S1: Characteristics of the publications fulfilling the inclusion criteria and analysed in Network metaanalysis (NMA) and/or descriptively

Legend:

*: information obtained from the authors of the publication on request; not available: parameter not assessed / no further dates of outcome assessment;

n.i.: no information available on request

n.r.: no restriction reported

Ausenda et al., 2019	Not included in NMA
Study design	Arms: 2 parallel
	Blinding: Examiners blinded
	Standardized instruction of the technique: n.i.
	Calibration of examiners: yes*
Participants	Sample size: N=48
	Gender: equally distributed (p=.25)
	Age: ≥ 18 years
	Educational level: n.r.
	Dental education: n.r.
	General health status: n.r.
	Smoking status: non-smokers
	Dental status and/or periodontal status : ≥ 20 teeth; cervical bleeding ≥ 20%; healthy
	cervical periodontal condition (PPD ≤ 3mm)
Interventions	Control: no specific technique (24/24)
(n included/completed)	Intervention: Bass technique (24/21)
	Professional tooth cleaning: no
	Referral of the instruction to original publication of the technique: refers to Bass (1954)
	Instruction describes the technique applied like the original: no*
Provided devices	Toothbrush (Oral B Indiator Soft); toothpaste (Crest Pro Health)*
Provided instructions	All groups:
	Brushing twice/day 2 minutes
	Intervention group:
	Additional instruction with respect to brushing technique
	Mode: written and oral
	Frequency: 3x at baseline (T1); 4 weeks (T2) and 12 weeks (T3)
	Provider/qualification: n.i.
	Instruction for application at home: no
Outcome parameters	Primary (plaque after toothbrushing): not available*
	Secondary (Gingivitis): (modified) GI*
Outcome dates	Primary (last date of outcome assessment): 12 weeks after intervention (T3)
	Secondary (first date of outcome assessment): not applicable (instruction at each time
	point)
	Other:4 weeks after intervention (T2)
Ceyhan et al., 2018	Not included in NMA
Study design	Arms: 2 parallel
	Blinding: examiners blinded* Standardized instruction of the technique: p i
	Standardized instruction of the technique: n.i. Calibration of examiners: n.i.
Participants	Sample size: N=163
Tarticipants	Gender: 78 female/ 85 male
	e e e e e e e e e

	Educational level: preschool children Dental education: no General health status: no systemic disease; no mental or physical disabilities Smoking status: not applicable Dental status and/or periodontal status: no oral soft tissue pathology
Interventions (n included/completed)	Intervention 1: horizontal scrubbing (17/17) Intervention 2: Fones (21/21) 2stpreschool Intervention 1: horizontal scrubbing (22/22) Intervention 2: Fones (25/25) 3stpreschool Intervention 1: horizontal scrubbing (39/39) Intervention 2: Fones (39/39) Professional tooth cleaning: no Referral of the instruction to original publication of the technique: no reference for either technique Instruction describes the technique applied like the original: n.i.
Provided devices	Toothbrush and toothpaste appropriate for this age group
Provided instructions	All groups: Brushing twice/day Intervention groups: Additional instruction with respect to respective brushing technique Mode: via hands-on; interactive oral and dental health education session on models accompanied by powerpoint-presentation 1stpreschool: Educational toothbrushing card for the children 2stpreschool: oral and dental health education lecture for parents 3stpreschool: awarding card at subsequent follow up Frequency: 1x at baseline (T1) Provider/qualification: n.i. Instruction for application at home: no
Outcome parameters	Primary (plaque after toothbrushing): Pl Secondary (Gingivitis): not available
Outcome dates:	Primary (last date of outcome assessment): not available (n.i. whether plaque was assessed after toothbrushing at T2-T5) Secondary (first date of outcome assessment): T1
Deinzer et al. (2016)	Included in NMA
Study design	Arms: 3 parallel Blinding: examiners blinded, participants blinded to hypotheses Standardized instruction of the technique: yes Calibration of examiners: yes
Participants	Sample size: N=93 (n=92 received allocated intervention) Gender: 58 female/ 34 male Age: 30-82 years, mean 59.3 Educational level: good language knowledge Dental education: no General health status: no physical, mental disabilities that influence oral hygiene Smoking status: n.r. Dental status and/or periodontal status: no dental or periodontal treatment needed; ≥ 4 sufficient crowns; ≥ 4 natural teeth
Interventions (n included/completed)	Control: no specific technique (30/25) Intervention 1: Fones (32/27) Intervention 2: modified Bass (31/27)

