

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<u>http://bmjopen.bmj.com</u>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email <u>info.bmjopen@bmj.com</u>

**BMJ** Open

# **BMJ Open**

# Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare professional and patient beliefs

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2023-080646
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	23-Oct-2023
Complete List of Authors:	Toomey, Clodagh; University of Limerick, School of Allied Health; University of Limerick Health Research Institute Bhardwaj, Avantika; University of Limerick, School of Allied Health; University of Limerick Health Research Institute Browne, Jacqui; IMPACT Steering Committee, Patient Representative Dowling, Ian; Ian Dowling Physiotherapy Clinic Grealis, Stacey; IMPACT Steering Committee, Patient Representative; University College Dublin, Centre of Arthritis Research Hayes, Peter; University of Limerick, School of Medicine; University of Limerick Health Research Institute Higgins, Niall; University of Limerick, School of Allied Health Maguire, Darragh; National Orthopaedic Hospital Cappagh, Physiotherapy Department O'Hora, John; Health Service Executive, Community Healthcare West Rector, Joseph; University of Limerick, School of Allied Health Wood-Thornsbury, Arianna; University of Limerick, School of Allied Health Kennedy, Norelee; University of Limerick, School of Allied Health; University of Limerick Health Research Institute
Keywords:	Physical Therapy Modalities, RHEUMATOLOGY, Protocols & guidelines < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, Hip < ORTHOPAEDIC & TRAUMA SURGERY, Knee < ORTHOPAEDIC & TRAUMA SURGERY





I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

reliez oni

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

# Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare professional and patient beliefs

# ABSTRACT

#### Introduction

Patient beliefs regarding evidence-based treatments for osteoarthritis can be influenced by messaging from healthcare professionals. The objective of this study was to determine differences in beliefs regarding exercise for osteoarthritis among general practitioners (GPs), physiotherapists (PTs) and people with hip and knee osteoarthritis (PwOA). A secondary objective was to explore how referral patterns may influence beliefs.

#### Methods

Three online cross-sectional surveys for GPs, PTs and PwOA were advertised in Ireland via social media and healthcare networks. Nine beliefs statements related to exercise effectiveness, safety and delivery were rated on a 5-point Likert scale. Chi-square tests assessed differences in agreement between groups. Multivariable linear regression models tested associations between beliefs in PwOA and referral to/attendance at physiotherapy.

#### Results

There were 421 valid responses (n=161 GPs, n=163 PTs, n=97 PwOA). Positive consensus (>75% agreement) was reached for most statements (7/9 GPs, 6/9 PTs, 5/9 PwOA). Beliefs of PwOA were significantly less positive compared to healthcare professionals for six statements. All stakeholders disagreed that exercise is effective regardless of the level of pain. Attendance at physiotherapy (49% of PwOA), rather than referral to physiotherapy from a GP only, was associated with positive exercise beliefs for PwOA [ $\beta$ =0.287 (95% CI 0.299, 1.821)].

#### Discussion

Beliefs about exercise therapy for osteoarthritis are predominantly positive across all stakeholders, albeit less positive in PwOA. PwOA are more likely to have positive beliefs if they have seen a physiotherapist for their osteoarthritis. Knowledge translation should highlight the effectiveness of exercise for all levels of pain and osteoarthritis disease.

# Strengths and Limitations

- Differences in beliefs about exercise between healthcare professionals and patients with osteoarthritis has not previously been examined.
- This study also explored how healthcare professional visits may influence belief about effectiveness of evidence-based care.
- This was a cross-sectional study so no inferences can be made.
- Different results with respect to beliefs and influencers may have been found with an older group of people with osteoarthritis (i.e., 70+ years of age).

# INTRODUCTION

The management of hip and knee osteoarthritis (OA), as for other chronic conditions, should be determined by best available evidence, incorporating randomised controlled trials (RCTs), systematic reviews and clinical guidelines. Although there is no cure for this burdensome disease, healthcare professionals in this field have for a long time had a wealth of high-quality evidence to draw from, all pointing to optimal core clinical management that consists of land-based exercise, education and weight loss if appropriate[1,2]. Despite this, implementation of these guidelines in practice is not optimal, often resulting in care that is fragmented in nature or considered low-value [3]. A global meta-analysis involving 16,103 people with OA (PwOA) in community care, revealed that only 39% received a referral or recommendation to exercise,[4] while a UK-based survey in 2018 revealed that only 3.9% of the 502 respondents with an OA diagnosis, were using exercise as part of their management[5]. Some similarities in shortcomings to implementation of guidelines for musculoskeletal health have been identified globally[6].

Alongside use of best evidence, the provision of patient-centred care is a pillar of highquality care that should help guide treatment for PwOA[7]. It is defined as "providing care that is respectful of and responsive to individual patient preferences, needs, and values and ensuring that patient values guide all clinical decisions"[8]. Literature and expert opinion recommendations state that it is important to assess patient ideas and concerns regarding the cause and management of their pain, and to take into account their expectations and preferences for treatment[7]. Regarding exercise, researchers have identified a considerable amount of uncertainty among PwOA regarding the benefits of exercise for their pain. Results from cross-sectional surveys and semi-structured interviews have indicated that a lack of knowledge on the condition may result in patients believing that surgery is their only option[9,10]. Furthermore, a view of OA as a "wear and tear" condition was associated with the perspective that exercise was a counterintuitive treatment[9–11]. Since it is widely understood that beliefs influence health-related behaviours [12,13], and because stronger recommendations for exercise have been made since previous publications[2,5,10], an updated understanding of how PwOA view exercise is required.

Healthcare professionals' perceptions and beliefs will affect the advice and management they offer patients, and researchers have suggested that those with biomedical or biomechanical beliefs about OA may transfer these beliefs to their patients, thus affecting their treatment choices[14,15]. Currently, general practitioners (GPs) and physiotherapists (PTs) are considered among the core care providers for PwOA[16]. While PT's have the knowledge and skills to adopt a key role in the management of hip and knee OA, GPs

Page 5 of 41

#### **BMJ** Open

remain the most frequently accessed source of formal medical advice and treatment[16,17]. The language used by healthcare professionals, especially GPs, can have a profound influence on patients' beliefs[18,19]. A systematic review from Cottrell et al [20] in 2010, found that the attitudes and beliefs of GPs concerning exercise and chronic knee pain varied widely. An updated UK-based survey of GPs in 2017 found that perspectives were positive, with 87% reporting the use of exercise in their practice [17]. However, only 11% reported using exercise in ways that aligned with evidence-based guidelines [17]. This demonstrates the need for a better understanding of how GPs interact with up-to-date resources for care advancements for OA, in a time-demanding profession.

A scoping review of qualitative research exploring attitudes and beliefs, shows that PTs generally have a positive attitude to activity and exercise in OA management, despite indications that some PTs may also be lacking up-to-date knowledge about best practice or may not be adhering to evidence-based treatments[21]. In contrast, a recent mixed-methods evaluation by Barton et al [22] in 2021 reported that awareness regarding evidence supporting exercise for knee OA was good (89–96%) amongst PTs in Australia and Canada.

Some implementation strategies to improve uptake or delivery of exercise for PwOA may be in the form of education interventions to address negative beliefs or myths associated with exercise and joint pain. Greater knowledge around beliefs and belief influencers are first needed in order to target delivery of these education interventions. The primary objective of this study was to identify differences in beliefs in relation to statements on exercise for management of hip and knee OA in PwOA and healthcare professionals (GPs and PTs). Secondary objectives were to explore any associations between beliefs of PwOA and whether they had ever received a GP referral to physiotherapy or had seen a PT for their painful joint. Based on previous work [10,14,17], it was hypothesised that exercise beliefs of PTs would be more positive, and in line with clinical guidelines and latest evidence, compared to GPs and PwOA. It was also hypothesised that PwOA who had received a physiotherapy referral from their GP, or who had seen a PT for their condition would have more positive beliefs about exercise compared to those who had not.

#### **METHODS**

# **Design and Recruitment**

This study incorporates an analysis of three cross-sectional online surveys administered to three stakeholder groups - GPs, PTs and PwOA – in Ireland between March and September 2021. This cross-sectional study is embedded in a larger study (IMPACT – Implementation of osteoarthritis clinical guidelines together)[23], that aims to co-design and evaluate implementation strategies for an exercise and education programme for PwOA in Ireland.

Surveys were adapted from previous studies in this field [10,14,17] and reviewed by coresearchers of a public and patient involvement (PPI) steering committee of representative stakeholders prior to distribution. Qualtrics© software (Qualtrics, Provo, UT) was used to administer the online surveys and all procedures were approved by the University of Limerick Faculty of Education & Health Sciences Research Ethics Committee (REC) (2020\_12\_13\_EHS) and the Irish College of General Practitioners REC (ICGP\_REC\_21\_0006). Surveys were completed anonymously after participants were provided with a participant information sheet and consent was implied by completion of the survey. Reporting is consistent with the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines for cross-sectional studies.

The PT survey was distributed via email invite to all members of the Irish Society of Chartered Physiotherapists (n=2022), working across all fields. The survey was also advertised via social media (Twitter, LinkedIn) and amongst networks of researchers and PPI steering committee members. Physiotherapists were eligible for inclusion if they: (1) were practicing in Ireland, and (2) treated a patient with hip or knee OA in the past six months. The GP survey was distributed to the Irish College of General Practitioners network (n=3152), the University of Limerick Education and Research Network for General Practice (ULEARN-GP) network[24] (n=140) and via social media (Twitter, LinkedIn). GPs were eligible to take part if they were currently treating patients with hip and/or knee pain in Ireland. The survey for PwOA was advertised via social media (Twitter, LinkedIn), Arthritis Ireland social media, News Rheum patient newsletter and colleagues and networks of project steering committee and research team members. PwOA were eligible to take part if they (1) were living on the island of Ireland, (2) at least 30 years of age, (3) had chronic hip or knee pain for at least 6 months or more, and (4) did not have joint replacement surgery on at least one of the painful hips or knees. Strategies to increase recruitment via social media across all three surveys were adopted including tagging specific advocacy groups, patient or professional organisations and influencers, providing visual infographics alongside social media posts and aligning posts with events e.g. National Arthritis Day.

#### Outcomes

Each survey (Supplementary file 1) included an initial set of questions related to participant demographics. For healthcare professionals, these included questions on sex [are you: (1) Male, (2) Female, (3) Prefer not to say], length of time qualified, work setting, details of specific post-qualification training related to OA/chronic pain, confidence in treating hip and knee OA, percentage of typical caseload with hip or knee OA and where they prefer to access knowledge of management for persons with hip or knee OA. For PwOA,

**BMJ** Open

demographic information related to sex [are you: (1) Male, (2) Female, (3) Prefer not to say], age category, geographical area and health conditions were asked. In relation to joint pain, questions regarding location, duration, severity, referrals to exercise, and use of clinical guideline specific treatments (muscle strengthening, aerobic exercise, education, weight loss) were asked. Additional questions were provided for PwOA to understand healthcare utilisation and previous experiences with exercise.

In each survey, a list of statements on exercise beliefs for hip and knee OA were provided and were rated on a 5-point Likert scale from strongly agree to strongly disagree. The belief statements were intended to align with current evidence-based guidelines[1,2] for exercise and OA. Healthcare professionals were given a more extensive list of statements that were related to exercise type or referral decisions. A final section related to barriers and enablers to exercise delivery, referral or uptake was included in each survey. Results of that analysis are presented elsewhere.

### **Statistical Analysis**

Demographic outcomes were summarised as counts/proportions as appropriate. Belief statements were grouped and summarised descriptively by theme i.e., exercise type and effectiveness, exercise safety and exercise delivery. Although some statements had slightly different wording to facilitate understanding and relevance to each group, there were nine statements that were deemed to be comparable across groups and used to analyse differences in beliefs. Responses for the 5-point Likert scale statements were collapsed to a binary scale to label positive beliefs ("strongly agree" or "somewhat agree") vs. negative beliefs ("strongly disagree", "somewhat disagree" or "neither"). A commonly defined cut-off for consensus (>75%)[25] between stakeholders was used. Chi-square (2 x 3) tests of independence were used to assess differences in agreement with statements between three groups, and Bonferroni adjustment for between-group differences (p<0.05). Multivariable linear regression was used to explore associations between exercise beliefs (number of statements agreed with (range 0-9)) in PwOA and (1) physiotherapy referral from their GP (Has your GP ever referred you to a physiotherapist for your painful joint? Yes/No), and (2) physiotherapy attendance (Have you seen a physiotherapist for your painful joint? Yes/No). Histograms, Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests and scatter plots of residuals vs. fitted values were used to test assumptions of Poisson and linear regression and linear regression was deemed more appropriate. Pearson correlation coefficients (r>0.5) and variance inflation factor (>5) were used to determine presence of collinearity between variables. The following covariates were included using an enter method in each model: sex, average pain rating (none/mild/moderate/severe), pain duration (6 months-1 year /1-2 years /2-3 years /3-4

years /4+ years) and number of comorbidities. The most parsimonious models were reported checking for a 10% difference in beta coefficients upon removal of covariates (p>0.05). Data were analysed using IBM-SPSS version 26.0.0 and Microsoft Excel365.

### RESULTS

There was a total of 421 valid responses from the three distributed surveys, comprising 161 GPs, 163 PTs and 97 PwOA. An additional 26 GP, 33 PT and 15 PwOA surveys were collected but were not fully completed or did not contain sufficient data for analysis so were excluded. Demographic data for each stakeholder are presented in **Table 1**.

#### Experiences with Exercise for People with Osteoarthritis

Of the 97 PwOA, 78.4% had spoken to their GP regarding their joint pain, 63.9% had an Xray of their joint and 48.5% had either been referred to physiotherapy or chosen to self-refer privately. Additionally, 50.5% reported having been given specific exercises for their joint by any healthcare professional. All but 5 respondents reported that this healthcare professional was a physiotherapist. Others included orthopaedic surgeon (n=2), rheumatologist (n=1) and GP (n=1). **Figure 1** shows answers to questions regarding the types of treatments tried by PwOA, as per clinical guideline recommendations (aerobic exercise, strengthening exercise, education and weight management).

# Stakeholder Beliefs about Exercise Type and Effectiveness, Exercise Safety and Delivery

**Figure 2 (a-d)** shows the Likert scale results in each stakeholder group for statements related to the effectiveness of different types of exercise and for different levels of pain or perceived severity. **Figure 2 (e-i)** shows the Likert scale results in each stakeholder group for statements related to the safety and delivery of different types of exercise for people with OA. Beliefs were predominantly positive amongst GP's [positive consensus (>75% agreement) on 7/9 statements], PTs (6/9 statements) and PwOA (5/9 statements). Results of chi-square tests for differences in agreement between stakeholders across beliefs statements are presented in **Table 2**. There were differences in stakeholder responses across all statements, except for statement (d): *"Exercise works just as well for everybody, regardless of the amount of pain they have"* ( $X^2 = 5.14$ , p=0.076). All three stakeholder groups reached a negative consensus regarding this statement. In six of the eight statements where differences were observed, patient beliefs were significantly different to healthcare professional beliefs. There were two statements with a medium effect size for differences between PwOA and service providers: statements (*b*) *"Hip and knee problems can be improved by specific muscle strengthening exercises"* (V=0.309) and (*h*) *"Most* 

patients with hip or knee OA would benefit from a supervised group exercise programme" (V=0.384). All other differences had a small effect size.

**Table 1.** Descriptive statistics using count (proportions) for healthcare professionals and people with osteoarthritis demographics

Healthcare Professionals Demographics	GP (n=161)	PT (n=163)	People with Hip or Knee Osteoarthritis Demographics	PwOA N=97
	Count (%)	Count (%)		Count (%)
Sex:			Sex:	
Female	88 (54.7)	128 (78.5)	Female	76 (78.4)
Male	72 (44.7)	34 (20.9)	Male	20 (20.6)
Prefer not to say	1 (0.6)	1 (0.6)	Prefer not to say	1 (1.0)
How long have you been qualified?			Most bothersome joint:	
Less than 5 years	33 (20.5)	19 (11.7)	Knee	52 (53.8)
5-10 years	25 (15.5)	21 (12.9)	Hip	45 (46.4)
More than 10 years	103 (64.0)	123 (75.5)	Age Category:	
Work practice setting (GPs)			30-39 years	12 (12.4)
Urban	60 (37.3)	-	40-49 years	24 (24.7)
Rural	34 (21.1)	-	50-59 years	30 (30.9)
Mixed	67 (41.6)	-	60-69 years	25 (25.8)
Work practice setting (PTs)			70-79 years	6 (6.2)
Public hospital	-	38 (23.3)	Living Location:	
Private hospital	-	7 (4.3)	Inner city or suburb	46 (47.4)
Primary care	-	41 (25.2)	Town	16 (16.5)
Private practice clinic	-	70 (42.9)	Village	15 (15.5)
Other	-	7 (4.3)	Open country	20 (20.6)
Post-qualification training			No. of other	
	72 (44 7)	37 (22 7)		31 (32 0)
Inservice/webinars/reading	32 (10 0)	17(104)	1_2	A5 (A7 9)
Course or conference	32(19.9) 28(17.4)	77(10.4)	3+	18 (10 1)
	20 (17.4)	12 (44.2)		10 (13.1)
Diploma/APP or certification	15 (9.3)	3 (1.8)	Multi-joint pain(>1):	
MSc in related field	14 (8.7)	32 (19.6)	No	6 (6.2)
PhD in related field	0	2 (1.2)	Yes	91 (93.8)
Confidence in treating hip and knee OA			Rating of pain /symptoms on an average dav	
Not confident	2 (1.2)	0	No pain/symptoms	1 (1.0)
Slightly confident	33 (20.5)	5 (3.1)	Mild	30 (30.9)
Confident	80 (49.7)	41 (25.2)	Moderate	49 (50.5)
Very confident	36 (22.4)	86 (52.8)	Severe	17 (17.5)
Extremely confident	10 (6.2)	31 (19.0)		
% of typical caseload with	. ,	. ,	Duration of pain	
hip/knee OA			•	
1-5%	19 (11.8)	19 (11.7)	6 mon – 1 year	24 (24.7)
6-25%	117 (72.7)	83 (50.9)	1-2 years	13 (13.4)
26-50%	24 (14.9)	36 (22.1)	2-3 years	15 (15.5)
51-75%	1 (0.6)	18 (11.0)	3-4 years	11 (11.3)
>75%	Ó	5 (3.1)	More than 4 years	34 (35.1)

APP, Advanced Practice Physiotherapist; GP, General Practitioner; OA, Osteoarthritis; PT, Physiotherapist; PwOA, People with Osteoarthritis.





**Figure 1.** Proportion of responses to guideline-based treatments people with osteoarthritis (n=97) have tried

reliev only





**Figure 2 (a-d).** 100% stacked bar chart showing Likert scale results with count for each stakeholder on belief statements related to exercise effectiveness. GP, general practitioner; PT, physiotherapist; PwOA, people with osteoarthritis.



**Figure 2 (e-i).** 100% stacked bar chart showing Likert scale results with count for each stakeholder on belief statements related to exercise safety and delivery. \*Questions for PwOA phrased slightly differently: "The best way to learn about exercise is in a supervised group setting with people who have similar pain" and "The best way to learn about exercise is in a one-on-one setting with a health professional". GP, general practitioner; PT, physiotherapist; PwOA, people with osteoarthritis.

 **Table 2.** Differences in agreement with statements between general practitioner (GP; n=161), physiotherapist (PT; n=163) and people with hip and knee osteoarthritis (PwOA; n=97). Agreement was defined as those who selected "strongly agree" or "somewhat agree" on Likert scales. Proportions that reached "consensus", defined as >75% majority, are in bold.

Statement	Proportion in agreement			Chi-	Signifi-	Cramer'
	GP	PT	PwOA	Squar e	cance	s V
(a) Hip and knee problems can be improved by general exercise e.g. walking and swimming	97.5%	95.1%	85.6%ª	15.59	<0.0001	0.193
(b) Hip and knee problems can be improved by specific muscle strengthening exercises	98.8%	97.5%	80.9%ª	39.04	<0.0001	0.309
(c) Exercise is effective for patients if an x-ray shows severe osteoarthritis	53.8%	63.4%	39.8% <sup>c</sup>	13.24	0.001	0.179
(d) Exercise works just as well for everybody, regardless of the amount of pain they have	24.2%	19.6%	32.3%	5.14	0.076	n/a
(e) General exercise e.g., walking and swimming is safe for everybody to do	85.7%	68.9% <sup>b</sup>	87.1%	18.13	<0.0001	0.209
(f) Specific muscle strengthening exercise is safe for everyone to do	85.6%	84.5%	69.2%ª	11.86	0.003	0.170
(g) Every patient with hip or knee OA should try exercise treatment before surgery is considered	86.9%	99.4% <sup>b</sup>	91.4%	19.0	<0.0001	0.214
(h) Most patients with hip or knee OA would benefit from a supervised group exercise programme*	91.3%	85.3%	52.1%ª	61.35	<0.0001	0.384
(i) Most patients with hip or knee OA would benefit from an individualized	96.3%	93.9%	82.8% <sup>a</sup>	15.91	<0.0001	0.196

<sup>a</sup>Significantly different compared to GP and PT, <sup>b</sup>significantly different to GP and PwOA, <sup>c</sup>significantly different to PT, using Bonferroni at .05 level. \*Questions for PwOA phrased as: "The best way to learn about exercise is in a supervised group setting with people who have similar pain" and "The best way to learn about exercise is in a one-on-one setting with a health professional". Cramer's V =0.1 small, 0.3 medium, 0.5 large effect size. GP, general practitioner; OA, osteoarthritis; PT, physiotherapist; PwOA, people with osteoarthritis.

# **Predictors of Patient Beliefs**

There was no association between beliefs of PwOA about exercise and the question: "has your GP ever referred you to a physiotherapist for your painful joint?" (**Supplemental File 2**) [B=0.46 (95% CI -0.35, 1.27)]. In this model, sex (male) [B=-1.01 (95% CI -2.01, -0.01)] and a higher number of comorbidities [B=-0.36 (95% CI -0.62, -0.11)] were negatively associated with beliefs about exercise. In model 2, there was a positive association between beliefs of PwOA about exercise and the question: "Have you seen a physiotherapist for your painful joint?" [B=1.06 (95% CI 0.30, 1.82)]. Sex (male) [B=-0.72 (95% CI -1.44, -0.00)], a longer duration of pain and symptoms [B=-0.20 (95% CI -0.40, -0.01)] and a higher number of

comorbidities [B=-0.29 (95% CI -0.53, -0.06)] were negatively associated with beliefs about exercise in this model.

#### Healthcare Professional Sources of Education

For the question, "Where do you get your knowledge of care advancements for persons with knee or hip osteoarthritis?"; the top five selected responses for GPs were continuous medical education (CME) or GP training networks (78%), published guidelines or recommendations (61%), reading medical journals (47%), conference attendance (47%) and course attendance (31%). For the question, "Where do you access your knowledge of management for persons with knee or hip osteoarthritis?"; the top five selected responses for PTs were published guidelines or recommendations (85%), reading research articles (75%), clinic protocols and discussion with peers or in-services (70%), course attendance (47%).

#### DISCUSSION

This research identified differences in beliefs about exercise effectiveness, safety and delivery between healthcare professionals and PwOA. While predominantly positive beliefs were observed across stakeholders, there was less consensus regarding the effectiveness of exercise when an X-ray shows "severe" OA. With regards to exercise referral, 48.5% of PwOA had either been referred to or self-referred to a physiotherapist for their joint pain. Referral to a physiotherapist by their GP was not associated with positive exercise beliefs. However, attendance at a physiotherapist for joint pain was associated with positive exercise beliefs in PwOA.

If OA management guidelines do not align with the personal beliefs of service providers or users, PwOA may not receive high quality care. This study has found that GPs (7/9 statements), PTs (6/9 statements) and PwOA (5/9 statements) have largely positive beliefs regarding exercise for OA. However, there is less certainty about exercise when an X-ray shows "severe osteoarthritis" across all stakeholders, and service providers do not agree that "*exercise works just as well for everybody, regardless of the level of pain they have*". These results highlight that beliefs are generally in line with best evidence and clinical guidelines. However, there may still be some misconceptions about the effectiveness of exercise for higher levels of pain and disease. Evidence suggests that the pain-relieving qualities of exercise are effective for even moderate to severe OA disease[26–28], and a more recent meta-analysis has shown that individuals with higher pain severity at baseline

#### **BMJ** Open

benefit more from therapeutic exercise than those with lower pain[29]. This evidence should be a focus of future efforts of knowledge translation to clinicians and PwOA.

Some of the beliefs identified in this study are reflective of the traditional view of OA as a "wear and tear" disease, synonymous with a desire to protect a "damaged" joint on X-ray from further damage, as found previously[9,21]. However, an encouraging finding from this research are the overwhelmingly more positive views towards exercise observed compared to similar studies published on a cohort of UK-based PTs in 2009[14], older adults with knee pain in 2012[10] and GPs in 2017[17]. Using the comparator of statements with at least majority view (>50% agreement), in the 2009 study[14], PTs agreed on the benefit of exercise for knee pain on 4/12 statements (33%), compared to 8/9 similar statements (89%) in the current study. For older adults with knee pain, there was no agreement for any statement in the 2012 study [10], compared to 7/9 statements (78%) in the current study. In the 2017 study[17], GPs agreed on 9/12 statements (75%), compared to 8/9 statements (89%) in the current study. While some statements varied slightly, stronger exercise recommendations in clinical guidelines and greater efforts in implementation and translation to practice in the last 10 years are likely the rationale for these changes, particularly since clinical guideline updates in 2014[1,2]. However, there is still much space to enact recommendations from a 2018 Cochrane review to provide better information and advice about the safety and value of exercise for patients[30]. In particular, providing reassurance on the role of exercise in managing symptoms, and discussion of opportunities to participate in activities regarded as enjoyable and relevant, may encourage greater exercise participation[30].

Beliefs of PwOA about exercise were significantly less positive compared to healthcare professional beliefs for 6/9 statements. The greatest differences were observed for statements in relation to the benefits of strengthening exercises and group-based exercise. Given 40% had never tried weight or strength-based training for their joint, and an additional 28% tried, but since stopped this type of exercise, healthcare professionals should be cognisant of ensuring patients understand the benefit of muscle strengthening and support patients to find enjoyable and sustainable ways to build these exercises into weekly routines. While strength-based training is not deemed superior to aerobic type exercise for pain relief in OA[26,31], knock-on benefits for improvements in physical function, longevity, bone health, and frailty[32] during ageing are important to highlight. Results for aerobic type exercise for their joint and 67% were actively using. Further exploration on reasons for stopping exercise would be of benefit to determine if low adherence is related to barriers to exercise participation or a lack of perceived improvement in symptoms. While there is no

strong evidence to indicate a difference in effectiveness regarding exercise setting, PwOA were less likely to agree with the benefits of a supervised group setting compared to service providers. Additional benefits of group exercise for older adults, such as social support, improvements in mental health and loneliness, and cost-effectiveness should, however, be considered and encouraged[33–35].

In this study, referral to physiotherapy by a GP was not associated with more positive exercise beliefs in PwOA, in contrast to what was hypothesised. Although GPs had the most positive beliefs in comparison with other stakeholders, this finding may reflect the lack of time in a GP consultation to educate about exercise therapy and influence patient beliefs. A referral to exercise therapy alone may not be enough. However, seeing a PT for osteoarthritis was associated with more positive exercise beliefs. This may suggest that PTs impart important knowledge and education regarding the benefits of exercise to their patients, that, in turn, changes patient beliefs. Equally, this finding may suggest that PwOA with more positive exercise beliefs are more likely to attend a PT appointment. Tracking of changes in beliefs over time is recommended to further explore this association. Compared to GPs, PTs have more time in a consultation to discuss the effectiveness, mechanism, and safety of exercise for joint pain, which may help to influence beliefs and maximise the potential effect of exercise programs by improving adherence[36]. It is known that the provision of education for OA is superior for patient outcomes when combined with exercise therapy[37]. Almost 60% of PwOA reported having not tried self-management/education, despite some programme availability in Ireland[38]. PwOA were not asked specifically if their GP referred them to a self-management programme, which is a required area of further exploration. Additional efforts are required to support clinicians with resources to deliver trustworthy educational content for PwOA (cite Bhardwaj et al PT facilitator if published on time), or increase knowledge of available self-management programmes, to ensure clinical recommendations are fully implemented.

In the current study, 78% of PwOA had spoken to their GP about their joint pain, while under 50% had been referred to, or self-referred to a PT. Despite OA being amongst the leading causes of years lived with disability[39], the decision to seek care can be deterred by negative or dismissive attitudes from healthcare professionals about their non-urgent condition, or the perception that pain is part of ageing[40]. Healthcare professionals should take care regarding attitudes and language use during consultations[41] to help promote the effectiveness of first-line treatment strategies. From the regression analysis, it is also apparent that men with OA, and people with multiple comorbidities, may require additional supports to improve positive beliefs about exercise for their condition. Men are at times considered 'hard to reach' in terms of meaningful engagement with exercise programmes

**BMJ** Open

[42]. For men who do not engage with healthcare services, a suggested route for information may instead be community support groups or sport organisations, where messaging is provided by someone who recipients can relate to and get along with[42]. For people living with the burden of multiple conditions, additional barriers to exercise may require thorough training of facilitators[43].

This study has shown that the most used education sources for healthcare professionals on management of OA are: published guidelines or recommendations (85% of PTs, 61% of GPs), use of training networks, in-clinic protocols, discussion and in-services (70% of PTs, 78% of GPs) and reading medical journals or research articles (75% of PTs, 47% of GPs). Even where clinicians report using clinical guidelines and research to guide practice, this is no guarantee that the most up-to-date recommendations are being used with confidence, or that they are being interpreted, recalled or implemented appropriately [44]. In contrast to this study, previous international investigations have shown that only a small proportion of sport and musculoskeletal PTs use research articles to change their clinical practice (10.4%)[45]. Over half of PTs instead cited "interactions with colleagues" and "attending private education short courses" as the source for change [45]. Given the high proportion of GPs that use CME small groups and training networks, peer-learning opportunities may be a viable source of intervention to ensure practice guidelines are being met[46]. The evidence to practice gap could be filled with clinical guideline supplements that address contextual barriers and time needed to treat[47], and courses/training that include opportunities to discuss real-world implementation of evidence with experienced colleagues and experts, with input from patients on delivery needs.

While efforts were made to recruit participants for this research from multiple diverse sources, this study was not a representative sample. Most PwOA were in the 50–59-year age category with moderate joint pain. While prevalence of OA is higher in older age categories, the sample recruited is likely reflective of the online nature of participation, wide inclusion criteria (age 30+ years) and exclusion criteria for previous joint replacement surgery. Due to the timing of survey administration (during COVID-19 pandemic lockdown), traditional survey advertising methods such as GP and health clinic waiting rooms were not utilised. Completion of an anonymous survey has benefits as results cannot be influenced, however if there was any confusion related to phrasing of a certain question or statement, then this could not be clarified. The selection of other belief statements about exercise may have yielded different results. Future research should also investigate similar beliefs using qualitative approach to allow for more context to these answers.

### Conclusion

Beliefs of healthcare professionals and PwOA regarding exercise as a treatment for hip and knee OA have likely become more positive in recent years. However, there is still much scope for service improvement, with less than 50% of PwOA having seen a PT for their joint pain and all stakeholders in disagreement with statements relating to effectiveness of exercise for severe joint pain. Knowledge translation activities should be aimed at increasing knowledge and improving access to evidence-based exercise therapies, using stakeholder co-design to provide context on barriers and facilitators.

### Author roles

 Toomey CM: Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal Analysis, Supervision, Writing – Original Draft. Higgins N: Methodology, Formal Analysis, Writing – Reviewing & Editing; Wood-Thornsbury A: Methodology, Formal Analysis, Writing – Reviewing & Editing; Rector J: Methodology, Formal Analysis, Writing – Reviewing & Editing; Bhardwaj A: Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; Hayes P: Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; Browne J: Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; Grealis S: Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; Maguire D: Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; O'Hora J: Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; Dowling I: Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; Kennedy N: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing.

# **Competing Interests** – none to declare.

**Funding** - Funding for this project (IMPACT), salary of the PI (CMT) and student fees and stipend (AB) from Health Research Board (Ireland) Emerging Investigator Award, awarded to CMT (EIA-2019-008).

