# **Molecular recognition of the atypical chemokine-like peptide GPR15L by its cognate receptor GPR15**

Zhongyuan Zhang<sup>1,2,3</sup>, You Zheng<sup>1,3</sup>, Lu Xu<sup>1</sup>, Yang Yue<sup>1</sup>, Kexin Xu<sup>1,2</sup>, Fei Li<sup>1</sup>, Fei  $Xu^{1,2,4}$ 

<sup>1</sup>iHuman Institute, ShanghaiTech University, Shanghai, China.

<sup>2</sup>School of Life Science and Technology, ShanghaiTech University, Shanghai, China.

<sup>3</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work.

<sup>4</sup>Correspondence: [xufei@shanghaitech.edu.cn \(Fe](mailto:xufei@shanghaitech.edu.cn%20(F)i Xu)

## **Materials and Methods**

#### **Molecular cloning of GPR15 construct**

The human wild type GPR15 gene (1-360) was subcloned into the expression vector pFastBac1 vector with an N-terminal HA-Flag tag, followed by a 10x His tag and three human rhinovirus 3C (HRV3C) cleavage site. Thermostabilized apocytochrome  $b_{562}RIL$  (BRIL)<sup>1</sup> was inserted into the N-terminal of KOR, a MBP was inserted between human rhinovirus 3C (HRV3C) protease site and  $10x$  His tag. A dominant-negative bovine  $DNG\alpha i1$  (G $\alpha i1$  3M) with three mutations (S47N, G203A and A326S)<sup>2</sup> was generated by site-directed mutagenesis to decrease the affinity of nucleotide binding and limit G protein dissociation for stable receptor-Gi complex. Gβ1 and Gγ2 are cloned into a pFastBac Dual vector.

#### **Expression, complex formation and purification**

GPR15, DNGαi and Gβ1γ2 were co-expressed in Hi5 insect cells (Invitrogen) using Bac-to-Bac baculovirus expression system (Thermo Fisher). Cell cultures were grown in ESF 921 medium (Expression system) to a density of  $2 \times 10^6$  per mL with two separate virus preparations for GPR15, DNGαi and Gβ1γ2 at a ratio of 1:1.3:1.5. The infected cells were cultured at 27 °C for 48 h before collection by centrifugation and the cell pellets were stored at -80 °C for future use.

For the purification of GPR15L<sup>C11</sup>-GPR15-Gi-scFv16 complex, GPR15L<sup>C11</sup> (WVVPGALPQV) was synthesized by GenScript using solid-phase peptide synthesis, the purity of the synthesized peptide was determined to be 95%, cell pellets from 1L culture were thawed at room temperature and resuspended in low salt buffer containing 20 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 10% glycerol, protease inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Fisher). The GPR15-Gi complex were formed on membrane in presence of 10  $\mu$ M GPR15L<sup>C11</sup> and treated with apyrase (20 mU mL<sup>-1</sup>, NEB), followed by incubation overnight at 4 °C. Cell membranes were collected by ultra-centrifugation at 100,000 x g for 40 min. The membranes were resuspended and then incubated with 5  $\mu$ M GPR15L<sup>C11</sup>, 2 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> iodoacetamide (Sigma), 20 mU mL<sup>-1</sup> apyrase at 4 °C for 0.5 h. The protein was extracted from the membrane by 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 1%(w/v) lauryl maltose neopentyl glycol (LMNG, Anatrace), 0.2% (w/v) cholesteryl hemisuccinate TRIS salt (CHS, Anatrace) and stirred for 2 h at 4℃. The supernatant was isolated by centrifugation at 30000 rpm for 30 min and then incubated overnight at 4 °C with pre-equilibrated TALON IMAC resin (Clontech). After batch binding, the TALON IMAC resin with immobilized protein complex was manually loaded onto a gravity flow column. The TALON IMAC resin was washed with 10 column volumes of 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 10% glycerol, 30 mM imidazole, 0.1% LMNG (w/v),  $0.02\%$  CHS (w/v),  $20 \mu$ M GPR15L<sup>C11</sup>, and wash again with the buffer containing 0.05% LMNG (w/v), 0.01% CHS (w/v), 50 mM imidazole, 10  $\mu$ M GPR15L<sup>C11</sup> and elute with the buffer containing  $0.01\%$  LMNG (w/v),  $0.005\%$  CHS (w/v), 300 mM imidazole, 5  $\mu$ M GPR15L<sup>C11</sup>. The eluted protein was incubated with 200µg of scFv16 at 4  $\rm{°C}$  for another 0.5 h. The mixture was then purified by SEC using a Superdex 200 10/300 GL column (GE healthcare) in 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 0.00075% (w/v) LMNG, 0.00025% (w/v) GDN, 0.00015% (w/v) CHS and 2  $\mu$ M GPR15L<sup>C11</sup>. The fractions of monomeric complex were