	Professional tooth cleaning: yes – prior to intervention Referral of the instruction to original publication of the technique: Bass: refers to Bass (1954) but is described as in Katz (1972); Fones: refers to Fones (1921) Instruction describes the technique applied like the original: Bass: in analogy to Katz (1972); Fones: in analogy to Fones (1921; description differs with respect to inner surfaces)
Provided devices	toothbrush (elmex® interX); toothpaste; floss (elmex®), superfloss (meridol) interdental brushes (elmex®)
Provided instructions	All groups: computer-based training in basics of toothbrushing Intervention groups: additional slides with instruction with respect to the respective brushing technique Mode: computer-based training (powerpoint slides); patients worked through the presentations alone in their own pace Frequency: 1x between baseline (T1) and six weeks (T2) Provider/qualification: brushing was assessed by a dentist immediately after instruction; reinstructions were given when necessary Instruction for application at home: leaflet with the basics of tooth brushing for all groups and respective additional information for intervention groups
Outcome parameters	Primary (plaque after toothbrushing): MPI (included in NMA); TQHI Secondary (Gingivitis): not available
Outcome dates:	Primary (last date of outcome assessment): 12 weeks after intervention (T3) Secondary (first date of outcome assessment): 6 weeks after intervention (T2) Other: not available
Dosumu et al., 2019	Not included in NMA
Study design	Arms: 2 parallel Blinding: examiners blinded Standardized instruction of the technique: n.i. Calibration of examiners: yes
Participants	Sample size: N=50 Gender: male:female ratio 2:3 Age: mean 23.1 Educational level: students (university admission) Dental education: (preclinical) dental students General health status: n.r. Smoking status: n.r. Dental status and/or periodontal status: ≥ 6 natural teeth/quadrant, no dentures or other dental prostheses; no teeth crowding teeth in good alignment; no periodontal disease
Interventions (n included/completed)	Control: no specific technique (25/25) Intervention: modified Bass technique (25/25) Professional tooth cleaning: yes – prior intervention Referral of the instruction to original publication of the technique: no Instruction describes the technique applied like the original: n.i.
Provided devices	toothbrush (medium); fluoridated toothpaste
Provided instructions	All groups: Brushing twice/day (before breakfast; after dinner) 5 minutes Intervention group: additional instruction with respect to brushing technique Mode: video and dental model; hands on Frequency: 1x at baseline (T1) Provider/qualification: n.i.

	Instruction for application at home: no
Outcome parameters	Primary (plaque after toothbrushing): n.i. whether plaque was assessed after toothbrushin Secondary (Gingivitis): BOP
Outcome dates	Primary (last date of outcome assessment): 28 days (T3) Secondary (first date of outcome assessment): 7 days (T2) Other: not available
Harnacke et al. (2012)	Included in NMA
Study design	Arms: 3 parallel Blinding: examiners blinded, participants blinded to hypotheses Standardized instruction of the technique: yes Calibration of examiners: yes
Participants	Sample size: N=67 (n=64 received allocated intervention) Gender: 44 female/ 12 male Age: mean 23.2 Educational level: students (university admission) Dental education: no General health status: no physical, mental disabilities that influence oral hygiene; no seriou disease* Smoking status: non-smokers Dental status and/or periodontal status: ≥ 20 natural teeth; ≥ 10 teeth showing plaque or bleeding
Interventions (n included/completed)	Control: no specific technique (23/19) Intervention 1: Fones (22/19) Intervention 2: modified Bass (22/18) Professional tooth cleaning: yes – prior to intervention Referral of the instruction to original publication of the technique: Bass: refers to Hellweg (2003) and APA; Fones: refers to APA Instruction describes the technique applied like the original: Bass: in analogy to Katz (1972) Fones: in analogy to Fones (1921; description differs with respect to inner surfaces)
Provided devices	toothbrush (elmex® interX); toothpaste (elmex®); floss (elmex® waxed and unwaxed)
Provided instructions	All groups: computer-based training in basics of toothbrushing; video instruction "how to floss" Intervention groups: additional slides with instruction with respect to the respective brushing technique Mode: computer-based training (powerpoint slides and video instruction); patients worked through the presentations alone in their own pace Frequency: 1x between baseline (T1) and six weeks (T2) Provider/qualification: brushing was assessed by a dentist immediately after instruction; reinstructions were given when necessary Instruction for application at home: leaflet with the basics of tooth brushing for all groups and respective additional information for intervention groups
Outcome parameters	Primary (Plaque): MPI (included in NMA); TQHI Secondary (Gingivitis): PBI
Outcome dates	Primary (last date of outcome assessment): 28 weeks after intervention (T4) Secondary (first date of outcome assessment): 6 weeks after intervention (T2) Other: 12 weeks after intervention (T3)
Harnacke et al. (2016)	Included in NMA
Study design	Arms: 3 parallel Blinding: examiners blinded, participants blinded to hypotheses Standardized instruction of the technique: yes