# REFERENCES

- McAlindon TE, Bannuru RR, Sullivan MC, *et al.* OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of knee osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthr Cartil* 2014;22:363–88. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2014.01.003
- 2 National Institute for Health & Clinical Excellence. Osteoarthritis: care and management. London: 2014.
- Hunter DJ. Osteoarthritis: time for us all to shift the needle. *Rheumatology* 2018;57:iv1–2. doi:10.1093/rheumatology/key065
- Hagen REB, Smedslund G, Østeras N, *et al.* Quality of Community-Based
   Osteoarthritis Care : A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Arthritis Care Res* 2016;68:1443–52. doi:10.1002/acr.22891

2 3 4 5 6 7	5	Healey EL, Afolabi EK, Lewis M, <i>et al.</i> Uptake of the NICE osteoarthritis guidelines in primary care: a survey of older adults with joint pain. <i>BMC Musculoskelet Disord</i> 2018; <b>19</b> :295. doi:10.1186/s12891-018-2196-2
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	6	Briggs AM, Jordan JE, Kopansky-Giles D, <i>et al.</i> The need for adaptable global guidance in health systems strengthening for musculoskeletal health: a qualitative study of international key informants. <i>Glob Heal Res policy</i> 2021; <b>6</b> :24. doi:10.1186/s41256-021-00201-7
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	7	Geenen R, Overman CL, Christensen R, <i>et al.</i> EULAR recommendations for the health professional's approach to pain management in inflammatory arthritis and osteoarthritis. <i>Ann Rheum Dis</i> 2018; <b>77</b> :797–807. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2017-212662
22 23 24 25 26 27	8	Décary S, Toupin-April K, Légaré F, <i>et al.</i> Five Golden Rings to Measure Patient- Centered Care in Rheumatology. <i>Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)</i> 2020; <b>72 Suppl</b> <b>10</b> :686–702. doi:10.1002/acr.24244
27 28 29 30 31 32	9	Darlow B, Brown M, Thompson B, <i>et al.</i> Living with osteoarthritis is a balancing act : an exploration of patients ' beliefs about knee pain. <i>BMC Rheumatol</i> 2018; <b>2</b> . doi:10.1186/s41927-018-0023-x BMC
33 34 35 36 37	10	Holden MA, Nicholls EE, Young J, <i>et al.</i> Role of Exercise for Knee Pain : What Do Older Adults in the Community Think ? <i>Arthritis Care Res</i> 2012; <b>64</b> :1554–64. doi:10.1002/acr.21700
38 39 40 41 42 43	11	Bunzli S, Brien PO, Ayton D, <i>et al.</i> Misconceptions and the Acceptance of Evidence- based Nonsurgical Interventions for Knee Osteoarthritis . A Qualitative Study. <i>Clin</i> <i>Orthop Relat Res</i> 2019; <b>477</b> :1975–83. doi:10.1097/CORR.00000000000784
44 45 46	12	Armitage CJ, Conner M. Social cognition models and health behaviour: A structured review. <i>Psychol Health</i> 2000; <b>15</b> :173–89. doi:10.1080/08870440008400299
47 48 49 50 51 52	13	Hurley M V, Walsh N, Bhavnani V, <i>et al.</i> Health beliefs before and after participation on an exercised-based rehabilitation programme for chronic knee pain: Doing is believing. <i>BMC Musculoskelet Disord</i> 2010; <b>11</b> :31. doi:10.1186/1471-2474-11-31
53 54 55 56 57	14	Holden MA, Nicholls EE, Young J, <i>et al.</i> UK-Based Physical Therapists ' Attitudes and Beliefs Regarding Exercise and Knee Osteoarthritis : Findings From a Mixed-Methods Study. <i>Arthritis Care Res</i> 2009; <b>61</b> :1511–21. doi:10.1002/art.24829
58 59 60	15	Hunter DJ. Osteoarthritis Management: Time to Change the Deck. J Orthop Sports

*Phys Ther* 2017;**47**:370–2. doi:10.2519/jospt.2017.0605 Briggs AM, Hinman RS, Darlow B, et al. Confidence and Attitudes Toward Osteoarthritis Care Among the Current and Emerging Health Workforce: A Multinational Interprofessional Study. ACR open Rheumatol 2019;1:219–35. doi:10.1002/acr2.1032 Cottrell E, Foster NE, Porcheret M, et al. GPs ' attitudes , beliefs and behaviours regarding exercise for chronic knee pain : a questionnaire survey. BMJ Open 2017;7. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014999 MacKay C, Hawker GA, Jaglal SB. Qualitative study exploring the factors influencing physical therapy management of early knee osteoarthritis in Canada. BMJ Open 2018;8. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2018-023457 Barker KL, Reid M, Minns Lowe CJ. What does the language we use about arthritis mean to people who have osteoarthritis? A gualitative study. Disabil Rehabil 2014;36:367-72. doi:10.3109/09638288.2013.793409 Cottrell E, Roddy E, Foster N. The attitudes, beliefs and behaviours of GPs regarding exercise for chronic knee pain: a systematic review. BMC Fam Pract 2010;11.http://www.doaj.org/doaj?func=abstract&id=516161 Nissen N, Holm PM, Bricca A, et al. Clinicians' beliefs and attitudes to physical activity and exercise therapy as treatment for knee and/or hip osteoarthritis: a scoping review. Osteoarthr Cartil 2022;30:260-9. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2021.11.008 Barton CJ, Ezzat AM, Bell EC, et al. Knowledge, confidence and learning needs of physiotherapists treating persistent knee pain in Australia and Canada : a mixed-methods study. Physiother Theory Pract 2021;:1–13. doi:10.1080/09593985.2021.1906805 Toomey CM, Kennedy N, Macfarlane A, et al. Implementation of clinical guidelines for osteoarthritis together (IMPACT): protocol for a participatory health research approach to implementing high value care. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2022;23. doi:10.1186/s12891-022-05599-w Regan AO, Hayes P, Connor RO, et al. The University of Limerick Education and Research Network for General Practice (ULEARN-GP): practice characteristics and general practitioner perspectives. BMC Fam Pract 2020;21. doi:https://doi.org/10.1186/s12875-020-1100-y 

Page 21 of 41

 BMJ Open

2 3 4 5 6 7	25	Diamond IR, Grant RC, Feldman BM, <i>et al.</i> Defining consensus: a systematic review recommends methodologic criteria for reporting of Delphi studies. <i>J Clin Epidemiol</i> 2014; <b>67</b> :401–9. doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2013.12.002
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	26	Juhl C, Christensen R, Roos EM, <i>et al.</i> Impact of Exercise Type and Dose on Pain and Disability in Knee Osteoarthritis A Systematic Review and Meta-Regression Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. <i>Arthritis Rheumatol</i> 2014; <b>66</b> :622–36. doi:10.1002/art.38290
15 16 17 18 19	27	Susko AM, Fitzgerald GK. The pain-relieving qualities of exercise in knee osteoarthritis. <i>Open access Rheumatol Res Rev</i> 2013; <b>5</b> :81–91. doi:10.2147/OARRR.S53974
20 21 22 23	28	Skou ST, Roos EM, Laursen MB, <i>et al.</i> A Randomized, Controlled Trial of Total Knee Replacement. <i>N Engl J Med</i> 2015; <b>373</b> :1597–606. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1505467
24 25 26 27 28 29 30	29	Holden MA, Hattle M, Runhaar J, <i>et al.</i> Moderators of the effect of therapeutic exercise for knee and hip osteoarthritis : a systematic review and individual participant data meta-analysis. <i>Lancet Rheumatol</i> 2023; <b>5</b> :e386–400. doi:10.1016/S2665-9913(23)00122-4
31 32 33 34 35 36 37	30	Hurley M, Dickson K, Hallett R, <i>et al.</i> Exercise interventions and patient beliefs for people with hip, knee or hip and knee osteoarthritis: a mixed methods review (Review). <i>Coch</i> 2018;:CD010842. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD010842.pub2.www.cochranelibrary.com
38 39 40 41 42 43 44	31	Goh S-L, Persson MSM, Stocks J, <i>et al.</i> Relative Efficacy of Different Exercises for Pain, Function, Performance and Quality of Life in Knee and Hip Osteoarthritis: Systematic Review and Network Meta-Analysis. <i>Sports Med</i> 2019; <b>49</b> :743–61. doi:10.1007/s40279-019-01082-0
45 46 47 48	32	Seguin R, Nelson ME. The benefits of strength training for older adults. <i>Am J Prev</i> <i>Med</i> 2003; <b>25</b> :141–9. doi:10.1016/s0749-3797(03)00177-6
49 50 51 52 53	33	Komatsu H, Yagasaki K, Saito Y, <i>et al.</i> Regular group exercise contributes to balanced health in older adults in Japan: a qualitative study. <i>BMC Geriatr</i> 2017; <b>17</b> :190. doi:10.1186/s12877-017-0584-3
55 56 57 58 59 60	34	Grønne DT, Roos EM, Ibsen R, <i>et al.</i> Cost-effectiveness of an 8 week supervised education and exercise therapy programme for knee and hip osteoarthritis : a pre – post analysis of 16 255 patients participating in Good Life with osteoArthritis in Denmark (GLA:D). <i>BMJ Open</i> 2021; <b>11</b> . doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2021-049541

Mays AM, Kim S, Rosales K, *et al.* The Leveraging Exercise to Age in Place (LEAP)
 Study: Engaging Older Adults in Community-Based Exercise Classes to Impact
 Loneliness and Social Isolation. *Am J Geriatr psychiatry Off J Am Assoc Geriatr Psychiatry* 2021;29:777–88. doi:10.1016/j.jagp.2020.10.006

- Gay C, Chabaud A, Guilley E, *et al.* Educating patients about the benefits of physical activity and exercise for their hip and knee osteoarthritis. Systematic literature review.
   *Ann Phys Rehabil Med* 2016;**59**:174–83.
   doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rehab.2016.02.005
- Goff AJ, Oliveira D De, Merolli M, *et al.* Patient education improves pain and function in people with knee osteoarthritis with better effects when combined with exercise therapy : a systematic review. *J Physiother* 2021;67:177–89. doi:10.1016/j.jphys.2021.06.011
- 38 Arthritis Ireland. Living Well with Arthritis (and Related Conditions) Self-Management Programme. Courses. 2023.https://www.arthritisireland.ie/living-well-with-arthritis-andrelated-conditions-self-management-programme (accessed 22 Aug 2023).
- Vos T, Lim SS, Abbafati C, *et al.* Global burden of 369 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories, 1990-2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Lancet* 2020;**396**:1204–22. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30925-9
- 40 Prasanna SS, Korner-bitensky N, Ahmed S. Why Do People Delay Accessing Health Care for Knee Osteoarthritis ? Exploring Beliefs of Health Professionals and Lay People. *Physiother Canada* 2013;**65**:56–64. doi:10.3138/ptc.2011-50
- 41 Vennik J, Hughes S, Smith KA, *et al.* Patient and practitioner priorities and concerns about primary healthcare interactions for osteoarthritis: A meta-ethnography. *Patient Educ Couns* 2022;**105**:1865–77. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2022.01.009
- Bell OJ, Flynn D, Clifford T, *et al.* Identifying behavioural barriers and facilitators to engaging men in a community-based lifestyle intervention to improve physical and mental health and well-being. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2023;**20**:25. doi:10.1186/s12966-023-01425-1
- Skou ST, Brødsgaard RH, Nyberg M, *et al.* Personalised exercise therapy and self-management support for people with multimorbidity: feasibility of the MOBILIZE intervention. *Pilot Feasibility Stud* 2023;**9**:12. doi:10.1186/s40814-023-01242-0
- 44 Swaithes L, Paskins Z, Finney A. Factors influencing the implementation of evidencebased guidelines for osteoarthritis in primary care : A systematic review and thematic

synthesis. Musculoskeletal Care 2020;18:101-10. doi:10.1002/msc.1452

- 45 Whiteley R, Napier C, van Dyk N, *et al.* Clinicians use courses and conversations to change practice, not journal articles: is it time for journals to peer-review courses to stay relevant? *Br J Sports Med* 2021;**55**:651 LP 652. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2020-102736
- 46 Lineker SC, Bell MJ, Boyle J, *et al.* Implementing arthritis clinical practice guidelines in primary care. *Med Teach* 2009;**31**:230–7. doi:10.1080/01421590802158377
- Juyatt G, .. t. Br Med J 2025,. Johansson M, Guyatt G, Montori V, et al. Guidelines should consider clinicians ' time needed to treat. Br Med J 2023;380. doi:10.1136/bmj-2022-072953

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open



59

60



680x385mm (47 x 47 DPI)



377x526mm (47 x 47 DPI)

**BMJ** Open



354x545mm (47 x 47 DPI)

#### Supplemental File 1

Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare professional and patient beliefs

# <u>Survey 1:</u> Beliefs, Barriers and Enablers to Exercise Prescription for Hip and Knee Osteoarthritis in General Practice in Ireland

Instructions for completing this questionnaire

- When completing the questionnaire, please try and provide answers that most accurately
- reflect your usual clinical practice. There are no 'correct' or 'incorrect' answers.
- Please do not consult any literature while completing this questionnaire.

#### Section 1. Information about you

1.	How long have you been qualified as a General Practitioner? Less than 5 years experience 5-10 years experience Greater than 10 years experience
2. 3.	How many GP's work in your practice (including yourself) Are you:
4. 5.	Is your primary practice: □ urban □ rural □ mixed Is your practice: □ Primary care reimbursement scheme only
	Private practice only
6.	☐ Mixed Since graduating from University, do you remember receiving any specific postgraduate training in musculoskeletal (MSK) which contained education about hip or knee osteoarthritis or chronic pain? (By this we do not mean clinical placements or jobs in rheumatology or orthopaedics)
	If yes, what type of training?
	□ Diploma in MSK
	□ M.Sc. in Sports & Exercise Medicine
	Sports Medicine Faculty conferences
	Therepouting Inter-Articular and Soft Ticque Injection and Accessment Course
	Specific Modules on MSK on your GP training Scheme
	□ Other
7	How would you rate your confidence in treating hip and knee octeoarthritic?
7.	□ Not confident
	□ Slightly confident
	Confident
	□ Very confident
8	$\Box$ Extremely confident Do you have, or have you ever suffered from chronic knee or hip pain yourself?
0.	□ Yes □ No
9.	What percentage of your typical caseload is made up of patients with hip and/or knee pain? □1-5% □ 6-25% □ 26-50% □ 51-75% □ >75%
Se	ction 2. Exercise beliefs for hip and knee osteoarthritis
0.4	Where do you get your knowledge of ears advancements for persons with know or his establishing? (Tisk all
z. i tha	it apply)
	Published guidelines or recommendations (e.g. NICE, EULAR, OARSI)
	Reading medical journals
	Twitter or other social media

- □ CME networks or other GP networks
- Conference attendance
- Course attendance

# Supplemental File 1

Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare professional and patient beliefs

□ Other \_

We are interested in your views about the role of exercise in the treatment of hip and knee osteoarthritis. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the statements given by ticking or placing an 'X' in one box per row.

Question	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
2.2 Hip and knee problems are improved by					
general exercise e.g. walking and swimming					
2.3 Hip and knee problems are improved by					
specific muscle strengthening exercises					
2.4 General exercise e.g. walking and					
swimming is safe for everybody to do					
2.5 Specific muscle strengthening exercise is					
safe for everyone to do					
2.6 Every patient with hip or knee OA should try	'				
conservative exercise treatment before more					
invasive procedures are recommended					
2.7 Exercise for hip or knee OA is most					
beneficial when it is tailored to meet individual					
patient needs					
2.8 A standard set of exercises is sufficient for					
every patient with hip or knee OA					
2.9 Education on lifestyle change is important					
for patients with OA					
2.10 Education on strategies for self-					
management of pain are important for patients					
with OA					
2.11 It is important that people with OA increase					
their overall activity levels					
<ol><li>2.12 Exercise is effective for patients if an x-ray</li></ol>					
shows severe knee osteoarthritis					
2.13 Exercise for OA is more effectively					
provided by physiotherapists than GPs					
2.14 Time constraints prevent the provision of					
advice on individual exercises for OA					
2.15 Exercise for OA should preferably be used					
after drug treatment has been tried		9			
2.16 Exercise for chronic knee pain would be					
used more frequently if access to physiotherapy	/				
was easier					

#### Section 3. Clinical scenario of a patient with osteoarthritis

Presented below is a clinical scenario of a patient with suspected knee osteoarthritis who presents to you with this problem for the first time. All questions in this section relate to the care you would give this particular

	Patient: Complaint: History: Medication: Examination:	Mrs. Murphy, 60-year old shop owner, no health insurance Right sided knee pain Gradually worsening over 3 years No history of trauma Pain when walking and at rest, worst when climbing stairs. No night pain. Activities of daily living are manageable. Difficulty gardening. Finding work increasingly difficult due to the stairs Tried going to gym but stopped – thinks was making pain worse. Otherwise well – mild hypertension Has tried ibuprofen with no effect Amlodipine Mild Obesity with Body Mass Index of 33 Knees – bilaterally no effusions. Joint line tenderness on palpation. No pain or reduced mobility around knee cap Slightly reduced flexion of the right knee.
patient.		nips – no abnormanty detected

#### BMJ Open

1	Supplemental File 1 Guideline-based exerci	se management for hip and kne	ee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare
2	professional and patien	t beliefs	
3	3 1 Soloct some key wer	de you would use to describe their	diagnosis to the nationt (Select all that apply)
4			
5			
6			
7			
/			
8	U Wear and tear	□ Normal ageing	□ Joint swelling
9	□ Arthritis	Joint damage	Other
10			- /
11	3.2 What investigation(s)/	assessment(s), if any, would you d	o/order for this patient at this point
12	□ None □ Knee x-ray		er
13	2.2 At this consultation w	hat approaches would you use or	augrant to manage this nation? (places tick all that
14	3.3 At this consultation, w	hat approaches would you use, of	suggest, to manage this patient? (please tick all that
15	apply)		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
20	Weak opioids	Paracetemol	Glucosamine/Chondroitin
21	Other, please state		
22			
23	3.4 If you selected exercis	se above, what form would this take	e? (Select all that apply)
24		se and activity	
25	Suggest specific exerci-	ses	
26	□ Give a leaflet or online	resource	
27	Refer to physiotherapy	or other exercise specialist	
28	Other (please state)		
29			
30	3.5 In an ideal world witho	out barriers, would you refer the pat	tient to physiotherapy or orthopaedic consultant or
31	neither, at this stage?		
32	Physiotherapy		
33	Orthopaedic consultant		
34	Neither		
35			
36	3.6 In your current practic	e, would you refer this patient to pr	hysiotherapy at this stage?
20			
27	□ No		
38			
39	If yes, why? (Select all the	it apply)	
40		e candidate for supervised conserv	ative treatment
41	□ Ease of access to phys	iotherapy	
42	Lack of time to appropr	iately address exercise needs in pr	ractice
43	□ Lack of response to NS	AIDS	
44	Other		
45			
46	If no, why not? (Select all	that apply)	
47	Not an appropriate can	didate for conservative treatment	
48	Long waiting lists and p	oor access to physiotherapy	
49	□ Other interventions are	a priority	
50	□ Exercise will make the	pain worse	
50	Patient has tried exerci-	se	
51	□ I would prefer to examine	he further therapeutic options first (	e q develop a pain management plan or give an
52	intra articular steroid iniec	tion)	
53	□ Other	,	
54	· · · · <u> </u>		
55	3.7 In your current practic	e, would you refer this patient to ar	n orthopaedic consultant at this stage?
56	□ Yes		
57	□ No		
58			
59	If yes, why? (Select all that	it apply)	
60	Deemed an appropriate	e candidate for surgery right now	

	Will likely need a joint replacement in a few years so put on waiting list now Need a specialist opinion
	Other
lf r	no, why not? (Select all that apply)
	More conservative treatmenta have not been exhausted
	Symptoms not severe enough to warrant joint replacement
	Waiting list too long
	Other
3 9	Would you refer the nationt to see someone else, either in the primary or community team or into secon
ca	re. at this point?
	Yes
	No
lf	yes, who?
_	
Se	ection 4. Barriers and enablers to exercise prescription and referral in general practice
In pre	your practice and experience of treating patients with osteoarthritis, what are the main barriers to exercise
	Insufficient time in consultation
П	Incertainty about the effects of exercise
	Incertainty about the most appropriate exercise type
	Incertainty about the safety of exercise
	Cost and accessibility of physiotherapy for patient
	Deviationary waiting lists are too long
	Finysion length wanting lists are too long
	Detiente profer ether menagement entiene
	Patients pierer other management options
	English language barrier for patients
	Soverity of disease (symptoms too mild)
	Severity of disease (symptoms too source)
	Older age of nationt
	Diver age of patient
	Other
w	hat enablers would belo you to prescribe or refer a patient with osteoarthritis to exercise in your practice?
_	
	increased formal post-qualification education e.g. diploma or masters
	Increased post-qualification training e.g. workshops, videos
	Increased exercise education during GP training
	More consultation time to provide exercise prescription
	Shorter waiting lists and improved access to physiotherapy
	Presence of an evidence-based physiotherapy-supervised group exercise programme for osteoarthritis in cality
	Patients who recognize the importance of strategies for self-management of pain using appropriate exerc
ree	commendations
	Low cost community-based exercise programmes
	Renumeration for exercise prescription and follow up consultations
	Other

### Supplemental File 1

Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare professional and patient beliefs

# <u>Survey 2:</u> Beliefs, Barriers and Enablers to Group Exercise Programme Delivery for Hip and Knee Osteoarthritis in Physiotherapy Practice in Ireland

The questionnaire is divided into 3 sections and should take approximately **7 minutes** to complete. Instructions for completing this questionnaire

- When completing the questionnaire, please try and provide answers that most accurately reflect your usual clinical practice. There are no 'correct' or 'incorrect' answers.
- Please do not consult any literature while completing this questionnaire.

#### Section 1. Information about you

1.	How long have you been qualified as a Physiotherapist?
	Less than 5 years experience
	5-10 years experience
	Greater than 10 years experience
2.	How many Physiotherapists work in your clinic (including yourself)
3.	Are you:  Female  Male  Other  Prefer not to disclose
4.	Is your primary work setting:
	Public hospital
	Private hospital
	Primary, community and continuing care
	Private practice clinic
	Education
	□ Other (please state)
5.	Have you undertaken any specific post-qualification training, which involved education about hip or knee
	osteoartnritis or chronic pain? (By this we do not mean clinical placements or jobs in meumatology or
If y	O(I(I)) $O(I)$
пу	
	$\Box M Sc. (taught) in this/similar field Additional details$
	$\Box$ M.Sc. (research) in this/similar field Additional details
	$\Box$ PhD in this/similar field Additional details
	Day weekend or online course (nlesse name most relevant)
	Other
6.	How would you rate your confidence in treating hip and knee osteoarthritis?
	□ Not confident
	Slightly confident
	Confident
	Very confident
	Extremely confident
7.	Do you have, or have you ever suffered from chronic knee or hip pain yourself?  Yes No
8.	What percentage of your typical caseload is made up of patients with hip and/or knee osteoarthritis?
	□ 1-5% □ 6-25% □ 26-50% □ 51-75% □ >75%
0-	ation O. Examples hall for his and have acted with
56	ction 2. Exercise deliefs for hip and knee osteoarthritis
2.1	Where do you access your knowledge of management for persons with knee or hip osteoarthritis? (Tick all
	that apply)
	Published guidelines or recommendations (e.g. NICE, EULAR, OARSI)
	Clinic protocols, discussion with peers or in-services
	Reading published research articles

□ Twitter or other social media

□ Podcasts

- □Blogs
- Infographics
- □Videos
- ISCP specialist groups and other network events
- Conference attendance

# 59 □ Conference attenda 60 □ Course attendance

# Supplemental File 1

Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare professional and patient beliefs

□ Other

Please now rank in order your preferred resources to learn from

We are interested in your views about the role of exercise in the treatment of hip and knee osteoarthritis. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the statements given by ticking one box per row.

Question	Strongly	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly
2.2 Llip and know problems are improved by	Disagree				Agree
2.2 Hip and knee problems are improved by					
Swinning					
2.3 Hip and knee problems are improved by					
specific muscle strengthening exercises					
2.4 Hip and knee problems are improved by					
focusing on motor or neuromuscular contro	1				
of the joints during exercise					
2.5 General exercise e.g. walking and					
swimming is safe for most patients to do					
2.6 Specific muscle strengthening exercise is safe for most patients to do					
2.7 Neuromuscular control exercises are safe					
for most patients to do					
2.8 Every patient with hip or knee OA should try	,				
conservative exercise treatment before					
surgery is considered					
2.9 Exercise for hip or knee OA is most					
beneficial when it is tailored to meet					
individual patient needs					
2.10 A standard set of exercises with individual					
progression is sufficient for every patient					
with hip or knee OA					
2.11 Education on lifestyle change is important					
for patients with OA					
2 12 Education on strategies for self-					
management of pain are important for					
patients with OA					
2 13 It is important that people with OA increase					
their overall activity levels					
2.14 Exercise is effective for patients if an x-ray					
shows severe knee osteoarthritis					
2.15 Most patients with hip or knee OA would					
benefit from a supervised group exercise					
programme					
2.16 Most patients with hip or knee OA would				1	
benefit from an individualized exercise					
programme					

#### Section 3. Barriers and enablers to exercise programme delivery in physiotherapy practice

3.1 Please select the current level of government COVID19 restrictions in place as you are completing this survey

□ Level 1 □ Level 2 □ Level □ Level 4 □ Level 5

3.2 **Pre-COVID19** restrictions in March 2020, were you or your clinic providing **group exercise classes** for patients with hip or knee osteoarthritis? □ Yes □ No If Yes, what was the average number of classes per week?

If No, were you interested in offering group exercise classes for osteoarthritis in an ideal world and **if no barriers** existed?

□ Yes □ No

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23 24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52 53

54 55

56 57

58 59

60

# Supplemental File 1

Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare professional and patient beliefs

- 3.3 **Pre-COVID19** restrictions in March 2020, **what** were the main **barriers** to providing group exercise programmes for patients with osteoarthritis in your practice? (Please select all that apply)
- Insufficient space and equipment resources
- □ Insufficient personnel (staff) resources
- Insufficient referrals or low OA caseload
- Patients want individualized programmes
  - Patients prefer other management options e.g. manual therapy
- - Uncertainty about the effects of exercise
    - Uncertainty about the most appropriate exercise type
  - Uncertainty about the safety of exercise
    - Cost for patient
      - Access for patient (e.g. travel, parking, time)
      - Scheduling conflict related to patient working hours and clinic hours
      - □ Lack of a standardised programme or protocol for exercise for OA
      - English language barrier for patients
      - □ Lack of support from colleagues or managers
      - □ Other \_
        - 3.4 Are you <u>currently</u> offering group exercise classes for patients with hip or knee osteoarthritis and to what capacity?
      - $\Box$  Yes, face to face at full capacity
      - □ Yes, face to face at reduced capacity compared to Pre-COVID19 restrictions
      - □ Yes, online classes only
      - □ Yes, combination of face-to-face and online
      - 🗆 No

3.5 **Under current restrictions**, are there any **additional barriers** to providing **face-to-face** group exercise programmes for patients with osteoarthritis in your practice? (Please select all that apply)

□ None

- Government restrictions currently do not allow for group classes
- □ Hospital or clinic protocols currently do not allow for group classes
- Patients do not want to attend clinic
- □ Not enough resources for adequate distancing for class members
- Sanitization procedures are too time consuming
- Own COVID-related safety concerns
  - □ Other

# 3.6 Under current restrictions, are there any additional barriers to providing online group exercise

programmes for patients with osteoarthritis in your practice? (Please select all that apply)

- □ None
- □ Lack of IT resources in clinic (e.g. online platform, webcams, high speed Wi-Fi)
- Lack of personnel (staff) with IT knowledge
- Patients lack IT resources or knowledge
- □ Patients prefer to wait until they can access face-to-face treatment
- □ Uncertainty about the effectiveness of online group exercise
- Own personal preference
- Other

3.7 What **enablers** would help you to provide **face to face group exercise** classes to patients with osteoarthritis in your practice if COVID restrictions were not a factor? (Please select all that apply)

None

- □ More university post-qualification education e.g. diploma or masters
- □ More other post-qualification training e.g. short courses, workshops, videos
- □ More education on group exercise delivery during physiotherapy training
- □ Appropriate referrals from GP or other sources
- GPs who impart knowledge regarding benefits of exercise to patients upon referral

# Supplemental File 1

Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare professional and patient beliefs

- □ Resources to deliver quality educational material regarding self-management alongside exercise
- □ More support from colleagues or managers
- □ Other

- 3.8 What **enablers** would help you to provide an option of **online** group exercise classes to patients with osteoarthritis in your practice? (Please select all that apply)
- □ None
- □ Improved IT infrastructure in clinic (e.g. laptops, webcams)
- □ IT skills resources for delivering online programmes (e.g. tutorials, do's and don'ts)
- □ Access to IT resources (e.g. tutorials) to provide patients with
- Improved Wi-Fi and bandwidth nationwide
- □ Strong evidence for effectiveness of existing online programmes
- □ An online registry allowing collection of patient outcomes pre- and post- programme
- Other
  - 3.9 Would you be interested in receiving **training** (1.5 day workshop) to effectively implement and deliver a standardized, international, evidence-based group exercise and education programme with online and face-to-face options for patients with osteoarthritis in your clinic?
  - □ Extremely interested
  - Very interested
- □ Moderately interested
- Slightly interested
- □ Not at all interested
- If not interested, why?
- 3.10 If interested, how much would you be willing to pay for this continuous professional development training? □ €100-150

é lie

- □ €151-200
- □ €201-250
- □ €251-300
- □ €301-350
- □ More than €350
- □ N/A

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your time and participation is greatly appreciated.
<ul> <li>Survey 3: Survey on the Role of Exercise for Hip and Knee Osted Adults in Ireland</li> <li>The questions below are divided into 3 sections. Please complete the questions to the best of</li> <li>Section 1. Information about you</li> <li>1. Are you:</li></ul>	<b>Darthritis in</b> f your ability.
Survey S.       Survey on the Kole of Exercise for Hip and Knee Osted         Adults in Ireland       The questions below are divided into 3 sections. Please complete the questions to the best of         Section 1. Information about you       1. Are you:       Female       Male       Other       Prefer not to disclose         9       2. Which age category do you fall into?       30 to 39 years       1. 40 to 49 years       1. 40 to 49 years         12       50 to 59 years       60 to 69 years       1. 60 to 69 years       1. 70 to 79 years         14       70 to 79 years       90 years or older       1. Which province in Ireland do you recide in?       Muneter I Hister I Connecht II to instant	f your ability.
Adults in Ireland         5       The questions below are divided into 3 sections. Please complete the questions to the best of         6       Section 1. Information about you         8       1. Are you:       Female       Male       Other       Prefer not to disclose         9       2. Which age category do you fall into?       Image: Section 39 years       Image: Section 40 years       Image: Section 40 years         10       Image: Section 59 years       Image: Section 59 years       Image: Section 59 years         13       Image: Section 60 years       Image: Section 60 years       Image: Section 60 years         14       Image: Total of the province in treated to you recide in?       Image: Section 79 years       Image: Section 79 years         16       Image: Section 70 years       Image: Section 70 years       Image: Section 70 years       Image: Section 70 years         16       Image: Section 70 years         16       Image: Section 70 years       Image: Section 70 years       Image: Section 70 years       Image: Section 70 years         17       Image: Section 70 years       Image: Section 70 years	f your ability.
7       Section 1. Information about you         8       1. Are you:       Female       Male       Other       Prefer not to disclose         9       2. Which age category do you fall into?         10       30 to 39 years         11       40 to 49 years         12       50 to 59 years         13       60 to 69 years         14       70 to 79 years         15       80 to 89 years         16       90 years or older         17       3	f your ability.
7       Section 1. Information about you         8       1. Are you:       Female       Male       Other       Prefer not to disclose         9       2. Which age category do you fall into?         10       30 to 39 years         11       40 to 49 years         12       50 to 59 years         13       60 to 69 years         14       70 to 79 years         15       80 to 89 years         16       90 years or older         17       3	
<ul> <li>Section 1. Information about you</li> <li>1. Are you: □ Female □ Male □ Other □ Prefer not to disclose</li> <li>Which age category do you fall into?</li> <li>10 □ 30 to 39 years</li> <li>11 □ 40 to 49 years</li> <li>12 □ 50 to 59 years</li> <li>13 □ 60 to 69 years</li> <li>14 □ 70 to 79 years</li> <li>15 □ 80 to 89 years</li> <li>16 □ 90 years or older</li> <li>3. Which province in Ireland do you recide in? □ Muneter □ Unter □ Connecht □ Leinster</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Are you:  Female Male Other Prefer not to disclose</li> <li>Which age category do you fall into?</li> <li>30 to 39 years</li> <li>40 to 49 years</li> <li>50 to 59 years</li> <li>60 to 69 years</li> <li>70 to 79 years</li> <li>80 to 89 years</li> <li>90 years or older</li> <li>Which province in Ireland do you recide in? Whicher Universet Lineter</li> </ul>	
9       2. Which age category do you fall into?         10       □ 30 to 39 years         11       □ 40 to 49 years         12       □ 50 to 59 years         13       □ 60 to 69 years         14       □ 70 to 79 years         15       □ 80 to 89 years         16       □ 90 years or older         17       3. Which province in Ireland do you recide in? □ Munctor □ Uniter □ Connecht □ Leinster	
10       □ 30 to 39 years         11       □ 40 to 49 years         12       □ 50 to 59 years         13       □ 60 to 69 years         14       □ 70 to 79 years         15       □ 80 to 89 years         16       □ 90 years or older         17       3	
11       □ 40 to 49 years         12       □ 50 to 59 years         13       □ 60 to 69 years         14       □ 70 to 79 years         15       □ 80 to 89 years         16       □ 90 years or older         17       3	
12       □ 50 to 59 years         13       □ 60 to 69 years         14       □ 70 to 79 years         15       □ 80 to 89 years         16       □ 90 years or older         17       3	
<ul> <li>13 □ 60 to 69 years</li> <li>14 □ 70 to 79 years</li> <li>15 □ 80 to 89 years</li> <li>16 □ 90 years or older</li> <li>17 3 Which province in Ireland do you recide in 2 □ Munctor □ Uniter □ Connecht □ Leinster</li> </ul>	
14       □ 70 to 79 years         15       □ 80 to 89 years         16       □ 90 years or older         17       3	
<ul> <li>15 □ 80 to 89 years</li> <li>16 □ 90 years or older</li> <li>17 3 Which province in Ireland do you recide in 2 □ Muneter □ Uniter □ Connecht □ Leinster</li> </ul>	
16 □ 90 years or older 17 3 Which province in Ireland do you recide in2 □ Muneter □ Ulater □ Connecht □ Leinster	
17 3 Which province in Ireland do you regide in?  Munster  Ulleter  Connecht  Leinster	
5. VITICIT PLOVINCE IN RELATING UN YOU RESIDE IT ? □ IVIUNSTER □ UISTER □ COMMACHT □ LEINSTER	
18 **If "Ulster" is selected, question 3(b) will appear.	
19 3(b) Do you access your healthcare in:	
20 □Northern Ireland (NHS)	
21 □Republic of Ireland (HSE)	
$\square$ A combination of both	
<ul> <li>4. Which of the following best describes where you live?</li> </ul>	
$24$ $\Box$ Inner city	
$\square$ Suburb of a city	
$\square$ Town	
$17$ $\Box$ Village	
$\square$ Open country	
$\square$ Island off Ireland	
5. Have you ever been told by a health professional that you have a diagnosis of the followin	ng?(Select all that
30 apply)	
31          □ Arthritis         □ Diabetes Mellitus (type 1 or 2)         □         □         □	
<sup>32</sup> □ Osteoarthritis □ Kidney or liver disease	
33   □ Wear and tear   □ Anemia (reduced number of red block	od cells)
<sup>34</sup> □ Degenerative changes □ Other blood disease	
$ \Box Rheumatoid arthritis  \Box Cancer  $	
<sup>36</sup> □ Hypertension □ Depression	
<sup>37</sup> □ Heart Disease □ Anxiety	
<sup>38</sup> □ Ulcer or other bowel diseases □ Other mental health disorder	
<sup>39</sup> □ Neurological disease e.g. Parkinson's/MS	
40 □ Respiratory diseases e.g. COPD □ Thyroid Disease	
41	
42 Other health condition	- /l1 -11 -111
<ul> <li>42 □ Other health condition</li> <li>43 6. Have you had pain and joint symptoms in any of the following joints for 6 months or more</li> </ul>	e (select all that
<ul> <li>42 □ Other health condition</li> <li>43 6. Have you had pain and joint symptoms in any of the following joints for 6 months or more apply)</li> </ul>	e (select all that
<ul> <li>42 □ Other health condition</li></ul>	e (seiect all that
<ul> <li>42 □ Other health condition</li></ul>	e (seiect all that
<ul> <li>42 □ Other health condition</li></ul>	e (select all that
<ul> <li>42 □ Other health condition</li></ul>	e (seiect all that
42       □ Other health condition         43       6.         44       apply)         45       □ Right Knee         46       □ Right Hip         47       □ Right Ankle         48       □ Right Shoulder         49       □ Right Elbow	e (seiect all that
42       □ Other health condition         43       6. Have you had pain and joint symptoms in any of the following joints for 6 months or more apply)         44       apply)         45       □ Right Knee       □ Left Knee         46       □ Right Hip       □ Left Hip         47       □ Right Ankle       □ Left Shoulder         48       □ Right Elbow       □ Left Elbow         50       □ Right Wrist       □ Left Wrist	e (seiect all that
<ul> <li>42 Other health condition</li></ul>	e (seiect all that
<ul> <li>42</li></ul>	e (Seiect all that
42       □ Other health condition	e (Seiect all that
42       □ Other health condition	e (seiect all that
42       □ Other health condition	e (select all that below the joints that
42       □ Other health condition	e (select all that below the joints that
42       Other health condition         43       6. Have you had pain and joint symptoms in any of the following joints for 6 months or more apply)         44       apply)         45       Right Knee         46       Right Hip         47       Right Ankle         48       Right Shoulder         49       Right Elbow         50       Right Wrist         51       Right Hand/Fingers         52       Lower Back         53       Mid Back         54       Neck         55       7. Have you ever had joint replacement surgery for any of your painful joints? Please select I have been replaced.         56       Right Knee	e (select all that below the joints that
42       Other health condition         43       6. Have you had pain and joint symptoms in any of the following joints for 6 months or more         44       apply)         45       Right Knee       Left Knee         46       Right Hip       Left Hip         47       Right Shoulder       Left Ankle         48       Right Shoulder       Left Elbow         50       Right Elbow       Left Wrist         51       Right Hand/Fingers       Left Hand/Fingers         52       Lower Back       Other, please describe	e (select all that below the joints that
42       Other health condition	e (select all that below the joints that

<b>S</b> ı G pr	upplemental File 1 uideline-based exercise ma rofessional and patient belie	nagement for hip a	and knee osteoarthritis:	differences in healthcare
	□Right Elbow □L	eft Elbow		
	□Right Wrist □L	eft Wrist		
	□Right Hand/Fingers □L	eft Hand/Fingers		
	□Other. please describe	Ū		
8.	Of your hip and/or knee joints one)	that have <b>NOT</b> bee	en replaced, which joint are	you most bothered by? (select
	□Right Knee □L	eft Knee		
	□Right Hip □L	eft Hip		
A	Il remaining questions will no	w be related to the	e joint that you have chos	sen.
9.	How long have you been exp	eriencing pain in yo	ur [insert chosen joint]?	
	□ 6 months – 1 year			
	$\Box$ 1 – 2 years			
	$\Box$ 2 – 3 vears			
	$\Box 3 - 4$ years			
	$\square 4 - 5$ years			
	$\Box$ More than 5 years			
10	Have you seen or speken to y	our CP about your	nainful linsort choson joint	
10.	Have you seen of spoken to	your GF about your	painiu [insert chosen joint]	
12.	Has your GP ever referred yo	or your [insert chose ou to an <b>orthopaedi</b>	c consultant for your [inse	rt chosen joint]?
		(private consultant i		
**	If on a waiting list, how long ha	ave you been waiting	g?	
	□ Less than 6 months			
	□ 6 months – 1 year			
	□ 1 vear – 1.5 vears			
	$\Box$ 1.5 years – 2 years			
	$\square$ More than 2 years			
13.	Has your GP ever referred vo	ou to a <b>physiothera</b>	pist for your linsert chosen	iointl?
	□ Yes			
	🗆 No			
	$\Box$ Currently on a waiting list	(private consultant i	referral)	
	$\Box$ Currently on a waiting list	(public consultant re	eferral)	
	* If on a waiting list, how long	have you been wa	aiting?	
	$\Box$ Less than 6 months	, , ,		
	□ 6 months – 1 vear			
	$\Box$ 1 year – 1.5 years			
	$\square$ 1.5 years $-2$ years			
	$\Box$ More than 2 years			
14	How would you rate the pain	and symptoms you	are experiencing in your bi	and/or knee on an average
17.	day?	and symptoms you		and/or knee on an average
	No pain or symptoms			
	Mild pain and symptoms			
	Moderate pain and symptom	oms		
	Severe pain and symptom	IS		
15. M	Have you EVER tried any of t uscle strengthening exercise	he following specifie	cally for your [insert chosen	joint]?
(e Ae	.g. using weight/resistance ban erobic exercise	id) ⊟No, never	□Yes, currently using	□Yes, stopped using
(e In	.g. cycling, walking, fitness clas formation/Education course	ss) □No, never	□Yes, currently using	$\Box$ Yes, stopped using
(e	.g. self-management programn	ne) ⊟No, never	□Yes, currently using	□Yes, stopped using
Ň	aking efforts to lose weight	□No, never	□Yes, currently using	□Yes, stopped using

#### **Supplemental File 1**

Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare professional and patient beliefs

#### Section 2. Exercise beliefs for hip and knee osteoarthritis

#### We are interested in your views about the role of exercise in the treatment of hip and knee osteoarthritis. Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the statements given by selecting one option per question.

