

Table S1: Comparison of additionally assessed plaque indices that were not part of the NMA according to the study protocol specifications

Outcome date/Study	index	weeks after intervention	1st study arm compared			2nd study arm compared			standardized mean difference						
			Intervention	n*	mean ⁺	SD ⁺	Intervention	n*	mean ⁺	SD ⁺	SMD	SE	CI (95%) lb	CI (95%) ub	p
Primary outcome date (Last date of assessment)															
Deinzer et al. natural teeth [40]	TQHI	12	NST	30	1.79	0.56	Fones	32	1.87	0.52	-0.15	0.25	-0.65	0.35	0.565
			NST	30	1.79	0.56	Bass	30	1.63	0.43	0.32	0.26	-0.19	0.83	0.223
			Fones	32	1.87	0.52	Bass	30	1.63	0.43	0.50	0.26	-0.01	1.00	0.055
Deinzer et al. crowned teeth [40]	TQHI	12	NST	30	0.91	0.49	Fones	32	0.9	0.48	0.02	0.25	-0.48	0.52	0.936
			NST	30	0.91	0.49	Bass	30	0.93	0.7	-0.03	0.26	-0.54	0.47	0.899
			Fones	32	0.9	0.48	Bass	30	0.93	0.7	-0.05	0.25	-0.55	0.45	0.845
Harnacke et al. 2012 [41]	TQHI	28	NST	19	1.86	0.52	Fones	19	1.68	0.49	0.35	0.33	-0.29	0.99	0.286
			NST	19	1.86	0.52	Bass	18	1.97	0.54	-0.20	0.33	-0.85	0.44	0.538
			Fones	19	1.68	0.49	Bass	18	1.97	0.54	-0.55	0.34	-1.21	0.11	0.100
Secondary outcome date (First date of assessment)															
Deinzer et al. natural teeth [40]	TQHI	6	NST	30	1.94	0.59	Fones	32	1.88	0.6	0.10	0.25	-0.40	0.60	0.695
			NST	30	1.94	0.59	Bass	30	1.73	0.49	0.38	0.26	-0.13	0.89	0.142
			Fones	32	1.88	0.6	Bass	30	1.73	0.49	0.27	0.26	-0.23	0.77	0.291
Deinzer et al. crowned teeth [40]	TQHI	6	NST	30	1.03	0.61	Fones	32	0.96	0.51	0.12	0.25	-0.38	0.62	0.628
			NST	30	1.03	0.61	Bass	30	1.1	0.72	-0.10	0.26	-0.61	0.40	0.689
			Fones	32	0.96	0.51	Bass	30	1.1	0.72	-0.22	0.25	-0.72	0.28	0.382
Harnacke et al. [41]	TQHI	6	NST	19	2.03	0.55	Fones	18	1.71	0.44	0.63	0.34	-0.03	1.29	0.063
			NST	19	2.03	0.55	Bass	19	2.02	0.46	0.02	0.32	-0.62	0.66	0.953
			Fones	18	1.71	0.44	Bass	19	2.02	0.46	-0.67	0.34	-1.34	-0.01	0.046

*number of participants analyzed (for total n and drop outs see Appendix 2);[†]Values retrieved from the authors; SD: Standard deviation; SMD: standardized mean difference (Hedges 1981); SE: standard errors of the observed standardized mean difference; CI (95%) lb: lower border of the 95% confidence interval of SMD (Hedges 1981); CI (95%) ub: upper border of the 95% confidence interval of SMD (Hedges, 1981); p: probability value of corresponding SMD; NST: no specific technique

Table S2: Comparison of additionally assessed gingivitis indices that were not part of the NMA according to the study protocol specifications

Outcome date/Study	index	weeks after intervention	1st study arm compared			2nd study arm compared			standardized mean difference						
			Intervention	n*	mean	SD	Intervention	n*	mean	SD	SMD	SE	CI (95%) lb	CI (95%) ub	p
Primary outcome date (Last date of assessment)															
Schmalz et al. [50]	PBI		NST	22	0.58	0.44	Fones	22	0.53	0.35	0.12	0.30	-0.47	0.72	0.6824
Secondary outcome date (First date of assessment)															
Schmalz et al. [50]	PBI		NST	22	0.58	0.32	Fones	22	0.48	0.44	0.26	0.30	-0.34	0.85	0.3991

*number of participants analyzed (for total n and drop outs see Appendix S2); SD: Standard deviation; SMD: standardized mean difference[32]; SE: standard errors of the observed standardized mean difference; CI (95%) lb: lower border of the 95% confidence interval of SMD[32]; CI (95%) ub: upper border of the 95% confidence interval of SMD [32]; p: probability value of corresponding SMD; NST: no specific technique; NST: No specific technique; TQHI: Turesky's modification of the Quigley and Hein Index; PBI: Papillary bleeding index.