collected and concentrated to 2.5-3.5 mg  $mL^{-1}$  for electron microscopy experiments.

# **Cryo-EM grid preparation and data collection**

For cryo-EM grid preparation, 3.5 μL of GPR15L<sup>C11</sup>-GPR15-Gi-scFv16 complex at the concentration of 3.0 mg  $mL^{-1}$  was applied individually to EM grids (Quantifoil, 300 mesh Au R1.2/1.3) that were glow-discharged for 45s, which were blotted for 4s under 100% humidity at 4 °C before being plunged into liquid ethane cooled by liquid nitrogen using a Mark IV Vitrobot (FEI). Protein concentration was determined by absorbance at 280 nm using a Nanodrop 2000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The grids were loaded onto a Titan Krios electron microscope operated at 300 kV accelerating voltage equipped with Gatan K3 summit direct electron camera (Gatan) with a Gatan Qutantum energy filter. Images of all datasets were recorded with SerialEM software in EFTEM nanoprobe mode a 70 mm C2 aperture, at a calibrated magnification of 105,000 corresponding to a magnified pixel size of 0.832 Å and a defocus range of -1.1 to -2.2 μm. The slit width for zero loss peak was 20 eV. Each movie was comprised of 40 frames with a total dose of 60 electrons per Å2, exposure time was 2.0 s with the dose rate of 20 e<sup>-/</sup>pixel/s.

#### **Image processing**

All dose-fractioned images were motion-corrected and dose-weighted by MotionCorr2 software<sup>3</sup> and their contrast transfer functions were estimated by patch CTF estimation in cryoSPARC<sup>4</sup>. For GPR15L<sup>C11</sup>-GPR15-Gi dataset, 300 images were selected automatically to do blob picking and 132,215 particles were picked and extracted, then 2D classification with 200 classes to sort the dataset was performed in cryoSPARC v.4.5.1 and 26 classes with 23,237 particles were selected as training data to generate a deep learning model using topaz. The deep picker was performed to all 4,198 images using topaz with the pretrained model, and a total of 2,097,368 particles were autopicked and used in 2D classification. 616,647 particle projections were then selected for the subsequent 3D heterogeneous refinement in cryoSPARC. After the final round of 3D heterogeneous refinement from 547,851 particle projections, a selected subset containing 198,351 projections that displayed fine structural details were processed for highresolution refinement, including non-uniform refinement and local refinement, resulting in the final map with an overall resolution of 2.89 Å. The overall resolution was estimated on the basis of gold-standard Fourier shell correlation of independently refined half maps and the 0.143 cutoff criterion. Local resolution was estimated in cryoSPARC using default parameters. The density map underwent automatic masking and local sharpening in DeepEMhancer to optimize local density.

#### **Model building, refinement, and validation**

For the GPR15L<sup>C11</sup>-GPR15-Gi complex, the Gi protein from the C3aR1-Gi complex structure<sup>5</sup> (8HK3) was used as starting models for model building and refinement against the electron density map of Gi and scFv16. The cryo-EM model was docked into the electron microscopy density map using Chimera and further manually built in Coot-0.9.6<sup>6</sup> with the guidance of the cryo-EM map. Structure refinement was further performed using phenix.real\_space\_refine application in real space with secondary structure and geometry restraints, in combination with

manually building. UCSF Chimera<sup>7</sup>, Chimera  $X^8$ , and PyMOL (Schrödinger) were used to prepare the structural figures in the paper.