Question	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
2.1 Hip and knee problems can be improved by general exercise e.g. walking and swimming					
2.2 Hip and knee problems can be improved by specific muscle strengthening exercises					
2.3 General exercise e.g. walking and swimming is safe for everybody to do					
2.4 Specific muscle strengthening exercise is safe for everyone to do					
2.5 Every patient with hip or knee osteoarthritis should try exercise treatment before surgery is considered					
2.6 Patients should learn more about how to self-manage their pain and symptoms using exercise and physical activity					
2.7 The best way to learn about exercise is in a supervised group setting with people who have similar pain (Pre-COVID-19 restrictions)					
2.8 The best way to learn about exercise is in a one-on-one setting with a health professional (Pre-COVID-19 restrictions)					
2.9 Exercise is effective for patients if an x-ray shows severe knee osteoarthritis					
2.10 Exercise works just as well for everybody, regardless of the amount of pain they have					

#### Section 3. Barriers and enablers to exercise for hip and knee osteoarthritis In this section we want to know more about your exercise experience and what kinds of things would prevent you or help you do more exercise

- 3.1 How many times a week do you exercise (e.g. 30 minute walk)?
  - □ 3 or more days per week
  - □ Less than 3 days per week
  - I don't exercise
- 3.2 Has a health professional ever given you specific exercises for your [insert chosen joint]?
  - □ Yes
  - 🗆 No
  - □ Not sure
  - \*If Yes, what type of health professional? (select all that apply)
  - Physiotherapist
  - 🗆 GP
- Orthopaedic surgeon
- □ Nurse
  - Personal trainer
  - □ Other, please name
  - \*If Yes, what type of exercise?
  - □ Home-based individual exercises
    - □ Group exercise class for osteoarthritis
  - □ Other, please state
    - \*If Yes, did you find the exercise beneficial?
    - □ Yes
    - 🗆 No
    - Not sure

#### 3.3 Please select the current level of government COVID19 restrictions in place as you are completing this survey

□ Level 5 (strictest restrictions)

### **Supplemental File 1**

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare professional and patient beliefs

□ Level □ Level 4

- □ Level 1 □ Level 2 3.4 Thinking about life without COVID19 restrictions, what are the main barriers that would prevent you from exercising? (Please select all that apply) □ Pain or other joint symptoms □ I need assistance for mobility e.g. walking stick, wheelchair □ Finding time to exercise 10 □ Lack of enjoyment from exercise 11 □ Lack of exercise buddy or support network 12 □ Wet or cold weather 13 □ Other health problems 14 □ Other disability e.g. visual impairment 15 □ Cost of a gym membership or physiotherapy visit 16 □ Cost of active wear or equipment 17 □ I don't know the best types of exercise to do 18 I don't know who to contact to learn more or do more exercise 19 □ Uncertainty about the safety of exercise for joint pain 20 Uncertainty about the benefit of exercise for joint pain 21 □ Negative body image 22 □ Access to facilities (e.g. availability, travel, parking) 23 □ Work commitments 24 Family commitments or other responsibilities 25 □ Age 26 □ Fear of injury 27 □ Tiredness and fatigue 28 □ Depression 29 Other 30 31 3.5 Thinking about life without COVID19 restrictions, what types of things would help you to exercise more? 32 (Please select all that apply) 33 Better knowledge of the best type of exercise to do 34 □ Access to exercise that is supervised by a health professional 35 □ Social aspect e.g. group exercise with other people with hip or knee pain 36 □ More confidence in your joint 37 □ Exercise recommendations from a GP 38 □ Exercise recommendations from a physiotherapist 39 □ More support from family or friends 40 □ Warm and dry weather for outdoor exercise
  - □ Low cost community exercise programmes
  - □ Safe exercise environment (e.g. well-lit pathways)
  - Other

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53 54 55

56 57

58 59

60

3.6 Thinking about life without COVID-19, how interested would you be in attending a 6-week, twice per week, physiotherapy-supervised group exercise and education class for your hip or knee pain at a clinic or community centre?

□ Extremely interested

□ Very interested

□ Moderately interested

□ Slightly interested

Not at all interested

If not interested, why?

3.7 Thinking about current restrictions, how interested would you be in taking part in a 6-week, twice per week, **ONLINE** physiotherapy-supervised group exercise and education class for you hip or knee pain?

□ Extremely interested

□ Very interested

- □ Moderately interested
- □ Slightly interested

1 2	Supplemental File 1 Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare professional and patient beliefs
3	
з л	Li Not at all interested
	If not interested, why?
5	2.9 De you have any experience with online delivered healthcare or telerobabilitation from a CD or other health
6	s.o by you have any experience with online-delivered healthcare of telefenabilitation from a GP of other health
7	
8	
9	LI No
10	
11	3.9 what are the <b>barriers</b> that would prevent you taking part in an <b>online exercise</b> class? (Please select all that
12	appiy)
13	Lack of technology equipment (e.g. laptop, smartphone or tablet, webcams)
17	□ Lack of confidence in using computers, laptops etc.
14	Wi-Fi / Broadband connection is not good enough
15	Preference to wait until I can access face-to-face treatment
16	□ Uncertain about how online group exercise would work
17	□ Lack of space in home environment to perform exercises
18	English language barriers
19	$\Box$ Lack of time to take part
20	
21	
 22	3 10 What would <b>help you</b> to take part in an <b>online</b> group exercise class with other people with osteoarthritis?
22	(Please select all that apply)
23	$\Box$ An initial one-to-one session with a physiotherapist to get familiar with the process
24	An initial one-to-one session with a physiotherapist to get laminal with the process
25	
26	
27	L Examples and testimonials from patients who have finished the classes
28	Opportunities to chat online with other patients before and after the class
29	Support from family members to get set up in your home
30	A laptop or tablet
31	□ Other
32	
32	3.11 If interested, how much would you be willing to pay to take part in these exercise classes (price in euros for
34	entire 14-15 session programme)?
24 25	□ €0-25
35	□ €26-50
36	□ €51-100
37	□ €101-150
38	□ €151-200
39	$\Box > \neq 200$
40	
41	Thank you for taking the time to complete this guestionnaire. Your time and participation is
42	greatly appreciated.
43	grown) opprovincen
15	
44 AE	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
55	
54 FF	
22	
56	
57	

#### Supplemental File 2

Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare professional and patient beliefs

**Supplemental Table**: Multivariable linear regression models to determine if positive beliefs about exercise in PwOA are associated with (1) referral to physiotherapist by a GP and (2) if they have seen a physiotherapist for their joint pain.

Dependent Variable: Number of exercise belief statements agreed with								
Variables Model 1 ª			Partial				95% EXF	CI for P(B)
	В	S.E.	Correlation	VIF	Sig.	Exp(B)	Lower	Upper
Has your GP ever referred you to a physiotherapist for your painful joint?	0.457	0.406	0.129	1.01	0.264	0.120	-0.352	1.267
Sex	-1.011	0.502	-0.227	1.009	0.048	-0.215	-2.011	-0.011
Number of comorbidities	-0.361	0.128	0.309	1.009	0.006	-0.300	-0.616	-0.106
Constant	7.772	0.686	-	-	0.000	-	6.405	9.138
Model 2 <sup>b</sup>								
Have you seen a physiotherapist for your painful joint?	1.060	0.383	0.288	1.138	0.007	0.287	0.299	1.821
Sex	-0.723	0.362	-0.212	1.003	0.049	-0.194	-1.444	-0.003
How long have you been experiencing pain in your joint?	-0.204	0.099	-0.219	1.163	0.042	-0.216	-0.400	-0.008
Number of comorbidities	-0.293	0.119	-0.257	1.026	0.016	-0.241	-0.530	-0.055
Constant	7.680	0.585	-		0.000	-	6.034	9.653

<sup>a</sup>Model variables removed due to non-significance (1): *How long have you been experiencing pain in your joint?*, *How would you rate the pain and symptoms you are experiencing in your hip and/or knee on an average day?*. <sup>b</sup>Model variables removed due to non-significance (2): *How would you rate the pain and symptoms you are experiencing in your hip and/or knee on an average day?*. B, beta coefficient; GP, general practitioner; OA, osteoarthritis; PT, physiotherapist; PwOA, people with osteoarthritis; S.E., standard error; VIF, variance inflation factor.



	Item No	Recommendation	Page No
Title and abstract	1	( <i>a</i> ) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1
		(b) Provide in the abstract on informative and belanced summery of	1
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of	1
Introduction		what was done and what was found	
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation	3_1
Dackground/fationale	2	being reported	5-4
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	4
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	4-5
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods	4-5
C		of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of	5
-		selection of participants	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential	5-6
		confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if	
		applicable	
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of	5-7
measurement		methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of	
		assessment methods if there is more than one group	
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	n/a
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	n/a
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If	5-6
		applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for	6-7
		confounding	
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	6-7
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	7
		(d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of	6-7
		sampling strategy	
		( <u>e</u> ) Describe any sensitivity analyses	n/a
Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers	7
		potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible,	
		included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	7
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	n/a
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic,	Table
		clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential	
		confounders	
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable	Table
			1

2
5
4
5
6
7
, Q
0
9
10
11
12
13
14
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
20
21
22
23
24
25
25
26
27
28
29
30
21
31
32
33
34
35
26
20
37
38
39
40
41
40
42
43
44
45
46
47
10
40 40
49
50
51
52
52
55
54
55
56
57
50

1 2

Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	Page 7,
			Figure 1-
			3
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted	Table 3
		estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make	
		clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were	
		included	
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were	n/a
		categorized	
		( <i>c</i> ) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into	n/a
		absolute risk for a meaningful time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and	n/a
		interactions, and sensitivity analyses	
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	14-15
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of	18
		potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of	
		any potential bias	
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering	16,17
		objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar	
		studies, and other relevant evidence	
G 1: 1 11:			
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	18
Other information	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	18
Other information           Funding	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present	18
Other information Funding	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present	18
Other information         Funding	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	18

\*Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

**Note:** An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

**BMJ** Open

# **BMJ Open**

### Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: a cross-sectional comparison of healthcare professional and patient beliefs in Ireland.

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2023-080646.R1
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	14-Feb-2024
Complete List of Authors:	Toomey, Clodagh; University of Limerick, School of Allied Health; University of Limerick Health Research Institute Bhardwaj, Avantika; University of Limerick, School of Allied Health; University of Limerick Health Research Institute Browne, Jacqui; IMPACT Steering Committee, Patient Representative Dowling, Ian; Ian Dowling Physiotherapy Clinic Grealis, Stacey; IMPACT Steering Committee, Patient Representative; University College Dublin, Centre of Arthritis Research Hayes, Peter; University of Limerick, School of Medicine; University of Limerick Health Research Institute Higgins, Niall; University of Limerick, School of Allied Health Maguire, Darragh; National Orthopaedic Hospital Cappagh, Physiotherapy Department O'Hora, John; Health Service Executive, Community Healthcare West Rector, Joseph; University of Limerick, School of Allied Health Wood-Thornsbury, Arianna; University of Limerick, School of Allied Health; University of Limerick, School of Allied Health; Wood-Thornsbury, Arianna; University of Limerick, School of Allied Health; University of Limerick Health Research Institute
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Evidence based practice
Secondary Subject Heading:	Rheumatology, Rehabilitation medicine, Patient-centred medicine
Keywords:	Physical Therapy Modalities, RHEUMATOLOGY, Protocols & guidelines < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, Hip < ORTHOPAEDIC & TRAUMA SURGERY, Knee < ORTHOPAEDIC & TRAUMA SURGERY
	·





I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

reliez oni

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: a crosssectional comparison of healthcare professional and patient beliefs in Ireland.

### ABSTRACT

**Objectives:** To identify within-stakeholder agreement and between-stakeholder differences in beliefs regarding exercise for osteoarthritis among general practitioners (GPs), physiotherapists (PTs) and people with hip and knee osteoarthritis (PwOA). A secondary objective was to explore how referral patterns may influence beliefs.

Design: Cross-sectional

**Setting:** Online surveys administered to GPs, PTs and PwOA in Ireland via social media and healthcare networks.

Participants: 421 valid responses (n=161 GPs, n=163 PTs, n=97 PwOA).

**Primary and secondary outcome measures:** Nine beliefs statements related to exercise effectiveness, safety and delivery were rated on a 5-point Likert scale and analysed for within-stakeholder consensus. Chi-square tests assessed differences in agreement between groups. Multivariable linear regression models tested associations between beliefs in PwOA and referral to/attendance at physiotherapy.

**Results:** Positive within-stakeholder consensus (>75% agreement) was reached for most statements (7/9 GPs, 6/9 PTs, 5/9 PwOA). However, beliefs of PwOA were significantly less positive compared to healthcare professionals for six statements. All stakeholders disagreed that exercise is effective regardless of the level of pain. Attendance at physiotherapy (49% of PwOA), rather than referral to physiotherapy from a GP only, was associated with positive exercise beliefs for PwOA [ $\beta$ =0.287 (95% CI 0.299, 1.821)].

**Conclusions:** Beliefs about exercise therapy for osteoarthritis are predominantly positive across all stakeholders, albeit less positive in PwOA. PwOA are more likely to have positive beliefs if they have seen a physiotherapist for their osteoarthritis. Knowledge translation should highlight the effectiveness of exercise for all levels of pain and osteoarthritis disease.

#### Strengths and Limitations

• Differences in beliefs about exercise between healthcare professionals and patients with osteoarthritis has not previously been examined.

- This study also explored how healthcare professional visits may influence beliefs about effectiveness of evidence-based care.
  - This was a cross-sectional study so no inferences can be made.
  - Different results with respect to beliefs and influences may have been found if nononline recruitment methods were available (e.g. paper surveys in healthcare settings).

for oper terien only

# INTRODUCTION

The management of hip and knee osteoarthritis (OA), as for other chronic conditions, should be determined by best available evidence. Although there is no cure for this burdensome disease, healthcare professionals in this field have for a long time had a wealth of high-quality evidence to draw from, all pointing to optimal core clinical management that consists of land-based exercise, education and weight loss if appropriate[1,2]. Despite this, implementation of these guidelines in practice is not optimal, often resulting in care that is fragmented in nature or considered low-value [3]. A global meta-analysis involving 16,103 people with OA (PwOA) in community care, revealed that only 39% received a referral or recommendation to exercise,[4] while a UK-based survey in 2018 revealed that only 3.9% of the 502 respondents with an OA diagnosis, were using exercise as part of their management[5]. Some similarities in shortcomings to implementation of guidelines for musculoskeletal health have been identified globally[6].

Alongside use of best evidence, the provision of patient-centred care is a pillar of highquality care that should help guide treatment for PwOA[7]. Literature and expert opinion recommendations state that it is important to assess patient ideas and concerns regarding the cause and management of their pain, and to take into account their expectations and preferences for treatment[7]. Regarding exercise, researchers have identified a considerable amount of uncertainty among PwOA regarding the benefits of exercise for their pain. Results from cross-sectional surveys and semi-structured interviews have indicated that a lack of knowledge on the condition may result in patients believing that surgery is their only option[9,10]. Furthermore, a view of OA as a "wear and tear" condition was associated with the perspective that exercise was a counterintuitive treatment[9–11]. Since it is widely understood that beliefs influence health-related behaviours [12,13], and because stronger recommendations for exercise have been made since previous publications[2,5,10], an updated understanding of how PwOA view exercise is required.

Healthcare professionals' perceptions and beliefs will affect the advice and management they offer patients, and researchers have suggested that those with biomedical or biomechanical beliefs about OA may transfer these beliefs to their patients, thus affecting their treatment choices[14,15]. Currently, general practitioners (GPs) and physiotherapists (PTs) are considered among the core care providers for PwOA[16]. While PT's have the knowledge and skills to adopt a key role in the management of hip and knee OA, GPs remain the most frequently accessed source of formal medical advice and treatment[16,17]. The language used by healthcare professionals, especially GPs, can have a profound influence on patients' beliefs[18,19]. A systematic review from Cottrell et al [20] in 2010,

**BMJ** Open

found that the attitudes and beliefs of GPs concerning exercise and chronic knee pain varied widely. An updated UK-based survey of GPs in 2017 found that perspectives were positive, with 87% reporting the use of exercise in their practice [17]. However, only 11% reported using exercise in ways that aligned with evidence-based guidelines [17]. This demonstrates the need for a better understanding of how GPs interact with up-to-date resources for care advancements for OA, in a time-demanding profession.

A scoping review of qualitative research exploring attitudes and beliefs, shows that PTs generally have a positive attitude to activity and exercise in OA management, despite indications that some PTs may also be lacking up-to-date knowledge about best practice or may not be adhering to evidence-based treatments[21]. In contrast, a recent mixed-methods evaluation by Barton et al [22] in 2021 reported that awareness regarding evidence supporting exercise for knee OA was good (89–96%) amongst PTs in Australia and Canada.

Greater knowledge around beliefs and belief influencers are needed in order to address negative beliefs or myths associated with exercise and joint pain. The objective of this study was to identify within-stakeholder agreement and between-stakeholder differences in beliefs in relation to statements on exercise for management of hip and knee OA in PwOA, GPs and PTs. Secondary objectives were to explore any associations between beliefs of PwOA and whether they had ever received a GP referral to physiotherapy or had seen a PT for their painful joint. Based on previous work [10,14,17], it was hypothesised that exercise beliefs of PTs would be more positive, and in line with clinical guidelines and latest evidence, compared to GPs and PwOA. It was also hypothesised that PwOA who had received a physiotherapy referral from their GP, or who had seen a PT for their condition would have more positive beliefs about exercise compared to those who had not.

#### METHODS

# **Design and Recruitment**

This study incorporates an analysis of three cross-sectional online surveys administered to three stakeholder groups - GPs, PTs and PwOA – in Ireland between March and September 2021. This cross-sectional study is embedded in a larger study (IMPACT – Implementation of osteoarthritis clinical guidelines together)[23], that aims to co-design and evaluate implementation strategies for an exercise and education programme for PwOA in Ireland. Surveys were adapted from previous studies in this field [10,14,17] and reviewed by co-researchers of a public and patient involvement (PPI) steering committee of representative stakeholders prior to distribution. Validation consisted of a round of pre-testing with a convenience sample of three of each GPs, PTs and PwOA with feedback provided on readability, acceptability and appropriateness that was incorporated before distribution.

Qualtrics© software (Qualtrics, Provo, UT) was used to administer the online surveys and all procedures were approved by the University of Limerick Faculty of Education & Health Sciences Research Ethics Committee (REC) (2020\_12\_13\_EHS) and the Irish College of General Practitioners REC (ICGP\_REC\_21\_0006). Surveys were completed anonymously after participants were provided with a participant information sheet and consent was implied by completion of the survey. Reporting is consistent with the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines for cross-sectional studies.

The PT survey was distributed via email invite to all members of the Irish Society of Chartered Physiotherapists (n=2022), working across all fields. The survey was also advertised via social media (Twitter, LinkedIn) and amongst networks of researchers and PPI steering committee members. Physiotherapists were eligible for inclusion if they: (1) were practicing in Ireland, and (2) treated a patient with hip or knee OA in the past six months. The GP survey was distributed to the Irish College of General Practitioners network (n=3152), the University of Limerick Education and Research Network for General Practice (ULEARN-GP) network[24] (n=140) and via social media (Twitter, LinkedIn). GPs were eligible to take part if they were currently treating patients with hip and/or knee pain in Ireland. The survey for PwOA was advertised via social media (Twitter, LinkedIn), Arthritis Ireland social media, News Rheum patient newsletter and colleagues and networks of project steering committee and research team members. PwOA were eligible to take part if they (1) were living on the island of Ireland, (2) at least 30 years of age, (3) had chronic hip or knee pain for at least 6 months or more, and (4) did not have joint replacement surgery on at least one of the painful hips or knees. Strategies to increase recruitment via social media across all three surveys were adopted including tagging specific advocacy groups, patient or professional organisations and influencers, providing visual infographics alongside social media posts and aligning posts with events e.g. National Arthritis Day.

#### Outcomes

Each survey (Supplementary file 1) included an initial set of questions related to participant demographics. For healthcare professionals, these included questions on sex [are you: (1) Male, (2) Female, (3) Prefer not to say], length of time qualified, work setting, details of specific post-qualification training related to OA/chronic pain, confidence in treating hip and knee OA, percentage of typical caseload with hip or knee OA and where they prefer to access knowledge of management for persons with hip or knee OA. For PwOA, demographic information related to sex [are you: (1) Male, (2) Female, (3) Prefer not to say], age category, geographical area and health conditions were asked. In relation to joint pain, questions regarding location, duration, severity, referrals to exercise, and use of clinical

**BMJ** Open

guideline specific treatments (muscle strengthening, aerobic exercise, education, weight loss) were asked. Additional questions were provided for PwOA to understand healthcare utilisation and previous experiences with exercise.

In each survey, a list of statements on exercise beliefs for hip and knee OA were provided and were rated on a 5-point Likert scale from strongly agree to strongly disagree. The belief statements were intended to align with current evidence-based guidelines[1,2] and best practice for exercise and OA. Healthcare professionals were given a more extensive list of statements that were related to exercise type or referral decisions. A final section related to barriers and enablers to exercise delivery, referral or uptake was included in each survey. Results of that analysis are presented elsewhere.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Demographic outcomes were summarised as counts/proportions as appropriate. Belief statements were grouped and summarised descriptively by theme i.e., exercise type and effectiveness, exercise safety and exercise delivery. Although some statements had slightly different wording to facilitate understanding and relevance to each group, there were nine statements that were deemed to be comparable across groups and used to analyse differences in beliefs. Responses for the 5-point Likert scale statements were collapsed to a binary scale to label positive beliefs ("strongly agree" or "somewhat agree") vs. negative beliefs ("strongly disagree", "somewhat disagree" or "neither"). "Neither" was included with negative beliefs since statements were deemed to align somewhat with best practice and anything short of agreement may be considered unsatisfactory knowledge translation or personal experience. A commonly defined cut-off for consensus (>75%)[25] between stakeholders was used. Chi-square (2 x 3) tests of independence were used to assess differences in agreement with statements between three groups, and Bonferroni adjustment for between-group differences (p<0.05). Multivariable linear regression was used to explore associations between exercise beliefs (number of statements agreed with (range 0-9)) in PwOA and (1) physiotherapy referral from their GP (Has your GP ever referred you to a physiotherapist for your painful joint? Yes/No), and (2) physiotherapy attendance (Have you seen a physiotherapist for your painful joint? Yes/No). Histograms, Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests and scatter plots of residuals vs. fitted values were used to test assumptions of Poisson and linear regression and linear regression was deemed more appropriate. Pearson correlation coefficients (r>0.5) and variance inflation factor (>5) were used to determine presence of collinearity between variables. Based on correlates of physical activity for hip and knee OA from previous literature, the following covariates were included using an enter method in each model: sex[26], average pain rating (none/mild/moderate/severe)[26], pain

duration (6 months-1 year /1-2 years /2-3 years /3-4 years /4+ years)[27] and number of comorbidities[26]. The most parsimonious models were reported checking for a 10% difference in beta coefficients upon removal of covariates (p>0.05). Data were analysed using IBM-SPSS version 26.0.0 and Microsoft Excel365.

#### Patient and public involvement

This research was conducted as part of a larger project (IMPACT) that uses a participatory health research approach. A steering committee of key stakeholders with relevant research, clinical/system expertise or lived experience (academics, people with arthritis, patient advocacy group members, physiotherapists, GPs, orthopaedic surgeon) have oversight of the project from inception to dissemination. Members of the committee were involved in designing the research question and outcome measures for these surveys, recruitment of participants, interpretation of analyses and dissemination as co-authors of the publication.

#### RESULTS

There was a total of 421 valid responses from the three distributed surveys, comprising 161 GPs, 163 PTs and 97 PwOA. An additional 26 GP, 33 PT and 15 PwOA surveys were collected but were not fully completed or did not contain sufficient data for analysis so were excluded. Demographic data for each stakeholder are presented in **Table 1**.

#### Experiences with Exercise for People with Osteoarthritis

Of the 97 PwOA, 78.4% (n=76) had spoken to their GP regarding their joint pain, 63.9% (n=62) had an X-ray of their joint. 44.6% (n=43) had been referred to physiotherapy by their GP and 48.5% (n=47) had seen a physiotherapist for their joint (either through GP- or self-referral). Additionally, 50.5% (n=49) reported having been given specific exercises for their joint by any healthcare professional. All but 5 respondents reported that this healthcare professional was a physiotherapist. Others included orthopaedic surgeon (n=2), rheumatologist (n=1) and GP (n=1). **Figure 1** shows answers to questions regarding the types of treatments tried by PwOA, as per clinical guideline recommendations (aerobic exercise, strengthening exercise, education and weight management).

# Within-Stakeholder Agreement in Beliefs about Exercise Type and Effectiveness, Exercise Safety and Delivery

**Figure 2** shows the Likert scale results in each stakeholder group for statements (a-d), related to the effectiveness of different types of exercise and for different levels of pain or perceived severity. **Figure 3** shows the Likert scale results in each stakeholder group for statements (e-i), related to the safety and delivery of different types of exercise for people

with OA. Beliefs were predominantly positive amongst GP's [positive consensus (>75% agreement) on 7/9 statements], PTs (6/9 statements) and PwOA (5/9 statements).

# Between-Stakeholder Differences in Beliefs about Exercise Type and Effectiveness, Exercise Safety and Delivery

Results of chi-square tests for differences in agreement between stakeholders across beliefs statements are presented in **Table 2**. There were differences in stakeholder responses across all statements, except for statement (d): *"Exercise works just as well for everybody, regardless of the amount of pain they have"* ( $X^2 = 5.14$ , p=0.076). All three stakeholder groups reached a negative consensus regarding this statement. In six of the eight statements where differences were observed, patient beliefs were significantly different to healthcare professional beliefs. There were two statements with a medium effect size for differences between PwOA and service providers: statements (*b*) *"Hip and knee problems can be improved by specific muscle strengthening exercises"* (*V*=0.309) and (*h*) *"Most patients with hip or knee OA would benefit from a supervised group exercise programme"* (*V*=0.384). All other differences had a small effect size.

people with osteoarthritis der	nographics			
Healthcare Professionals Demographics	GP (n=161)	PT (n=163)	People with Hip or Knee Osteoarthritis Demographics	PwOA N=97
	Count (%)	Count (%)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Count (%)
Sex:			Sex:	
Female	88 (54.7)	128 (78.5)	Female	76 (78.4)
Male	72 (44.7)	34 (20.9)	Male	20 (20.6)
Prefer not to say	1 (0.6)	1 (0.6)	Prefer not to say	1 (1.0)
low long have you been qualified?			Most bothersome joint:	
Less than 5 years	33 (20.5)	19 (11.7)	Knee	52 (53.8)
5-10 years	25 (15.5)	21 (12.9)	Hip	45 (46.4)
More than 10 years	103 (64.0)	123 (75.5)	Age Category:	
Work practice setting (GPs)			30-39 years	12 (12.4)
Jrban	60 (37.3)	-	40-49 years	24 (24.7)
Rural	34 (21.1)	-	50-59 years	30 (30.9)
Mixed	67 (41.6)	-	60-69 years	25 (25.8)
Work practice setting (PTs)			70-79 years	6 (6.2)
Public hospital	-	38 (23.3)	Living Location:	
Private hospital	-	7 (4.3)	Inner city or suburb	46 (47.4)
Primary care	-	41 (25.2)	Town	16 (16.5)
Private practice clinic	-	70 (42.9)	Village	15 (15.5)
Other	-	7 (4.3)	Open country	20 (20.6)
Post-qualification training on OA / chronic pain			No. of other comorbidities:	
No	72 (44.7)	37 (22.7)	0	31 (32.0)
Inservice/webinars/reading	32 (19.9)	17 (10.4)	1-2	45 (47.9)
Course or conference	28 (17.4)	72 (44.2)	3+	18 (19.1)
	. ,	. ,		. ,

Table 1. Descriptive statistics using count (p	proportions) for healthcare professionals and
people with osteoarthritis demographics	

Diploma/APP or certification	15 (9.3)	3 (1.8)		Multi-joint pain(>1):	
MSc in related field	14 (8.7)	32 (19.6)		No	6 (6.2)
PhD in related field	0	2 (1.2)		Yes	91 (93.8)
Confidence in treating hip and knee OA				Rating of pain /symptoms on an	
				average day	
Not confident	2 (1.2)	0		No pain/symptoms	1 (1.0)
Slightly confident	33 (20.5)	5 (3.1)		Mild	30 (30.9)
Confident	80 (49.7)	41 (25.2)		Moderate	49 (50.5)
Very confident	36 (22.4)	86 (52.8)		Severe	17 (17.5)
Extremely confident	10 (6.2)	31 (19.0)			
% of typical caseload with				Duration of pain	
hip/knee OA					
1-5%	19 (11.8)	19 (11.7)		6 mon – 1 year	24 (24.7)
6-25%	117 (72.7)	83 (50.9)		1-2 years	13 (13.4)
26-50%	24 (14.9)	36 (22.1)		2-3 years	15 (15.5)
51-75%	1 (0.6)	18 (11.0)		3-4 years	11 (11.3)
>75%	Ó	5 (3.1)		More than 4 years	34 (35.1)
	Diploma/APP or certification MSc in related field PhD in related field <b>Confidence in treating hip</b> and knee OA Not confident Slightly confident Confident Very confident Extremely confident <b>% of typical caseload with</b> hip/knee OA 1-5% 6-25% 26-50% 51-75%	Diploma/APP or certification         15 (9.3)           MSc in related field         14 (8.7)           PhD in related field         0           Confidence in treating hip and knee OA         0           Not confident         2 (1.2)           Slightly confident         33 (20.5)           Confident         80 (49.7)           Very confident         36 (22.4)           Extremely confident         10 (6.2)           % of typical caseload with hip/knee OA         117 (72.7)           26-50%         117 (72.7)           26-50%         1 (0.6)           >75%         0	Diploma/APP or certification         15 (9.3)         3 (1.8)           MSc in related field         14 (8.7)         32 (19.6)           PhD in related field         0         2 (1.2)           Confidence in treating hip and knee OA         0         2 (1.2)           Not confident         2 (1.2)         0           Slightly confident         33 (20.5)         5 (3.1)           Confident         80 (49.7)         41 (25.2)           Very confident         36 (22.4)         86 (52.8)           Extremely confident         10 (6.2)         31 (19.0)           % of typical caseload with hip/knee OA         19 (11.8)         19 (11.7)           6-25%         117 (72.7)         83 (50.9)           26-50%         24 (14.9)         36 (22.1)           51-75%         1 (0.6)         18 (11.0)           >75%         0         5 (3.1)	Diploma/APP or certification $15 (9.3)$ $3 (1.8)$ MSc in related field $14 (8.7)$ $32 (19.6)$ PhD in related field $0$ $2 (1.2)$ Confidence in treating hip and knee OA $2 (1.2)$ $0$ Not confident $2 (1.2)$ $0$ Slightly confident $33 (20.5)$ $5 (3.1)$ Confident $80 (49.7)$ $41 (25.2)$ Very confident $36 (22.4)$ $86 (52.8)$ Extremely confident $10 (6.2)$ $31 (19.0)$ % of typical caseload with $117 (72.7)$ $83 (50.9)$ $26-50\%$ $24 (14.9)$ $36 (22.1)$ $51-75\%$ $1 (0.6)$ $18 (11.0)$ $>75\%$ $0$ $5 (3.1)$	Diploma/APP or certification         15 (9.3)         3 (1.8)           MSc in related field         14 (8.7)         32 (19.6)           PhD in related field         0         2 (1.2)           Confidence in treating hip and knee OA         Rating of pain           Not confident         2 (1.2)         0           Slightly confident         33 (20.5)         5 (3.1)           Confident         80 (49.7)         41 (25.2)           Very confident         36 (22.4)         86 (52.8)           Extremely confident         10 (6.2)         31 (19.0)           % of typical caseload with hip/knee OA         19 (11.8)         19 (11.7)           6-25%         117 (72.7)         83 (50.9)           26-50%         24 (14.9)         36 (22.1)           51-75%         1 (0.6)         18 (11.0)           >75%         0         5 (3.1)

APP, Advanced Practice Physiotherapist; GP, General Practitioner; OA, Osteoarthritis; PT, Physiotherapist; PwOA, People with Osteoarthritis.

