle Intervention on Postpartum Weight Retention Among At-Risk Hispanic Women. *Am J Prev Med.* 2021;61:44–54.
- 6. Ferrara A, Hedderson MM, Brown SD, Albright CL, Ehrlich SF, Tsai A-L, Caan BJ, Sternfeld B, Gordon NP, Schmittdiel JA, Gunderson EP, Mevi AA, Herman WH, Ching J, Crites Y, Quesenberry CP. The Comparative Effectiveness of Diabetes Prevention Strategies to Reduce Postpartum Weight Retention in Women With Gestational Diabetes Mellitus: The Gestational Diabetes' Effects on Moms (GEM) Cluster Randomized Controlled Trial. *Diabetes Care*. 2016;39:65–74.
- 7. Thomson JL, Tussing-Humphreys LM, Landry AS, Goodman MH. No Improvements in Postnatal Dietary Outcomes Were Observed in a Two-Arm, Randomized, Controlled, Comparative Impact Trial among Rural, Southern, African-American Women. *J Acad Nutr Diet*. 2018;118:1196–1207.
- 8. Tussing-Humphreys LM, Thomson JL, Hemphill NO, Goodman MH, Landry AS. Maternal weight in the postpartum: results from the Delta healthy sprouts trial. *Matern Health Neonatol Perinatol*. 2017;3:20.
- 9. Thomson JL, Tussing-Humphreys LM, Goodman MH, Landry AS. Enhanced Curriculum Intervention Did Not Result in Increased Postnatal Physical Activity in Rural, Southern, Primarily African American Women. *Am J Health Promot AJHP*. 2018;32:464–472.
- 10. Haire-Joshu D, Cahill AG, Stein RI, Cade WT, Woolfolk CL, Moley K, Mathur A, Schwarz CD, Schechtman KB, Klein S. Randomized Controlled Trial of Home-based Lifestyle Therapy on Postpartum Weight in Underserved Women with Overweight/Obesity. *Obes Silver Spring Md*. 2019;27:535–541.

- 11. Liu J, Wilcox S, Hutto B, Turner-McGrievy G, Wingard E. Effects of a lifestyle intervention on postpartum weight retention among women with elevated weight. *Obes Silver Spring Md*. 2022;30:1370–1379.
- 12. Simpson SA, Coulman E, Gallagher D, Jewell K, Cohen D, Newcombe RG, Huang C, Robles-Zurita JA, Busse M, Owen-Jones E, Duncan D, Williams N, Stanton H, Avery A, McIntosh E, Playle R. Healthy eating and lifestyle in pregnancy (HELP): a cluster randomised trial to evaluate the effectiveness of a weight management intervention for pregnant women with obesity on weight at 12 months postpartum. *Int J Obes 2005*. 2021;45:1728–1739.
- 13. Huseinovic E, Bertz F, Leu Agelii M, Hellebö Johansson E, Winkvist A, Brekke HK. Effectiveness of a weight loss intervention in postpartum women: results from a randomized controlled trial in primary health care. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2016;104:362–370.
- 14. Huseinovic E, Bertz F, Brekke HK, Winkvist A. Two-year follow-up of a postpartum weight loss intervention: Results from a randomized controlled trial. *Matern Child Nutr.* 2018;14:e12539.