Supplementary Information for

Argonaute-independent, Dicer-dependent antiviral defense against RNA viruses

Yukiyo Sato^{a, *}, Hideki Kondo^a, and Nobuhiro Suzuki^{a, 1}

^aInstitute of Plant Science and Resources, Okayama University, Kurashiki, Okayama 710-0046, Japan

¹ To whom correspondence may be addressed. Corresponding Author: Dr. Nobuhiro Suzuki ORCID ID, <u>http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0097-9856</u> Agrivirology Laboratory Institute of Plant Science and Resources Okayama University Kurashiki, Okayama 710-0046, Japan Tel. 81(86) 434-1230 Fax. 81(86) 434-1232 e-mail. <u>nsuzuki@okayama-u.ac.jp</u>

*Present Address: Institute for Plant Sciences, University of Cologne, 50674 Cologne, Germany

Short title: AGO-independent, Dicer-dependent antiviral defense

Data information:

Supplemental Materials and Methods with 36 references Supplemental figures, 8. Supplemental tables, 4.

Email addresses: Yukiyo Sato <ysato1@uni-koeln.de>, Hideki Kondo <hkondo@okayama-u.ac.jp>, Nobuhiro Suzuki <nsuzuki@okayama-u.ac.jp>

SI Materials and Methods

Search for Argonaute domains

AGL1, AGL2, AGL3, and AGL4 (GenBank accessions: ACY36939.1, ACY36940.1, ACY36941.1, and ACY36942.1, respectively) are encoded by *agl1*, *agl2*, *agl3*, and *agl4*, respectively. The database Pfam 35.0 database (1) was searched for the conserved domain with these AGL amino acid sequences by hmmscan in HMMER version 3.3.2 (e-value < 0.001) (<u>https://www.mankier.com/package/hmmer</u>) (2). The domain positions were mapped by using ggplot2 version 3.4.2 (<u>https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org/</u>).

Vector construction

All vectors were constructed with In-Fusion HD Cloning kit (TaKaRa Bio). The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) insert was prepared with primers and template listed in *SI Appendix*, Table S1 by KOD -Plus- Neo (TOYOBO) (or PrimerSTAR GXL DNA polymerase [TaKaRa Bio] for one construct; see below) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The vectors were linearized with restriction enzymes provided by New England Biolabs, TOYOBO, or TaKaRa Bio, according to the manufacturer's instructions.

For fungal gene disruption, three backbone vectors for homologous recombination (HR) were generated—pGEM::loxPneoR, pGEM::loxPhygRinv, and pGEM::loxPntcRinv—which contain the antibiotic resistance gene as a selectable marker gene (SMG) cassette against neomycin, hygromycin, or nourseothricin (NTC), respectively, and a *loxP* sequence at both ends. For pGEM::loxPneoR, the neomycin resistance gene cassette (*neoR*) (3) was amplified from pCPXNeo (4), with primers that contain the *loxP* sequence. The amplified *neoR* was cloned into the *Eco*RI sites of the pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega). For pGEM::loxPhygRinv, the hygromycin-resistance gene cassette (*hygR*) was amplified from pCPXHY3 (5). The *hygR* amplicon was replaced by *neoR* in the pGEM::loxPneoR linearized at the two *Eco*RI sites and placed between *neoR* and *loxP* at both ends. Because the *loxP* sequence is repeated, the cloning reaction can produce two types of products, with *hygR* in the same or inverse orientation compared to the orientation of *neoR* in pGEM::loxPneoR. The latter one (inverse orientation) was used; thus, "inv" was added to the vector name. For pGEM::loxPntcRinv, the NTC resistance gene cassette (*ntcR*) was amplified from pAL12-Lifeact (6). Then, the *ntcR* amplicon was then was replaced for the promoter and coding sequence of *hygR* in pGEM::loxPhygRinv linearized with *Eco*RI and *Bam*HI.

To construct vectors for disruption of the target genes, approximately 0.75 kilobase pair (kbp) upstream and downstream flanking regions (5' and 3' arms, respectively) of the target genes were respectively cloned into the *Sph*I and *Sal*I sites of the HR backbone vectors. The homology arm sequences were obtained from the *Cryphonectria parasitica* EP155 v2.0 database (<u>https://mycocosm.jgi.doe.gov/Crypa2</u>) provided by the Joint Genome Institute (JGI). The homology arms for *dcl1* and *dcl2* were cloned into pGEM::loxPhygRinv. The homology arms for *agl1, agl2, agl3*,

and *agl4* were cloned into pGEM::loxPneoR, pGEM::loxPhygRinv, and/or pGEM::loxPntcRinv for multiple gene disruption (*SI Appendix*, Figs. S1 and S2*A*). For cloning into the pGEM::loxPneoR vector that contains the *Sph*I site in *neoR*, the 5' and 3' arms were first sub-cloned into the *Sph*I and *Sal*I sites of the pGEM-T Easy backbone without *neoR*. Then, the sub-cloning vectors were linearized with *Eco*RI and connected with the *neoR* (P_{gpd} -*nptII*- T_{tub}) amplicon.

The plasmid vector termed pCPXHY3::Cre was generated to introduce Cre recombinase into *C. parasitica*. The Cre coding sequence (CDS) was amplified from pKAES175 (7) and cloned into the *Not*I and *Sph*I sites of pCPXHY3.

The plasmid vector termed pNeo3::P_{dcl2}-dcl2 was generated for genetic complementation of *dcl2*. Prior to cloning, the backbone vectors pXYLHY3 and pXYLNeo3 were generated for use in other studies. pXYLHY3 has a xylose-responsive promoter (P_{xyl}) from *Colletotrichum orbiculare*, while pCPXHY3 has a constitutive expression promoter (P_{gpd}) from *C. parasitica*. The promoter P_{xyl} was amplified from pCB1636_lox_HPT-TK (8) using a primer with the *SpeI* and *XhoI* sequences to enable replacement of P_{xyl} with any other promoter. Then, the P_{xyl} amplicon was then cloned into the *XcmI* and *Hind*III sites of pCPXHY3 to replace P_{gpd} . pXYLNeo3 was generated by replacing *hygR* in pXYLHY3 with *neoR*. For the replacement, *neoR* was amplified from the pCRPNeo vector. Then, the *neoR* amplicon was cloned into pXYLHY3 linearized with *Eco*RI and *XbaI* to replace *hygR*. The vector pNeo3::P_{dcl2}-dcl2 was generated by replacing P_{xyl} in pXYLNeo3 with the *dcl2* gene with its native promoter (2000 bp upstream of the start codon, the region transcriptionally responsive to viral infection (9)) (P_{dcl2}-dcl2). For the replacement, P_{dcl2}-dcl2 was amplified from the genomic DNA of *C. parasitica* EP155 by PrimerSTAR GXL DNA polymerase (TaKaRa Bio) and cloned into the *XhoI* and *SphI* sites of pXYLNeo3.