**Table 2.** Differences in agreement with statements between general practitioner (GP; n=161), physiotherapist (PT; n=163) and people with hip and knee osteoarthritis (PwOA; n=97). Agreement was defined as those who selected "strongly agree" or "somewhat agree" on Likert scales. Proportions that reached within-stakeholder "consensus", defined as >75% majority, are in bold.

Proportion in agreement			Chi-	Signifi-	Cramer'
GP	PT	PwOA	Squar e	cance	s V
97.5%	95.1%	85.6%ª	15.59	<0.0001	0.193
98.8%	97.5%	80.9%ª	39.04	<0.0001	0.309
53.8%	63.4%	39.8% <sup>c</sup>	13.24	0.001	0.179
24.2%	19.6%	32.3%	5.14	0.076	n/a
85.7%	68.9% <sup>b</sup>	87.1%	18.13	<0.0001	0.209
85.6%	84.5%	69.2%ª	11.86	0.003	0.170
86.9%	<b>99.4%</b> <sup>b</sup>	91.4%	19.0	<0.0001	0.214
91.3%	85.3%	52.1%ª	61.35	<0.0001	0.384
96.3%	93.9%	82.8% <sup>a</sup>	15.91	<0.0001	0.196
	Proport GP 97.5% 98.8% 53.8% 24.2% 85.7% 85.6% 86.9% 91.3% 96.3%	Proportion in aging         GP       PT         97.5%       95.1%         98.8%       97.5%         53.8%       63.4%         24.2%       19.6%         85.7%       68.9% <sup>b</sup> 85.6%       84.5%         86.9%       99.4% <sup>b</sup> 91.3%       85.3%	Proportion in agreement           GP         PT         PwOA           97.5%         95.1%         85.6% <sup>a</sup> 98.8%         97.5%         80.9% <sup>a</sup> 53.8%         63.4%         39.8% <sup>c</sup> 24.2%         19.6%         32.3%           85.7%         68.9% <sup>b</sup> 87.1%           85.6%         84.5%         69.2% <sup>a</sup> 91.3%         85.3%         52.1% <sup>a</sup> 96.3%         93.9%         82.8% <sup>a</sup>	Proportion in agreement         Chi-Squar e           GP         PT         PwOA         Squar e           97.5%         95.1%         85.6% <sup>a</sup> 15.59           98.8%         97.5%         80.9% <sup>a</sup> 39.04           53.8%         63.4%         39.8% <sup>c</sup> 13.24           24.2%         19.6%         32.3%         5.14           85.7%         68.9% <sup>b</sup> 87.1%         18.13           85.6%         84.5%         69.2% <sup>a</sup> 11.86           91.3%         85.3%         52.1% <sup>a</sup> 61.35           96.3%         93.9%         82.8% <sup>a</sup> 15.91	Proportion in agreement GP         Chi- Squar e         Signifi- cance e           97.5%         95.1%         85.6% <sup>a</sup> 15.59         <0.0001

<sup>a</sup>Significantly different compared to GP and PT, <sup>b</sup>significantly different to GP and PwOA, <sup>c</sup>significantly different to PT, using Bonferroni at .05 level. \*Questions for PwOA phrased as: "The best way to learn about exercise is in a supervised group setting with people who have similar pain" and "The best way to learn about exercise is

 in a one-on-one setting with a health professional". Cramer's V =0.1 small, 0.3 medium, 0.5 large effect size. GP, general practitioner; OA, osteoarthritis; PT, physiotherapist; PwOA, people with osteoarthritis.

#### **Predictors of Patient Beliefs**

There was no association between beliefs of PwOA about exercise and the question: "has your GP ever referred you to a physiotherapist for your painful joint?" (**Supplemental File 2**) [B=0.46 (95% CI -0.35, 1.27)]. In this model, sex (male) [B=-1.01 (95% CI -2.01, -0.01)] and a higher number of comorbidities [B=-0.36 (95% CI -0.62, -0.11)] were negatively associated with beliefs about exercise. In model 2, there was a positive association between beliefs of PwOA about exercise and the question: "Have you seen a physiotherapist for your painful joint?" [B=1.06 (95% CI 0.30, 1.82)]. Sex (male) [B=-0.72 (95% CI -1.44, -0.00)], a longer duration of pain and symptoms [B=-0.20 (95% CI -0.40, -0.01)] and a higher number of comorbidities [B=-0.29 (95% CI -0.53, -0.06)] were negatively associated with beliefs about exercise in this model.

#### Healthcare Professional Sources of Education

For the question, "Where do you get your knowledge of care advancements for persons with knee or hip osteoarthritis?"; the top five selected responses for GPs were continuous medical education (CME) or GP training networks (78%), published guidelines or recommendations (61%), reading medical journals (47%), conference attendance (47%) and course attendance (31%). For the question, "Where do you access your knowledge of management for persons with knee or hip osteoarthritis?"; the top five selected responses for PTs were published guidelines or recommendations (85%), reading research articles (75%), clinic protocols and discussion with peers or in-services (70%), course attendance (47%).

#### DISCUSSION

This research identified differences in beliefs about exercise effectiveness, safety and delivery between healthcare professionals and PwOA. While predominantly positive beliefs were observed across stakeholders, there was less consensus regarding the effectiveness of exercise when an X-ray shows "severe" OA. With regards to exercise referral, 48.5% of PwOA had either been referred to or self-referred to a physiotherapist for their joint pain. Referral to a physiotherapist by their GP was not associated with positive exercise beliefs.

However, attendance at a physiotherapist for joint pain was associated with positive exercise beliefs in PwOA.

 If OA management guidelines do not align with the personal beliefs of service providers or users, PwOA may not receive high quality care. This study has found that GPs (7/9 statements), PTs (6/9 statements) and PwOA (5/9 statements) have largely positive beliefs regarding exercise for OA. However, there is less certainty about exercise when an X-ray shows "severe osteoarthritis" across all stakeholders, and service providers do not agree that "*exercise works just as well for everybody, regardless of the level of pain they have*". These results highlight that beliefs are generally in line with best evidence and clinical guidelines. However, there may still be some misconceptions about the effectiveness of exercise for higher levels of pain and disease. Evidence suggests that the pain-relieving qualities of exercise are effective for even moderate to severe OA disease[28–30], and a more recent meta-analysis has shown that individuals with higher pain severity at baseline benefit more from therapeutic exercise than those with lower pain[31]. This evidence should be a focus of future efforts of knowledge translation to clinicians and PwOA.

Some of the beliefs identified in this study are reflective of the traditional view of OA as a "wear and tear" disease, synonymous with a desire to protect a "damaged" joint on X-ray from further damage, as found previously[9,21]. However, an encouraging finding from this research are the overwhelmingly more positive views towards exercise observed compared to similar studies published on a cohort of UK-based PTs in 2009[14], older adults with knee pain in 2012[10] and GPs in 2017[17]. Using the comparator of statements with at least majority view (>50% agreement), in the 2009 study[14], PTs agreed on the benefit of exercise for knee pain on 4/12 statements (33%), compared to 8/9 similar statements (89%) in the current study. For older adults with knee pain, there was no agreement for any statement in the 2012 study[10], compared to 7/9 statements (78%) in the current study. In the 2017 study[17], GPs agreed on 9/12 statements (75%), compared to 8/9 statements (89%) in the current study. While some statements varied slightly, stronger exercise recommendations in clinical guidelines and greater efforts in implementation and translation to practice in the last 10 years are likely the rationale for these changes, particularly since clinical guideline updates in 2014[1,2]. However, there is still much space to enact recommendations from a 2018 Cochrane review to provide better information and advice about the safety and value of exercise for patients[32]. In particular, providing reassurance on the role of exercise in managing symptoms, and discussion of opportunities to participate in activities regarded as enjoyable and relevant, may encourage greater exercise participation[32].

#### **BMJ** Open

Beliefs of PwOA about exercise were significantly less positive compared to healthcare professional beliefs for 6/9 statements. The greatest differences were observed for statements in relation to the benefits of strengthening exercises and group-based exercise. Given 40% had never tried weight or strength-based training for their joint, and an additional 28% tried, but since stopped this type of exercise, healthcare professionals should be cognisant of ensuring patients understand the benefit of muscle strengthening and support patients to find enjoyable and sustainable ways to build these exercises into weekly routines. While strength-based training is not deemed superior to aerobic type exercise for pain relief in OA[28.33], knock-on benefits for improvements in physical function, longevity, bone health, and frailty[34] during ageing are important to highlight. Results for aerobic type exercise, however, were much more promising as only 14% had not tried this type of exercise for their joint and 67% were actively using. Further exploration on reasons for stopping exercise would be of benefit to determine if low adherence is related to barriers to exercise participation or a lack of perceived improvement in symptoms. While there is no strong evidence to indicate a difference in effectiveness regarding exercise setting, PwOA were less likely to agree with the benefits of a supervised group setting compared to service providers. Additional benefits of group exercise for older adults, such as social support, peerlearning, improvements in mental health and loneliness, and cost-effectiveness should, however, be considered and encouraged[35-37].

In this study, referral to physiotherapy by a GP was not associated with more positive exercise beliefs in PwOA, in contrast to what was hypothesised. Although GPs had the most positive beliefs in comparison with other stakeholders, this finding may reflect the lack of time in a GP consultation to educate about exercise therapy and influence patient beliefs. A referral to exercise therapy alone may not be enough. However, seeing a PT for osteoarthritis was associated with more positive exercise beliefs. This may suggest that PTs impart important knowledge and education regarding the benefits of exercise to their patients, that, in turn, changes patient beliefs. Equally, this finding may suggest that PwOA with more positive exercise beliefs are more likely to attend a PT appointment. Tracking of changes in beliefs over time is recommended to further explore this association. Compared to GPs, PTs have more time in a consultation to discuss the effectiveness, mechanism, and safety of exercise for joint pain, which may help to influence beliefs and maximise the potential effect of exercise programs by improving adherence[38]. It is known that the provision of education for OA is superior for patient outcomes when combined with exercise therapy[39]. Almost 60% of PwOA reported having not tried self-management/education, despite some programme availability in Ireland[40]. PwOA were not asked specifically if their GP referred them to a self-management programme, which is a required area of further

exploration. Additional efforts are required to support clinicians with resources to deliver trustworthy educational content for PwOA, or increase knowledge of available self-management programmes, to ensure clinical recommendations are fully implemented.

In the current study, 78% of PwOA had spoken to their GP about their joint pain, while under 50% had been referred to, or self-referred to a PT. Despite OA being amongst the leading causes of years lived with disability[41], the decision to seek care can be deterred by negative or dismissive attitudes from healthcare professionals about their non-urgent condition, or the perception that pain is part of ageing[42]. Healthcare professionals should take care regarding attitudes and language use during consultations[43] to help promote the effectiveness of first-line treatment strategies. From the regression analysis, it is also apparent that men with OA, and people with multiple comorbidities, may require additional supports to improve positive beliefs about exercise for their condition. Men are at times considered 'hard to reach' in terms of meaningful engagement with exercise programmes [44]. For men who do not engage with healthcare services, a suggested route for information may instead be community support groups or sport organisations, where messaging is provided by someone who recipients can relate to and get along with[44]. For people living with the burden of multiple conditions, additional barriers to exercise may require thorough training of facilitators[45].

This study has shown that the most used education sources for healthcare professionals on management of OA are: published guidelines or recommendations (85% of PTs, 61% of GPs), use of training networks, in-clinic protocols, discussion and in-services (70% of PTs, 78% of GPs) and reading medical journals or research articles (75% of PTs, 47% of GPs). Even where clinicians report using clinical guidelines and research to guide practice, this is no guarantee that the most up-to-date recommendations are being used with confidence, or that they are being interpreted, recalled or implemented appropriately [46]. In contrast to this study, previous international investigations have shown that only a small proportion of sport and musculoskeletal PTs use research articles to change their clinical practice (10.4%)[47]. Over half of PTs instead cited "interactions with colleagues" and "attending private education short courses" as the source for change [47]. Given the high proportion of GPs that use CME small groups and training networks, peer-learning opportunities may be a viable source of intervention to ensure practice guidelines are being met[48]. The evidence to practice gap could be filled with clinical guideline supplements that address contextual barriers and time needed to treat[49], and courses/training that include opportunities to discuss real-world implementation of evidence with experienced colleagues and experts, with input from patients on delivery needs.

While efforts were made to recruit participants for this research from multiple diverse sources, this study was not a representative sample. Most PwOA were in the 50–59-year age category with moderate joint pain. While prevalence of OA is higher in older age categories, the sample recruited is likely reflective of the online nature of participation, wide inclusion criteria (age 30+ years) and exclusion criteria for previous joint replacement surgery. Due to the timing of survey administration (during COVID-19 pandemic lockdown), traditional survey advertising methods such as GP and health clinic waiting rooms were not utilised. Completion of an anonymous survey has benefits as results cannot be influenced, however if there was any confusion related to phrasing of a certain question or statement, then this could not be clarified. The selection of other belief statements about exercise may have yielded different results. Future research should also investigate similar beliefs using qualitative approach to allow for more context to these answers.

#### Conclusion

Beliefs of healthcare professionals and PwOA regarding exercise as a treatment for hip and knee OA have likely become more positive in recent years. However, there is still much scope for service improvement, with less than 50% of PwOA having seen a PT for their joint pain and all stakeholders in disagreement with statements relating to effectiveness of exercise for severe joint pain. Knowledge translation activities should be aimed at increasing knowledge and improving access to evidence-based exercise therapies, using stakeholder co-design to provide context on barriers and facilitators.

**Contributorship Statement -** Toomey CM: Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal Analysis, Supervision, Writing – Original Draft. Higgins N: Methodology, Formal Analysis, Writing – Reviewing & Editing; Wood-Thornsbury A: Methodology, Formal Analysis, Writing – Reviewing & Editing; Rector J: Methodology, Formal Analysis, Writing – Reviewing & Editing; Bhardwaj A: Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; Hayes P: Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; Browne J: Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; Grealis S: Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; Maguire D: Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; O'Hora J: Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; Dowling I: Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; Kennedy N: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing.

Competing Interests – none to declare.

**Funding** - Funding for this project (IMPACT), salary of the PI (CMT) and student fees and stipend (AB) from Health Research Board (Ireland) Emerging Investigator Award, awarded to CMT (EIA-2019-008).

**Data Sharing Statement** - All data pertaining to this study is anonymous and can be shared upon reasonable request for secondary data analysis by contacting the PI (corresponding author).

**Acknowledgements** – We acknowledge the contributions of other members of the IMPACT Steering Committee who were involved in the interpretation of these results (Adrian Cassar-Gheiti, Helen French, Brenda Monaghan, Bronwen Maher and James Young).

**Figure 1.** Proportion of responses to guideline-based treatments people with osteoarthritis (n=97) have tried.

**Figure 2.** 100% stacked bar chart showing Likert scale results with count for each stakeholder on belief statements (a-d) related to exercise effectiveness. GP, general practitioner; PT, physiotherapist; PwOA, people with osteoarthritis.

**Figure 3.** 100% stacked bar chart showing Likert scale results with count for each stakeholder on belief statements (e-i) related to exercise safety and delivery. \*Questions for PwOA phrased slightly differently: "The best way to learn about exercise is in a supervised group setting with people who have similar pain" and "The best way to learn about exercise is in a one-on-one setting with a health professional". GP, general practitioner; PT, physiotherapist; PwOA, people with osteoarthritis.

# REFERENCES

- McAlindon TE, Bannuru RR, Sullivan MC, *et al.* OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of knee osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthr Cartil* 2014;**22**:363–88. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2014.01.003
- 2 National Institute for Health & Clinical Excellence. Osteoarthritis: care and management. London: 2014.
- Hunter DJ. Osteoarthritis: time for us all to shift the needle. *Rheumatology* 2018;57:iv1–2. doi:10.1093/rheumatology/key065
- Hagen REB, Smedslund G, Østeras N, *et al.* Quality of Community-Based
   Osteoarthritis Care : A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Arthritis Care Res* 2016;68:1443–52. doi:10.1002/acr.22891
- 5 Healey EL, Afolabi EK, Lewis M, *et al.* Uptake of the NICE osteoarthritis guidelines in primary care: a survey of older adults with joint pain. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*

1 2 3 4		2018; <b>19</b> :295. doi:10.1186/s12891-018-2196-2
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	6	Briggs AM, Jordan JE, Kopansky-Giles D, <i>et al.</i> The need for adaptable global guidance in health systems strengthening for musculoskeletal health: a qualitative study of international key informants. <i>Glob Heal Res policy</i> 2021; <b>6</b> :24. doi:10.1186/s41256-021-00201-7
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	7	Geenen R, Overman CL, Christensen R, <i>et al.</i> EULAR recommendations for the health professional's approach to pain management in inflammatory arthritis and osteoarthritis. <i>Ann Rheum Dis</i> 2018; <b>77</b> :797–807. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2017-212662
19 20 21 22 23	8	Décary S, Toupin-April K, Légaré F, <i>et al.</i> Five Golden Rings to Measure Patient- Centered Care in Rheumatology. <i>Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)</i> 2020; <b>72 Suppl</b> <b>10</b> :686–702. doi:10.1002/acr.24244
24 25 26 27 28 20	9	Darlow B, Brown M, Thompson B, <i>et al.</i> Living with osteoarthritis is a balancing act : an exploration of patients ' beliefs about knee pain. <i>BMC Rheumatol</i> 2018; <b>2</b> . doi:10.1186/s41927-018-0023-x BMC
30 31 32 33 34	10	Holden MA, Nicholls EE, Young J, <i>et al.</i> Role of Exercise for Knee Pain : What Do Older Adults in the Community Think ? <i>Arthritis Care Res</i> 2012; <b>64</b> :1554–64. doi:10.1002/acr.21700
35 36 37 38 39	11	Bunzli S, Brien PO, Ayton D, <i>et al.</i> Misconceptions and the Acceptance of Evidence- based Nonsurgical Interventions for Knee Osteoarthritis . A Qualitative Study. <i>Clin</i> <i>Orthop Relat Res</i> 2019; <b>477</b> :1975–83. doi:10.1097/CORR.000000000000784
40 41 42 43	12	Armitage CJ, Conner M. Social cognition models and health behaviour: A structured review. <i>Psychol Health</i> 2000; <b>15</b> :173–89. doi:10.1080/08870440008400299
44 45 46 47 48 49	13	Hurley M V, Walsh N, Bhavnani V, <i>et al.</i> Health beliefs before and after participation on an exercised-based rehabilitation programme for chronic knee pain: Doing is believing. <i>BMC Musculoskelet Disord</i> 2010; <b>11</b> :31. doi:10.1186/1471-2474-11-31
50 51 52 53 54	14	Holden MA, Nicholls EE, Young J, <i>et al.</i> UK-Based Physical Therapists ' Attitudes and Beliefs Regarding Exercise and Knee Osteoarthritis : Findings From a Mixed-Methods Study. <i>Arthritis Care Res</i> 2009; <b>61</b> :1511–21. doi:10.1002/art.24829
55 56 57 58	15	Hunter DJ. Osteoarthritis Management: Time to Change the Deck. <i>J Orthop Sports Phys Ther</i> 2017; <b>47</b> :370–2. doi:10.2519/jospt.2017.0605
59 60	16	Briggs AM, Hinman RS, Darlow B, et al. Confidence and Attitudes Toward

Osteoarthritis Care Among the Current and Emerging Health Workforce: A Multinational Interprofessional Study. *ACR open Rheumatol* 2019;**1**:219–35. doi:10.1002/acr2.1032

- Cottrell E, Foster NE, Porcheret M, *et al.* GPs ' attitudes , beliefs and behaviours regarding exercise for chronic knee pain : a questionnaire survey. *BMJ Open* 2017;7. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014999
- MacKay C, Hawker GA, Jaglal SB. Qualitative study exploring the factors influencing physical therapy management of early knee osteoarthritis in Canada. *BMJ Open* 2018;8. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2018-023457
- Barker KL, Reid M, Minns Lowe CJ. What does the language we use about arthritis mean to people who have osteoarthritis? A qualitative study. *Disabil Rehabil* 2014;36:367–72. doi:10.3109/09638288.2013.793409
- Cottrell E, Roddy E, Foster N. The attitudes, beliefs and behaviours of GPs regarding exercise for chronic knee pain: a systematic review. *BMC Fam Pract* 2010;11.http://www.doaj.org/doaj?func=abstract&id=516161
- 21 Nissen N, Holm PM, Bricca A, *et al.* Clinicians' beliefs and attitudes to physical activity and exercise therapy as treatment for knee and/or hip osteoarthritis: a scoping review. *Osteoarthr Cartil* 2022;**30**:260–9. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2021.11.008
- Barton CJ, Ezzat AM, Bell EC, *et al.* Knowledge , confidence and learning needs of physiotherapists treating persistent knee pain in Australia and Canada : a mixedmethods study. *Physiother Theory Pract* 2021;:1–13. doi:10.1080/09593985.2021.1906805
- 23 Toomey CM, Kennedy N, Macfarlane A, *et al.* Implementation of clinical guidelines for osteoarthritis together (IMPACT): protocol for a participatory health research approach to implementing high value care. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2022;**23**. doi:10.1186/s12891-022-05599-w
- Regan AO, Hayes P, Connor RO, *et al.* The University of Limerick Education and Research Network for General Practice (ULEARN-GP): practice characteristics and general practitioner perspectives. *BMC Fam Pract* 2020;**21**. doi:https://doi.org/10.1186/s12875-020-1100-y
- 25 Diamond IR, Grant RC, Feldman BM, *et al.* Defining consensus: a systematic review recommends methodologic criteria for reporting of Delphi studies. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2014;**67**:401–9. doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2013.12.002

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	26	Stubbs B, Hurley M, Smith T. What are the factors that influence physical activity participation in adults with knee and hip osteoarthritis? A systematic review of physical activity correlates. <i>Clin Rehabil</i> 2015; <b>29</b> :80–94. doi:10.1177/0269215514538069
10 11 12 13 14	27	Rosemann T, Kuehlein T, Laux G, <i>et al.</i> Osteoarthritis of the knee and hip: a comparison of factors associated with physical activity. <i>Clin Rheumatol</i> 2007; <b>26</b> :1811–7. doi:10.1007/s10067-007-0579-0
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	28	Juhl C, Christensen R, Roos EM, <i>et al.</i> Impact of Exercise Type and Dose on Pain and Disability in Knee Osteoarthritis A Systematic Review and Meta-Regression Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. <i>Arthritis Rheumatol</i> 2014; <b>66</b> :622–36. doi:10.1002/art.38290
22 23 24 25 26 27	29	Susko AM, Fitzgerald GK. The pain-relieving qualities of exercise in knee osteoarthritis. <i>Open access Rheumatol Res Rev</i> 2013; <b>5</b> :81–91. doi:10.2147/OARRR.S53974
28 29 30	30	Skou ST, Roos EM, Laursen MB, <i>et al.</i> A Randomized, Controlled Trial of Total Knee Replacement. <i>N Engl J Med</i> 2015; <b>373</b> :1597–606. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1505467
31 32 33 34 35 36 37	31	Holden MA, Hattle M, Runhaar J, <i>et al.</i> Moderators of the effect of therapeutic exercise for knee and hip osteoarthritis : a systematic review and individual participant data meta-analysis. <i>Lancet Rheumatol</i> 2023; <b>5</b> :e386–400. doi:10.1016/S2665-9913(23)00122-4
38 39 40 41 42 43 44	32	Hurley M, Dickson K, Hallett R, <i>et al.</i> Exercise interventions and patient beliefs for people with hip, knee or hip and knee osteoarthritis: a mixed methods review (Review). <i>Coch</i> 2018;:CD010842. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD010842.pub2.www.cochranelibrary.com
45 46 47 48 49 50 51	33	Goh S-L, Persson MSM, Stocks J, <i>et al.</i> Relative Efficacy of Different Exercises for Pain, Function, Performance and Quality of Life in Knee and Hip Osteoarthritis: Systematic Review and Network Meta-Analysis. <i>Sports Med</i> 2019; <b>49</b> :743–61. doi:10.1007/s40279-019-01082-0
52 53 54 55	34	Seguin R, Nelson ME. The benefits of strength training for older adults. <i>Am J Prev</i> <i>Med</i> 2003; <b>25</b> :141–9. doi:10.1016/s0749-3797(03)00177-6
56 57 58 59 60	35	Komatsu H, Yagasaki K, Saito Y, <i>et al.</i> Regular group exercise contributes to balanced health in older adults in Japan: a qualitative study. <i>BMC Geriatr</i> 2017; <b>17</b> :190. doi:10.1186/s12877-017-0584-3

36 Grønne DT, Roos EM, Ibsen R, *et al.* Cost-effectiveness of an 8- - week supervised education and exercise therapy programme for knee and hip osteoarthritis : a pre – post analysis of 16 255 patients participating in Good Life with osteoArthritis in Denmark (GLA:D). *BMJ Open* 2021;**11**. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2021-049541

- Mays AM, Kim S, Rosales K, *et al.* The Leveraging Exercise to Age in Place (LEAP)
   Study: Engaging Older Adults in Community-Based Exercise Classes to Impact
   Loneliness and Social Isolation. *Am J Geriatr psychiatry Off J Am Assoc Geriatr Psychiatry* 2021;29:777–88. doi:10.1016/j.jagp.2020.10.006
- Gay C, Chabaud A, Guilley E, *et al.* Educating patients about the benefits of physical activity and exercise for their hip and knee osteoarthritis. Systematic literature review. *Ann Phys Rehabil Med* 2016;**59**:174–83. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rehab.2016.02.005
- Goff AJ, Oliveira D De, Merolli M, *et al.* Patient education improves pain and function in people with knee osteoarthritis with better effects when combined with exercise therapy : a systematic review. *J Physiother* 2021;67:177–89. doi:10.1016/j.jphys.2021.06.011
- 40 Arthritis Ireland. Living Well with Arthritis (and Related Conditions) Self-Management Programme. Courses. 2023.https://www.arthritisireland.ie/living-well-with-arthritis-andrelated-conditions-self-management-programme (accessed 22 Aug 2023).
- Vos T, Lim SS, Abbafati C, *et al.* Global burden of 369 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories, 1990-2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Lancet* 2020;**396**:1204–22. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30925-9
- 42 Prasanna SS, Korner-bitensky N, Ahmed S. Why Do People Delay Accessing Health Care for Knee Osteoarthritis? Exploring Beliefs of Health Professionals and Lay People. *Physiother Canada* 2013;**65**:56–64. doi:10.3138/ptc.2011-50
- Vennik J, Hughes S, Smith KA, *et al.* Patient and practitioner priorities and concerns about primary healthcare interactions for osteoarthritis: A meta-ethnography. *Patient Educ Couns* 2022;**105**:1865–77. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2022.01.009
- Bell OJ, Flynn D, Clifford T, *et al.* Identifying behavioural barriers and facilitators to engaging men in a community-based lifestyle intervention to improve physical and mental health and well-being. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2023;20:25. doi:10.1186/s12966-023-01425-1
- 45 Skou ST, Brødsgaard RH, Nyberg M, et al. Personalised exercise therapy and self-

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
/	
ð	
9 10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
25	
20	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
30 37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
4/	
48 70	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

management support for people with multimorbidity: feasibility of the MOBILIZE intervention. *Pilot Feasibility Stud* 2023;**9**:12. doi:10.1186/s40814-023-01242-0

- 46 Swaithes L, Paskins Z, Finney A. Factors influencing the implementation of evidencebased guidelines for osteoarthritis in primary care : A systematic review and thematic synthesis. *Musculoskeletal Care* 2020;**18**:101–10. doi:10.1002/msc.1452
- Whiteley R, Napier C, van Dyk N, *et al.* Clinicians use courses and conversations to change practice, not journal articles: is it time for journals to peer-review courses to stay relevant? *Br J Sports Med* 2021;**55**:651 LP 652. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2020-102736
- 48 Lineker SC, Bell MJ, Boyle J, *et al.* Implementing arthritis clinical practice guidelines in primary care. *Med Teach* 2009;**31**:230–7. doi:10.1080/01421590802158377
- 49 Johansson M, Guyatt G, Montori V, et al. Guidelines should consider clinicians ' time needed to treat. Br Med J 2023;380. doi:10.1136/bmj-2022-072953

**BMJ** Open





Figure 1. Proportion of responses to guideline-based treatments people with osteoarthritis (n=97) have tried.





Figure 2. 100% stacked bar chart showing Likert scale results with count for each stakeholder on belief statements (a-d) related to exercise effectiveness. GP, general practitioner; PT, physiotherapist; PwOA, people with osteoarthritis.

377x526mm (47 x 47 DPI)

**BMJ** Open



60

1 2



Figure 3. 100% stacked bar chart showing Likert scale results with count for each stakeholder on belief statements (e-i) related to exercise safety and delivery. \*Questions for PwOA phrased slightly differently: "The best way to learn about exercise is in a supervised group setting with people who have similar pain" and "The best way to learn about exercise is in a one-on-one setting with a health professional". GP, general practitioner; PT, physiotherapist; PwOA, people with osteoarthritis.

354x545mm (47 x 47 DPI)

#### Supplemental File 1

Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare professional and patient beliefs

# <u>Survey 1:</u> Beliefs, Barriers and Enablers to Exercise Prescription for Hip and Knee Osteoarthritis in General Practice in Ireland

Instructions for completing this questionnaire

- When completing the questionnaire, please try and provide answers that most accurately
- reflect your usual clinical practice. There are no 'correct' or 'incorrect' answers.
- Please do not consult any literature while completing this questionnaire.

#### Section 1. Information about you

1.	How long have you been qualified as a General Practitioner?  Less than 5 years experience S-10 years experience Greater than 10 years experience
2.	How many GP's work in your practice (including yourself)
3	Are you: $\Box$ Female $\Box$ Male $\Box$ Other $\Box$ Prefer not to disclose
4	Is your primary practice: $\Box$ urban $\Box$ rural $\Box$ mixed
5.	Is your practice:
0.	Primary care reimbursement scheme only
6	Since graduating from University, do you remember receiving any specific postgraduate training in
0.	musculoskeletal (MSK) which contained education about hip or knee osteoarthritis or chronic pain? (By this we do not mean clinical placements or jobs in rheumatology or orthopaedics)
	🗆 Yes 🗆 No
	If yes, what type of training?
	CME small groups (or guest speaker)
	Diploma in MSK
	M.Sc. in Sports & Exercise Medicine
	Sports Medicine Faculty conferences
	Private Hospital Day Course
	Therapeutic Intra Articular and Soft Tissue Injection and Assessment Course
	Specific Modules on MSK on your GP training Scheme
	□ Other
7.	How would you rate your confidence in treating hip and knee osteoarthritis?
	Not confident
	Slightly confident
	Confident
	Very confident
	Extremely confident
8.	Do you have, or have you ever suffered from chronic knee or hip pain yourself?
9.	What percentage of your typical caseload is made up of patients with hip and/or knee pain?
	□1-5% □ 6-25% □ 26-50% □ 51-75% □ >75%
Sec	tion 2. Exercise beliefs for hip and knee osteoarthritis
2.1	Where do you get your knowledge of care advancements for persons with knee or hip osteoarthritis? (Tick all
that	appiy)
	□ Published guidelines or recommendations (e.g. NICE, EULAR, OARSI)
	Twitter or other social media
	Podcasts

- CME networks or other GP networks
- Conference attendance
- Course attendance

# Supplemental File 1

Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare professional and patient beliefs

□ Other \_

We are interested in your views about the role of exercise in the treatment of hip and knee osteoarthritis. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the statements given by ticking or placing an 'X' in one box per row.