## **cAMP functional assay**

To determine GPR15 mediated change of cAMP production, we use split luciferase based Glosensor cAMP<sup>9</sup> biosensor technology. HEK293T cells were cultured in 6-well plate at a density of 4×105 cells/well for 24h, and then the cells were transiently transfected with 1μg of plasmids, with 500ng pGloSensor™-22Fa and 500ng GPR15 (or GPR15 mutation) with Lipo2000 transfection reagent. After transfection for 24h, cells were seeded into poly-D-lysinecoated 384-well culture plates in DMEM with 1% dFBS. Cells were grown overnight before incubation in 20mg/ml luciferin which diluted in HBSS for 1h at  $37^{\circ}$ C (no CO<sub>2</sub>). To measure the 11-GPR15L activity, 10μL 4x solution was added with serial concentration and reacted for 15 min. The plates for the Gi assay were diluted by adding 10 μL isoproterenol (Sigma) at a final concentration of 200 nM, paused for 15 min. Luminescence signals were measured using an EnVision plate reader.

# **References**

- 1. Chun, E., et al., Fusion Partner Toolchest for the Stabilization and Crystallization of G Protein-Coupled Receptors. Structure, 2012. **20**(6): p. 967-976.
- 2. Liang, Y.L., et al., Dominant Negative G Proteins Enhance Formation and Purification of Agonist-GPCR-G Protein Complexes for Structure Determination. ACS Pharmacol Transl Sci, 2018. **1**(1): p. 12-20.
- 3. Zheng, S.Q., et al., MotionCor2: anisotropic correction of beam-induced motion for improved cryo-electron microscopy. Nature Methods, 2017. **14**(4): p. 331-332.
- 4. Punjani, A., et al., *cryoSPARC: algorithms for rapid unsupervised cryo-EM structure* determination. Nature Methods, 2017. **14**(3): p. 290-+.
- 5. Wang, Y., et al., Revealing the signaling of complement receptors C3aR and C5aR1 by anaphylatoxins. Nature Chemical Biology, 2023. **19**(11): p. 1351-+.
- 6. Emsley, P. and K. Cowtan, :: model-building tools for molecular graphics. Acta Crystallographica Section D-Structural Biology, 2004. **60**: p. 2126-2132.
- 7. Pettersen, E.F., et al., UCSF chimera A visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. Journal of Computational Chemistry, 2004. **25**(13): p. 1605-1612.
- 8. Pettersen, E.F., et al., UCSF ChimeraX: Structure visualization for researchers, educators, and developers. Protein Science, 2021. **30**(1): p. 70-82.
- 9. Wang, F.I., et al., *Luciferase-based GloSensor™ cAMP assay: Temperature optimization* and application to cell-based kinetic studies. Methods, 2022. **203**: p. 249-258.



**Supplementary Fig. S1. Biochemical characterization of GPR15 and the protein complex.** 

**a** The snakeplot of full-length GPR15 construct. The N-terminus of GPR15 was fused with a HA tag, FLAG tag and Bril, the C-terminus of GPR15 was fused with MBP to enhance expression. **b** Size exclusion chromatography profile (left) and SDS-PAGE analysis (right) of the GPR15L<sup>C11</sup>-GPR15-DNGi-scFv16 complex.



**Supplementary Fig. S2. Structure determination of the GPR15LC11 -GPR15-Gi-scFv16**  complex. a Cyro-EM raw image of GPR15L<sup>C11</sup>-GPR15-Gi-scFv16 complex. **b** Cyro-EM 2D classification averages of GPR15L<sup>C11</sup>-GPR15-Gi-scFv16 complex. **c** Cryo-EM data processing flowchart of the GPR15L<sup>C11</sup>-GPR15-Gi complex. **d** The global resolution of the final processed density map estimated at the FSC =  $0.143$  is 2.9 Å.

 $\mathbf b$ 

g,

B.