Fungal transformation

Spheroplasts of *C. parasitica* were prepared as described previously (10), with minor modifications to the original protocol (11). For gene disruption, each HR cassette was amplified from the plasmid vector described above by using the forward primer for the 5' arm and the reverse primer for the 3' arm (*SI Appendix*, Table S1) by KOD -Plus- Neo (TOYOBO). The amplicon was purified with Wizard SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up System (Promega). The purified amplicon (5 μ g) was introduced into the fungal spheroplasts (1–2 × 10⁷ cells/mL × 100 μ L) by a method mediated by a polyethylene glycol (PEG)-mediated method, as described previously (11). Single colonies harboring *neoR*, *hygR*, or *ntcR* were selected with 20 μ g/mL G418 sulfate, 40 μ g/mL hygromycin B, or 20 μ g/mL NTC, respectively, in 1% top agar layered on regeneration medium containing regenerated spheroplasts. The single colonies on the top agar were further selected on PDA containing each antibiotic at the same final concentration. Among the antibiotic-resistant colonies on PDA, the deletion mutants were screened by direct colony PCR with Quick Taq HS DyeMix (TOYOBO). The screening was performed with combinations of a forward primer targeting the upstream region outside the 5' arm on the fungal genome

and a reverse primer targeting the SMG. The screened mutants were further cultured on PDA with antibiotic under sunlight to induce conidiation. Single conidia (monokaryotic) were isolated on new antibiotic PDA to remove wild-type karyotypes. The complete deletion of the target genes was further validated by PCR and Southern blotting as described below.

For single to triple gene disruption, three different SMGs (*neoR*, *hygR*, and *ntcR*) were utilized, as summarized in *SI Appendix*, Fig. S2A. To generate $\Delta dcl1$ and $\Delta dcl2$, *dcl1* and *dcl2* were replaced with *hygR* in the DK80 background. To generate $\Delta agl1$ to $\Delta agl4$, *agl1* to *agl4* were replaced with *neoR* in the DK80 background. To generate the double mutant $\Delta dcl2\Delta agl2$, *agl2* was replaced with *neoR* in the $\Delta dcl2$ background. To generate the triple mutant $\Delta agl1/3/4$, *agl4* was first replaced with *hygR* in the $\Delta agl1$ background, and then *agl3* was replaced with *ntcR*.

For quadruple and quintuple gene disruption, the SMGs were removed by the Cre-loxP system (12). First, the C. parasitica transformants that produce functional Cre recombinase were generated (SI Appendix, Figs. S2B and S2C). $\Delta agl4$ (agl4 disruptant with neoR) was transformed with pCPXHY3::Cre by the PEG-mediated method described above (for plasmid, 10 µg was used for each transformation instead of 5 µg PCR amplicon). This transformant is termed $\Delta agl4+Cre$. The transformants were selected based on hygromycin resistance, genotyping, and Cre activity. The Cre activity was estimated by PCR targeting an upstream region of the 5' arm to the end of the 3' arm of agl4 (SI Appendix, Fig. S2B and Table S2). The transformant showing complete excision of *neoR* ($\Delta agl4+Cre \#10$) (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S2C) was selected as a Cre donor for the following anastomosis-mediated SMG excision (12) (SI Appendix, Fig. S2D). Briefly, the Cre donor (hygromycin resistant) was cultured alongside $\Delta agl1/3/4$, which is resistant to all three antibiotics (neomycin, hygromycin, and NTC) for horizontal transfer of Cre recombinase via hyphal anastomosis. After co-culturing for 2 weeks, a small (1 mm³) mycelial plug was taken from the Cre recipient side adjacent to the contact zone at the colony periphery. The mycelial plug was transferred to PDA without antibiotics and grown under sunlight to induce conidiation. The generated conidia were suspended in sterile water and spread on fresh antibiotic-free PDA for isolation. The single conidial sub-isolates were transferred to PDA supplemented with 50 µg/mL hygromycin to screen for the gene disruptants that lost hygR and to exclude the hygromycin-resistant Cre donor that is resistant to hygromycin. The screening yielded a $\Delta agl1/3/4$ strain that lost hygR but kept neoR and ntcR. This $\Delta agl 1/3/4$ strain was used for the analyses in this study. Then, $\Delta agl O$ was generated by replacing agl2 with hygR in this $\Delta agl1/3/4$ background. The SMGs in $\Delta aglQ$ were removed by the same Cre-loxP system (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S2D). The $\Delta aglQ$ strain, which lost hygR and neoR but kept ntcR was obtained and used for the analyses in this study. For the SMG removal experiments, approximately 100-500 independent conidial sub-isolates were screened. Then, $\Delta dcl2\Delta aglQ$ was generated by replacing dcl2with *hygR* in the $\Delta aglQ$ background.

The *dcl2* complementation strain was generated in $\Delta dcl2\Delta aglQ$, namely $\Delta dcl2\Delta aglQ+dcl2$, by transforming $\Delta dcl2\Delta aglQ$ with pNeo3::P_{*dcl2*}-*dcl2* by the PEG-mediated method as described above. The transformants were selected for the neomycin resistance, genotype, and the DK80-like tolerance to

RnVV1.

Virus inoculation

Virus-free strains were inoculated with viruses mainly via hyphal fusion/anastomosis (Fig. 2.4) (13). A small mycelial plug (\approx 1 mm³) from each virus donor fungal strain and each virus-free recipient fungal strain (Table 1 and *SI Appendix*, Table S3) was placed onto a central part on PDA (9 cm in diameter) with a distance of around 5 mm³ between the donor and the recipient. The donor and recipient were cultured for approximately 1 week to allow hyphal anastomosis between the two fungal strains and consequent horizontal virus transfer to the recipient side. After the dual culture, a small mycelial plug (\approx 1 mm³) was excised from the recipient side at the farthest part from the donor and recipient hyphal contact. The excised mycelial plugs were transferred to new PDA and sub-cultured for a few days for further analyses. For CHV1 and CHV1- Δ p69, which severely inhibit recipient hyphal elongation and may induce contamination by donor hyphae, recipient colonies were repeatedly fused with the virus-free recipients.