Question	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
2.2 Hip and knee problems are improved by					
general exercise e.g. walking and swimming					
2.3 Hip and knee problems are improved by					
specific muscle strengthening exercises					
2.4 General exercise e.g. walking and					
swimming is safe for everybody to do					
2.5 Specific muscle strengthening exercise is					
safe for everyone to do					
2.6 Every patient with hip or knee OA should try	,				
conservative exercise treatment before more					
invasive procedures are recommended					
2.7 Exercise for hip or knee OA is most					
beneficial when it is tailored to meet individual					
patient needs					
2.8 A standard set of exercises is sufficient for					
every patient with hip or knee OA					
2.9 Education on lifestyle change is important					
for patients with OA					
2.10 Education on strategies for self-					
management of pain are important for patients					
with OA					
2.11 It is important that people with OA increase	9				
their overall activity levels					
2.12 Exercise is effective for patients if an x-ray					
shows severe knee osteoarthritis					
2.13 Exercise for OA is more effectively					
provided by physiotherapists than GPs					
2.14 Time constraints prevent the provision of					
advice on individual exercises for OA					
2.15 Exercise for OA should preferably be used					
after drug treatment has been tried					
2.16 Exercise for chronic knee pain would be					
used more frequently if access to physiotherapy	/				
was easier					

#### Section 3. Clinical scenario of a patient with osteoarthritis

Presented below is a clinical scenario of a patient with suspected knee osteoarthritis who presents to you with this problem for the first time. All questions in this section relate to the care you would give this particular

Patient:	Mrs. Murphy, 60-year old shop owner, no health insurance
Complaint:	Right sided knee pain
History:	Gradually worsening over 3 years
	No history of trauma
	Pain when walking and at rest, worst when climbing stairs.
	No night pain.
	Activities of daily living are manageable. Difficulty gardening.
	Finding work increasingly difficult due to the stairs
	Tried going to gym but stopped - thinks was making pain worse.
	Otherwise well – mild hypertension
	Has tried ibuprofen with no effect
Medication:	Amlodipine
Examination:	Mild Obesity with Body Mass Index of 33
	Knees – bilaterally no effusions.
	Joint line tenderness on palpation.
	No pain or reduced mobility around knee cap
	Slightly reduced flexion of the right knee.
	Hips – no abnormality detected

	Supplemental File 1					
1	Supplemental File I Guideline based evercise management for hin and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare					
2	professional and natie	nt heliefs				
2						
1	3.1 Select some <b>key words</b> you would use to describe their diagnosis to the patient. (Select all that apply)					
5						
5						
0						
/						
8	Wear and tear	Normal ageing	Joint swelling			
9	Arthritis	Joint damage	Other			
10						
11	3.2 What investigation(s)/assessment(s), if any, would you do/order for this patient at this point					
12	$\Box$ None $\Box$ Knee x-ray	y 🗆 Blood tests 🗆 Oth	ner			
13	2.2 At this concultation	what approaches would you use or	suggest to manage this patient? (please tick all that			
14	annly)	what approaches would you use, or	suggest, to manage this patient? (please tick all that			
15	apply)					
16		Advice on footwear				
17			$\Box$ Injection of steroids			
18						
19						
20						
20						
21	Other, please state					
22		in a share and at fame model this tak				
23	3.4 If you selected exerc	ise above, what form would this tak	(Select all that apply)			
24		cise and activity				
25		cises				
26		eresource				
27	Refer to physiotherapy	y or other exercise specialist				
28	$\Box$ Other (please state) _					
29						
30	3.5 In an ideal world with	hout barriers, would you refer the pa	atient to physiotherapy or orthopaedic consultant or			
31	neither, at this stage?					
32						
33		nt				
34						
35	2.6. In your ourrest prost	an would you refer this potient to p	hypiotherapy at this stage?			
36		ce, would you refer this patient to p	nysiotherapy at this stage?			
37						
38						
30	If yes, why? (Select all th	pat apply)				
40		to condidate for supervised conserv	rative treatment			
40		reinthoropy	valive treatment			
41		siotnerapy	vention in the second			
42		onately address exercise needs in p	naciice			
43		SAIDS				
44	⊔ Other					
45	If no why not? (Salast a	ll that apply)				
46	If ho, why hot? (Select al	n that apply)				
47		ndidate for conservative treatment				
48	□ Long waiting lists and poor access to physiotherapy					
49	□ Other interventions are a priority					
50	Exercise will make the pain worse					
51	Patient has tried exercise					
52	I would prefer to example	nine further therapeutic options first	(e.g., develop a pain management plan or give an			
53	intra articular steroid inje	ction)				
54	□ Other					
55			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
55	3.7 In your current practice, would you refer this patient to an orthopaedic consultant at this stage?					
50						
57	□ No					
20	<b>I</b>					
59	IT yes, why? (Select all th	nat apply)				
60	□ Deemed an appropria	te candidate for surgery right now				
	Will likely need a joint replacement in a few years so put on waiting list now					
----------	--					
	Need a specialist opinion					
	Other					
lf r	no, why not? (Select all that apply)					
	More conservative treatmenta have not been exhausted					
	Symptoms not severe enough to warrant joint replacement					
	Waiting list too long					
	Other					
3	8 Would you refer the patient to see someone else, either in the primary or community team or into seco					
ca	rre, at this point?					
	Yes					
	No					
lf	yes, who?					
Se	ection 4. Barriers and enablers to exercise prescription and referral in general practice					
ln pr	your practice and experience of treating patients with osteoarthritis, what are the main barriers to exercise escription or referral? (Please select all that apply)					
	Insufficient time in consultation					
	Insufficient expertise					
	Uncertainty about the effects of exercise					
	Uncertainty about the most appropriate exercise type					
	Uncertainty about the safety of exercise					
	Cost and accessibility of physiotherapy for patient					
	Physiotherapy waiting lists are too long					
	Lack of a standardized physiotherapy programme for OA in the region					
	Patients prefer other management options					
	Patients want an orthopaedic consultant referral					
	English language barrier for patients					
	Severity of disease (symptoms too mild)					
	Severity of disease (symptoms too severe)					
	Older age of patient					
	Presence of many comorbidities					
	Other					
W	hat enablers would help you to prescribe or refer a patient with osteoarthritis to exercise in your practice					
	Increased formal post-qualification education e.g. diploma or masters					
	Increased post-qualification training e.g. workshops, videos					
	Increased exercise education during GP training					
	More consultation time to provide exercise prescription					
	Shorter waiting lists and improved access to physiotherapy					
	Presence of an evidence-based physiotherapy-supervised group exercise programme for osteoarthritis					
loo	cality					
	Patients who recognize the importance of strategies for self-management of pain using appropriate exert					
re	commendations					
	Low cost community-based exercise programmes					
	Renumeration for exercise prescription and follow up consultations					
	Other					

#### Supplemental File 1

Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare professional and patient beliefs

### Survey 2: Beliefs, Barriers and Enablers to Group Exercise Programme Delivery for Hip and Knee Osteoarthritis in Physiotherapy Practice in Ireland

The questionnaire is divided into 3 sections and should take approximately 7 minutes to complete. Instructions for completing this questionnaire

- When completing the questionnaire, please try and provide answers that most accurately reflect your • usual clinical practice. There are no 'correct' or 'incorrect' answers.
- Please do not consult any literature while completing this guestionnaire. •

Se	ction 1. Information about you
1.	How long have you been qualified as a Physiotherapist?
	Less than 5 years experience
	□ 5-10 years experience
	□ Greater than 10 years experience
2.	How many Physiotherapists work in your clinic (including yourself)
3.	Are you: Female Male Other Prefer not to disclose
4.	Is your primary work setting:
	Public hospital
	Private hospital
	Primary, community and continuing care
	Private practice clinic
	Education
_	□ Other (please state)
5.	Have you undertaken any specific post-qualification training, which involved education about hip or knee
	osteoartinitis of chronic pain? (By this we do not mean clinical placements of jobs in meuhatology of
lf v	$\Box$
ii y	Additional details
	$\Box$ M Sc. (taught) in this/similar field Additional details
	$\Box$ M Sc. (research) in this/similar field Additional details
	Hilder (research) in this/similar field     Additional details
	$\Box$ Day weekend or online course (please name most relevant)
	Other
6.	How would you rate your confidence in treating hip and knee osteoarthritis?
	□ Not confident
	□ Slightly confident
	Confident
	Very confident
	Extremely confident
7.	Do you have, or have you ever suffered from chronic knee or hip pain yourself?  Yes No
8.	What percentage of your typical caseload is made up of patients with hip and/or knee osteoarthritis?
	□ 1-5% □ 6-25% □ 26-50% □ 51-75% □ >75%
Se	ction 2. Exercise beliefs for hip and knee osteoarthritis
2.1	Where do you access your knowledge of management for persons with knee or hip osteoarthritis? (Tick all that apply)
	Published guidelines or recommendations (e.g. NICE, EULAR, OARSI)
	Clinic protocols, discussion with peers or in-services

- □ Reading published research articles
- □ Twitter or other social media
- □ Podcasts

□Blogs

- □Infographics
- □Videos
- □ ISCP specialist groups and other network events
- □ Conference attendance
- □ Course attendance

#### Supplemental File 1

Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare professional and patient beliefs

□ Other

Please now rank in order your preferred resources to learn from

We are interested in your views about the role of exercise in the treatment of hip and knee osteoarthritis. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the statements given by ticking one box per row.

Question	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
2.2 Hip and knee problems are improved by general exercise e.g. walking and swimming	<u> </u>				
2.3 Hip and knee problems are improved by specific muscle strengthening exercises					
2.4 Hip and knee problems are improved by focusing on motor or neuromuscular contro of the joints during exercise	I				
2.5 General exercise e.g. walking and swimming is safe for most patients to do					
2.6 Specific muscle strengthening exercise is safe for most patients to do					
2.7 Neuromuscular control exercises are safe for most patients to do					
2.8 Every patient with hip or knee OA should try conservative exercise treatment before surgery is considered					
2.9 Exercise for hip or knee OA is most beneficial when it is tailored to meet individual patient needs	4				
2.10 A standard set of exercises with individual progression is sufficient for every patient with hip or knee OA	6				
2.11 Education on lifestyle change is important for patients with OA					
2.12 Education on strategies for self- management of pain are important for patients with OA		.0			
2.13 It is important that people with OA increase their overall activity levels	9	5			
2.14 Exercise is effective for patients if an x-ray shows severe knee osteoarthritis					
2.15 Most patients with hip or knee OA would benefit from a supervised group exercise programme		C			
2.16 Most patients with hip or knee OA would benefit from an individualized exercise programme					

#### Section 3. Barriers and enablers to exercise programme delivery in physiotherapy practice

3.1 Please select the current level of government COVID19 restrictions in place as you are completing this survey □ Level 5

 Level 1 Level 2 Level Level 4

3.2 Pre-COVID19 restrictions in March 2020, were you or your clinic providing group exercise classes for patients with hip or knee osteoarthritis? 
Ves 
No

If Yes, what was the average number of classes per week? \_

If No, were you interested in offering group exercise classes for osteoarthritis in an ideal world and if no barriers existed?

□ Yes □ No

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23 24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52 53