![](_page_6_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Supplementary Fig. S3. Cryo-EM map quality assessment.** EM density maps of transmembrane helices TM1-TM7 and helix 8 of GPR15, GPR15L<sup>C11</sup> and α5 helix of Gαi.

![](_page_7_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Supplementary Fig. S4. Functional characterization of GPR15 mutations. a** The dosedependent response curves of GPR15 activated by GPR15L-FL or GPR15L<sup>C11</sup> using GloSensor cAMP assay. **b** Effects of mutations in the GPR15 signaling efficacy  $(E_{\text{max}})$  induced by GPR15L<sup>C11</sup>. Data are presented as means  $\pm$  s.e.m. of N = 3 independent experiments performed in technical duplicates. All data were analyzed by two-sided, one-way ANOVA by Dunnett's multiple test compared with WT.  $*P < 0.05$ ,  $*P < 0.01$  and  $**P < 0.001$  were considered statistically significant.

![](_page_8_Figure_0.jpeg)

GPR15-CCR5

![](_page_8_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Supplementary Fig. S5. Structural comparison of GPR15LC11 -bound GPR15 with chemokine-bound chemokine receptors. a** Top view of GPR15 helical arrangement in comparison to each CCR-family receptor; The movements of TM5, TM6, TM7 are indicated. CCR8 (PDB: 8U1U), CCR1 (PDB: 7VL9), CCR2 (PDB: 7XA3), CCR5 (PDB: 7O7F), CCR6 (PDB: 6WWZ), CXCR2 (PDB: 6LFO). **b** Comparison of the insertion depth of the GPR15L into the GPR15 pocket with other chemokine peptides (or small molecule LMD009) in their respective chemokine receptor pockets. Stars denote the conserved tryptophan residue at position 6.48.

![](_page_10_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Supplementary Fig. S6. Activation mechanism of GPR15 by GPR15LC11 . a** Ligand-induced global changes in receptor motif conformation. Motifs within GPR15, shown as coloured patches (magenta, ligand binding site; yellow, CWxP motif; red, PIF motif; purple, sodium site; orange, NPxxY motif; blue, DRY motif). **b-d** Magnified views of these motifs within the GPR15 complex (cyan) and the antagonist-bound inactive CXCR4 (grey). Each residue is shown as a stick model. Key residues that are equivalent between GPR15 and CXCR4 are labeled. The conformational changes are shown around (**b)** the PIF motif, (**c)** the DRY motif and (**d)** the NPxxY motif.

# **Supplementary Table S1. Cryo-EM data collection, refinement, and validation statistics**

![](_page_11_Picture_7.jpeg)

Table S1. Cryo-EM data collection, refinement, and validation statistics

	$pEC_{50}$	$E_{\rm max}(\% )$
WT	$6.23 \pm 0.08$	$100 \pm 0.00$
<b>S114A</b>	$6.20 \pm 0.12$	$76.33 \pm 7.80$
M117A	$5.94 \pm 0.09$	$***$ $55.33 \pm 1.20$
Y182A	$5.188 \pm 0.04$	*** $35.67 \pm 9.21$
K187A	$6.38 \pm 0.17$	$63.67 \pm 7.13$
F257A	$4.759 \pm 0.14$	$38.33 \pm 13.70$ ***
F292A	4.44 $\pm$ 0.37 **	$89.50 \pm 4.50$
K92A	$5.01 \pm 0.16$	52.33 $\pm$ 6.98**
E185A	$5.69 \pm 0.12$	$62 \pm 5.86$
L193A	$5.642 \pm 0.05$	$70.67 \pm 10.71$
S <sub>196</sub> A	$6.10 \pm 0.05$	$56.33 \pm 5.24$ **
A291F	$5.37 \pm 0.08$	$54 + 9.29$ **

**Supplementary Table S2. Summary of cAMP functional assay for the tested GPR15 mutants**

(Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  SEM of at least three independent experiments performed in technical replicates. All data were analyzed by two-sided, one-way ANOVA by Dunnett's multiple test compared with WT.  $*P < 0.05$ ,  $**P < 0.01$  and  $**P < 0.001$  were considered statistically significant.)