For one experiment (Fig. 2*E*), RnVV1 was inoculated by virion transfection (Fig. 2*D*) (14). Crude virus particles of RnVV1 were extracted from *C. parasitica* EP155 $\Delta dcl2$ /RnVV1 (15), as described previously (16), by omitting the sucrose gradient fractionation and the following procedures. The crude virus particles were purified by filtration through a 0.22 µm pore size filter (Millipore) by centrifugation. The flow-throw was used to transfect spheroplasts of DK80, $\Delta dcl2$, and $\Delta agl2$, as described previously (10), by omitting the hygromycin selection step. Virus-infected colonies were screened by direct colony reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) (17) with the Prime Script One Step RT-PCR Kit, Ver.2 (Dye Plus), as described previously (18).

PCR genotyping and Southern blotting

Genomic DNA was extracted from fungal mycelia cultured on PDA-cellophane for 2 or 3 days. Briefly, the mycelia were ground with a mortar and pestle in liquid nitrogen. The frozen mycelial powder was mixed with a 10-fold volume (per fresh mycelial weight) of a nucleic acid extraction buffer (50 mM NaCl, 100 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 10 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid [EDTA, pH 8.0], and 0.5% sodium dodecyl sulfate [SDS]). Total nucleic acids were extracted with phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (PCIA, prepared with phenol saturated with TE buffer [pH 7.9]) followed by chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (CIA) extraction. The crude total nucleic acids were treated with 10 µg/mL RNase A (Sigma-Aldrich Co., LLC) at 37°C for 30 min, purified by PCIA and CIA extraction followed by 2-propanol precipitation and rinsing with 70% (v/v) ethanol, and resuspended in TE buffer. The concentration of the purified DNA was measured with a NanoDrop spectrophotometer.

PCR genotyping was carried out in 10 μ L of Quick Taq HS DyeMix (Toyobo, Co. Ltd.) with 10 ng of purified genomic DNA and primers listed in *SI Appendix*, Table S2 according to the manufacturer's instructions (three-step cycle). PCR products were electrophoresed in 1.0% (w/v) agarose gel in 0.5×

TAE and stained with ethidium bromide (EtBr). For all gel electrophoresis, the size of the PCR products was checked with GeneRuler 1 kb DNA Ladder (Thermo Scientific).

For Southern blotting, 8–10 µg (constant amount for each gel) of genomic DNA was digested with restriction enzymes (New England Biolabs or TOYOBO) overnight at the temperature recommended by the manufacturers. The *dcl1* and *dcl2* loci were analyzed with *Bam*HI; the *agl1*, *agl2*, and agl4 loci with PstI; and the agl3 locus with XhoI (SI Appendix, Fig. S1). The digested DNA was purified by PCIA extraction followed by ethanol precipitation. All recovered purified DNA fragments were electrophoresed in a 1.0% (w/v) agarose gel with $0.5 \times TAE$ at constant 100 V. The electrophoresed DNA on the gel was blotted onto a nylon membrane Hybond-N+ (GE Healthcare) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, the gel was depurinated in 0.125 M HCl for 10 minutes with gentle agitation. The gel was then incubated in denaturation buffer (1.5 M NaCl and 0.5 N NaOH) for 30 min with gentle agitation, followed by incubation in neutralization buffer (1.5 M NaCl and 0.5 M Tris-HCl, pH 7.5) for 30 min with gentle agitation. Denatured DNA on the gel was transferred to Hybond-N+ by capillary blotting using filter papers immersed in 20× SSC (0.3 M trisodium citrate and 3 M NaCl). After overnight, the transferred DNA was crosslinked to the membrane by UVP Crosslinker CL-1000 at 240,000 μ J/cm². The target genomic region on the membrane was detected according to the DIG Application Manual (digoxigenin) provided by Roche Applied Science (https://www.sigmaaldrich.com/deepweb/assets/sigmaaldrich/marketing/global/documents/199/985/di g-application-manual-for-filter-hybridisation-iris.pdf). DIG-labeled DNA probes were synthesized with the PCR DIG Labeling Mix (Roche), the primers listed in SI Appendix, Table S1 (primer sets for dcll-5'arm, dcl2-5'arm, agl1-5'arm, agl2-3'arm, agl3-5'arm, or agl4-5'arm for the respective loci), and plasmid templates containing the target genomic regions. Chemiluminescence was detected with the ImageQuant LAS 4000 (GE Healthcare).

RNA extraction, northern blotting, and RT-PCR

RNA was extracted from fungal mycelia cultured on PDA-cellophane for 3 days. Briefly, the frozen mycelial powder was mixed with a 10-fold volume (per mycelial fresh weight) of the nucleic acid extraction buffer described above and subjected to PCIA (prepared with phenol saturated with water) extraction, CIA extraction, and ethanol precipitation. The total nucleic acid pellets were rinsed with 70% ethanol, dried, and dissolved in sterile Milli-Q water. The total RNA fraction consisting of both dsRNA and ssRNA was purified by treating total nucleic acids with RQ1 RNase-Free DNase (Promega) at 37° C for 30 min followed by phenol-chloroform extraction, PCIA extraction, CIA extraction, ethanol precipitation, and rinsing with 70% ethanol, and then dissolved in sterile Milli-Q water. The RNA concentration was measured using the NanoDrop spectrophotometer. The total RNA fraction was used for northern blotting (Fig. 3A-E), RT-PCR (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S6), and small RNA-Seq. The ssRNA-enriched fraction was precipitated from the total nucleic acids with lithium chloride (LiCl, final concentration 2 M, which is supposed to enrich high molecular-weight ssRNA (19)), rinsed with 70%

ethanol, and dissolved in sterile Milli-Q water. The crude ssRNA-enriched fraction was used for northern blotting and RT-PCR (Figs. 2 and 3*F* and *G*, and *SI Appendix*, Figs. S5 and S7). The ssRNA-enriched fraction was further purified by treatment with RQ1 RNase-Free DNase followed by DNase purification as described above. The DNase-purified ssRNA-enriched fraction was used for RNA-Seq.

Northern blotting was performed with two different methods for the total RNA and ssRNAenriched fractions. The total RNA fraction (5 µg per lane) was electrophoresed on a 1% agarose gel in 0.5× TAE and stained with EtBr after electrophoresis. The total RNA in the gel was denatured by alkaline treatment after electrophoresis and blotted onto a nylon membrane (Hybond-N+, GE Healthcare), as described previously (20). The ssRNA-enriched fraction (2 µg per lane for viral detection or 10 µg per lane for host mRNA and some RnVV1/MyRV2 detections; constant for each gel) was pre-stained with EtBr, denatured during electrophoresis in MOPS-formaldehyde gel, and blotted onto Hybond-N+ immediately after the electrophoresis, as described previously (21). Target RNA bands on the membranes were detected by using complementary DNA (cDNA) probes labeled with DIG by the PCR DIG Labeling Mix (Roche). Primers used for the cDNA probe preparation are listed in *SI Appendix*, Table S2. Plasmids (pGEM-T or pCPXHY3 backbone) containing cDNA of the target viral or host mRNA regions were used as templates.

Quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) was performed with ReverTra Ace qPCR RT Kit (TOYOBO) and THUNDERBIRD SYBR qPCR Mix (TOYOBO) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Primers used for qRT-PCR are listed in *SI Appendix*, Table S2. The real-time PCR was performed in qTOWER3/G (Analytik Jena). The relative viral RNA level was calculated by the $2^{-\Delta \Delta CT}$ method with the host actin homolog (centractin ortholog) gene (*act2*) as internal control and DK80-infected with the respective viruses as a calibrator (22).

Semi-qRT-PCR was performed with Prime Script One Step RT-PCR Kit, Ver.2 (Dye Plus) (TaKaRa Bio) in a volume of 10 μ L using 10 μ g of purified total RNA or ssRNA-enriched fraction as a template with the primers listed in *SI Appendix*, Table S2. The standard three-step PCR was carried out according to the manufacturer's instructions. The PCR products were electrophoresed in 1.0% (w/v) agarose gel in 0.5× TAE and stained with EtBr. The relative band intensity was quantified with ImageJ 1.5.3t (https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/).

RNA-Seq and small RNA-Seq analyses

The RNA-Seq library was constructed with the KAPA mRNA Capture kit (Kapa Biosystems, #KK8440) and the MGIEasy RNA Directional Library Prep set (MGI, #1000006385) by GenomeRead Inc. The cDNA library was sequenced at a 17 million read depth of 2×150 bp paired-end by DNBSEQ-G400RS (MGI) by GenomeRead Inc. The RNA-Seq reads were subjected to quality control and adaptor trimming by fastp version 0.19.5 (23). The trimmed RNA-Seq reads were mapped to the masked genome sequence of *C. parasitica* strain EP155 (Cryphonectria_parasiticav2.nuclearAssembly.masked) (24) by HISAT2 version 2.2.1 (25). The output SAM files were converted into BAM files, sorted, and indexed by

SAMtools version 1.7 (26). The reads mapped to respective genes in a gene catalog (Cparasiticav2.GeneCatalog20091217.gff) (24) were counted by htseq-count version 2.0.2 with the options -f bam, -r pos, -i name, and -s reverse (27). Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were analyzed by DESeq2 version 1.42.0 (28) with a minimum read threshold of one. Transcripts per million (TPM) were calculated according to the formula described previously (29). The DEG analysis results were visualized with ggplot2 version 3.4.3 (https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org/).

For small RNA-Seq analysis, total RNA extracted from virus-infected *C. parasitica* strains was sent to Macrogen Inc. The small RNA cDNA library was constructed using the NEBNext Small RNA Library Prep Set for Illumina (New England Biolabs) and subsequently sequenced in a single read (SE) $(1 \times 50 \text{ nt})$ run on the Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform. The adapter was trimmed from the raw reads (25~64 million reads); then, the reads were filtered for a size range of 16–32 nt using CLC Genomic Workbench (version 22; CLC Bio-Qiagen, Aarhus, Denmark). The retained reads in each library were then mapped to respective virus genome or genomic segments using the Read Mapping algorithm in the CLC Genomics Workbench. The virus-derived small RNA reads were used for further analysis with the MISIS-2 program (30).

SI REFERENCES

- 1. T. Paysan-Lafosse et al., InterPro in 2022. Nucleic Acids Res 51, D418-D427. (2023).
- 2. S. R. Eddy, Accelerated Profile HMM Searches. *PloS Comput Biol* 7, e1002195. (2011).
- 3. Q. Sun, G. H. Choi, D. L. Nuss, Hypovirus-responsive transcription factor gene *pro1* of the chestnut blight fungus *Cryphonectria parasitica* is required for female fertility, asexual spore development and stable maintenance of hypovirus infection. *Eukaryot Cell* **8**, 262-270 (2009).
- 4. Q. Sun, G. H. Choi, D. L. Nuss, A single Argonaute gene is required for induction of RNA silencing antiviral defense and promotes viral RNA recombination. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **106**, 17927-17932 (2009).
- L. H. Guo, L. Sun, S. Chiba, H. Araki, N. Suzuki, Coupled termination/reinitiation for translation of the downstream open reading frame B of the prototypic hypovirus CHV1-EP713. *Nucleic Acids Res* 37, 3645-3659 (2009).
- 6. A. Lichius, N. D. Read, A versatile set of Lifeact-RFP expression plasmids for live-cell imaging of F-actin in filamentous fungi. *Fungal Genetics Reports* **57**, Article 4. (2010).
- 7. S. Florea, K. Andreeva, C. Machado, P. M. Mirabito, C. L. Schardl, Elimination of marker genes from transformed filamentous fungi by unselected transient transfection with a Cre-expressing plasmid. *Fungal Genet Biol* **46**, 721-730 (2009).
- 8. K. Yamada, T. Yamamoto, K. Uwasa, K. Osakabe, Y. Takano, The establishment of multiple knockout mutants of *Colletotrichum orbiculare* by CRISPR-Cas9 and Cre-*loxP* systems. *Fungal Genet Biol* **165**, 103777 (2023).
- 9. I. B. Andika, A. Jamal, H. Kondo, N. Suzuki, SAGA complex mediates the transcriptional upregulation of antiviral RNA silencing. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **114**, E3499-E3506 (2017).
- 10. Y. Sato, S. Hisano, N. Suzuki, Exploration of the yadokari/yadonushi nature of YkV3 and RnMBV3 in the original host and a model filamentous fungus. *Virus Res* **334**, 199155 (2023).
- 11. A. C. L. Churchill, L. M. Ciuffetti, D. R. Hansen, H. D. Vanetten, N. K. Van Alfen, Transformation of the fungal pathogen *Cryphonectria parasitica* with a variety of heterologous plasmids. *Current Genetics* **17**, 25-31 (1990).
- 12. D. X. Zhang, H. L. Lu, X. Liao, R. J. St Leger, D. L. Nuss, Simple and efficient recycling of fungal selectable marker genes with the Cre-*loxP* recombination system via anastomosis. *Fungal Genet Biol* **61**, 1-8 (2013).
- 13. N. K. Van Alfen, R. A. Jaynes, S. L. Anagnostakis, P. R. Day, Chestnut Blight: Biological Control by Transmissible Hypovirulence in *Endothia parasitica*. *Science* **189**, 890-891 (1975).