54

55

56 57

58 59

60

n		1.0.000		:	1
วม	DD	lem	enta		1e 1
	~~~				

Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare professional and patient beliefs

- 3.3 **Pre-COVID19** restrictions in March 2020, **what** were the main **barriers** to providing group exercise programmes for patients with osteoarthritis in your practice? (Please select all that apply)
- □ None
- Insufficient space and equipment resources
- □ Insufficient personnel (staff) resources
- Insufficient referrals or low OA caseload
- Patients want individualized programmes
  - Patients prefer other management options e.g. manual therapy
- - Uncertainty about the effects of exercise
    - Uncertainty about the most appropriate exercise type
  - Uncertainty about the safety of exercise
    - Cost for patient
      - □ Access for patient (e.g. travel, parking, time)
      - Scheduling conflict related to patient working hours and clinic hours
      - □ Lack of a standardised programme or protocol for exercise for OA
      - English language barrier for patients
      - □ Lack of support from colleagues or managers
      - □ Other
        - 3.4 Are you <u>currently</u> offering group exercise classes for patients with hip or knee osteoarthritis and to what capacity?
        - $\Box$  Yes, face to face at full capacity
        - □ Yes, face to face at reduced capacity compared to Pre-COVID19 restrictions
        - □ Yes, online classes only
        - □ Yes, combination of face-to-face and online
        - 🗆 No

3.5 **Under current restrictions**, are there any **additional barriers** to providing **face-to-face** group exercise programmes for patients with osteoarthritis in your practice? (Please select all that apply)

□ None

- Government restrictions currently do not allow for group classes
- Hospital or clinic protocols currently do not allow for group classes
- Patients do not want to attend clinic
- □ Not enough resources for adequate distancing for class members
- Sanitization procedures are too time consuming
- Own COVID-related safety concerns
  - □ Other

#### 3.6 Under current restrictions, are there any additional barriers to providing online group exercise

programmes for patients with osteoarthritis in your practice? (Please select all that apply)

- □ None
- □ Lack of IT resources in clinic (e.g. online platform, webcams, high speed Wi-Fi)
- Lack of personnel (staff) with IT knowledge
- Patients lack IT resources or knowledge
- □ Patients prefer to wait until they can access face-to-face treatment
- □ Uncertainty about the effectiveness of online group exercise
- Own personal preference
- Other

3.7 What **enablers** would help you to provide **face to face group exercise** classes to patients with osteoarthritis in your practice if COVID restrictions were not a factor? (Please select all that apply)

🗆 None

- □ More university post-qualification education e.g. diploma or masters
- □ More other post-qualification training e.g. short courses, workshops, videos
- □ More education on group exercise delivery during physiotherapy training
- □ Appropriate referrals from GP or other sources
- GPs who impart knowledge regarding benefits of exercise to patients upon referral

#### Supplemental File 1

Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare professional and patient beliefs

- □ Resources to deliver quality educational material regarding self-management alongside exercise
- □ More support from colleagues or managers
- □ Other\_

- 3.8 What **enablers** would help you to provide an option of **online** group exercise classes to patients with osteoarthritis in your practice? (Please select all that apply)
- None
- □ Improved IT infrastructure in clinic (e.g. laptops, webcams)
- □ IT skills resources for delivering online programmes (e.g. tutorials, do's and don'ts)
- □ Access to IT resources (e.g. tutorials) to provide patients with
- Improved Wi-Fi and bandwidth nationwide
- □ Strong evidence for effectiveness of existing online programmes
- □ An online registry allowing collection of patient outcomes pre- and post- programme
- Other

3.9 Would you be interested in receiving **training** (1.5 day workshop) to effectively implement and deliver a standardized, international, evidence-based group exercise and education programme with online and face-to-face options for patients with osteoarthritis in your clinic?

- Extremely interested
- Very interested
- □ Moderately interested
- Slightly interested
- □ Not at all interested

If not interested, why?

3.10 If interested, how much would you be willing to pay for this continuous professional development training?
□ €100-150
□ €151-200

elle

□ €201-250

- □ €251-300
- □ €301-350
- □ More than €350

□ N/A

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your time and participation is greatly appreciated.

	Su	pplemental File 1			
1	Gι	ideline-based exercise	management fo	r hip a	nd knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare
2	pro	ofessional and patient b	oeliefs	-	
3	S	Irvev 3. Survey o	n the Role of	Exer	cise for Hin and Knee Osteoarthritis in
4	$\frac{\mathbf{\nabla}}{\mathbf{\nabla}}$	dulte in Iroland			
5		a guastiana halaw ara div	ided into 2 contion		as complete the questions to the heat of your shility
6	IN	e questions below are div	ided into 3 section	s. Piea	se complete the questions to the best of your ability.
7	Se	ction 1. Information abo	out vou		
8	1.	Are vou:	male 🗆 Male	□ Oth	ner
9	2.	Which age category do y	ou fall into?		
10		□ 30 to 39 years			
11		$\Box$ 40 to 49 years			
12		$\Box$ 50 to 59 years			
13		$\Box$ 60 to 69 vears			
14		$\Box$ 70 to 79 years			
15		$\square$ 80 to 89 years			
16		$\square$ 90 years or older			
17	3.	Which province in Ireland	d do vou reside in?	? □ Mu	nster 🗆 Ulster 🗆 Connacht 🗆 Leinster
18	**	f "Ulster" is selected, a	uestion 3(b) will a	appear.	
19		3(b) Do you access you	r healthcare in:	••	
20		□Northern Ireland (NHS	5)		
20		□Republic of Ireland (H	SE)		
21		□A combination of both			
22	4.	Which of the following be	est describes wher	e you li	ve?
23		Inner city			
24		Suburb of a city			
25		🗆 Town			
20		□ Village			
27		Open country			
20		□ Island off Ireland			
29	5.	Have you ever been told	by a health profes	sional	hat you have a diagnosis of the following?(Select all that
50 21		apply)			
31		Arthritis			Diabetes Mellitus (type 1 or 2)
32		Osteoarthritis			□ Kidney or liver disease
33		Wear and tear			Anemia (reduced number of red blood cells)
34		Degenerative change	S		Other blood disease
35		Rheumatoid arthritis			
36		Hypertension			Depression
3/		Heart Disease			Anxiety
38		□ Ulcer or other bowel of	diseases		Other mental health disorder
39		Neurological disease	e.g. Parkinson's/N	/IS	
40		Respiratory diseases	e.g. COPD		Thyroid Disease
41		Hemochromatosis			Fibromyalgia
42		Other health condition	n		
43	6.	Have you had pain and j	oint symptoms in a	any of th	ne following joints for 6 months or more (select all that
44		apply)			
45		⊔Right Knee	□Left Knee		
46		□Right Hip	□Left Hip		
47		□Right Ankle	□Left Ankle		
48		□Right Shoulder	□Left Shoulder		
49		□Right Elbow	□Left Elbow		
50		□Right Wrist	□Left Wrist		
51		□Right Hand/Fingers	□Left Hand/Fing	ers	
52		□Lower Back	□Other, please de	scribe_	
53		☐Mid Back			
54		□Neck			
55	7.	Have you ever had joint	replacement surge	ery for a	ny of your painful joints? Please select below the joints that
56		nave been replaced.			
57		URight Knee	Lett Knee		
58		⊔Right Hip	Lett Hip		
59		☐Right Ankle	Lett Ankle		
60		□Right Shoulder	⊔Left Shoulder		

•				
	□Right Hand/Fingers	Left Hand/Fingers		
0	Of your bip and/or know	00	oon ronloadd, which joint are	way mast batharad by
0.	one)		een replaced, which joint are	you most bothered by
	□Right Knee	□Left Knee		
	□Right Hip	□Left Hip		
Α	Il remaining questions v	will now be related to t	the joint that you have cho	sen.
9.	How long have you bee	en experiencing pain in y	our [insert chosen joint]?	
	□ 6 months – 1 year			
	□ 1 – 2 years			
	$\Box$ 2 – 3 years			
	$\square$ 3 – 4 years			
	$\Box$ 4 – 5 years			
40	□ More than 5 years		un material financial and at the	
10	Have you seen or spoke	en to your GP about you	ur paintui linsert chosen joint	J′ ⊔YES ⊔NO
11 12	Has your GP ever refer	red you to an orthonae	sen joint] / Li Yes Li No dic consultant for your lines	ert chosen jointl?
12		red you to an ormopae		en chosen jointj:
	Currently on a waitir	ng list (private consultar	nt referral)	
	$\Box$ Currently on a waitin	ng list (public consultant	referral)	
**	If on a waiting list, how lo	ong have you been wait	ing?	
	Less than 6 months		0	
	🗆 6 months – 1 year			
	1 year – 1.5 years			
	1.5 years – 2 years			
	More than 2 years			
13	. Has your GP ever refer	red you to a <b>physiothe</b>	rapist for your [insert choser	n joint]?
	□ Currently on a waitir	ng list (private consultar	nt referral)	
	L Currently on a waiting	ng list (public consultant	referral)	
	If off a waiting list, no $\Box$ Less than 6 months	w long have you been v	waiting?	
	$\Box$ 6 months – 1 year			
	$\Box$ 1 year – 1.5 years			
	$\square$ 1.5 years – 2 years			
	$\Box$ More than 2 years			
14	. How would you rate the day?	e pain and symptoms yo	ou are experiencing in your hi	ip and/or knee on an av
	No pain or symptom	ns		
	Mild pain and sympt	oms		
	Moderate pain and s	symptoms		
	Severe pain and syr	nptoms		
15	. Have you EVER tried a	ny of the following spec	itically for your [insert choser	n joint]?
ivi (e	ascie strengthening exerce. .g. using weight/resistance.	ce band) ⊡No, never	□Yes, currently using	□Yes, stopped usin
A	erobic exercise			
(e	formation/Education cour	Se		
In		· · ·		
In (e	.g. self-management prog	gramme) ⊡No, never	$\Box$ Yes, currently using	□Yes, stopped usin

#### Supplemental File 1

Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare professional and patient beliefs

#### Section 2. Exercise beliefs for hip and knee osteoarthritis

## We are interested in your views about the role of exercise in the treatment of hip and knee osteoarthritis. Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the statements given by selecting one option per question.

Question	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
2.1 Hip and knee problems can be improved by general exercise e.g. walking and swimming					
2.2 Hip and knee problems can be improved by specific muscle strengthening exercises					
2.3 General exercise e.g. walking and swimming is safe for everybody to do					
2.4 Specific muscle strengthening exercise is safe for everyone to do					
2.5 Every patient with hip or knee osteoarthritis should try exercise treatment before surgery is considered					
2.6 Patients should learn more about how to self-manage their pain and symptoms using exercise and physical activity					
2.7 The best way to learn about exercise is in a supervised group setting with people who have similar pain (Pre-COVID-19 restrictions)					
2.8 The best way to learn about exercise is in a one-on-one setting with a health professional (Pre-COVID-19 restrictions)	X				
2.9 Exercise is effective for patients if an x-ray shows severe knee osteoarthritis					
2.10 Exercise works just as well for everybody, regardless of the amount of pain they have					

#### Section 3. Barriers and enablers to exercise for hip and knee osteoarthritis In this section we want to know more about your exercise experience and what kinds of things would prevent you or help you do more exercise

- 3.1 How many times a week do you exercise (e.g. 30 minute walk)?
  - □ 3 or more days per week
  - $\Box$  Less than 3 days per week
  - □ I don't exercise
- 3.2 Has a health professional ever given you specific exercises for your [insert chosen joint]?
  - □ Yes
  - 🗆 No
  - □ Not sure
  - \*If Yes, what type of health professional? (select all that apply)
  - Physiotherapist
  - $\Box$  GP
- Orthopaedic surgeon
- □ Nurse
  - □ Personal trainer
    - Other, please name \_
    - \*If Yes, what type of exercise?
  - Home-based individual exercises
  - □ Group exercise class for osteoarthritis
  - Other, please state \_
    - \*If Yes, did you find the exercise beneficial?
    - □ Yes
    - 🗆 No
    - □ Not sure

#### 3.3 Please select the current level of government COVID19 restrictions in place as you are completing this survey

□ Level 5 (strictest restrictions)

#### Supplemental File 1

Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare professional and patient beliefs

□ Level □ Level 4

□ Level 1 3.4 Thinking about life without COVID19 restrictions, what are the main barriers that would prevent you from exercising? (Please select all that apply) □ Pain or other joint symptoms □ I need assistance for mobility e.g. walking stick, wheelchair

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53 54 55

56 57

58 59

60

- □ Finding time to exercise
- □ Lack of enjoyment from exercise
- □ Lack of exercise buddy or support network
- □ Wet or cold weather
- □ Other health problems
- □ Other disability e.g. visual impairment
- □ Cost of a gym membership or physiotherapy visit

□ Level 2

- □ Cost of active wear or equipment
  - I don't know the best types of exercise to do
  - I don't know who to contact to learn more or do more exercise
  - □ Uncertainty about the safety of exercise for joint pain
  - □ Uncertainty about the benefit of exercise for joint pain
  - □ Negative body image
  - □ Access to facilities (e.g. availability, travel, parking)
  - □ Work commitments
  - □ Family commitments or other responsibilities
  - □ Age
  - □ Fear of injury
  - □ Tiredness and fatigue
  - □ Depression
  - □ Other \_

3.5 Thinking about life without COVID19 restrictions, what types of things would help you to exercise more? (Please select all that apply)

- Better knowledge of the best type of exercise to do
- □ Access to exercise that is supervised by a health professional
- □ Social aspect e.g. group exercise with other people with hip or knee pain
- □ More confidence in your joint
  - □ Exercise recommendations from a GP
  - Exercise recommendations from a physiotherapist
  - □ More support from family or friends
  - □ Warm and dry weather for outdoor exercise
  - □ Low cost community exercise programmes
  - □ Safe exercise environment (e.g. well-lit pathways)
  - □ Other\_
    - 3.6 Thinking about life without COVID-19, how interested would you be in attending a 6-week, twice per week, physiotherapy-supervised group exercise and education class for your hip or knee pain at a clinic or community centre?

□ Extremely interested

□ Very interested

- □ Moderately interested
- □ Slightly interested
- □ Not at all interested
- If not interested, why?
- 3.7 Thinking about current restrictions, how interested would you be in taking part in a 6-week, twice per week, **ONLINE** physiotherapy-supervised group exercise and education class for you hip or knee pain?
- Extremely interested
- □ Very interested
- □ Moderately interested
- □ Slightly interested

	Supplemental File 1
1	Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare
2	professional and patient beliefs
3	
4	
5	If not interested, why?
5	2.8 Do you have any experience with online delivered healthcare or telerobabilitation from a CP or other health
6	5.6 bo you have any experience with online-delivered healthcare of telefenablination from a GF of other health
/	
8	
9	
10	3.9 What are the <b>barriers</b> that would prevent you taking part in an <b>online exercise</b> class? (Please select all that
11	analy)
12	□ Lack of technology equinment (e.g. lanton, smartphone or tablet, webcams)
13	$\Box$ Lack of confidence in using computers, laptops ate
14	□ Lack of confidence in using computers, laptops etc.
15	
16	
17	Uncertain about how online group exercise would work
17	Lack of space in home environment to perform exercises
10	English language barriers
19	Lack of time to take part
20	Other
21	
22	3.10 What would help you to take part in an online group exercise class with other people with osteoarthritis?
23	(Please select all that apply)
24	An initial one-to-one session with a physiotherapist to get familiar with the process
25	Resources (e.g. videos) with explanations of how to get started
26	Improved Wi-Fi and bandwidth
27	Examples and testimonials from patients who have finished the classes
28	Opportunities to chat online with other patients before and after the class
20	Support from family members to get set up in your home
29	$\Box$ A lapton or tablet
30	
31	
32	3.11 If interested, how much would you be willing to pay to take part in these exercise classes (price in euros for
33	entire 14-15 session programme)?
34	□ €0-25
35	□ €26-50
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	□ > €200
40	Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your time and participation is
40 40	aroatly approciated
42	greatly appreciated.
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
57	
54 EE	
22	
56	
57	

#### Supplemental File 2

Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare professional and patient beliefs

**Supplemental Table**: Multivariable linear regression models to determine if positive beliefs about exercise in PwOA are associated with (1) referral to physiotherapist by a GP and (2) if they have seen a physiotherapist for their joint pain.

Depend	Dependent Variable: Number of exercise belief statements agreed with								
Variables Model 1 <sup>a</sup>			Partial				95% EXI	CI for P(B)	
	В	S.E.	Correlation	VIF	Sig.	Exp(B)	Lower	Upper	
Has your GP ever referred you to a physiotherapist for your painful joint?	0.457	0.406	0.129	1.01	0.264	0.120	-0.352	1.267	
Sex	-1.011	0.502	-0.227	1.009	0.048	-0.215	-2.011	-0.011	
Number of comorbidities	-0.361	0.128	0.309	1.009	0.006	-0.300	-0.616	-0.106	
Constant	7.772	0.686	-	-	0.000	-	6.405	9.138	
Model 2 <sup>b</sup>									
Have you seen a physiotherapist for your painful joint?	1.060	0.383	0.288	1.138	0.007	0.287	0.299	1.821	
Sex	-0.723	0.362	-0.212	1.003	0.049	-0.194	-1.444	-0.003	
How long have you been experiencing pain in your joint?	-0.204	0.099	-0.219	1.163	0.042	-0.216	-0.400	-0.008	
Number of comorbidities	-0.293	0.119	-0.257	1.026	0.016	-0.241	-0.530	-0.055	
Constant	7.680	0.585	-		0.000	-	6.034	9.653	

<sup>a</sup>Model variables removed due to non-significance (1): *How long have you been experiencing pain in your joint?*, *How would you rate the pain and symptoms you are experiencing in your hip and/or knee on an average day?*. <sup>b</sup>Model variables removed due to non-significance (2): *How would you rate the pain and symptoms you are experiencing in your hip and/or knee on an average day?*. B, beta coefficient; GP, general practitioner; OA, osteoarthritis; PT, physiotherapist; PwOA, people with osteoarthritis; S.E., standard error; VIF, variance inflation factor.

	Item No	Recommendation	Pag No
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title	1
		or the abstract	
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of	1
		what was done and what was found	
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation	3-4
8		being reported	
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	4
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	4-5
Setting	5	Describe the setting locations and relevant dates including periods	4-5
		of recruitment exposure follow-up and data collection	
Particinants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria and the sources and methods of	5
i unicipanto	0	selection of narticipants	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes exposures predictors potential	5-6
v arrables	/	confounders and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if	5-0
		annlicable	
Data sources/	<u></u>	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of	5-7
massurement	0	methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of	5=7
measurement		assessment methods if there is more than one group	
Diac	0	Describe any offerts to address notantial sources of hiss	n/0
Study size	9	Evelope any enors to address potential sources of blas	11/a
Study size	10	Explain now the study size was arrived at	n/a
Quantitative variables	11	Explain now quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If	5-6
Statistical mathe	10	applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	67
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for	6-/
			67
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	6-/
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	/ 
		( <i>d</i> ) It applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of	6-7
		sampling strategy	
		( <u>e</u> ) Describe any sensitivity analyses	n/a
Results			1
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers	7
		potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible,	
		included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	7
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	n/a
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic,	Table
		clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential	
		confounders	
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable	Table
		of internat	

3
1
4 7
2
6
/
8
9
10
11
12
13
11
15
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
2/
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
31
25
22
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
77 15
45
40
4/
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
55
50
5/
58

1 2

Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	Page 7, Figure 1- 3
Main results	16	( <i>a</i> ) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	Table 3
		( <i>b</i> ) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	n/a
		( <i>c</i> ) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	n/a
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	n/a
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	14-15
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	18
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	16,17
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	18
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	18

\*Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

**Note:** An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

**BMJ** Open

## **BMJ Open**

#### Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: a cross-sectional comparison of healthcare professional and patient beliefs in Ireland.

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2023-080646.R2
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	25-Apr-2024
Complete List of Authors:	Toomey, Clodagh; University of Limerick, School of Allied Health; University of Limerick Health Research Institute Bhardwaj, Avantika; University of Limerick, School of Allied Health; University of Limerick Health Research Institute Browne, Jacqui; IMPACT Steering Committee, Patient Representative Dowling, Ian; Ian Dowling Physiotherapy Clinic Grealis, Stacey; IMPACT Steering Committee, Patient Representative; University College Dublin, Centre of Arthritis Research Hayes, Peter; University of Limerick, School of Medicine; University of Limerick Health Research Institute Higgins, Niall; University of Limerick, School of Allied Health Maguire, Darragh; National Orthopaedic Hospital Cappagh, Physiotherapy Department O'Hora, John; Health Service Executive, Community Healthcare West Rector, Joseph; University of Limerick, School of Allied Health Wood-Thornsbury, Arianna; University of Limerick, School of Allied Health; University of Limerick, School of Allied Health; University of Limerick, School of Allied Health Wood-Thornsbury, Arianna; University of Limerick, School of Allied Health; University of Limerick Health Research Institute
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Evidence based practice
Secondary Subject Heading:	Rheumatology, Rehabilitation medicine, Patient-centred medicine
Keywords:	Physical Therapy Modalities, RHEUMATOLOGY, Protocols & guidelines < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, Hip < ORTHOPAEDIC & TRAUMA SURGERY, Knee < ORTHOPAEDIC & TRAUMA SURGERY





I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

reliez oni

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: a crosssectional comparison of healthcare professional and patient beliefs in Ireland.

#### ABSTRACT

 **Objectives:** To identify within-stakeholder agreement and between-stakeholder differences in beliefs regarding exercise for osteoarthritis among general practitioners (GPs), physiotherapists (PTs) and people with hip and knee osteoarthritis (PwOA). A secondary objective was to explore the association between referral patterns and beliefs of PwOA.

Design: Cross-sectional

**Setting:** Online surveys administered to GPs, PTs and PwOA in Ireland via social media and healthcare networks.

Participants: 421 valid responses (n=161 GPs, n=163 PTs, n=97 PwOA).

**Primary and secondary outcome measures:** Nine beliefs statements related to exercise effectiveness, safety and delivery were rated on a 5-point Likert scale and analysed for within-stakeholder consensus. Chi-square tests assessed differences in agreement between groups. Multivariable linear regression models tested associations between beliefs in PwOA and referral to/attendance at physiotherapy.

**Results:** Positive within-stakeholder consensus (>75% agreement) was reached for most statements (7/9 GPs, 6/9 PTs, 5/9 PwOA). However, beliefs of PwOA were significantly less positive compared to healthcare professionals for six statements. All stakeholders disagreed that exercise is effective regardless of the level of pain. Attendance at physiotherapy (49% of PwOA), rather than referral to physiotherapy from a GP only, was associated with positive exercise beliefs for PwOA [ $\beta$ =0.287 (95% CI 0.299, 1.821)].

**Conclusions:** Beliefs about exercise therapy for osteoarthritis are predominantly positive across all stakeholders, albeit less positive in PwOA. PwOA are more likely to have positive beliefs if they have seen a physiotherapist for their osteoarthritis. Knowledge translation should highlight the effectiveness of exercise for all levels of pain and osteoarthritis disease.

#### Strengths and Limitations

• Differences in beliefs about exercise between healthcare professionals and patients with osteoarthritis has not previously been examined.

- This study also explored how healthcare professional visits may influence beliefs about effectiveness of evidence-based care.
  - This was a cross-sectional study so no inferences can be made.
  - Different results with respect to beliefs and influences may have been found if nononline recruitment methods were available (e.g. paper surveys in healthcare settings).

for oper terien only

#### INTRODUCTION

The management of hip and knee osteoarthritis (OA), as for other chronic conditions, should be determined by best available evidence. Although there is no cure for this burdensome disease, healthcare professionals in this field have for a long time had a wealth of high-quality evidence to draw from, all pointing to optimal core clinical management that consists of land-based exercise, education and weight loss if appropriate[1,2]. Despite this, implementation of these guidelines in practice is not optimal, often resulting in care that is fragmented in nature or considered low-value [3]. A global meta-analysis involving 16,103 people with OA (PwOA) in community care, revealed that only 39% received a referral or recommendation to exercise,[4] while a UK-based survey in 2018 revealed that only 3.9% of the 502 respondents with an OA diagnosis, were using exercise as part of their management[5]. Some similarities in shortcomings to implementation of guidelines for musculoskeletal health have been identified globally[6].

Alongside use of best evidence, the provision of patient-centred care is a pillar of highquality care that should help guide treatment for PwOA[7]. Literature and expert opinion recommendations state that it is important to assess patient ideas and concerns regarding the cause and management of their pain, and to take into account their expectations and preferences for treatment[7]. Regarding exercise, researchers have identified a considerable amount of uncertainty among PwOA regarding the benefits of exercise for their pain. Results from cross-sectional surveys and semi-structured interviews have indicated that a lack of knowledge on the condition may result in patients believing that surgery is their only option[8,9]. Furthermore, a view of OA as a "wear and tear" condition was associated with the perspective that exercise was a counterintuitive treatment[8–10]. Since it is widely understood that beliefs influence health-related behaviours [11,12], and because stronger recommendations for exercise have been made since previous publications[2,5,9], an updated understanding of how PwOA view exercise is required.

Healthcare professionals' perceptions and beliefs will affect the advice and management they offer patients, and researchers have suggested that those with biomedical or biomechanical beliefs about OA may transfer these beliefs to their patients, thus affecting their treatment choices[13,14]. Currently, general practitioners (GPs) and physiotherapists (PTs) are considered among the core care providers for PwOA[15]. While PT's have the knowledge and skills to adopt a key role in the management of hip and knee OA, GPs remain the most frequently accessed source of formal medical advice and treatment[15,16]. The language used by healthcare professionals, especially GPs, can have a profound influence on patients' beliefs[17,18]. A systematic review from Cottrell et al [19] in 2010,

**BMJ** Open

found that the attitudes and beliefs of GPs concerning exercise and chronic knee pain varied widely. An updated UK-based survey of GPs in 2017 found that perspectives were positive, with 87% reporting the use of exercise in their practice [16]. However, only 11% reported using exercise in ways that aligned with evidence-based guidelines [16]. This demonstrates the need for a better understanding of how GPs interact with up-to-date resources for care advancements for OA, in a time-demanding profession.

A scoping review of qualitative research exploring attitudes and beliefs, shows that PTs generally have a positive attitude to activity and exercise in OA management, despite indications that some PTs may also be lacking up-to-date knowledge about best practice or may not be adhering to evidence-based treatments[20]. In contrast, a recent mixed-methods evaluation by Barton et al [21] in 2021 reported that awareness regarding evidence supporting exercise for knee OA was good (89–96%) amongst PTs in Australia and Canada.

Greater knowledge around beliefs and belief influencers are needed in order to address negative beliefs or myths associated with exercise and joint pain. The objective of this study was to identify within-stakeholder agreement and between-stakeholder differences in beliefs in relation to statements on exercise for management of hip and knee OA in PwOA, GPs and PTs. Secondary objectives were to explore any associations between beliefs of PwOA and whether they had ever received a GP referral to physiotherapy or had seen a PT for their painful joint. Based on previous work [9,13,16], it was hypothesised that exercise beliefs of PTs would be more positive, and in line with clinical guidelines and latest evidence, compared to GPs and PwOA. It was also hypothesised that PwOA who had received a physiotherapy referral from their GP, or who had seen a PT for their condition would have more positive beliefs about exercise compared to those who had not. Finally, an exploration of common sources of education for GPs and PTs was included to understand how beliefs regarding evidence are influenced.

#### METHODS

#### **Design and Recruitment**

This study incorporates an analysis of three cross-sectional online surveys administered to three stakeholder groups - GPs, PTs and PwOA – in Ireland between March and September 2021. This cross-sectional study is embedded in a larger study (IMPACT – Implementation of osteoarthritis clinical guidelines together)[22], that aims to co-design and evaluate implementation strategies for an exercise and education programme for PwOA in Ireland. Surveys were adapted from previous studies in this field [9,13,16] and reviewed by co-researchers of a public and patient involvement (PPI) steering committee of representative stakeholders prior to distribution. Validation consisted of a round of pre-testing with a

#### **BMJ** Open

convenience sample of three of each GPs, PTs and PwOA with feedback provided on readability, acceptability and appropriateness that was incorporated before distribution. Qualtrics© software (Qualtrics, Provo, UT) was used to administer the online surveys and all procedures were approved by the University of Limerick Faculty of Education & Health Sciences Research Ethics Committee (REC) (2020\_12\_13\_EHS) and the Irish College of General Practitioners REC (ICGP\_REC\_21\_0006). Surveys were completed anonymously after participants were provided with a participant information sheet and consent was implied by completion of the survey. Reporting is consistent with the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines for cross-sectional studies.

The PT survey was distributed via email invite to all members of the Irish Society of Chartered Physiotherapists (n=2022), working across all fields. The survey was also advertised via social media (Twitter, LinkedIn) and amongst networks of researchers and PPI steering committee members. Physiotherapists were eligible for inclusion if they: (1) were practicing in Ireland, and (2) treated a patient with hip or knee OA in the past six months. The GP survey was distributed to the Irish College of General Practitioners network (n=3152), the University of Limerick Education and Research Network for General Practice (ULEARN-GP) network[23] (n=140) and via social media (Twitter, LinkedIn). GPs were eligible to take part if they were currently treating patients with hip and/or knee pain in Ireland. The survey for PwOA was advertised via social media (Twitter, LinkedIn), Arthritis Ireland social media, News Rheum patient newsletter and colleagues and networks of project steering committee and research team members. PwOA were eligible to take part if they (1) were living on the island of Ireland, (2) at least 30 years of age, (3) had chronic hip or knee pain for at least 6 months or more, and (4) did not have joint replacement surgery on at least one of the painful hips or knees. Strategies to increase recruitment via social media across all three surveys were adopted including tagging specific advocacy groups, patient or professional organisations and influencers, providing visual infographics alongside social media posts and aligning posts with events e.g. National Arthritis Day.

#### Outcomes

Each survey (Supplementary file 1) included an initial set of questions related to participant demographics. For healthcare professionals, these included questions on sex [are you: (1) Male, (2) Female, (3) Prefer not to say], length of time qualified, work setting, details of specific post-qualification training related to OA/chronic pain, confidence in treating hip and knee OA, percentage of typical caseload with hip or knee OA and where they prefer to access knowledge of management for persons with hip or knee OA. For PwOA, demographic information related to sex [are you: (1) Male, (2) Female, (3) Prefer not to say],

**BMJ** Open

age category, geographical area and health conditions were asked. In relation to joint pain, questions regarding location, duration, severity, referrals to exercise, and use of clinical guideline specific treatments (muscle strengthening, aerobic exercise, education, weight loss) were asked. Additional questions were provided for PwOA to understand healthcare utilisation and previous experiences with exercise.

In each survey, a list of statements on exercise beliefs for hip and knee OA were provided and were rated on a 5-point Likert scale from strongly agree to strongly disagree. The belief statements were intended to align with current evidence-based guidelines[1,2] and best practice for exercise and OA. Healthcare professionals were given a more extensive list of statements that were related to exercise type or referral decisions. A final section related to barriers and enablers to exercise delivery, referral or uptake was included in each survey. Results of that analysis are presented elsewhere.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Demographic outcomes were summarised as counts/proportions as appropriate. Belief statements were grouped and summarised descriptively by theme i.e., exercise type and effectiveness, exercise safety and exercise delivery. Although some statements had slightly different wording to facilitate understanding and relevance to each group, there were nine statements that were deemed to be comparable across groups and used to analyse differences in beliefs. Responses for the 5-point Likert scale statements were collapsed to a binary scale to label positive beliefs ("strongly agree" or "somewhat agree") vs. negative beliefs ("strongly disagree", "somewhat disagree" or "neither"). "Neither" was included with negative beliefs since statements were deemed to align somewhat with best practice and anything short of agreement may be considered unsatisfactory knowledge translation or personal experience. A commonly defined cut-off for consensus (>75%)[24] between stakeholders was used. Chi-square (2 x 3) tests of independence were used to assess differences in agreement with statements between three groups, and Bonferroni adjustment for between-group differences (p<0.05). Multivariable linear regression was used to explore associations between exercise beliefs (number of statements agreed with (range 0-9)) in PwOA and (1) physiotherapy referral from their GP (Has your GP ever referred you to a physiotherapist for your painful joint? Yes/No), and (2) physiotherapy attendance (Have you seen a physiotherapist for your painful joint? Yes/No). Histograms, Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests and scatter plots of residuals vs. fitted values were used to test assumptions of Poisson and linear regression and linear regression was deemed more appropriate. Pearson correlation coefficients (r>0.5) and variance inflation factor (>5) were used to determine presence of collinearity between variables. Based on correlates of physical activity for hip

and knee OA from previous literature, the following covariates were included using an enter method in each model: sex[25], average pain rating (none/mild/moderate/severe)[25], pain duration (6 months-1 year /1-2 years /2-3 years /3-4 years /4+ years)[26] and number of comorbidities[25]. The most parsimonious models were reported checking for a 10% difference in beta coefficients upon removal of covariates (p>0.05). Data were analysed using IBM-SPSS version 26.0.0 and Microsoft Excel365.

#### Patient and public involvement

This research was conducted as part of a larger project (IMPACT) that uses a participatory health research approach. A steering committee of key stakeholders with relevant research, clinical/system expertise or lived experience (academics, people with arthritis, patient advocacy group members, physiotherapists, GPs, orthopaedic surgeon) have oversight of the project from inception to dissemination. Members of the committee were involved in designing the research question and outcome measures for these surveys, recruitment of participants, interpretation of analyses and dissemination as co-authors of the publication.

#### RESULTS

There was a total of 421 valid responses from the three distributed surveys, comprising 161 GPs, 163 PTs and 97 PwOA. An additional 26 GP, 33 PT and 15 PwOA surveys were collected but were not fully completed or did not contain sufficient data for analysis so were excluded. Demographic data for each stakeholder are presented in **Table 1**.

#### Experiences with Exercise for People with Osteoarthritis

Of the 97 PwOA, 78.4% (n=76) had spoken to their GP regarding their joint pain, 63.9% (n=62) had an X-ray of their joint. 38.5% (n=37) had been referred to physiotherapy by their GP and 48.5% (n=47) had seen a physiotherapist for their joint (either through GP- or self-referral). Additionally, 50.5% (n=49) reported having been given specific exercises for their joint by any healthcare professional. A flow diagram with breakdown of these referral patterns is displayed in **Figure 1**. **Figure 2** shows answers to questions regarding the types of treatments tried by PwOA, as per clinical guideline recommendations (aerobic exercise, strengthening exercise, education and weight management).

## Within-Stakeholder Agreement in Beliefs about Exercise Type and Effectiveness, Exercise Safety and Delivery

**Figure 3** shows the Likert scale results in each stakeholder group for statements (a-d), related to the effectiveness of different types of exercise and for different levels of pain or perceived severity. **Figure 4** shows the Likert scale results in each stakeholder group for

statements (e-i), related to the safety and delivery of different types of exercise for people with OA. Beliefs were predominantly positive amongst GP's [positive consensus (>75% agreement) on 7/9 statements], PTs (6/9 statements) and PwOA (5/9 statements).

## Between-Stakeholder Differences in Beliefs about Exercise Type and Effectiveness, Exercise Safety and Delivery

Results of chi-square tests for differences in agreement between stakeholders across beliefs statements are presented in **Table 2**. There were differences in stakeholder responses across all statements, except for statement (d): *"Exercise works just as well for everybody, regardless of the amount of pain they have"* ( $X^2 = 5.14$ , p=0.076). All three stakeholder groups reached a negative consensus regarding this statement. In six of the eight statements where differences were observed, patient beliefs were significantly different to healthcare professional beliefs. There were two statements with a medium effect size for differences between PwOA and service providers: statements (*b*) *"Hip and knee problems can be improved by specific muscle strengthening exercises"* (*V*=0.309) and (*h*) *"Most patients with hip or knee OA would benefit from a supervised group exercise programme"* (*V*=0.384). All other differences had a small effect size.

Demographics	GP PT (n=161) (n=163)		Knee Osteoarthritis Demographics	N=97
	Count (%)	Count (%)		Count (%)
Sex:			Sex:	
Female	88 (54.7)	128 (78.5)	Female	76 (78.4)
Male	72 (44.7)	34 (20.9)	Male	20 (20.6)
Prefer not to say	1 (0.6)	1 (0.6)	Prefer not to say	1 (1.0)
How long have you been qualified?			Most bothersome joint:	
Less than 5 years	33 (20.5)	19 (11.7)	Knee	52 (53.8)
5-10 years	25 (15.5)	21 (12.9)	Hip	45 (46.4)
More than 10 years	103 (64.0)	123 (75.5)	Age Category:	
Work practice setting (GPs)			30-39 years	12 (12.4)
Urban	60 (37.3)	-	40-49 years	24 (24.7)
Rural	34 (21.1)	-	50-59 years	30 (30.9)
Mixed	67 (41.6)	-	60-69 years	25 (25.8)
Work practice setting (PTs)			70-79 years	6 (6.2)
Public hospital	-	38 (23.3)	Living Location:	
Private hospital	-	7 (4.3)	Inner city or suburb	46 (47.4)
Primary care	-	41 (25.2)	Town	16 (16.5)
Private practice clinic	-	70 (42.9)	Village	15 (15.5)
Other	-	7 (4.3)	Open country	20 (20.6)
Post-qualification training on OA / chronic pain			No. of other comorbidities:	
No	72 (44.7)	37 (22.7)	0	31 (32.0)

**Table 1.** Descriptive statistics using count (proportions) for healthcare professionals and people with osteoarthritis demographics

Inservice/webinars/reading Course or conference	32 (19.9) 28 (17.4)	17 (10.4) 72 (44.2)	1-2 3+	45 (47.9) 18 (19.1)
Diploma/APP or certification	15 (9.3)	3 (1.8)	Multi-joint pain(>1):	
MSc in related field PhD in related field	14 (8.7) 0	32 (19.6) 2 (1.2)	No Yes	6 (6.2) 91 (93.8)
Confidence in treating hip and knee OA			Rating of pain /symptoms on an average day	
Not confident Slightly confident	2 (1.2) 33 (20 5)	0 5 (3 1)	No pain/symptoms Mild	1 (1.0) 30 (30 9)
Confident	80 (49.7)	41 (25.2)	Moderate	49 (50.5)
Very confident	36 (22.4)	86 (52.8)	Severe	17 (17.5)
Extremely confident	10 (6.2)	31 (19.0)		
% of typical caseload with hip/knee OA			Duration of pain	
1-5%	19 (11.8)	19 (11.7)	6 mon – 1 year	24 (24.7)
6-25%	117 (72.7)	83 (50.9)	1-2 years	13 (13.4)
26-50%	24 (14.9)	36 (22.1)	2-3 years	15 (15.5)
51-75%	i (0.6)	18 (11.0)	3-4 years	11(11.3)
21070	0	5 (3.1)	wore man 4 years	34 (35.1)

APP, Advanced Practice Physiotherapist; GP, General Practitioner; OA, Osteoarthritis; PT, Physiotherapist; PwOA, People with Osteoarthritis.

**Table 2.** Differences in agreement with statements between general practitioner (GP; n=161), physiotherapist (PT; n=163) and people with hip and knee osteoarthritis (PwOA; n=97). Agreement was defined as those who selected "strongly agree" or "somewhat agree" on Likert scales. Proportions that reached within-stakeholder "consensus", defined as >75% majority, are in bold.

Statement	Propor	tion in ag	reement	Chi-	Signifi-	Cramer'
	GP	PT	PwOA	Squar e	cance	s V
(a) Hip and knee problems can be improved by general exercise e.g. walking and swimming	97.5%	95.1%	85.6%ª	15.59	<0.0001	0.193
(b) Hip and knee problems can be improved by specific muscle strengthening exercises	98.8%	97.5%	80.9%ª	39.04	<0.0001	0.309
(c) Exercise is effective for patients if an x-ray shows severe osteoarthritis	53.8%	63.4%	39.8% <sup>c</sup>	13.24	0.001	0.179
(d) Exercise works just as well for everybody, regardless of the amount of pain they have	24.2%	19.6%	32.3%	5.14	0.076	n/a
(e) General exercise e.g., walking and swimming is safe for everybody to do	85.7%	68.9% <sup>b</sup>	87.1%	18.13	<0.0001	0.209
(f) Specific muscle strengthening exercise is safe for everyone to do	85.6%	84.5%	69.2%ª	11.86	0.003	0.170
(g) Every patient with hip or knee OA should try exercise treatment before surgery is considered	86.9%	99.4% <sup>b</sup>	91.4%	19.0	<0.0001	0.214
(h) Most patients with hip or knee OA would benefit from a supervised group exercise programme*	91.3%	85.3%	52.1%ª	61.35	<0.0001	0.384
(i) Most patients with hip or knee OA would benefit from an individualized exercise programme*	96.3%	93.9%	<b>82.8%</b> ª	15.91	<0.0001	0.196
<sup>a</sup> Significantly different compared to GP and I	PT, <sup>b</sup> signific	cantly differ	ent to GP a	and PwOA	<sup>c</sup> significantl	y different

 to PT, using Bonferroni at .05 level. \*Questions for PwOA phrased as: "The best way to learn about exercise is in a supervised group setting with people who have similar pain" and "The best way to learn about exercise is in a one-on-one setting with a health professional". Cramer's V =0.1 small, 0.3 medium, 0.5 large effect size. GP, general practitioner; OA, osteoarthritis; PT, physiotherapist; PwOA, people with osteoarthritis.

#### **Predictors of Patient Beliefs**

There was no association between beliefs of PwOA about exercise and the question: "has your GP ever referred you to a physiotherapist for your painful joint?" (**Supplemental File 2**) [B=0.19 (95% CI -0.10, 1.50)]. In this model, a higher number of comorbidities [B=-0.26 (95% CI -0.56, -0.07)] was negatively associated with beliefs about exercise. In model 2, there was a positive association between beliefs of PwOA about exercise and the question: "Have you seen a physiotherapist for your painful joint?" [B=1.06 (95% CI 0.30, 1.82)]. Sex (male) [B=-0.72 (95% CI -1.44, -0.00)], a longer duration of pain and symptoms [B=-0.20 (95% CI -0.40, -0.01)] and a higher number of comorbidities [B=-0.29 (95% CI -0.53, -0.06)] were negatively associated with beliefs about exercise in this model.

#### Healthcare Professional Sources of Education

For the question, "Where do you get your knowledge of care advancements for persons with knee or hip osteoarthritis?"; the top five selected responses for GPs were continuous medical education (CME) or GP training networks (78%), published guidelines or recommendations (61%), reading medical journals (47%), conference attendance (47%) and course attendance (31%). For the question, "Where do you access your knowledge of management for persons with knee or hip osteoarthritis?"; the top five selected responses for PTs were published guidelines or recommendations (85%), reading research articles (75%), clinic protocols and discussion with peers or in-services (70%), course attendance (47%).

#### DISCUSSION

This research identified differences in beliefs about exercise effectiveness, safety and delivery between healthcare professionals and PwOA. While predominantly positive beliefs were observed across stakeholders, there was less consensus regarding the effectiveness of exercise when an X-ray shows "severe" OA. With regards to exercise referral, 48.5% of PwOA had either been referred to or self-referred to a physiotherapist for their joint pain. Referral to a physiotherapist by their GP was not associated with positive exercise beliefs.

However, attendance at a physiotherapist for joint pain was associated with positive exercise beliefs in PwOA.

 If OA management guidelines do not align with the personal beliefs of service providers or users, PwOA may not receive high quality care. This study has found that GPs (7/9 statements), PTs (6/9 statements) and PwOA (5/9 statements) have largely positive beliefs regarding exercise for OA. However, there is less certainty about exercise when an X-ray shows "severe osteoarthritis" across all stakeholders, and service providers do not agree that "*exercise works just as well for everybody, regardless of the level of pain they have*". These results highlight that beliefs are generally in line with best evidence and clinical guidelines. However, there may still be some misconceptions about the effectiveness of exercise for higher levels of pain and disease. Evidence suggests that the pain-relieving qualities of exercise are effective for even moderate to severe OA disease[27–29], and a more recent meta-analysis for hip and knee OA has shown that individuals with higher pain severity at baseline benefit more from therapeutic exercise than those with lower pain[30]. This evidence should be a focus of future efforts of knowledge translation to clinicians and PwOA.