	Calibration of examiners: yes
Participants	Sample size: N=70 (n=69 received allocated intervention)
	Gender: 45 female/ 25 male
	Age: 18-19 years old
	Educational level: n.r.
	Dental education: no
	General health status : no physical, mental disabilities that influence oral hygiene; no serious
	disease*
	Smoking status: n.r.
	Dental status and/or periodontal status: n.r.
Interventions	Control: no specific technique (23/23)
(n included/completed)	Intervention 1: Fones (23/23)
	Intervention 2: modified Bass (24/23)
	Professional tooth cleaning: yes – prior to intervention
	Referral of the instruction to original publication of the technique: no Instruction describes the technique applied like the original: no description but refers to
	Harnacke et al. (2012)
Provided devices	toothbrush (elmex® interX); toothpaste (elmex®); floss (elmex® waxed and unwaxed)
Provided instructions	All groups:
	computer-based training in basics of toothbrushing
	Intervention groups:
	additional slides with instruction with respect to the respective brushing technique
	Mode: computer-based training (powerpoint slides); patients worked through the
	presentations alone in their own pace
	Frequency: 1x between baseline (T1) and six weeks (T2)
	Provider/qualification : brushing was assessed by a dentist immediately after instruction;
	reinstructions were given when necessary
	Instruction for application at home : leaflet with the basics of tooth brushing <u>for all groups</u>
	and respective additional information for intervention groups
Outcome parameters	Primary (Plaque): MPI
	Secondary (Gingivitis): BOP
Outcome dates	Primary (last date of outcome assessment): 28 weeks after intervention (T4)
	Secondary (first date of outcome assessment): 6 weeks after intervention (T2)
	Other: 12 weeks after intervention (T3)
Janakiram et al., 2020	Not included in NMA
Study design	Arms: 2 parallel
	Blinding: examiners blinded Standardized instruction of the technique: n.i.
	Calibration of examiners: yes
Participants	Sample size: N=120
	Gender: 66.6% female
	Age : 18-30 years; mean 22.0
	Educational level: students (university admission)
	Dental education: dental students
	General health status: n:r.
	Smoking status: non-smokers
	Dental status and/or periodontal status : ≥ 20 teeth; mild to moderate gingivitis; no history of periodontal therapy or disease
Intonionticas	
Interventions (n included (completed)	Control: no specific technique (40/40)
(n included/completed)	Intervention 1: Fones (40/40)
	Intervention 2: modified Bass (40/38) Professional tooth cleaning: no
	Professional tooth cleaning: no

	Referral of the instruction to original publication of the technique: no Instruction describes the technique applied like the original: modified Bass: in analogy to Katz (1972); Fones: in analogy to Fones (1921) Note: instruction not described in the paper but in the clinical trials registry form
Provided devices	toothbrush (standard, soft bristled); toothpaste (Colgate Total® Advanced Health)
Provided instructions	All groups: Instruction: brushing twice a day using toothbrush and toothpaste Intervention groups Mode: computer-based training (powerpoint slides and videos); demonstration on study models; Frequency: 4x at baseline (T1), 24h (T2), one week (T3) and four weeks (T4) Provider/qualification: brushing technique was performed in the presence of the trial coordinator during each visit
Outcome parameters	Instruction for application at home: leaflet describing respective brushing technique Primary (plaque after toothbrushing): n.i. whether plaque was assessed after toothbrushing Secondary (Gingivitis): GI
Outcome dates	Primary (last date of outcome assessment): not applicable (instruction at each time point) Secondary (first date of outcome assessment): 4 weeks after intervention (T4) (additional instructions and assessments 24h and 1 week after intervention)
Kanchanakamol et al., 1993	Not included in NMA
Study design	Arms: 4 parallel Blinding: n.i. Standardized instruction of the technique: n.i. Calibration of examiners: yes
Participants	Sample size: N=100 (n=92 received allocated intervention) Gender: n.i. Age: 21-22 years Educational level: soldiers of Air Base Dental education: no General health status: n.r. Smoking status: n.r. Dental status and/or periodontal status: no dental or mucosal disease; teeth in good alignment
Interventions (n included/completed)	Intervention 1: Roll technique (standard toothbrush) (25/23) Intervention 2: Bass technique (standard toothbrush) (25/23) Intervention 3: Roll technique (Concept 45° toothbrush) (25/23) Intervention 4: Bass technique (Concept 45° toothbrush) (25/23) Professional tooth cleaning: dental scaling (by dental students) prior intervention Referral of the instruction to original publication of the technique: Roll technique: refers to Hansen & Giermo (1971; the cited paper does not describe a roll technique); Bass: refers to Bass (1954) Instruction describes the technique applied like the original: Roll: see above; Bass: in analogy to Bass (1954)
Provided devices	Standard toothbrush; Concept 45° toothbrush
Provided instructions	All groups: Brushing twice/day 2 minutes Intervention groups: Oral hygiene instruction with respect to the respective brushing technique Mode: instruction in small groups for 2h Frequency: 1x at baseline (T1) Provider/qualification: n.i. Instruction for application at home: no