- 14. B. I. Hillman, S. Supyani, H. Kondo, N. Suzuki, A reovirus of the fungus *Cryphonectria parasitica* that is infectious as particles and related to the *Coltivirus* genus of animal pathogens. *J Virol* **78**, 892-898 (2004).
- 15. S. Chiba, Y. H. Lin, H. Kondo, S. Kanematsu, N. Suzuki, A novel victorivirus from a phytopathogenic fungus, *Rosellinia necatrix* is infectious as particles and targeted by RNA silencing. *J Virol* **87**, 6727-6738 (2013).
- 16. S. Chiba *et al.*, A novel bipartite double-stranded RNA mycovirus from the white root rot fungus *Rosellinia necatrix*: molecular and biological characterization, taxonomic considerations, and potential for biological control. *J Virol* **83**, 12801-12812 (2009).
- 17. S. Urayama *et al.*, Rapid detection of Magnaporthe oryzae chrysovirus 1-A from fungal colonies on agar plates and lesions of rice blast. *J Gen Plant Pathol* **81**, 97-102 (2015).
- 18. Y. Sato *et al.*, Hadaka virus 1: a capsidless eleven-segmented positive-sense single-stranded RNA virus from a phytopathogenic fungus, *Fusarium oxysporum. mBio* **11**, e00450-00420. (2020).
- 19. J. R. Diaz-Ruiz, J. M. Kaper, Isolation of viral double-stranded RNAs using a LiCl fractionation procedure. *Prep Biochem* **8**, 1-17 (1978).
- 20. Y. Sato, S. Hisano, C. J. Lopez-Herrera, H. Kondo, N. Suzuki, Three-layered complex interactions among capsidless (+)ssRNA yadokariviruses, dsRNA viruses, and a fungus. *mBio* **13**, e0168522 (2022).
- 21. Y. Sato *et al.*, A new tetra-segmented splipalmivirus with divided RdRP domains from *Cryphonectria naterciae*, a fungus found on chestnut and cork oak trees in Europe. *Virus Res* **307**, 198606. (2022).
- 22. K. J. Livak, T. D. Schmittgen, Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) method. *Methods* **25**, 402-408 (2001).
- S. Chen, Y. Zhou, Y. Chen, J. Gu, fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor. *Bioinformatics* 34, i884-i890 (2018).
- 24. J. A. Crouch *et al.*, Genome sequence of the chestnut blight fungus *Cryphonectria parasitica* EP155: A fundamental resource for an archetypical invasive plant pathogen. *Phytopathology* **110**, 1180-1188. (2020).
- 25. D. Kim, J. M. Paggi, C. Park, C. Bennett, S. L. Salzberg, Graph-based genome alignment and genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. *Nat Biotechnol* **37**, 907-915 (2019).
- 26. P. Danecek *et al.*, Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. *Gigascience* **10** (2021).
- 27. S. Anders, P. T. Pyl, W. Huber, HTSeq--a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 166-169 (2015).
- 28. M. I. Love, W. Huber, S. Anders, Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* **15**, 550 (2014).
- 29. B. Li, V. Ruotti, R. M. Stewart, J. A. Thomson, C. N. Dewey, RNA-Seq gene expression estimation with read mapping uncertainty. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 493-500 (2010).
- 30. J. Seguin, P. Otten, L. Baerlocher, L. Farinelli, M. M. Pooggin, MISIS-2: A bioinformatics tool for in-depth analysis of small RNAs and representation of consensus master genome in viral quasispecies. *J Virol Methods* **233**, 37-40 (2016).
- 31. B. I. Hillman, B. T. Halpern, M. P. Brown, A viral dsRNA element of the chestnut blight fungus with a distinct genetic organization. *Virology* **201**, 241-250 (1994).
- 32. C. D. Smart *et al.*, Cryphonectria hypovirus 3, a virus species in the family hypoviridae with a single open reading frame. *Virology* **265**, 66-73 (1999).
- 33. A. Aulia, I. B. Andika, H. Kondo, B. I. Hillman, N. Suzuki, A symptomless hypovirus, CHV4, facilitates stable infection of the chestnut blight fungus by a coinfecting reovirus likely through suppression of antiviral RNA silencing. *Virology* **533**, 99-107 (2019).
- 34. A. Aulia, M. Tabara, P. Telengech, T. Fukuhara, N. Suzuki, Dicer monitoring in a model filamentous fungus host, *Cryphonectria parasitica. Curr Res Virol Sci* **1**, 100001. (2020).
- 35. D. X. Zhang, M. J. Spiering, D. L. Nuss, Characterizing the roles of *Cryphonectria parasitica* RNAdependent RNA polymerase-like genes in antiviral defense, viral recombination and transposon transcript accumulation. *PLoS One* **9**, e108653 (2014).
- 36. G. C. Segers, X. Zhang, F. Deng, Q. Sun, D. L. Nuss, Evidence that RNA silencing functions as an antiviral defense mechanism in fungi. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **104**, 12902-12906 (2007).



Supplementary Fig. S1. Gene organization and restriction map of wild-type and modified *dcl* and *agl* loci in *Cryphonectria parasitica* DK80.

The organization of wild-type genes is based on a genome assembly of *C. parasitica* strain EP155 (isogenic strain to DK80 except for the *cpku80* locus) (24). The v2.0 unmasked nuclear assembly was searched with *dcl1*, *dcl2*, *agl1*, *agl2*, *agl3*, and *agl4* sequences (*SI Appendix*, Table S4) to obtain the flanking upstream and downstream sequences. The genomic regions are represented by the double lines on which DCL/AGL coding parts (exons) are depicted by the black boxes. The pink two-headed arrows show polymerase chain reaction (PCR) genotyping target regions (Fig. 1*B*). The purple and green boxes indicate the position of the 5' and 3' homology arms for gene disruption by homologous recombination. *neoR*, *hygR*, and *ntcR* indicate antibiotic resistance (*R*) gene expression cassettes against neomycin, hygromycin, and nourseothricin, respectively. These antibiotic *R* genes were removed from several gene knockout strains by the Cre-*loxP* system (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S2). The map shows the restriction sites used for Southern blotting (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S3) and the expected fragment length of the probe targets.