Some of the beliefs identified in this study are reflective of the traditional view of OA as a "wear and tear" disease, synonymous with a desire to protect a "damaged" joint on X-ray from further damage, as found previously[8,20]. However, an encouraging finding from this research are the overwhelmingly more positive views towards exercise observed compared to similar studies published on a cohort of UK-based PTs in 2009[13], older adults with knee pain in 2012[9] and GPs in 2017[16]. Using the comparator of statements with at least majority view (>50% agreement), in the 2009 study[13], PTs agreed on the benefit of exercise for knee pain on 4/12 statements (33%), compared to 8/9 similar statements (89%) in the current study. For older adults with knee pain, there was no agreement for any statement in the 2012 study[9], compared to 7/9 statements (78%) in the current study. This may be reflective of the younger age and inclusion of hip and knee pain in the current study. In the 2017 study[16], GPs agreed on 9/12 statements (75%), compared to 8/9 statements (89%) in the current study. While some statements varied slightly, stronger exercise recommendations in clinical guidelines and greater efforts in implementation and translation to practice in the last 10 years are likely the rationale for these changes, particularly since clinical guideline updates in 2014[1,2]. However, there is still much space to enact recommendations from a 2018 Cochrane review to provide better information and advice about the safety and value of exercise for patients[31]. In particular, providing reassurance on the role of exercise in managing symptoms, and discussion of opportunities to participate Page 13 of 40

#### BMJ Open

in activities regarded as enjoyable and relevant, may encourage greater exercise participation[31].

Beliefs of PwOA about exercise were significantly less positive compared to healthcare professional beliefs for 6/9 statements, even though significantly more PwOA believed that general exercises are safe for everybody to do, compared to PTs. The greatest differences were observed for statements in relation to the benefits of strengthening exercises and group-based exercise but effect sizes were small to medium overall. Given 40% had never tried weight or strength-based training for their joint, and an additional 28% tried, but since stopped this type of exercise, healthcare professionals should be cognisant of ensuring patients understand the benefit of muscle strengthening and support patients to find enjoyable and sustainable ways to build these exercises into weekly routines. While strength-based training is not deemed superior to aerobic type exercise for pain relief in OA[27,32], knock-on benefits for improvements in physical function, longevity, bone health, and frailty[33] during ageing are important to highlight. Results for aerobic type exercise, however, were much more promising as only 14% had not tried this type of exercise for their joint and 67% were actively using. Further exploration on reasons for stopping exercise would be of benefit to determine if low adherence is related to barriers to exercise participation or a lack of perceived improvement in symptoms. While there is no strong evidence to indicate a difference in effectiveness regarding exercise setting, PwOA were less likely to agree with the benefits of a supervised group setting compared to service providers. Additional benefits of group exercise for older adults, such as social support, peerlearning, improvements in mental health and loneliness, and cost-effectiveness should, however, be considered and encouraged[34-36].

Physiotherapists are primary care providers of therapeutic exercise for people with OA and other chronic conditions. It was therefore hypothesised that PTs would have more positive beliefs regarding exercise compared to GPs. However, this was not shown to be the case based on findings in this study. PTs were significantly more positive regarding statement (g): *Every patient with hip or knee OA should try exercise treatment before surgery is considered.* However, more GPs responded positively to statement (e): *General exercise e.g., walking and swimming is safe for everybody to do,* and overall, there was a positive consensus on more statements amongst GPs (7/9) compared to PTs (6/9). These findings are somewhat at odds to the review by Nissen et al (including studies published from 2006-2019), which identified a certain lack of knowledge about the role of physical activity, exercise and physiotherapy in OA management amongst some GPs and PTs[20]. It suggests that the main barriers to implementation of exercise may not be entirely related to lack of updated knowledge or beliefs of the healthcare professionals.

In this study, referral to physiotherapy by a GP was not associated with more positive exercise beliefs in PwOA, in contrast to what was hypothesised. Although GPs had the most positive beliefs in comparison with other stakeholders, this finding may reflect the lack of time in a GP consultation to educate about exercise therapy and influence patient beliefs. A referral to exercise therapy alone may not be enough. However, seeing a PT for osteoarthritis was associated with more positive exercise beliefs. This may suggest that PTs impart important knowledge and education regarding the benefits of exercise to their patients, that, in turn, changes patient beliefs. Equally, this finding may suggest that PwOA with more positive exercise beliefs are more likely to attend a PT appointment. Tracking of changes in beliefs over time is recommended to further explore this association. Compared to GPs, PTs have more time in a consultation to discuss the effectiveness, mechanism, and safety of exercise for joint pain, which may help to influence beliefs and maximise the potential effect of exercise programs by improving adherence[37]. It is known that the provision of education for OA is superior for patient outcomes when combined with exercise therapy[38]. Almost 60% of PwOA reported having not tried self-management/education, despite some programme availability in Ireland[39]. PwOA were not asked specifically if their GP referred them to a self-management programme, which is a required area of further exploration. Additional efforts are required to support clinicians with resources to deliver trustworthy educational content for PwOA, or increase knowledge of available selfmanagement programmes, to ensure clinical recommendations are fully implemented.

In the current study, 78% of PwOA had spoken to their GP about their joint pain, while under 50% of these people had been referred to a PT. Despite OA being amongst the leading causes of years lived with disability[40], the decision to seek care can be deterred by negative or dismissive attitudes from healthcare professionals about their non-urgent condition, or the perception that pain is part of ageing[41]. Healthcare professionals should take care regarding attitudes and language use during consultations[42] to help promote the effectiveness of first-line treatment strategies. Additionally, decisions regarding treatment timing may require additional educational strategies given clinical guidelines support surgical intervention as the last resort[1,2]. In this study more PwOA were referred to an orthopaedic consultant (58%) rather than PT (49%). From the regression analysis, it is also apparent that people with multiple comorbidities may require additional supports to improve positive beliefs about exercise for their condition. For people living with the burden of multiple conditions, additional barriers to exercise may require more supportive self-management sessions and thorough training of exercise facilitators[43].

This study has shown that the most used education sources for healthcare professionals on management of OA are: published guidelines or recommendations (85% of PTs, 61% of

#### **BMJ** Open

GPs), use of training networks, in-clinic protocols, discussion and in-services (70% of PTs, 78% of GPs) and reading medical journals or research articles (75% of PTs, 47% of GPs). Even where clinicians report using clinical guidelines and research to guide practice, this is no guarantee that the most up-to-date recommendations are being used with confidence, or that they are being interpreted, recalled or implemented appropriately[44]. In contrast to this study, previous international investigations have shown that only a small proportion of sport and musculoskeletal PTs use research articles to change their clinical practice (10.4%)[45]. Over half of PTs instead cited "interactions with colleagues" and "attending private education short courses" as the source for change[45]. Given the high proportion of GPs that use CME small groups and training networks, peer-learning opportunities may be a viable source of intervention to ensure practice guidelines are being met[46]. The evidence to practice gap could be filled with clinical guideline supplements that address contextual barriers and time needed to treat[47], and courses/training that include opportunities to discuss real-world implementation of evidence with experienced colleagues and experts, with input from patients on delivery needs.

While efforts were made to recruit participants for this research from multiple diverse sources, this study was not a representative sample. The highest proportion (31%) of PwOA in this study were in the 50–59-year age category and 50% reported moderate joint pain. While prevalence of OA is higher in older age categories, the sample recruited is likely reflective of the online nature of participation, wide inclusion criteria (age 30+ years) and exclusion criteria for previous joint replacement surgery. Due to the timing of survey administration (during COVID-19 pandemic lockdown), traditional survey advertising methods such as GP and health clinic waiting rooms were not utilised. Completion of an anonymous survey has benefits as results cannot be influenced, however if there was any confusion related to phrasing of a certain question or statement, then this could not be clarified. The selection of other belief statements about exercise may have yielded different results. Future research should also investigate similar beliefs using qualitative approach to allow for more context to these answers.

#### Conclusion

Beliefs of GPs, PTs and PwOA regarding exercise as a treatment for hip and knee OA have likely become more positive in recent years. However, there is still much scope for service improvement, with less than 50% of PwOA having seen a PT for their joint pain and all stakeholders in disagreement with statements relating to effectiveness of exercise for severe joint pain. This sample included PwOA who did not have a previous joint replacement surgery and may therefore not be generalisable to an older sample with more severe disease. Knowledge translation activities should be aimed at increasing knowledge and improving access to first-line evidence-based exercise therapies, using stakeholder codesign to provide context on barriers and facilitators.

**Contributorship Statement -** Toomey CM: Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal Analysis, Supervision, Writing – Original Draft. Higgins N: Methodology, Formal Analysis, Writing – Reviewing & Editing; Wood-Thornsbury A: Methodology, Formal Analysis, Writing – Reviewing & Editing; Rector J: Methodology, Formal Analysis, Writing – Reviewing & Editing; Bhardwaj A: Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; Hayes P: Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; Browne J: Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; Grealis S: Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; Maguire D: Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; O'Hora J: Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; Dowling I: Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; Kennedy N: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing.

**Competing Interests** – none to declare.

**Funding** - Funding for this project (IMPACT), salary of the PI (CMT) and student fees and stipend (AB) from Health Research Board (Ireland) Emerging Investigator Award, awarded to CMT (EIA-2019-008).

**Data Sharing Statement** - All data pertaining to this study is anonymous and can be shared upon reasonable request for secondary data analysis by contacting the PI (corresponding author).

**Acknowledgements** – We acknowledge the contributions of other members of the IMPACT Steering Committee who were involved in the interpretation of these results (Adrian Cassar-Gheiti, Helen French, Brenda Monaghan, Bronwen Maher and James Young).

Figure 1. Flow chart of referral patterns for people with osteoarthritis.

**Figure 2.** Proportion of responses to guideline-based treatments people with osteoarthritis (n=97) have tried.

**Figure 3.** 100% stacked bar chart showing Likert scale results with count for each stakeholder on belief statements (a-d) related to exercise effectiveness. GP, general practitioner; PT, physiotherapist; PwOA, people with osteoarthritis.

**Figure 4.** 100% stacked bar chart showing Likert scale results with count for each stakeholder on belief statements (e-i) related to exercise safety and delivery. \*Questions for PwOA phrased slightly differently: "The best way to learn about exercise is in a supervised

group setting with people who have similar pain" and "The best way to learn about exercise is in a one-on-one setting with a health professional". GP, general practitioner; PT, physiotherapist; PwOA, people with osteoarthritis.

#### REFERENCES

- McAlindon TE, Bannuru RR, Sullivan MC, *et al.* OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of knee osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthr Cartil* 2014;**22**:363–88. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2014.01.003
- 2 National Institute for Health & Clinical Excellence. Osteoarthritis: care and management. London: 2014.
- Hunter DJ. Osteoarthritis: time for us all to shift the needle. *Rheumatology* 2018;57:iv1–2. doi:10.1093/rheumatology/key065
- Hagen REB, Smedslund G, Østeras N, *et al.* Quality of Community-Based
   Osteoarthritis Care : A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Arthritis Care Res* 2016;**68**:1443–52. doi:10.1002/acr.22891
- 5 Healey EL, Afolabi EK, Lewis M, *et al.* Uptake of the NICE osteoarthritis guidelines in primary care: a survey of older adults with joint pain. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2018;**19**:295. doi:10.1186/s12891-018-2196-2
- Briggs AM, Jordan JE, Kopansky-Giles D, *et al.* The need for adaptable global guidance in health systems strengthening for musculoskeletal health: a qualitative study of international key informants. *Glob Heal Res policy* 2021;**6**:24. doi:10.1186/s41256-021-00201-7
- 7 Geenen R, Overman CL, Christensen R, *et al.* EULAR recommendations for the health professional's approach to pain management in inflammatory arthritis and osteoarthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2018;**77**:797–807. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2017-
- Darlow B, Brown M, Thompson B, *et al.* Living with osteoarthritis is a balancing act : an exploration of patients ' beliefs about knee pain. *BMC Rheumatol* 2018;2. doi:10.1186/s41927-018-0023-x BMC
- 9 Holden MA, Nicholls EE, Young J, *et al.* Role of Exercise for Knee Pain : What Do
   Older Adults in the Community Think ? *Arthritis Care Res* 2012;**64**:1554–64.
   doi:10.1002/acr.21700

10	Bunzli S, Brien PO, Ayton D, <i>et al.</i> Misconceptions and the Acceptance of Evidence- based Nonsurgical Interventions for Knee Osteoarthritis . A Qualitative Study. <i>Clin</i> <i>Orthop Relat Res</i> 2019; <b>477</b> :1975–83. doi:10.1097/CORR.00000000000784
11	Armitage CJ, Conner M. Social cognition models and health behaviour: A structured review. <i>Psychol Health</i> 2000; <b>15</b> :173–89. doi:10.1080/08870440008400299
12	Hurley M V, Walsh N, Bhavnani V, <i>et al.</i> Health beliefs before and after participation on an exercised-based rehabilitation programme for chronic knee pain: Doing is believing. <i>BMC Musculoskelet Disord</i> 2010; <b>11</b> :31. doi:10.1186/1471-2474-11-31
13	Holden MA, Nicholls EE, Young J, <i>et al.</i> UK-Based Physical Therapists 'Attitudes and Beliefs Regarding Exercise and Knee Osteoarthritis : Findings From a Mixed-Methods Study. <i>Arthritis Care Res</i> 2009; <b>61</b> :1511–21. doi:10.1002/art.24829
14	Hunter DJ. Osteoarthritis Management: Time to Change the Deck. <i>J Orthop Sports Phys Ther</i> 2017; <b>47</b> :370–2. doi:10.2519/jospt.2017.0605
15	Briggs AM, Hinman RS, Darlow B, <i>et al.</i> Confidence and Attitudes Toward Osteoarthritis Care Among the Current and Emerging Health Workforce: A Multinational Interprofessional Study. <i>ACR open Rheumatol</i> 2019; <b>1</b> :219–35. doi:10.1002/acr2.1032
16	Cottrell E, Foster NE, Porcheret M, <i>et al.</i> GPs ' attitudes , beliefs and behaviours regarding exercise for chronic knee pain : a questionnaire survey. <i>BMJ Open</i> 2017; <b>7</b> . doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014999
17	MacKay C, Hawker GA, Jaglal SB. Qualitative study exploring the factors influencing physical therapy management of early knee osteoarthritis in Canada. <i>BMJ Open</i> 2018; <b>8</b> . doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2018-023457
18	Barker KL, Reid M, Minns Lowe CJ. What does the language we use about arthritis mean to people who have osteoarthritis? A qualitative study. <i>Disabil Rehabil</i> 2014; <b>36</b> :367–72. doi:10.3109/09638288.2013.793409
19	Cottrell E, Roddy E, Foster N. The attitudes, beliefs and behaviours of GPs regarding exercise for chronic knee pain: a systematic review. <i>BMC Fam Pract</i> 2010; <b>11</b> .http://www.doaj.org/doaj?func=abstract&id=516161
20	Nissen N, Holm PM, Bricca A, <i>et al.</i> Clinicians' beliefs and attitudes to physical activity and exercise therapy as treatment for knee and/or hip osteoarthritis: a scoping review. <i>Osteoarthr Cartil</i> 2022; <b>30</b> :260–9. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2021.11.008

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	21	Barton CJ, Ezzat AM, Bell EC, <i>et al.</i> Knowledge , confidence and learning needs of physiotherapists treating persistent knee pain in Australia and Canada : a mixed-methods study. <i>Physiother Theory Pract</i> 2021;:1–13. doi:10.1080/09593985.2021.1906805
10 11 12 13 14 15	22	Toomey CM, Kennedy N, Macfarlane A, <i>et al.</i> Implementation of clinical guidelines for osteoarthritis together (IMPACT): protocol for a participatory health research approach to implementing high value care. <i>BMC Musculoskelet Disord</i> 2022; <b>23</b> . doi:10.1186/s12891-022-05599-w
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	23	Regan AO, Hayes P, Connor RO, <i>et al.</i> The University of Limerick Education and Research Network for General Practice (ULEARN-GP): practice characteristics and general practitioner perspectives. <i>BMC Fam Pract</i> 2020; <b>21</b> . doi:https://doi.org/10.1186/s12875-020-1100-y
24 25 26 27 28	24	Diamond IR, Grant RC, Feldman BM, <i>et al.</i> Defining consensus: a systematic review recommends methodologic criteria for reporting of Delphi studies. <i>J Clin Epidemiol</i> 2014; <b>67</b> :401–9. doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2013.12.002
29 30 31 32 33 34 35	25	Stubbs B, Hurley M, Smith T. What are the factors that influence physical activity participation in adults with knee and hip osteoarthritis? A systematic review of physical activity correlates. <i>Clin Rehabil</i> 2015; <b>29</b> :80–94. doi:10.1177/0269215514538069
36 37 38 39 40	26	Rosemann T, Kuehlein T, Laux G, <i>et al.</i> Osteoarthritis of the knee and hip: a comparison of factors associated with physical activity. <i>Clin Rheumatol</i> 2007; <b>26</b> :1811–7. doi:10.1007/s10067-007-0579-0
41 42 43 44 45 46 47	27	Juhl C, Christensen R, Roos EM, <i>et al.</i> Impact of Exercise Type and Dose on Pain and Disability in Knee Osteoarthritis A Systematic Review and Meta-Regression Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. <i>Arthritis Rheumatol</i> 2014; <b>66</b> :622–36. doi:10.1002/art.38290
48 49 50 51 52 53	28	Susko AM, Fitzgerald GK. The pain-relieving qualities of exercise in knee osteoarthritis. <i>Open access Rheumatol Res Rev</i> 2013; <b>5</b> :81–91. doi:10.2147/OARRR.S53974
54 55 56 57	29	Skou ST, Roos EM, Laursen MB, <i>et al.</i> A Randomized, Controlled Trial of Total Knee Replacement. <i>N Engl J Med</i> 2015; <b>373</b> :1597–606. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1505467
58 59 60	30	Holden MA, Hattle M, Runhaar J, <i>et al.</i> Moderators of the effect of therapeutic exercise for knee and hip osteoarthritis : a systematic review and individual participant

	data meta-analysis. <i>Lancet Rheumatol</i> 2023; <b>5</b> :e386–400. doi:10.1016/S2665- 9913(23)00122-4
31	Hurley M, Dickson K, Hallett R, <i>et al.</i> Exercise interventions and patient beliefs for people with hip, knee or hip and knee osteoarthritis: a mixed methods review (Review). <i>Coch</i> 2018;:CD010842. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD010842.pub2.www.cochranelibrary.com
32	Goh S-L, Persson MSM, Stocks J, <i>et al.</i> Relative Efficacy of Different Exercises for Pain, Function, Performance and Quality of Life in Knee and Hip Osteoarthritis: Systematic Review and Network Meta-Analysis. <i>Sports Med</i> 2019; <b>49</b> :743–61. doi:10.1007/s40279-019-01082-0
33	Seguin R, Nelson ME. The benefits of strength training for older adults. <i>Am J Prev</i> <i>Med</i> 2003; <b>25</b> :141–9. doi:10.1016/s0749-3797(03)00177-6
34	Komatsu H, Yagasaki K, Saito Y, <i>et al.</i> Regular group exercise contributes to balanced health in older adults in Japan: a qualitative study. <i>BMC Geriatr</i> 2017; <b>17</b> :190. doi:10.1186/s12877-017-0584-3
35	Grønne DT, Roos EM, Ibsen R, <i>et al.</i> Cost-effectiveness of an 8 week supervised education and exercise therapy programme for knee and hip osteoarthritis : a pre – post analysis of 16 255 patients participating in Good Life with osteoArthritis in Denmark (GLA:D). <i>BMJ Open</i> 2021; <b>11</b> . doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2021-049541
36	Mays AM, Kim S, Rosales K, <i>et al.</i> The Leveraging Exercise to Age in Place (LEAP) Study: Engaging Older Adults in Community-Based Exercise Classes to Impact Loneliness and Social Isolation. <i>Am J Geriatr psychiatry Off J Am Assoc Geriatr</i> <i>Psychiatry</i> 2021; <b>29</b> :777–88. doi:10.1016/j.jagp.2020.10.006
37	Gay C, Chabaud A, Guilley E, <i>et al.</i> Educating patients about the benefits of physical activity and exercise for their hip and knee osteoarthritis. Systematic literature review. <i>Ann Phys Rehabil Med</i> 2016; <b>59</b> :174–83. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rehab.2016.02.005
38	Goff AJ, Oliveira D De, Merolli M, <i>et al.</i> Patient education improves pain and function in people with knee osteoarthritis with better effects when combined with exercise therapy : a systematic review. <i>J Physiother</i> 2021; <b>67</b> :177–89. doi:10.1016/j.jphys.2021.06.011
39	Arthritis Ireland. Living Well with Arthritis (and Related Conditions) Self-Management Programme. Courses. 2023.https://www.arthritisireland.ie/living-well-with-arthritis-and-

**BMJ** Open

	related-conditions-self-management-programme (accessed 22 Aug 2023).
40	Vos T, Lim SS, Abbafati C, <i>et al.</i> Global burden of 369 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories, 1990-2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. <i>Lancet</i> 2020; <b>396</b> :1204–22. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30925-9
41	Prasanna SS, Korner-bitensky N, Ahmed S. Why Do People Delay Accessing Health Care for Knee Osteoarthritis ? Exploring Beliefs of Health Professionals and Lay People. <i>Physiother Canada</i> 2013; <b>65</b> :56–64. doi:10.3138/ptc.2011-50
42	Vennik J, Hughes S, Smith KA, <i>et al.</i> Patient and practitioner priorities and concerns about primary healthcare interactions for osteoarthritis: A meta-ethnography. <i>Patient Educ Couns</i> 2022; <b>105</b> :1865–77. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2022.01.009
43	Skou ST, Brødsgaard RH, Nyberg M, <i>et al.</i> Personalised exercise therapy and self- management support for people with multimorbidity: feasibility of the MOBILIZE intervention. <i>Pilot Feasibility Stud</i> 2023; <b>9</b> :12. doi:10.1186/s40814-023-01242-0
44	Swaithes L, Paskins Z, Finney A. Factors influencing the implementation of evidence- based guidelines for osteoarthritis in primary care : A systematic review and thematic synthesis. <i>Musculoskeletal Care</i> 2020; <b>18</b> :101–10. doi:10.1002/msc.1452
45	Whiteley R, Napier C, van Dyk N, <i>et al.</i> Clinicians use courses and conversations to change practice, not journal articles: is it time for journals to peer-review courses to stay relevant? <i>Br J Sports Med</i> 2021; <b>55</b> :651 LP – 652. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2020-102736
46	Lineker SC, Bell MJ, Boyle J, <i>et al.</i> Implementing arthritis clinical practice guidelines in primary care. <i>Med Teach</i> 2009; <b>31</b> :230–7. doi:10.1080/01421590802158377
47	Johansson M, Guyatt G, Montori V, <i>et al.</i> Guidelines should consider clinicians ' time needed to treat. <i>Br Med J</i> 2023; <b>380</b> . doi:10.1136/bmj-2022-072953
	40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47



Figure 1. Flow chart of referral patterns for people with osteoarthritis.

605x459mm (47 x 47 DPI)

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml




Figure 2. Proportion of responses to guideline-based treatments people with osteoarthritis (n=97) have tried.



BMJ Open



Figure 3. 100% stacked bar chart showing Likert scale results with count for each stakeholder on belief statements (a-d) related to exercise effectiveness. GP, general practitioner; PT, physiotherapist; PwOA, people with osteoarthritis.

377x526mm (47 x 47 DPI)

BMJ Open



Figure 4. 100% stacked bar chart showing Likert scale results with count for each stakeholder on belief statements (e-i) related to exercise safety and delivery. \*Questions for PwOA phrased slightly differently: "The best way to learn about exercise is in a supervised group setting with people who have similar pain" and "The best way to learn about exercise is in a one-on-one setting with a health professional". GP, general practitioner; PT, physiotherapist; PwOA, people with osteoarthritis

354x545mm (47 x 47 DPI)

# Supplemental File 1

Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare professional and patient beliefs

# <u>Survey 1:</u> Beliefs, Barriers and Enablers to Exercise Prescription for Hip and Knee Osteoarthritis in General Practice in Ireland

Instructions for completing this questionnaire

- When completing the questionnaire, please try and provide answers that most accurately
- reflect your usual clinical practice. There are no 'correct' or 'incorrect' answers.
- Please do not consult any literature while completing this questionnaire.

# Section 1. Information about you

1.	How long have you been qualified as a General Practitioner?  Less than 5 years experience  5-10 years experience  Greater than 10 years experience
2. 3. 4. 5	How many GP's work in your practice (including yourself) Are you:
0.	Primary care reimbursement scheme only     Private practice only     Mixed
6.	Since graduating from University, do you remember receiving any specific postgraduate training in musculoskeletal (MSK) which contained education about hip or knee osteoarthritis or chronic pain? (By this we do not mean clinical placements or jobs in rheumatology or orthopaedics) Yes ONO Yes ONO If yes, what type of training? CME small groups (or guest speaker) Diploma in MSK M.Sc. in Sports & Exercise Medicine Sports Medicine Faculty conferences Private Hospital Day Course Therapeutic Intra Articular and Soft Tissue Injection and Assessment Course Specific Modules on MSK on your GP training Scheme Other
7.	How would you rate your confidence in treating hip and knee osteoarthritis?  Not confident Slightly confident Confident
	Very confident
	Extremely confident
8.	Do you have, or have you ever suffered from chronic knee or hip pain yourself?
9.	What percentage of your typical caseload is made up of patients with hip and/or knee pain? $\Box 1-5\%$ $\Box 6-25\%$ $\Box 26-50\%$ $\Box 51-75\%$ $\Box >75\%$
Sec	tion 2. Exercise beliefs for hip and knee osteoarthritis
2.1 that	Where do you get your knowledge of care advancements for persons with knee or hip osteoarthritis? (Tick all apply) Published guidelines or recommendations (e.g. NICE, EULAR, OARSI) Reading medical journals Twitter or other social media Podcasts
l	CME networks or other GP networks

Conference attendance
 Course attendance

# Supplemental File 1

Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare professional and patient beliefs

□ Other \_\_

We are interested in your views about the role of exercise in the treatment of hip and knee osteoarthritis. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the statements given by ticking or placing an 'X' in one box per row.

Question	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
2.2 Hip and knee problems are improved by					
general exercise e.g. walking and swimming					
2.3 Hip and knee problems are improved by					
specific muscle strengthening exercises					
2.4 General exercise e.g. walking and					
swimming is safe for everybody to do					
2.5 Specific muscle strengthening exercise is					
safe for everyone to do					
2.6 Every patient with hip or knee OA should try	1				
conservative exercise treatment before more					
invasive procedures are recommended					
2.7 Exercise for hip or knee OA is most					
beneficial when it is tailored to meet individual					
patient needs					
2.8 A standard set of exercises is sufficient for					
every patient with hip or knee OA 🦯 🚽					
2.9 Education on lifestyle change is important					
for patients with OA					
2.10 Education on strategies for self-					
management of pain are important for patients with OA	-				
2.11 It is important that people with OA increase	e				
their overall activity levels					
2.12 Exercise is effective for patients if an x-ray					
shows severe knee osteoarthritis					
2.13 Exercise for OA is more effectively					
provided by physiotherapists than GPs					
2.14 Time constraints prevent the provision of					
advice on individual exercises for OA					
2.15 Exercise for OA should preferably be used					
after drug treatment has been tried					
2.16 Exercise for chronic knee pain would be					
used more frequently if access to physiotherapy	/				
was easier					

## Section 3. Clinical scenario of a patient with osteoarthritis

Presented below is a clinical scenario of a patient with suspected knee osteoarthritis who presents to you with this problem for the first time. All questions in this section relate to the care you would give this particular

	Patient: Complaint: History:	Mrs. Murphy, 60-year old shop owner, no health insurance Right sided knee pain Gradually worsening over 3 years No history of trauma Pain when walking and at rest, worst when climbing stairs.
		No night pain. Activities of daily living are manageable. Difficulty gardening. Finding work increasingly difficult due to the stairs Tried going to gym but stopped – thinks was making pain worse. Otherwise well – mild hypertension Has tried ibunrofen with no effect
	Medication:	Amlodipine
<b>.</b>	Examination:	Mild Obesity with Body Mass Index of 33 Knees – bilaterally no effusions. Joint line tenderness on palpation. No pain or reduced mobility around knee cap Slightly reduced flexion of the right knee. Hips – no abnormality detected

patient.

3.1 Select some key	words you would use to describe the	eir diagnosis <b>to the patient</b> . (Select all that a
□ Mild	□ Cartilage thinning	□ Fear avoidance
□ Moderate	□ Overloading	Pain sensitivity
□ Severe	□ Overweight	□ Bone on bone
Degeneration	□ Deterioration	□ Weakness
□ Wear and tear	□ Normal ageing	□ Joint swelling
□ Arthritis	□ Joint damage	Other
3.2 What investigation □ None □ Knee x	n(s)/assessment(s), if any, would you -ray □ Blood tests □ C	do/order for this patient at this point ther
3.3 At this consultatio apply)	n, what approaches would you use, o	or suggest, to manage this patient? (please ti
□ None	□ Advice on footwear	□ Exercise
□ Ice	General activity	Injection of steroids
Heat	Provision of walking aid	□ Oral NSAID
□ Rest	□ Weight Loss	□ Topical NSAID
Weak opioids	□ Paracetemol	□ Glucosamine/Chondroitin
□ Other, please state	,	
<ul> <li>Give a leaflet or on</li> <li>Refer to physiother</li> <li>Other (please state</li> <li>3.5 In an ideal world v neither, at this stage?</li> <li>Physiotherapy</li> </ul>	line resource rapy or other exercise specialist e) without barriers, would you refer the p	patient to physiotherapy or orthopaedic const
<ul> <li>Give a leaflet or on</li> <li>Refer to physiother</li> <li>Other (please state</li> <li>3.5 In an ideal world w neither, at this stage?</li> <li>Physiotherapy</li> <li>Orthopaedic consu</li> <li>Neither</li> <li>A la your current pro-</li> </ul>	line resource rapy or other exercise specialist e) without barriers, would you refer the p litant	patient to physiotherapy or orthopaedic consu
<ul> <li>Give a leaflet or on</li> <li>Refer to physiother</li> <li>Other (please state</li> <li>3.5 In an ideal world w</li></ul>	line resource rapy or other exercise specialist e) without barriers, would you refer the p litant actice, would you refer this patient to	patient to physiotherapy or orthopaedic consu
<ul> <li>Give a leaflet or on</li> <li>Refer to physiother</li> <li>Other (please state</li> <li>3.5 In an ideal world v neither, at this stage?</li> <li>Physiotherapy</li> <li>Orthopaedic consu</li> <li>Neither</li> <li>3.6 In your current practice</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> </ul>	line resource rapy or other exercise specialist without barriers, would you refer the p litant actice, would you refer this patient to	patient to physiotherapy or orthopaedic consu
<ul> <li>Give a leaflet or on</li> <li>Refer to physiother</li> <li>Other (please state</li> <li>3.5 In an ideal world w</li></ul>	line resource rapy or other exercise specialist without barriers, would you refer the p litant actice, would you refer this patient to	patient to physiotherapy or orthopaedic const physiotherapy at this stage?
<ul> <li>Give a leaflet or on</li> <li>Refer to physiother</li> <li>Other (please state</li> <li>3.5 In an ideal world v neither, at this stage?</li> <li>Physiotherapy</li> <li>Orthopaedic consu</li> <li>Neither</li> <li>3.6 In your current pra</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If yes, why? (Select a</li> <li>Deemed an approp</li> </ul>	line resource rapy or other exercise specialist without barriers, would you refer the p litant actice, would you refer this patient to Il that apply) priate candidate for supervised conse	patient to physiotherapy or orthopaedic const physiotherapy at this stage?
<ul> <li>Give a leaflet or on</li> <li>Refer to physiother</li> <li>Other (please state</li> <li>3.5 In an ideal world weither, at this stage?</li> <li>Physiotherapy</li> <li>Orthopaedic consu</li> <li>Neither</li> <li>3.6 In your current practice</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If yes, why? (Select a Deemed an appropulate of access to pulsate of access to pulsate of access to pulsate of the set of the</li></ul>	line resource rapy or other exercise specialist without barriers, would you refer the p litant actice, would you refer this patient to Il that apply) briate candidate for supervised conse physiotherapy	patient to physiotherapy or orthopaedic const physiotherapy at this stage? rvative treatment
<ul> <li>Give a leaflet or on</li> <li>Refer to physiother</li> <li>Other (please state</li> <li>3.5 In an ideal world weither, at this stage?</li> <li>Physiotherapy</li> <li>Orthopaedic consurbation</li> <li>Neither</li> <li>3.6 In your current practice</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If yes, why? (Select a Deemed an appropriate of access to propriotice</li> <li>Lack of time to appring the set of programmers)</li> </ul>	line resource rapy or other exercise specialist a)	patient to physiotherapy or orthopaedic const physiotherapy at this stage? rvative treatment practice
<ul> <li>Give a leaflet or on</li> <li>Refer to physiother</li> <li>Other (please state</li> <li>3.5 In an ideal world weither, at this stage?</li> <li>Physiotherapy</li> <li>Orthopaedic consurement of the state</li> <li>Neither</li> <li>3.6 In your current prace</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If yes, why? (Select and the state)</li> <li>Deemed an appropriate application of the state</li> <li>Lack of time to application of the state</li> </ul>	line resource rapy or other exercise specialist e) without barriers, would you refer the p litant actice, would you refer this patient to ull that apply) priate candidate for supervised conse physiotherapy propriately address exercise needs in o NSAIDS	patient to physiotherapy or orthopaedic const physiotherapy at this stage? rvative treatment practice
<ul> <li>Give a leaflet or on</li> <li>Refer to physiother</li> <li>Other (please state</li> <li>3.5 In an ideal world weither, at this stage?</li> <li>Physiotherapy</li> <li>Orthopaedic consults</li> <li>Neither</li> <li>3.6 In your current prace</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If yes, why? (Select a Deemed an appropriate propriate proprise propriate proprise proprise propriate propriate propriate propriate propriate pr</li></ul>	line resource rapy or other exercise specialist without barriers, would you refer the p litant actice, would you refer this patient to Il that apply) briate candidate for supervised conse physiotherapy bropriately address exercise needs in to NSAIDS	patient to physiotherapy or orthopaedic const physiotherapy at this stage? rvative treatment practice
<ul> <li>Give a leaflet or on</li> <li>Refer to physiother</li> <li>Other (please state</li> <li>3.5 In an ideal world weither, at this stage?</li> <li>Physiotherapy</li> <li>Orthopaedic consurtion</li> <li>Neither</li> <li>3.6 In your current prace</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If yes, why? (Select and the properties of access to predict the properties of access the properties of access to predict the properties of access to predict the properties of access to predict the properties of access the properties of access to predict the properties of access to properties of access the properties of access to properties of access to properties of access the properties of access the properties of access the properties of acce</li></ul>	line resource rapy or other exercise specialist a)	patient to physiotherapy or orthopaedic consu physiotherapy at this stage? rvative treatment practice
<ul> <li>Give a leaflet or on</li> <li>Refer to physiother</li> <li>Other (please state</li> <li>3.5 In an ideal world weither, at this stage?</li> <li>Physiotherapy</li> <li>Orthopaedic consurce</li> <li>Neither</li> <li>3.6 In your current prace</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If yes, why? (Select and the properties of access to properties of access to properties of access to properties of access to properties of the properties of a component of the properties of the prop</li></ul>	line resource rapy or other exercise specialist e) without barriers, would you refer the p latant actice, would you refer this patient to ull that apply) priate candidate for supervised conse physiotherapy propriately address exercise needs in o NSAIDS candidate for conservative treatment	patient to physiotherapy or orthopaedic const physiotherapy at this stage? rvative treatment practice
<ul> <li>Give a leaflet or on</li> <li>Refer to physiother</li> <li>Other (please state</li> <li>3.5 In an ideal world weither, at this stage?</li> <li>Physiotherapy</li> <li>Orthopaedic consurce</li> <li>Neither</li> <li>3.6 In your current prace</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If yes, why? (Select and the properties of access to predict the prediction of the properties of access to predict the prediction of the properties of the propert</li></ul>	line resource rapy or other exercise specialist a)	patient to physiotherapy or orthopaedic const physiotherapy at this stage? rvative treatment practice
<ul> <li>Give a leaflet or on</li> <li>Refer to physiother</li> <li>Other (please state</li> <li>3.5 In an ideal world weither, at this stage?</li> <li>Physiotherapy</li> <li>Orthopaedic consures</li> <li>Neither</li> <li>3.6 In your current prace</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If yes, why? (Select and the properties of access to predict the prediction of the predict</li></ul>	line resource rapy or other exercise specialist a) without barriers, would you refer the p iltant actice, would you refer this patient to II that apply) oriate candidate for supervised conse physiotherapy oropriately address exercise needs in o NSAIDS ct all that apply) candidate for conservative treatment and poor access to physiotherapy are a priority	patient to physiotherapy or orthopaedic consu physiotherapy at this stage? rvative treatment practice
<ul> <li>Give a leaflet or on</li> <li>Refer to physiother</li> <li>Other (please state</li> <li>3.5 In an ideal world weither, at this stage?</li> <li>Physiotherapy</li> <li>Orthopaedic consurce</li> <li>Neither</li> <li>3.6 In your current prace</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If yes, why? (Select and properties of access to predice the state)</li> <li>Lack of time to apperties of access to predice the state of th</li></ul>	line resource rapy or other exercise specialist without barriers, would you refer the p litant actice, would you refer this patient to Il that apply) priate candidate for supervised conse physiotherapy propriately address exercise needs in to NSAIDS t all that apply) candidate for conservative treatment and poor access to physiotherapy are a priority the pain worse	physiotherapy or orthopaedic consu physiotherapy at this stage? rvative treatment practice
<ul> <li>Give a leaflet or on</li> <li>Refer to physiother</li> <li>Other (please state</li> <li>3.5 In an ideal world weither, at this stage?</li> <li>Physiotherapy</li> <li>Orthopaedic consurce</li> <li>Neither</li> <li>3.6 In your current prace</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If yes, why? (Select and the properties of access to predict the predict of the properties of access to predict of the properties of access to predict of the properties of access to predict of the properties of the p</li></ul>	line resource rapy or other exercise specialist without barriers, would you refer the p litant actice, would you refer this patient to Il that apply) briate candidate for supervised conse physiotherapy bropriately address exercise needs in to NSAIDS ct all that apply) candidate for conservative treatment and poor access to physiotherapy are a priority the pain worse kercise	patient to physiotherapy or orthopaedic consu physiotherapy at this stage? rvative treatment practice
<ul> <li>Give a leaflet or on</li> <li>Refer to physiother</li> <li>Other (please state</li> <li>3.5 In an ideal world weither, at this stage?</li> <li>Physiotherapy</li> <li>Orthopaedic consures</li> <li>Neither</li> <li>3.6 In your current prace</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If yes, why? (Select and the properties of access to properties of access will make</li> <li>Not an appropriate</li> <li>Long waiting lists and other interventions</li> <li>Exercise will make</li> <li>Patient has tried exponent of the properties of access to properties of access will make</li> <li>I would prefer to exponent of the properties of the propertie</li></ul>	line resource rapy or other exercise specialist a)	physiotherapy at this stage? rvative treatment practice
<ul> <li>Give a leaflet or on</li> <li>Refer to physiother</li> <li>Other (please state</li> <li>3.5 In an ideal world weither, at this stage?</li> <li>Physiotherapy</li> <li>Orthopaedic consurtion</li> <li>Neither</li> <li>3.6 In your current prace</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If yes, why? (Select and the properties of access to properties of a correspondent of the properties of access to properties of the properties of access to properties of the properties of th</li></ul>	line resource rapy or other exercise specialist without barriers, would you refer the p litant actice, would you refer this patient to Ill that apply) priate candidate for supervised conse physiotherapy propriately address exercise needs in to NSAIDS et all that apply) candidate for conservative treatment and poor access to physiotherapy are a priority the pain worse kercise kamine further therapeutic options first injection)	physiotherapy at this stage? rvative treatment practice
<ul> <li>Give a leaflet or on</li> <li>Refer to physiother</li> <li>Other (please state</li> <li>3.5 In an ideal world weither, at this stage?</li> <li>Physiotherapy</li> <li>Orthopaedic consures</li> <li>Neither</li> <li>3.6 In your current prace</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If yes, why? (Select and the properties of access to properties of access to properties of access to properties of a correst of the properties of a correst of the properties of a correst of the properties of the prop</li></ul>	line resource rapy or other exercise specialist a)	physiotherapy at this stage? rvative treatment practice at (e.g., develop a pain management plan or g

🗆 Will li	kely need a joint replacement in a few years so put on waiting list now
□ Need	a specialist opinion
Other	r
lf no, wł	ny not? (Select all that apply)
□ More	conservative treatmenta have not been exhausted
□ Symr	ptoms not severe enough to warrant joint replacement
□ Waiti	ng list too long
Other	ſ
3.8 Woi	uld you refer the patient to see someone else, either in the primary or community team or into
care, at	this point?
∐ No	
ir yes, v	
Sectior	4. Barriers and enablers to exercise prescription and referral in general practice
In vour	practice and experience of treating patients with osteparthritis, what are the main harriers to e
prescrip	tion or referral? (Please select all that apply)
	incient expertise
	realists about the effects of exercise
	rtainty about the most appropriate exercise type
	rtainty about the safety of exercise
	and accessibility of physiotherapy for patient
	lotnerapy waiting lists are too long
	of a standardized physiotherapy programme for OA in the region
	nts preier other management options
	hts want an orthopaedic consultant referral
	ritu of diagona (aumatama tao mild)
	rity of disease (symptoms too solvers)
	rade of patient
	age of many comorbidities
□ Othe	
What er	nablers would help you to prescribe or refer a patient with osteoarthritis to exercise in your pra-
	ased formal post-qualification education e.g. optiona or masters
	ased post-qualification training e.g. worksnops, videos
	ased exercise education during GP training
	consultation time to provide exercise prescription
	er waiting lists and improved access to physiotherapy
	ance of an evidence-based physiotherapy-supervised group exercise programme for osteoartr
	nts who recognize the importance of strategies for self-management of pain using appropriate
recomm	iendations
	cost community-based exercise programmes
	meration for exercise prescription and follow up consultations
L ()the	

## Supplemental File 1

Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare professional and patient beliefs

# <u>Survey 2:</u> Beliefs, Barriers and Enablers to Group Exercise Programme Delivery for Hip and Knee Osteoarthritis in Physiotherapy Practice in Ireland

The questionnaire is divided into 3 sections and should take approximately **7 minutes** to complete. Instructions for completing this questionnaire

- When completing the questionnaire, please try and provide answers that most accurately reflect your usual clinical practice. There are no 'correct' or 'incorrect' answers.
- Please do not consult any literature while completing this questionnaire.

## Section 1. Information about you

•••	
1.	How long have you been qualified as a Physiotherapist? <ul> <li>Less than 5 years experience</li> <li>5-10 years experience</li> </ul>
	□ Greater than 10 years experience
2.	How many Physiotherapists work in your clinic (including yourself)
3.	Are you: Female Male Other Prefer not to disclose
4.	Is your primary work setting:
	Public hospital
	Private hospital
	Primary, community and continuing care
	Private practice clinic
	Education
	Other (please state)
5.	Have you undertaken any specific post-qualification training, which involved education about hip or knee
	osteoarthritis or chronic pain? (By this we do not mean clinical placements or jobs in rheumatology or
	orthopaedics)   Yes  No
If y	es, what type of training? (Provide additional details if you wish to expand)
	Additional details
	M.Sc. (taught) in this/similar field     Additional details
	M.Sc. (research) in this/similar field     Additional details
	PhD in this/similar field     Additional details
	Day, weekend or online course (please name most relevant)
~	Li Other
6.	How would you rate your confidence in treating hip and knee osteoarthritis?
	Extremely confident
7.	Do you have, or have you ever suffered from chronic knee or hip pain yourself?  Yes No
8.	What percentage of your typical caseload is made up of patients with hip and/or knee osteoarthritis?
	$\Box 1-5\%$ $\Box 6-25\%$ $\Box 26-50\%$ $\Box 51-75\%$ $\Box >75\%$
Se	ction 2. Exercise beliefs for hip and knee osteoarthritis
2.1	Where do you access your knowledge of management for persons with knee or hip osteoarthritis? (Tick all that apply)
	Published guidelines or recommendations (e.g. NICE, EULAR, OARSI)
	Clinic protocols, discussion with peers or in-services
	Reading published research articles
<u>п</u> .	Twitter or other social media
	Podcasts

□Blogs

- □Videos
- $\Box$  ISCP specialist groups and other network events
- Conference attendance
- 60 Course attendance

# Supplemental File 1

Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare professional and patient beliefs

□ Other

Please now rank in order your preferred resources to learn from

#### We are interested in your views about the role of exercise in the treatment of hip and knee osteoarthritis. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the statements given by ticking one box per row.

Question	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