Outcome parameters	Primary (plaque after toothbrushing): modified Navy Plaque Index Secondary (Gingivitis): not available
Outcome dates:	Primary (last date of outcome assessment): 2 weeks after intervention (T2) Secondary (first date of outcome assessment): not applicable (identical to T2) Other: not available
Sarvia et al., 1989	Not included in NMA
Study design	Arms: 4 parallel Blinding: n.i. Standardized instruction of the technique: n.i. Calibration of examiners: n.i.
Participants	Sample size: N=64 Gender: 36 female/ 28 male Age: 4-12 years Educational level: (pre)school children Dental education: no General health status: no mental retardation / no physical condition compromising perceptual or motor functioning Smoking status: n.r. Dental status and/or periodontal status: no caries
Interventions (n included/completed)	Control 1: no specific technique (17/17) Control 2: no specific technique plus incentive (14/14) Intervention 1: horizontal scrubbing (15/15) Intervention 2: horizontal scrubbing plus incentive (18/18) Professional tooth cleaning: no Referral of the instruction to original publication of the technique: no Instruction describes the technique applied like the original: not applicable
Provided devices	Toothbrush
Provided instructions	Control groups: no instruction Intervention groups: instruction in horizontal scrubbing Mode: Individual instruction Frequency: 1x at baseline (T1) Provider/qualification: n.i. Instruction for application at home: no
Outcome parameters	Primary (plaque after toothbrushing): Plaque (n.i. about the index) Secondary (Gingivitis): not available
Outcome dates	Primary (last date of outcome assessment): 1 week after intervention (T2) Secondary (first date of outcome assessment): not applicable (identical to T2) Other: not available
Schlueter et al., 2013	Included in NMA
Study design	Arms: 3 parallel Blinding: examiner 1 (plaque assessment) not blinded; examiner 2 (video recording) blinde Standardized instruction of the technique: yes Calibration of examiners: yes
Participants	Sample size: N=98 Gender: not reported Age: mean 26.6 Educational level: students (university admission) Dental education: no

	General health status: no physical, mental disabilities that influence oral hygiene; no serious disease* Smoking status: n.r. Dental status and/or periodontal status: ≥ 24 teeth; no serious periodontitis no multiple recessions (1/3 of root lengths)
Interventions (n included/completed)	Control: no specific technique (33/27) Intervention 1: modified Bass (leaflet) (32/24) Intervention 2: modified Bass (demonstration) (33/26) Professional tooth cleaning: yes – prior intervention Referral of the instruction to original publication of the technique: no Instruction describes the technique applied like the original: modified Bass: in analogy to
Described desires	Katz (1972; the authors instructed 3-5 jiggling and wiping cycles per area*)
Provided devices Provided instructions	toothbrush (elmex® interX) Control group: Instruction 4 weeks after last visit: verbal instruction Intervention groups: Mode:
	Intervention 1: verbal instruction and a leaflet containing major steps the technique and brushing sequence Intervention 2: verbal instruction supported by demonstration with a model Frequency: 2x at baseline (T1) and 2 weeks (T2) Provider/qualification: a dentist trained and reevaluated participants' technique during the study Instruction for application at home: no
Outcome parameters	Primary (Plaque): TQHI Secondary (Gingivitis): not available
Outcome dates	Primary (last date of outcome assessment): 4 weeks after intervention (T3) Secondary (first date of outcome assessment): 2 weeks after intervention (T2) Other: not available
Schmalz et al., 2018	Included in NMA
Study design	Arms: 6 parallel (2 arms relevant for review) Blinding: examiner blinded Standardized instruction of the technique: n.i. Calibration of examiners: yes
Participants	Sample size: N=50 (n=48 received allocated intervention) Gender: 39 female/ 9 male Age: 18-30 years; mean 23.7 Educational level: students (university admission) Dental education: no General health status: no physical, mental disabilities that influence oral hygiene; no seriou disease Smoking status: n.r. Dental status and/or periodontal status: ≥ 20 teeth; healthy oral condition (PSI ≤ 2)
Interventions (n included/completed)	Control: no specific technique (24/22) Intervention: Fones (24/22) Professional tooth cleaning: yes – prior intervention Referral of the instruction to original publication of the technique: no Instruction describes the technique applied like the original: in analogy to Fones (1921; description differs with respect to inner surfaces)
Provided devices	toothbrush (elmex® interX); toothpaste (Sensodyne)
Provided instructions	All groups:

	Brushing twice/day 2-3 minutes Intervention group: additional instruction with respect to brushing technique Mode: oral instruction and demonstration on model and hands on*
	Frequency: 1x at baseline (T1) Provider/qualification: dentist Instruction for application at home: no
Outcome parameters	Primary (plaque after toothbrushing): not available Secondary (Gingivitis): GI (included in NMA); PBI
Outcome dates	Primary (last date of outcome assessment): 12 weeks after intervention (T3) Secondary (first date of outcome assessment): 2 weeks after intervention (T1) Other: 4 weeks after intervention (T2)
Smutkeeree et al., 2011	Included in NMA
Study design	Arms: 2 parallel Blinding: n.i. Standardized instruction of the technique: n.i. Calibration of examiners: n.i.
Participants	Sample size: N=60 (n=57 received allocated intervention) Gender: 26 female/ 34 male Age: 10 – 12 years; mean 11.0 Educational level: school children Dental education: no General health status: visually impaired otherwise healthy children Smoking status: n.r. Dental status and/or periodontal status: ≥ 4 teeth/quadrant; periodontal healthy condition
Interventions (n included/completed)	Intervention 1: modified Bass (28/28) Intervention 2: horizontal scrubbing (29/29) Professional tooth cleaning: no Referral of the instruction to original publication of the technique: no Instruction describes the technique applied like the original: n.i.
Provided devices	Toothbrush (soft, round-end bristles, straight angled (Berman Plus Child))
Provided instructions	All groups: Brushing twice/day (morning and before bedtime) 2 minutes Intervention groups: additional instruction with respect to the respective brushing technique Mode: individually verbal and tactile Frequency: 1x at baseline (T1) Provider/qualification: n.i. Instruction for application at home: no
Outcome parameters	Primary (plaque after toothbrushing): n.i. whether plaque was assessed after toothbrushing Secondary (Gingivitis): GI
Outcome dates	Primary (last date of outcome assessment): 6 months after intervention (T3) Secondary (first date of outcome assessment): 1 month after intervention (T2) Other: not available
Zhang et al., 2005	Not included in NMA
Study design	Arms: 2 crossover (each technique in counterbalanced order) Blinding: examiner blinded Standardized instruction of the technique: n.i. Calibration of examiners: n.i.

Participants	Sample size: N=40
	Gender: 27 female/ 13 male
	Age : 18-35 years
	Educational level: students (university admission)
	Dental education: no
	General health status: no systemic diseases
	Smoking status: n.r.
	Dental status and/or periodontal status: ≥ 24 teeth in good alignment; no or only marginal
	gingivitis and no periodontitis, no denture or cervical fillings
Interventions	Intervention 1: modified Bass (40)
(n included/completed)	Intervention 2: vertical brushing (40)
	Professional tooth cleaning: yes – before the instruction of the respective technique (day 0
	and day 14)
	Referral of the instruction to original publication of the technique: Bass: refers to Bass
	(1948); vertical brushing: no
	Instruction describes the technique applied like the original: n.i.
Provided devices	Toothbrush (crest flat head soft bristle); toothpaste (fluoridated)
Provided instructions	Intervention groups:
	Instruction with respect to the respective brushing technique
	Mode: individual instruction on the model and practice with further help
	Frequency: days 1-4: 2x/day instruction and correction of brushing by assistant with 1st
	technique; days 15-19: 2x/day instruction and correction of brushing by assistant with 2 nd
	technique
	Provider/qualification: study assistant
	Instruction for application at home: no
Outcome parameters	Primary (plaque after toothbrushing): Modified Benson Proximal Marginal Index
	Secondary (Gingivitis): not available
Outcome dates	Primary (last date of outcome assessment): day 6 (T1) and day 20 (T2)
	Secondary (first date of outcome assessment): not available
	Other: not available