Supplementary Fig. S2. Generation of single and multiple dcl/agl knockout strains in *Cryphonectria parasitica* DK80. (A) Steps to generate the single or multiple dcl/agl gene knockout strains and a dcl2 complementary strain. *SI Appendix*, Fig. S1 depicts the structure of the modified genes. (B) Schematic representation for excision of the antibiotic R marker gene by Cre-loxP system. An example of $\Delta agl4$ (*neoR* $\Delta agl4$) is shown. Cre recombinase excised the antibiotic R gene wedged

between the *loxP* sequences. (C) Selection of $\Delta agl4+Cre$ with high Cre efficacy by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The PCR targets upstream and downstream of the excised region (B) (SI Appendix, Table S2) to distinguish the presence or absence of *neoR* by amplicon length. Strain #10 showing complete *neoR* excision was selected as a Cre donor. (D) The strategy for antibiotic R gene excision from the *agl* multiple mutants that show multiple resistance to neomycin, hygromycin, and nourseothricin (NeoR, HygR, and NtcR, respectively), by transiently introducing Cre from dual-cultured $\Delta agl4+Cre$ #10. Refer to the Methods section for the detailed procedure.



Supplementary Fig. S3. Genotyping of the *dcl/agl* knockout strains of *Cryphonectria parasitica* generated in this study. Validation of the targeted genetic modification of Argonaute-like protein genes (*agl1*, *agl2*, *agl3*, and *agl4*) and Dicer-like protein genes (*dcl1* and *dcl2*) by Southern blotting. The probes target the upstream or downstream regions of the deleted regions (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S1). The asterisks indicate the position of the wild haplotype (*), the deletion haplotype carrying the selectable

marker gene (SMG) (**), or another deletion haplotype in which the SMG was removed by the Cre*loxP* system (***) (*SI Appendix*, Figs. S1 and S2). The Southern hybridization gels were flanked with the size marker stained with ethidium bromide before the blotting.



Supplementary Fig. S4. Colony morphology of the *dcl2/agl* **single and multiple knockout strains of** *Cryphonectria parasitica*. Virus-free DK80 and its mutants were cultured on potato dextrose agar (9 cm in diameter) for 6 days after a small (1 mm³) mycelial plug was placed on the center of each plate. The white bar represents 3 cm.



Supplementary Fig. S5. Screening of viruses that are suppressed by *dcl2* but not *agl2*. DK80, $\Delta dcl2$, $\Delta agl2$, and $\Delta dcl2\Delta agl2$ were inoculated with viruses via hyphal fusion (Fig. 2*A*). Viral RNA in the recipients in the absence or presence of the viruses was detected by northern hybridization from crude RNA precipitated with LiCl. Host ribosomal RNA (rRNA) is shown as a loading control. (*A*) Viruses less affected by *dcl2* and *agl2*. (*B*) Viruses highly affected by *dcl2* but not *agl2*.



Supplementary Fig. S6. Detection of viral total RNA by quantitative reverse-transcriptionpolymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) and semi-qRT-PCR. The qRT-PCR and semi-qRT-PCR were performed with total RNA (dsRNA + ssRNA) samples used in Fig. 3*A*-*E*. (*A*) qRT-PCR in the fungal strains inoculated with RnVV1, MyRV2, MyRV1, CHV1, or CHV1- Δ p69. The bars indicate fold

changes in viral RNA level normalized by the host actin homolog (centractin ortholog) gene expression. The fold changes were calculated based on the value of virus-infected DK80 as 1. (**B**) Semi-qRT-PCR in the fungal strains inoculated with RnVV1 or MyRV2. The relative band intensity for semi-qRT-PCR of viral RNA is shown below the electrophoretic image. ND stands for not determined/detected. The host actin homolog gene (*act2*) was analyzed as an internal control for semi-qRT-PCR.



Supplementary Fig. S7. Detection of viral RNA in host ssRNA-enriched nucleic acids by quantitative reverse-transcription–polymerase chain reaction and semi-qRT-PCR. Crude RNA enriched with ssRNA via LiCl was used as a template for cDNA synthesis. (*A*) qRT-PCR in the fungal strains inoculated with RnVV1, CHV1, or CHV1- Δ p69. The dots indicate fold changes in viral RNA level normalized by the host actin homolog gene expression. The gray cross bars indicate mean values and standard errors in three independent infections. The fold changes were calculated based on the mean value of virus-infected DK80 as 1. (*B*) Northern hybridization and semi-qRT-PCR in the fungal strains inoculated with RnVV1 or MyRV2. Host ribosomal RNA (rRNA) is shown as a loading control for northern hybridization. See *SI Appendix*, Fig. S6*B* legend for the details of semi-qRT-PCR.



Supplementary Fig. S8. Viral symptoms in the *dcl2/agl* single and multiple knockout strains of *Cryphonectria parasitica*. Another set of fungal colonies infected by CHV1 and RnVV1 was prepared independently from the Fig. 4 experiment. The white bar represents 3 cm.

Supplementary Table S1. Primers used for vector construction

Construct	Target	F/R ^{<i>a</i>}	^a Primer sequence (5'-3') ^b		
pGEM::loxPneoR	neoR	F	GCGGCCGCGGGAATTATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAGTTAT-		
			gaattcAGATACCCAGAGTTATCTCACCA		
		R	AGGCGGCCGCGAATTATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTAT-		
			gaattcTCATTCCCGGTGTAGGAAGC		
pGEM::loxPhygRinv	hygR	F	ACGAAGTTATGAATTCAGAAGATGATATTGAAGGAGCA		
		R	ACGAAGTTATGAATT tctagaAAGAAGGATTACCTCTAA		
pGEM::loxPntcRinv	ntcR	F	ACGAAGTTATGAATTCAGAAGATGATATTGAAGGAGCA		
		R	TTAAGTGGATGGATCCTCAGGGGGCAGGGCATGCTCA		
	dcl1-5'arm	F	ATTGGGCCCGACGTCGCATGCTTCGTCGCTGTTCTGTAATACTG		
		R	CCATGGCGGCCGGGAGCATGCCTTGGCCTCGATTTGGGACA		
pGEM::loxPhygRinv\[]dcl1	dcl1-3'arm	F	CGCGGCCGCCTGCAGGTCGACCAGCGATCTGAAGAGTTGTG		
		R	GAGCTCTCCCATATGGTCGACCGCGTTGAGATACCCTGTTG		
	<i>dcl2-5</i> 'arm	F	ATTGGGCCCGACGTCGCATGCACTGACTTTCACAGGTCCAT		
		R	CCATGGCGGCCGGGAGCATGCCTTGCAGCGTCGTACGACA		
pGEM::loxPhygRinv\[]dcl2	<i>dcl2-3</i> 'arm	F	CGCGGCCGCCTGCAGGTCGACGGTTCGGACCGACAAGAATG		
		R	GAGCTCTCCCATATGGTCGACATGAGACACTGATCGTCCTT		
	11 51	F	ATTGGGCCCGACGTCGCATGCCTCTTCTCTGTGGACCGACC		
pGEM::loxPneoR∆ <i>agl1</i>	agl1-5'arm	R	CCATGGCGGCCGGGAGCATGCTGTTGACGACGAGTGCTAGATG		
	agl1-3'arm	F	CGCGGCCGCCTGCAGGTCGACAGAGCTCTCTTGTCATGACAGC		
		R	CAGCTCTCCCATATGGTCGACCCTGGTCACCATGAGGAACTGC		
pGEM::loxPneoR∆agl2,	10 51	F	ATTGGGCCCGACGTCGCATGCCTTTTCTGCGCATTGCTTTCAT		
pGEM::loxPhygRinv∆agl2	agl2-5'arm	R	CCATGGCGGCCGGGAGCATGCAGAACCTAGGCGAGACGCGATA		

	<i>agl2-</i> 3'arm	F	CGCGGCCGCCTGCAGGTCGACAACTTTGACGGACCATCCTGTC
		R	GAGCTCTCCCATATGGTCGACTTAGCGTTTGTTGTAGTGTTAA
	a a 12 5 a ma	F	ATTGGGCCCGACGTCGCATGCTTAATTTCATTTACAAGTAGAC
pGEM::loxPneoR∆agl1,	ugis-s arm	R	CCATGGGCGGCCGGGAGCATGCGCCACTAATGCTCAACTGGCTG
pGEM::loxPntcRinv\[] agl3	agla 2'arm	F	CGCGGCCGCCTGCAGGTCGACGGTAGGGAGGGTCGAAAGAAA
	ugis-s ann	R	CAGCTCTCCCATATGGTCGACGCTACGCCGCCTCCAAGGCCGG
	agl 1 5'arm	F	ATTGGGCCCGACGTCGCATGCTTTCCAGACTGTAGTTTCATTT
pGEM::loxPneoR∆agl1,	ugi4-5 ann	R	CCATGGCGGCCGGGAGCATGCAGGGTCCAGAAGAAGCTCCTGCA
pGEM::loxPhygRinv∆agl4	agl4-3'arm	F	CGCGGCCGCCTGCAGGTCGACGACCACCGGCCAGCGAATGGTG
		R	CAGCTCTCCCATATGGTCGACATGCGTATATACCCTGCTGCCT
nCDYHV3…Cre	$C_{re}CDS$	F	CAAGCTTGTTAACGCGGCCGCATGTCCAATTTACTGACCGT
per Arr 5etc	CIE CDS	R	GGAGATCAGGTCAAGCATGCCTAATCGCCATCTTCCAGC
nYVI HV3	\mathbf{P}_{xyl}	F	GTTTGGGCCAGAGCTactagtctcgagAAACTTGCCGGATTTACCCT
pATLETS		R	CCGCGTTAACAAGCTTGGGGATTGTAAGGGGGACGATG
pXYLNeo3	neoR	F	TGCTTGGGCCTAATgaattcAGATACCCAGATACCCAGAG
		R	ACACTATAGAATACtctagaTCATTCCCGGTGTAGGAAGC
nNao2D dal?	P _{dcl2} -dcl2	F	AGCTACTAGTCTCGAGGTTTTGCTCGACGTCGATGC
pineos::P _{dcl2} -act2		R	<u>GGAGATCAGGTCAA</u> gcatgcGGAGTTCGACGTATCCATGT

^{*a*} Primer orientation (F: forward; R: reverse).

^b Underlined, bold, and lowercase letters indicate attached sequences of linearized vector terminal, *loxP*, and restriction site, respectively.

Target gene/virus	Accession:position ^a	F/R* ^b	Primer sequence (5'-3')	Amplicon length (bp) ^c	
agl4 flanking regions	Crypa2 scaffold_6:	F	CCCATATTCATCTTCTGCACC	naoPA agl4: 2440: A agl4(AnaoP): 1786	
(SI Appendix, Fig. S2)	301638-306290	R	(<i>agl4-3</i> 'arm reverse) ^{<i>d</i>}	$neon\Delta agi4: 5440; \Delta agi4(\Delta neok): 1/80$	
	DQ186989.1:825-1391	F	ATGGGTGATCCAGCGGCG	gDNA: 567	
		R	AAGCCACCTTTTCGACCAGG		
	DQ186990.1:1569-2146	F	ATGGCGTACTATACCGACTC	gDNA: 597; cDNA: 424	
<i>dcl2</i> ORF		R	CGCCTGTGACGATTTTGGTC		
agl1 ORF	GQ250184.1:1011-1521	F	TGCACGGGATCATTCAGAGG	gDNA: 511	
		R	CGCTGGCATGCAAATCTAATC		
agl2 ORF	GQ250185.1:1908-2724	F	GTATGGCGTCAATCCCAATG	gDNA: 836; cDNA: 682	
		R	CACCTTGCTGCTAGGTTTGG		
agl3 ORF	GQ250186.1:2311-2974	F	CTCGAAGAAGTCACCACCAC	gDNA: 664	
		R	ATTGAGAGTTCTGTCCCCGT		
	GQ250187.1:1196-1951	F	CCTCCTGCTCTCGGATATGA	gDNA: 756	
agl4 ORF		R	AGTTTCGCTTTATGGCAACCAG		
act2 ORF (northern,		F	ATGGCGGATTCACTGCACAA	gDNA: 640; cDNA: 520	
semi-qRT-PCR)	MU032352.1:558771-559410	R	TGGCAAACCCCTCGTACACC		
act2 cDNA (qRT-PCR)	XM_040926251.1:622-736	F	GACGTTGAGCGAAGAGCACC	115	
		R	GTAGAGAGCGGGGGACGTTGA		
CHV1 (northern)	M57938.1:9157-9646	F	TGTACACCTCGTGTGAACGG	490	
		R	TGAGTGTGCCACGGATTCTC		
CHV1 and CHV1-∆p69	N (55000 1 0540 0646	F	ATAGTGGACGGGGTGTATCG		
(qRT-PCR)	M57938.1:9543-9646		TGAGTGTGCCACGGATTCTC	104	

Supplementary Table S2. Primers used for detection of viruses and host genes

M57029 1.2265 2764	F	TGGAAGAGGCGAAAGAGGCA	500	
MI37938.1:3203-3704	R	TGTCGAAGGGTTGGTATTAT		
A D 7 4 2 4 5 4 1.2070 4556	F	TCAACAGTCACCACTCGACG	()(
AB/42434.1:39/0-4330	R	TTGATTTCCCGTGGCGTACA	000	
AD742454 1.4441 4575	F	TCAACCCCAACCCGATGACA	135	
AB/42434.1:4441-43/3	R	TTGATTTCCCGTGGCGTACA		
12/277000 1.2662 2112	F	AACTTTCGCCCTACGCATGA	451	
AY 277888.1:2002-3112	R	TGGCGAGAGTTCCATTGCAT		
12277000 1.2004 2112	F	CGATTCAAGTGCTACGGCAT	109	
AY 277888.1:3004-3112	R	TGGCGAGAGTTCCATTGCAT		
Saa lagand ^e	F	GGTTTCTCCCCGAGATAGCG	537	
See legend	R	AGGTTCTTACAGCTGACCGC		
Saa lagand e	F	GCAATATGCTCACCGTGGTA	135	
See legend	R	AGGTTCTTACAGCTGACCGC		
1 20010 1.2129 2500	F	CATTTCCGAAGAGCCATGCG	453	
L29010.1:3138-3390	R	CCGAATTCCAAGCGCATCAG		
A E 199515 1.2045 2092	F	AGAGGGTTATGCCCCCTGAT	538	
AF188515.1:2045-2082	R	ATCTGCCATCTATCAGCCGC		
NIV 522145 1.207 075	F	ATGTCTGAGCAACAACTCATCT	589	
WIN333143.1:28/-8/3	R	TGCCATCCACCAGATGCCAGTT		
	M57938.1:3265-3764 AB742454.1:3970-4556 AB742454.1:4441-4575 AY277888.1:2662-3112 AY277888.1:3004-3112 See legend ^e See legend ^e L29010.1:3138-3590 AF188515.1:2045-2082 MK533145.1:287-875	M57938.1:3265-3764 F AB742454.1:3970-4556 F AB742454.1:3970-4556 R AB742454.1:4441-4575 F AB742454.1:4441-4575 R AY277888.1:2662-3112 F AY277888.1:2662-3112 R AY277888.1:3004-3112 F See legend e F See legend e F L29010.1:3138-3590 F AF188515.1:2045-2082 F R F MK533145.1:287-875 R	M57938.1:3265-3764FTGGAAGAGGCGAAAGAGGCARTGTCGAAGGGTTGGTATTATAB742454.1:3970-4556FTCAACAGTCACCACTCGACGAB742454.1:4441-4575RTTGATTTCCCGTGGCGTACAAB742454.1:4441-4575RTTGATTTCCCGTGGCGTACAAB742454.1:4441-4575RTGACTTCGCCCTACGCATGACAAB742454.1:4441-4575RACTTTCGCCCTACGCATGACAAB742454.1:4441-4575RACTTTCGCCCTACGCATGACAAY277888.1:2662-3112FAACTTTCGCCCTACGCATGACAAY277888.1:3004-3112FCGATTCAAGTGCTACGGCATAY277888.1:3004-3112FCGATTCTACAGTGCACGCATSee legend eFGGTTTCTCCCCGAGATAGCGSee legend eFGCAATATGCTCACCGTGGTABCCGAATTCTACAGCTGACCGCFL29010.1:3138-3590FCATTTCCGAAGAGCCATGCGAF188515.1:2045-2082FAGAGGGTTATGCCCCCTGATAK533145.1:287-875FATGTCTGAGCAACAACTCATCTRTGCCATCCACCAGATGCCAGTT	

^{*a*} The accession number in JGI Genome Portal is shown for "*agl4* flanking regions", while that in GenBank is listed for the others.

^b Primer orientation (F: forward; R: reverse).

^c gDNA: genomic DNA of *C. parasitica*; cDNA: complementary DNA reverse transcribed from messenger RNA of *C. parasitica* or viruses.

^{*d*} Primer sequence is included in *SI Appendix*, Table S1.

^e Personally provided by Dr. Bradley I. Hillman (Rutgers University).

Strain	Description	Reference
Viral		
CHV2	Capsidless non-segmented (+)RNA virus in the genus Hypovirus in the family	<u>(31)</u>
	Hypoviridae, from C. parasitica strain NB58	
CHV3	Capsidless non-segmented (+)RNA virus in the genus Hypovirus in the family	<u>(32)</u>
	Hypoviridae, from C. parasitica strain GH2	
CHV4	Capsidless non-segmented (+)RNA virus in the genus Hypovirus in the family	<u>(33)</u>
	Hypoviridae, from C. parasitica strain C18	
Fungal		
EP155/CHV2	C. parasitica EP155 inoculated with CHV2 isolate NB58	<u>(34)</u>
EP155/CHV3	C. parasitica EP155 inoculated with CHV3 isolate GH2	<u>(34)</u>
EP155/CHV4	C. parasitica EP155 inoculated with CHV4 isolate C18	This study
∆agl4+Cre	C. parasitica DK80/2 dgl4 transformed with pCPXHY3::Cre	This study

Supplementary Table S3. Viral and fungal strains used in this study

Gene name	GenBank accession	Gene ID in Crypa2 ^{<i>a</i>}	Transcript ID in	Reference
			Crypa2 ^{<i>a</i>}	
rdr1	HF912382	e_gw1.11.21.1	270014	
rdr2	HF912383	Crypa1.e_gw1.1.1699.1	35624	(25)
rdr3	HF912384	Crypa1.gw1.4.33.1	10929	(55)
rdr4	HF912385	fgenesh1_pm.4_#_888	339656	
dcl1	DQ186989	Crypa1.fgenesh1_pg.C_scaffold_3001033	69967	(20)
dcl2	DQ186990	estExt_Genewise1.C_41219	276559	(30)
agll	GQ250184	Crypa1.fgenesh1_pg.C_scaffold_9000048	74333	
agl2	GQ250185	estExt_Genewise1Plus.C_60308	292762	
agl3	GQ250186	e_gw1.9.51.1	268359	(4)
agl4	GQ250187	e_gw1.6.1921.1	261854	

Supplementary Table S4. ID of RNAi genes in *C. parasitica* EP155/DK80.

^{*a*} Cryphonectria parasitica EP155 v2.0 genome assembly (<u>https://mycocosm.jgi.doe.gov/Crypa2</u>) (24).