2.2 Hip and knee problems are improved by general exercise e.g. walking and swimming	Diodgioc				
2.3 Hip and knee problems are improved by specific muscle strengthening exercises					
2.4 Hip and knee problems are improved by focusing on motor or neuromuscular contro of the joints during exercise	I				
2.5 General exercise e.g. walking and swimming is safe for most patients to do					
2.6 Specific muscle strengthening exercise is safe for most patients to do					
2.7 Neuromuscular control exercises are safe for most patients to do					
2.8 Every patient with hip or knee OA should try conservative exercise treatment before surgery is considered					
2.9 Exercise for hip or knee OA is most beneficial when it is tailored to meet individual patient needs	K				
2.10 A standard set of exercises with individual progression is sufficient for every patient with hip or knee OA	6				
2.11 Education on lifestyle change is important for patients with OA					
2.12 Education on strategies for self- management of pain are important for patients with OA		9.			
2.13 It is important that people with OA increase their overall activity levels	•	4			
2.14 Exercise is effective for patients if an x-ray shows severe knee osteoarthritis		L			
2.15 Most patients with hip or knee OA would benefit from a supervised group exercise programme		C			
2.16 Most patients with hip or knee OA would benefit from an individualized exercise programme					

## Section 3. Barriers and enablers to exercise programme delivery in physiotherapy practice

3.1 Please select the current level of government COVID19 restrictions in place as you are completing this survey □ Level 5

 Level 1 Level 2 Level Level 4

3.2 Pre-COVID19 restrictions in March 2020, were you or your clinic providing group exercise classes for patients with hip or knee osteoarthritis? 
Ves 
No

If Yes, what was the average number of classes per week? \_

If No, were you interested in offering group exercise classes for osteoarthritis in an ideal world and if no barriers existed?

□ Yes □ No

## **Supplemental File 1**

Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare professional and patient beliefs

# 3.3 **Pre-COVID19** restrictions in March 2020, **what** were the main **barriers** to providing group exercise programmes for patients with osteoarthritis in your practice? (Please select all that apply)

□ None

- □ Insufficient space and equipment resources
- □ Insufficient personnel (staff) resources
- □ Insufficient referrals or low OA caseload
- Patients want individualized programmes
- □ Patients prefer other management options e.g. manual therapy
- Insufficient expertise
  - Uncertainty about the effects of exercise
  - Uncertainty about the most appropriate exercise type
  - Uncertainty about the safety of exercise
  - Cost for patient
  - □ Access for patient (e.g. travel, parking, time)
  - □ Scheduling conflict related to patient working hours and clinic hours
  - □ Lack of a standardised programme or protocol for exercise for OA
  - English language barrier for patients
  - □ Lack of support from colleagues or managers
  - Other \_\_\_\_\_
    - 3.4 Are you <u>currently</u> offering group exercise classes for patients with hip or knee osteoarthritis and to what capacity?
  - Yes, face to face at full capacity
  - □ Yes, face to face at reduced capacity compared to Pre-COVID19 restrictions
  - □ Yes, online classes only
  - □ Yes, combination of face-to-face and online
  - 🗆 No

3.5 **Under current restrictions**, are there any **additional barriers** to providing **face-to-face** group exercise programmes for patients with osteoarthritis in your practice? (Please select all that apply)

□ None

- Government restrictions currently do not allow for group classes
- □ Hospital or clinic protocols currently do not allow for group classes
- Patients do not want to attend clinic
- □ Not enough resources for adequate distancing for class members
- □ Sanitization procedures are too time consuming
- Own COVID-related safety concerns
- Other \_

# 3.6 Under current restrictions, are there any additional barriers to providing online group exercise

programmes for patients with osteoarthritis in your practice? (Please select all that apply)

- □ None
- □ Lack of IT resources in clinic (e.g. online platform, webcams, high speed Wi-Fi)
- Lack of personnel (staff) with IT knowledge
- Patients lack IT resources or knowledge
- □ Patients prefer to wait until they can access face-to-face treatment
- □ Uncertainty about the effectiveness of online group exercise
- Own personal preference
- □ Other \_

3.7 What **enablers** would help you to provide **face to face group exercise** classes to patients with osteoarthritis in your practice if COVID restrictions were not a factor? (Please select all that apply)

None

- □ More university post-qualification education e.g. diploma or masters
- □ More other post-qualification training e.g. short courses, workshops, videos
- More education on group exercise delivery during physiotherapy training
- Appropriate referrals from GP or other sources
- □ GPs who impart knowledge regarding benefits of exercise to patients upon referral

58 59

	Supplemental File 1
1	Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare
2	professional and patient beliefs
3	Resources to deliver quality educational material regarding self-management alongside exercise
4	More support from colleagues or managers
5	□ Other
6	
7	3.8 What <b>enablers</b> would help you to provide an option of <b>online</b> group exercise classes to patients with
8	osteoartnritis in your practice? (Please select all that apply)
9	□ None
10	□ Improved IT Intrastructure in clinic (e.g. laptops, webcams)
11	$\Box$ Triskills resources for delivering online programmes (e.g. tutorials, do's and don'ts)
12	Access to Tr resources (e.g. tutorials) to provide patients with
13	
14	□ Strong evidence for effectiveness of existing online programmes
15	$\Box$ An online registry allowing collection of patient outcomes pre- and post- programme
16	
17	3.9 Would you be interested in receiving training (1.5 day workshop) to effectively implement and deliver a
18	standardized, international, evidence-based group exercise and education programme with online and face-
19	to-face options for patients with osteoarthritis in your clinic?
20	Extremely interested
21	□ Very interested
22	Moderately interested
23	□ Slightly interested
24	□ Not at all interested
25	If not interested, why?
26	
27	3.10 If interested, how much would you be willing to pay for this continuous professional development training?
28	□€100-150
29	□€151-200
30	
31	
32	
33	□ More than €350
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your time and participation is greatly
39	appreciated.
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	

Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare

Supplemental File 1

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49 50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

#### professional and patient beliefs Survey 3: Survey on the Role of Exercise for Hip and Knee Osteoarthritis in Adults in Ireland The questions below are divided into 3 sections. Please complete the questions to the best of your ability. Section 1. Information about you 1. Are you: Female □ Male □ Other □ Prefer not to disclose 2. Which age category do you fall into? $\Box$ 30 to 39 years $\Box$ 40 to 49 years □ 50 to 59 years $\Box$ 60 to 69 years □ 70 to 79 years $\Box$ 80 to 89 years □ 90 years or older Which province in Ireland do you reside in? Munster Ulster Connacht Leinster \*\*If "Ulster" is selected, question 3(b) will appear. 3(b) Do you access your healthcare in: □Northern Ireland (NHS) □Republic of Ireland (HSE) □A combination of both 4. Which of the following best describes where you live? □ Inner citv $\Box$ Suburb of a city □ Town □ Village □ Open country □ Island off Ireland 5. Have you ever been told by a health professional that you have a diagnosis of the following? (Select all that apply) □ Arthritis Diabetes Mellitus (type 1 or 2) □ Osteoarthritis Kidney or liver disease □ Wear and tear □ Anemia (reduced number of red blood cells) □ Degenerative changes Other blood disease □ Rheumatoid arthritis □ Cancer □ Hypertension □ Depression □ Heart Disease □ Anxiety □ Ulcer or other bowel diseases Other mental health disorder Neurological disease e.g. Parkinson's/MS □ Respiratory diseases e.g. COPD □ Thyroid Disease □ Hemochromatosis Fibromyalgia □ Other health condition 6. Have you had pain and joint symptoms in any of the following joints for 6 months or more (select all that apply) □Left Knee □Right Knee □Right Hip □Left Hip □Right Ankle □Left Ankle □Right Shoulder □Left Shoulder □Right Elbow □Left Elbow □Right Wrist □Left Wrist □Right Hand/Fingers □Left Hand/Fingers □Lower Back □Other, please describe\_ □Mid Back □Neck 7. Have you ever had joint replacement surgery for any of your painful joints? Please select below the joints that have been replaced. □Left Knee □Right Knee □Right Hip □Left Hip □Right Ankle □Left Ankle □Right Shoulder □Left Shoulder

1	S	upplemental File 1			
1	G	uideline-based exercise mar	agement for hip a	and knee osteoarthritis: d	ifferences in healthcare
3	рі		5 - (1 )		
4					
5			ell WIISL		
6			en nanu/ringers		
7	8	Of your hip and/or knee joints	that have <b>NOT</b> hee	en replaced which joint are	you most bothered by? (select
8	0.	one)			
9		□Right Knee □Le	eft Knee		
10		□Right Hip □Le	eft Hip		
11	A	I remaining questions will no	w be related to the	e joint that you have chose	en.
12	9.	How long have you been expe	eriencing pain in you	ur [insert chosen joint]?	
13		$\Box$ 6 months – 1 year			
14		$\Box$ 1 – 2 years			
15		$\Box 2 - 3$ years			
16		$\square 3 - 4$ years			
17		$\Box$ 4 – 5 years			
18	40	☐ More than 5 years		a sinfert line and also say is in th	
19	10.	Have you seen or spoken to y	our GP about your	paintui linsert chosen jointje	
20	11.	Have you ever had an x-ray o	to an orthonaedi	n jointj? 🗆 Yes 🗆 No c consultant for your linser	t chosen jointl?
21	12.		a to all <b>orthopaedi</b>		
22					
23		$\Box$ Currently on a waiting list (	private consultant r	referral)	
24		$\Box$ Currently on a waiting list (	public consultant re	eferral)	
25	**	If on a waiting list, how long ha	ve vou been waiting	1?	
26		□ Less than 6 months		5	
27		$\Box$ 6 months – 1 year			
28		$\square$ 1 vear – 1.5 vears			
29		$\square$ 1.5 years – 2 years			
30		□ More than 2 years			
31	13.	Has your GP ever referred yo	u to a <b>physiothera</b>	pist for your [insert chosen	ioint]?
32		□ Yes			-
33		□ No			
34		Currently on a waiting list (	private consultant r	eferral)	
35		□ Currently on a waiting list (	public consultant re	eferral)	
36		* If on a waiting list, how long	have you been wa	iting?	
37		$\Box$ Less than 6 months			
38		🗆 6 months – 1 year			
39		🗆 1 year – 1.5 years			
40		1.5 years – 2 years			
41		More than 2 years			
42	14.	How would you rate the pain a	and symptoms you a	are experiencing in your hip	and/or knee on an average
43		day?			
44		□ No pain or symptoms			
45		Mild pain and symptoms			
46			oms		
47	15	□ Severe pain and symptom	S so following on osific	ally for your lineart above	inint]0
48	15. M	uscle strengthening exercise	ie following specific	any for your linsen chosen	joint]?
49	(e	a using weight/resistance ban	d) ⊡No never	□Yes currently using	□Yes_stopped using
50	Ae	erobic exercise			
51	(e	.g. cycling, walking, fitness clas	s) □No, never	□Yes, currently usina	□Yes, stopped usina
52	In	formation/Education course	, .,	<b>,,, -, -</b>	
53	(e	.g. self-management programm	e) ⊡No, never	□Yes, currently using	□Yes, stopped using
54	M	aking efforts to lose weight	□No, never	□Yes, currently using	□Yes, stopped using
55		-			-
56					
57					

# Supplemental File 1

Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare professional and patient beliefs

# Section 2. Exercise beliefs for hip and knee osteoarthritis

We are interested in your views about the role of exercise in the treatment of hip and knee osteoarthritis. Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the statements given by selecting one option per question.

Question	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
2.1 Hip and knee problems can be improved by general exercise e.g. walking and swimming					
2.2 Hip and knee problems can be improved by specific muscle strengthening exercises					
2.3 General exercise e.g. walking and swimming is safe for everybody to do					
2.4 Specific muscle strengthening exercise is safe for everyone to do					
2.5 Every patient with hip or knee osteoarthritis should try exercise treatment before surgery is considered					
2.6 Patients should learn more about how to self-manage their pain and symptoms using exercise and physical activity					
2.7 The best way to learn about exercise is in a supervised group setting with people who have similar pain (Pre-COVID-19 restrictions)					
2.8 The best way to learn about exercise is in a one-on-one setting with a health professional (Pre-COVID-19 restrictions)	X				
2.9 Exercise is effective for patients if an x-ray shows severe knee osteoarthritis					
2.10 Exercise works just as well for everybody, regardless of the amount of pain they have	R				

#### Section 3. Barriers and enablers to exercise for hip and knee osteoarthritis In this section we want to know more about your exercise experience and what kinds of things would prevent you or help you do more exercise

- 3.1 How many times a week do you exercise (e.g. 30 minute walk)?
  - □ 3 or more days per week
  - $\Box$  Less than 3 days per week
  - □ I don't exercise
- 3.2 Has a health professional ever given you specific exercises for your [insert chosen joint]?
  - □ Yes
  - 🗆 No
  - □ Not sure
  - \*If Yes, what type of health professional? (select all that apply)
  - Physiotherapist
  - $\Box$  GP
- Orthopaedic surgeon
- □ Nurse
  - Personal trainer
  - Other, please name \_
  - \*If Yes, what type of exercise?
  - Home-based individual exercises
  - □ Group exercise class for osteoarthritis
  - Other, please state \_
    - \*If Yes, did you find the exercise beneficial?
    - □ Yes
    - 🗆 No
    - Not sure
  - 3.3 Please select the current level of government COVID19 restrictions in place as you are completing this survey

0	BMJ Open
	Supplemental File 1 Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare professional and patient beliefs
	Level 1    Level 2    Level 4    Level 5 (strictest restrictions)
	<ul> <li>3.4 Thinking about life without COVID19 restrictions, what are the main barriers that would prevent you from exercising? (Please select all that apply)</li> <li>Pain or other joint symptoms</li> <li>I need assistance for mobility e.g. walking stick, wheelchair</li> <li>Finding time to exercise</li> <li>Lack of enjoyment from exercise</li> <li>Lack of exercise buddy or support network</li> <li>Wet or cold weather</li> <li>Other health problems</li> <li>Other disability e.g. visual impairment</li> <li>Cost of a gym membership or physiotherapy visit</li> <li>Cost of a gym membership or exercise for joint pain</li> <li>I don't know the best types of exercise for joint pain</li> <li>Uncertainty about the safety of exercise for joint pain</li> <li>Negative body image</li> <li>Access to facilities (e.g. availability, travel, parking)</li> <li>Work commitments</li> <li>Family commitments or other responsibilities</li> <li>Age</li> <li>Fear of injury</li> <li>Tiredness and fatigue</li> <li>Depression</li> </ul>
	Depression Other
	<ul> <li>3.5 Thinking about life without COVID19 restrictions, what types of things would help you to exercise more? (Please select all that apply)</li> <li>Better knowledge of the best type of exercise to do</li> <li>Access to exercise that is supervised by a health professional</li> <li>Social aspect e.g. group exercise with other people with hip or knee pain</li> <li>More confidence in your joint</li> <li>Exercise recommendations from a GP</li> <li>Exercise recommendations from a physiotherapist</li> <li>More support from family or friends</li> <li>Warm and dry weather for outdoor exercise</li> <li>Low cost community exercise programmes</li> <li>Safe exercise environment (e.g. well-lit pathways)</li> <li>Other</li></ul>
	<ul> <li>3.6 Thinking about life without COVID-19, how interested would you be in attending a 6-week, twice per week, physiotherapy-supervised group exercise and education class for your hip or knee pain at a clinic or community centre?</li> <li>Extremely interested</li> <li>Very interested</li> <li>Moderately interested</li> <li>Slightly interested</li> <li>Net at all interested</li> </ul>
	Li Not at all Interested

3.7 Thinking about **current restrictions**, how interested would you be in taking part in a 6-week, twice per week, **ONLINE** physiotherapy-supervised group exercise and education class for you hip or knee pain?

Extremely interested

Very interested

- Moderately interested
- Slightly interested

□ Not at all interested	
If not interested, why? _	
3.8 Do you have any exprofessional?	perience with online-delivered healthcare or telerehabilitation from a GP or other hea
□Yes	
□ No	
3.9 What are the <b>barrier</b> apply)	rs that would prevent you taking part in an <b>online exercise</b> class? (Please select all
□ Lack of technology ed	uipment (e.g. laptop, smartphone or tablet, webcams)
$\hfill\square$ Lack of confidence in	using computers, laptops etc.
U Wi-Fi / Broadband co	nnection is not good enough
□ Preference to wait un	til I can access face-to-face treatment
$\Box$ Uncertain about how	online group exercise would work
□ Lack of space in hom	e environment to perform exercises
English language bar	riers
□ Lack of time to take p	art
□ Other	
3.10 What would <b>help y</b> (Please select all th	<b>ou</b> to take part in an <b>online</b> group exercise class with other people with osteoarthriti at apply)
□ An initial one-to-one s	ession with a physiotherapist to get familiar with the process
□ Resources (e.g. video	os) with explanations of how to get started
□ Improved Wi-Fi and b	andwidth
Examples and testime	pnials from patients who have finished the classes
$\Box$ Opportunities to chat	online with other patients before and after the class
□ Support from family m	nembers to get set up in your home
□ A laptop or tablet	
□ A laptop or tablet □ Other	
<ul> <li>A laptop or tablet</li> <li>Other</li> <li>3.11 If interested, how n entire 14-15 session</li> </ul>	nuch would you be willing to pay to take part in these exercise classes (price in euro
<ul> <li>□ A laptop or tablet</li> <li>□ Other</li> <li>3.11 If interested, how mentire 14-15 session</li> <li>□ €0-25</li> </ul>	nuch would you be willing to pay to take part in these exercise classes (price in euro
<ul> <li>A laptop or tablet</li> <li>Other</li> <li>3.11 If interested, how mentire 14-15 session</li> <li>€0-25</li> <li>€26-50</li> </ul>	nuch would you be willing to pay to take part in these exercise classes (price in euro
<ul> <li>A laptop or tablet</li> <li>Other</li> <li>3.11 If interested, how mentire 14-15 session</li> <li>€0-25</li> <li>€26-50</li> <li>€51-100</li> </ul>	nuch would you be willing to pay to take part in these exercise classes (price in euro
<ul> <li>A laptop or tablet</li> <li>Other</li> <li>3.11 If interested, how n entire 14-15 session</li> <li>€0-25</li> <li>€26-50</li> <li>€51-100</li> <li>€101-150</li> </ul>	nuch would you be willing to pay to take part in these exercise classes (price in euro
<ul> <li>A laptop or tablet</li> <li>Other</li></ul>	nuch would you be willing to pay to take part in these exercise classes (price in euro n programme)?
<ul> <li>A laptop or tablet</li> <li>Other</li></ul>	nuch would you be willing to pay to take part in these exercise classes (price in euro n programme)?
<ul> <li>A laptop or tablet</li> <li>Other</li> <li>3.11 If interested, how mentire 14-15 session</li> <li>€0-25</li> <li>€26-50</li> <li>€51-100</li> <li>€101-150</li> <li>€151-200</li> <li>&gt;€200</li> </ul>	nuch would you be willing to pay to take part in these exercise classes (price in euro
<ul> <li>A laptop or tablet</li> <li>Other</li> <li>3.11 If interested, how mentire 14-15 session</li> <li>€0-25</li> <li>€26-50</li> <li>€51-100</li> <li>€101-150</li> <li>€151-200</li> <li>&gt; €200</li> <li>Thank you for taking</li> </ul>	nuch would you be willing to pay to take part in these exercise classes (price in euro n programme)?
<ul> <li>A laptop or tablet</li> <li>Other</li> <li>3.11 If interested, how mentire 14-15 session</li> <li>€0-25</li> <li>€26-50</li> <li>€51-100</li> <li>€101-150</li> <li>€151-200</li> <li>&gt; €200</li> <li>Thank you for taking greatly appreciated</li> </ul>	nuch would you be willing to pay to take part in these exercise classes (price in euro n programme)?
<ul> <li>A laptop or tablet</li> <li>Other</li> <li>3.11 If interested, how mentire 14-15 session</li> <li>€0-25</li> <li>€26-50</li> <li>€51-100</li> <li>€101-150</li> <li>€151-200</li> <li>&gt; €200</li> <li>Thank you for taking greatly appreciated</li> </ul>	nuch would you be willing to pay to take part in these exercise classes (price in euro in programme)?
<ul> <li>A laptop or tablet</li> <li>Other</li></ul>	nuch would you be willing to pay to take part in these exercise classes (price in euro n programme)?
<ul> <li>A laptop or tablet</li> <li>Other</li></ul>	nuch would you be willing to pay to take part in these exercise classes (price in euro n programme)?
<ul> <li>A laptop or tablet</li> <li>Other</li> <li>3.11 If interested, how mentire 14-15 session</li> <li>€0-25</li> <li>€26-50</li> <li>€51-100</li> <li>€101-150</li> <li>€151-200</li> <li>&gt;€200</li> <li>Thank you for taking greatly appreciation</li> </ul>	nuch would you be willing to pay to take part in these exercise classes (price in euro n programme)?
<ul> <li>A laptop or tablet</li> <li>Other</li> <li>3.11 If interested, how mentire 14-15 session</li> <li>€0-25</li> <li>€26-50</li> <li>€51-100</li> <li>€101-150</li> <li>€151-200</li> <li>&gt; €200</li> <li>Thank you for taking greatly appreciated</li> </ul>	nuch would you be willing to pay to take part in these exercise classes (price in euro n programme)?
<ul> <li>A laptop or tablet</li> <li>Other</li> <li>3.11 If interested, how mentire 14-15 session</li> <li>€0-25</li> <li>€26-50</li> <li>€51-100</li> <li>€101-150</li> <li>€151-200</li> <li>&gt; €200</li> <li>Thank you for taking greatly appreciated</li> </ul>	nuch would you be willing to pay to take part in these exercise classes (price in euro n programme)?
<ul> <li>A laptop or tablet</li> <li>Other</li> <li>3.11 If interested, how mentire 14-15 session</li> <li>€0-25</li> <li>€26-50</li> <li>€151-100</li> <li>€101-150</li> <li>€151-200</li> <li>&gt;€200</li> <li>Thank you for taking greatly appreciated</li> </ul>	nuch would you be willing to pay to take part in these exercise classes (price in euro n programme)?
<ul> <li>A laptop or tablet</li> <li>Other</li> <li>3.11 If interested, how mentire 14-15 session</li> <li>€0-25</li> <li>€26-50</li> <li>€51-100</li> <li>€101-150</li> <li>€151-200</li> <li>&gt;€200</li> <li>Thank you for taking greatly appreciation</li> </ul>	nuch would you be willing to pay to take part in these exercise classes (price in euro n programme)?
<ul> <li>A laptop or tablet</li> <li>Other</li> <li>3.11 If interested, how mentire 14-15 session</li> <li>€0-25</li> <li>€26-50</li> <li>€51-100</li> <li>€101-150</li> <li>€151-200</li> <li>&gt; €200</li> <li>Thank you for taking greatly appreciated</li> </ul>	nuch would you be willing to pay to take part in these exercise classes (price in euro n programme)?

## Supplemental File 2

Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare professional and patient beliefs

**Supplemental Table**: Multivariable linear regression models to determine if positive beliefs about exercise in PwOA are associated with (1) referral to physiotherapist by a GP and (2) if they have seen a physiotherapist for their joint pain.

Dependent Variable: Number of exercise belief statements agreed with									
Variables Model 1 <sup>a</sup>	Partial					95% CI for EXP(B)			
	В	S.E.	Correlation	VIF	Sig.	Exp(B)	Lower	Upper	
Has your GP ever referred you to a physiotherapist for your painful joint?	0.700	0.400	0.187	1.124	0.084	0.185	-0.095	1.496	
Sex	-0.620	0.374	-0.177	1.015	0.101	-0.166	-1.363	0.124	
How long have you been experiencing pain in your joint?	-0.163	0.100	-0.174	1.130	0.106	-0.173	-0.361	0.035	
Number of comorbidities	-0.314	0.123	0.268	1.027	0.012	-0.259	-0.557	-0.070	
Constant 7.687 0.604		-	-	0.000	-	6.485	8.888		
Model 2 <sup>b</sup>									
Have you seen a physiotherapist for your painful joint?	1.060	0.383	0.288	1.138	0.007	0.287	0.299	1.821	
Sex	-0.723	0.362	-0.212	1.003	0.049	-0.194	-1.444	-0.003	
How long have you been experiencing pain in your joint?	-0.204	0.099	-0.219	1.163	0.042	-0.216	-0.400	-0.008	
Number of comorbidities	-0.293	0.119	-0.257	1.026	0.016	-0.241	-0.530	-0.055	
Constant	7 680	0 585	_		000	_	6 03/	0 652	

Constant7.6800.585--0.000-6.0349.653aModel variables removed due to non-significance (1): How long have you been experiencing pain in your joint?,<br/>How would you rate the pain and symptoms you are experiencing in your hip and/or knee on an average day?.bModel variables removed due to non-significance (2): How would you rate the pain and symptoms you are<br/>experiencing in your hip and/or knee on an average day?.bModel variables removed due to non-significance (2): How would you rate the pain and symptoms you are<br/>experiencing in your hip and/or knee on an average day?.bModel variables removed due to non-significance (2): How would you rate the pain and symptoms you are<br/>experiencing in your hip and/or knee on an average day?.bModel variables removed due to non-significance (2): How would you rate the pain and symptoms you are<br/>experiencing in your hip and/or knee on an average day?.bModel variables removed due to non-significance (2): How would you rate the pain and symptoms you are<br/>experiencing in your hip and/or knee on an average day?.bModel variables removed due to non-significance (2): How would you rate the pain and symptoms you are<br/>experiencing in your hip and/or knee on an average day?.bModel variables removed due to non-significance (2): How would you rate the pain and symptoms you are<br/>experiencing in your hip and/or knee on an average day?.bModel variables removed due to non-significance (2): How would you rate the pain and symptoms you are<br/>experiencing in your hip and/or knee on an average day?.bModel variables removed due to non-significance (2): How would you rate the pain and symptoms you are<br/>experiencing in your hip and/or knee on an average day?.bModel variables removed due to non-s

3
4
5
6
0
/
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
17
10 10
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
20
27
20
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
20
20
27
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59

STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of cross-sectional stud	dies

	Item No	Recommendation	Page No		
Title and abstract1		( <i>a</i> ) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract			
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of	1		
		what was done and what was found			
Introduction					
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation	3-4		
		being reported	-		
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	4		
Methods					
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	4-5		
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods	4-5		
C		of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection			
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of	5		
-		selection of participants			
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential	5-6		
		confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if			
		applicable			
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of	5-7		
measurement		methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of			
		assessment methods if there is more than one group			
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	n/a		
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	n/a		
Quantitative variables	Quantitative variables 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If		5-6		
		applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why			
Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for		(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for	6-7		
		confounding			
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	6-7		
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	7		
		(d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of	6-7		
		sampling strategy			
		( <u>e</u> ) Describe any sensitivity analyses	n/a		
Results					
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers	7		
		potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible,			
		included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed			
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	7		
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	n/a		
Descriptive data	escriptive data 14* (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic,		Table 1		
		clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential			
	confounders				
(b) Indicat		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable	Table 1		
		of interest			

Outcome data 15*		Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures		
Main results	16	( <i>a</i> ) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	Table 3	
		( <i>b</i> ) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	n/a	
		( <i>c</i> ) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	n/a	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	n/a	
Discussion				
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	14-15	
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	18	
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	16,17	
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	18	
Other information				
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	18	

\*Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

**Note:** An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

**BMJ** Open

# **BMJ Open**

# Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: a cross-sectional comparison of healthcare professional and patient beliefs in Ireland.

Journal:	BMJ Open			
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2023-080646.R3			
Article Type:	Original research			
Date Submitted by the Author:	05-Jun-2024			
Complete List of Authors:	Toomey, Clodagh; University of Limerick, School of Allied Health; University of Limerick Health Research Institute Bhardwaj, Avantika; University of Limerick, School of Allied Health; University of Limerick Health Research Institute Browne, Jacqui; IMPACT Steering Committee, Patient Representative Dowling, Ian; Ian Dowling Physiotherapy Clinic Grealis, Stacey; IMPACT Steering Committee, Patient Representative; University College Dublin, Centre of Arthritis Research Hayes, Peter; University of Limerick, School of Medicine; University of Limerick Health Research Institute Higgins, Niall; University of Limerick, School of Allied Health Maguire, Darragh; National Orthopaedic Hospital Cappagh, Physiotherapy Department O'Hora, John; Health Service Executive, Community Healthcare West Rector, Joseph; University of Limerick, School of Allied Health Wood-Thornsbury, Arianna; University of Limerick, School of Allied Health; University of Limerick, School of Allied Health			
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Evidence based practice			
Secondary Subject Heading:	Rheumatology, Rehabilitation medicine, Patient-centred medicine			
Keywords:	Physical Therapy Modalities, RHEUMATOLOGY, Protocols & guidelines < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, Hip < ORTHOPAEDIC & TRAUMA SURGERY, Knee < ORTHOPAEDIC & TRAUMA SURGERY			





I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

reliez oni

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: a crosssectional comparison of healthcare professional and patient beliefs in Ireland.

# ABSTRACT

 **Objectives:** To identify within-stakeholder agreement and between-stakeholder differences in beliefs regarding exercise for osteoarthritis among general practitioners (GPs), physiotherapists (PTs) and people with hip and knee osteoarthritis (PwOA). A secondary objective was to explore the association between referral patterns and beliefs of PwOA.

Design: Cross-sectional

**Setting:** Online surveys administered to GPs, PTs and PwOA in Ireland via social media and healthcare networks.

Participants: 421 valid responses (n=161 GPs, n=163 PTs, n=97 PwOA).

**Primary and secondary outcome measures:** Nine beliefs statements related to exercise effectiveness, safety and delivery were rated on a 5-point Likert scale and analysed for within-stakeholder consensus. Chi-square tests assessed differences in agreement between groups. Multivariable linear regression models tested associations between beliefs in PwOA and referral to/attendance at physiotherapy.

**Results:** Positive within-stakeholder consensus (>75% agreement) was reached for most statements (7/9 GPs, 6/9 PTs, 5/9 PwOA). However, beliefs of PwOA were significantly less positive compared to healthcare professionals for six statements. All stakeholders disagreed that exercise is effective regardless of the level of pain. Attendance at physiotherapy (49% of PwOA), rather than referral to physiotherapy from a GP only, was associated with positive exercise beliefs for PwOA [ $\beta$ =0.287 (95% CI 0.299, 1.821)].

**Conclusions:** Beliefs about exercise therapy for osteoarthritis are predominantly positive across all stakeholders, albeit less positive in PwOA. PwOA are more likely to have positive beliefs if they have seen a physiotherapist for their osteoarthritis. Knowledge translation should highlight the effectiveness of exercise for all levels of pain and osteoarthritis disease.

# Strengths and Limitations

• Differences in beliefs about exercise between healthcare professionals and patients with osteoarthritis has not previously been examined.

- This study also explored how healthcare professional visits may influence beliefs about effectiveness of evidence-based care.
  - This was a cross-sectional study so no inferences can be made.
  - Different results with respect to beliefs and influences may have been found if nononline recruitment methods were available (e.g. paper surveys in healthcare settings).

for oper terien only

# INTRODUCTION

The management of hip and knee osteoarthritis (OA), as for other chronic conditions, should be determined by best available evidence. Although there is no cure for this burdensome disease, healthcare professionals in this field have for a long time had a wealth of high-quality evidence to draw from, all pointing to optimal core clinical management that consists of land-based exercise, education and weight loss if appropriate[1,2]. Despite this, implementation of these guidelines in practice is not optimal, often resulting in care that is fragmented in nature or considered low-value [3]. A global meta-analysis involving 16,103 people with OA (PwOA) in community care, revealed that only 39% received a referral or recommendation to exercise,[4] while a UK-based survey in 2018 revealed that only 3.9% of the 502 respondents with an OA diagnosis, were using exercise as part of their management[5]. Some similarities in shortcomings to implementation of guidelines for musculoskeletal health have been identified globally[6].

Alongside use of best evidence, the provision of patient-centred care is a pillar of highquality care that should help guide treatment for PwOA[7]. Literature and expert opinion recommendations state that it is important to assess patient ideas and concerns regarding the cause and management of their pain, and to take into account their expectations and preferences for treatment[7]. Regarding exercise, researchers have identified a considerable amount of uncertainty among PwOA regarding the benefits of exercise for their pain. Results from cross-sectional surveys and semi-structured interviews have indicated that a lack of knowledge on the condition may result in patients believing that surgery is their only option[8,9]. Furthermore, a view of OA as a "wear and tear" condition was associated with the perspective that exercise was a counterintuitive treatment[8–10]. Since it is widely understood that beliefs influence health-related behaviours [11,12], and because stronger recommendations for exercise have been made since previous publications[2,5,9], an updated understanding of how PwOA view exercise is required.

Healthcare professionals' perceptions and beliefs will affect the advice and management they offer patients, and researchers have suggested that those with biomedical or biomechanical beliefs about OA may transfer these beliefs to their patients, thus affecting their treatment choices[13,14]. Currently, general practitioners (GPs) and physiotherapists (PTs) are considered among the core care providers for PwOA[15]. While PT's have the knowledge and skills to adopt a key role in the management of hip and knee OA, GPs remain the most frequently accessed source of formal medical advice and treatment[15,16]. The language used by healthcare professionals, especially GPs, can have a profound influence on patients' beliefs[17,18]. A systematic review from Cottrell et al [19] in 2010,

**BMJ** Open

found that the attitudes and beliefs of GPs concerning exercise and chronic knee pain varied widely. An updated UK-based survey of GPs in 2017 found that perspectives were positive, with 87% reporting the use of exercise in their practice [16]. However, only 11% reported using exercise in ways that aligned with evidence-based guidelines [16]. This demonstrates the need for a better understanding of how GPs interact with up-to-date resources for care advancements for OA, in a time-demanding profession.

A scoping review of qualitative research exploring attitudes and beliefs, shows that PTs generally have a positive attitude to activity and exercise in OA management, despite indications that some PTs may also be lacking up-to-date knowledge about best practice or may not be adhering to evidence-based treatments[20]. In contrast, a recent mixed-methods evaluation by Barton et al [21] in 2021 reported that awareness regarding evidence supporting exercise for knee OA was good (89–96%) amongst PTs in Australia and Canada.

Greater knowledge around beliefs and belief influencers are needed in order to address negative beliefs or myths associated with exercise and joint pain. The objective of this study was to identify within-stakeholder agreement and between-stakeholder differences in beliefs in relation to statements on exercise for management of hip and knee OA in PwOA, GPs and PTs. Secondary objectives were to explore any associations between beliefs of PwOA and whether they had ever received a GP referral to physiotherapy or had seen a PT for their painful joint. Based on previous work [9,13,16], it was hypothesised that exercise beliefs of PTs would be more positive, and in line with clinical guidelines and latest evidence, compared to GPs and PwOA. It was also hypothesised that PwOA who had received a physiotherapy referral from their GP, or who had seen a PT for their condition would have more positive beliefs about exercise compared to those who had not. Finally, an exploration of common sources of education for GPs and PTs was included to understand how beliefs regarding evidence are influenced.

# METHODS

# **Design and Recruitment**

This study incorporates an analysis of three cross-sectional online surveys administered to three stakeholder groups - GPs, PTs and PwOA – in Ireland between March and September 2021. This cross-sectional study is embedded in a larger study (IMPACT – Implementation of osteoarthritis clinical guidelines together)[22], that aims to co-design and evaluate implementation strategies for an exercise and education programme for PwOA in Ireland. Surveys were adapted from previous studies in this field [9,13,16] and reviewed by co-researchers of a public and patient involvement (PPI) steering committee of representative stakeholders prior to distribution. Validation consisted of a round of pre-testing with a

### **BMJ** Open

convenience sample of three of each GPs, PTs and PwOA with feedback provided on readability, acceptability and appropriateness that was incorporated before distribution. Qualtrics© software (Qualtrics, Provo, UT) was used to administer the online surveys and all procedures were approved by the University of Limerick Faculty of Education & Health Sciences Research Ethics Committee (REC) (2020\_12\_13\_EHS) and the Irish College of General Practitioners REC (ICGP\_REC\_21\_0006). Surveys were completed anonymously after participants were provided with a participant information sheet and consent was implied by completion of the survey. Reporting is consistent with the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines for cross-sectional studies.

The PT survey was distributed via email invite to all members of the Irish Society of Chartered Physiotherapists (n=2022), working across all fields. The survey was also advertised via social media (Twitter, LinkedIn) and amongst networks of researchers and PPI steering committee members. Physiotherapists were eligible for inclusion if they: (1) were practicing in Ireland, and (2) treated a patient with hip or knee OA in the past six months. The GP survey was distributed to the Irish College of General Practitioners network (n=3152), the University of Limerick Education and Research Network for General Practice (ULEARN-GP) network[23] (n=140) and via social media (Twitter, LinkedIn). GPs were eligible to take part if they were currently treating patients with hip and/or knee pain in Ireland. The survey for PwOA was advertised via social media (Twitter, LinkedIn), Arthritis Ireland social media, News Rheum patient newsletter and colleagues and networks of project steering committee and research team members. PwOA were eligible to take part if they (1) were living on the island of Ireland, (2) at least 30 years of age, (3) had chronic hip or knee pain for at least 6 months or more, and (4) did not have joint replacement surgery on at least one of the painful hips or knees. Strategies to increase recruitment via social media across all three surveys were adopted including tagging specific advocacy groups, patient or professional organisations and influencers, providing visual infographics alongside social media posts and aligning posts with events e.g. National Arthritis Day.

# Outcomes

Each survey (Supplementary file 1) included an initial set of questions related to participant demographics. For healthcare professionals, these included questions on sex [are you: (1) Male, (2) Female, (3) Prefer not to say], length of time qualified, work setting, details of specific post-qualification training related to OA/chronic pain, confidence in treating hip and knee OA, percentage of typical caseload with hip or knee OA and where they prefer to access knowledge of management for persons with hip or knee OA. For PwOA, demographic information related to sex [are you: (1) Male, (2) Female, (3) Prefer not to say],

**BMJ** Open

age category, geographical area and health conditions were asked. In relation to joint pain, questions regarding location, duration, severity, referrals to exercise, and use of clinical guideline specific treatments (muscle strengthening, aerobic exercise, education, weight loss) were asked. Additional questions were provided for PwOA to understand healthcare utilisation and previous experiences with exercise.

In each survey, a list of statements on exercise beliefs for hip and knee OA were provided and were rated on a 5-point Likert scale from strongly agree to strongly disagree. The belief statements were intended to align with current evidence-based guidelines[1,2] and best practice for exercise and OA. Healthcare professionals were given a more extensive list of statements that were related to exercise type or referral decisions. A final section related to barriers and enablers to exercise delivery, referral or uptake was included in each survey. Results of that analysis are presented elsewhere.

# **Statistical Analysis**

Demographic outcomes were summarised as counts/proportions as appropriate. Belief statements were grouped and summarised descriptively by theme i.e., exercise type and effectiveness, exercise safety and exercise delivery. Although some statements had slightly different wording to facilitate understanding and relevance to each group, there were nine statements that were deemed to be comparable across groups and used to analyse differences in beliefs. Responses for the 5-point Likert scale statements were collapsed to a binary scale to label positive beliefs ("strongly agree" or "somewhat agree") vs. negative beliefs ("strongly disagree", "somewhat disagree" or "neither"). "Neither" was included with negative beliefs since statements were deemed to align somewhat with best practice and anything short of agreement may be considered unsatisfactory knowledge translation or personal experience. A commonly defined cut-off for consensus (>75%)[24] between stakeholders was used. Chi-square (2 x 3) tests of independence were used to assess differences in agreement with statements between three groups, and Bonferroni adjustment for between-group differences (p<0.05). Multivariable linear regression was used to explore associations between exercise beliefs (number of statements agreed with (range 0-9)) in PwOA and (1) physiotherapy referral from their GP (Has your GP ever referred you to a physiotherapist for your painful joint? Yes/No), and (2) physiotherapy attendance (Have you seen a physiotherapist for your painful joint? Yes/No). Histograms, Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests and scatter plots of residuals vs. fitted values were used to test assumptions of Poisson and linear regression and linear regression was deemed more appropriate. Pearson correlation coefficients (r>0.5) and variance inflation factor (>5) were used to determine presence of collinearity between variables. Based on correlates of physical activity for hip

and knee OA from previous literature, the following covariates were included using an enter method in each model: sex[25], average pain rating (none/mild/moderate/severe)[25], pain duration (6 months-1 year /1-2 years /2-3 years /3-4 years /4+ years)[26] and number of comorbidities[25]. The most parsimonious models were reported checking for a 10% difference in beta coefficients upon removal of covariates (p>0.05). Data were analysed using IBM-SPSS version 26.0.0 and Microsoft Excel365.

## Patient and public involvement

This research was conducted as part of a larger project (IMPACT) that uses a participatory health research approach. A steering committee of key stakeholders with relevant research, clinical/system expertise or lived experience (academics, people with arthritis, patient advocacy group members, physiotherapists, GPs, orthopaedic surgeon) have oversight of the project from inception to dissemination. Members of the committee were involved in designing the research question and outcome measures for these surveys, recruitment of participants, interpretation of analyses and dissemination as co-authors of the publication.

## RESULTS

There was a total of 421 valid responses from the three distributed surveys, comprising 161 GPs, 163 PTs and 97 PwOA. An additional 26 GP, 33 PT and 15 PwOA surveys were collected but were not fully completed or did not contain sufficient data for analysis so were excluded. Demographic data for each stakeholder are presented in **Table 1**.

# Experiences with Exercise for People with Osteoarthritis

Of the 97 PwOA, 78.4% (n=76) had spoken to their GP regarding their joint pain, 63.9% (n=62) had an X-ray of their joint. 38.5% (n=37) had been referred to physiotherapy by their GP and 48.5% (n=47) had seen a physiotherapist for their joint (either through GP- or self-referral). Additionally, 50.5% (n=49) reported having been given specific exercises for their joint by any healthcare professional. A flow diagram with breakdown of these referral patterns is displayed in **Figure 1**. **Figure 2** shows answers to questions regarding the types of treatments tried by PwOA, as per clinical guideline recommendations (aerobic exercise, strengthening exercise, education and weight management).

# Within-Stakeholder Agreement in Beliefs about Exercise Type and Effectiveness, Exercise Safety and Delivery

**Figure 3** shows the Likert scale results in each stakeholder group for statements (a-d), related to the effectiveness of different types of exercise and for different levels of pain or perceived severity. **Figure 4** shows the Likert scale results in each stakeholder group for

statements (e-i), related to the safety and delivery of different types of exercise for people with OA. Beliefs were predominantly positive amongst GP's [positive consensus (>75% agreement) on 7/9 statements], PTs (6/9 statements) and PwOA (5/9 statements).

# Between-Stakeholder Differences in Beliefs about Exercise Type and Effectiveness, Exercise Safety and Delivery

Results of chi-square tests for differences in agreement between stakeholders across beliefs statements are presented in **Table 2**. There were differences in stakeholder responses across all statements, except for statement (d): *"Exercise works just as well for everybody, regardless of the amount of pain they have"* ( $X^2 = 5.14$ , p=0.076). All three stakeholder groups reached a negative consensus regarding this statement. In six of the eight statements where differences were observed, patient beliefs were significantly different to healthcare professional beliefs. There were two statements with a medium effect size for differences between PwOA and service providers: statements (*b*) *"Hip and knee problems can be improved by specific muscle strengthening exercises"* (*V*=0.309) and (*h*) *"Most patients with hip or knee OA would benefit from a supervised group exercise programme"* (*V*=0.384). All other differences had a small effect size.

Demographics	GP PT (n=161) (n=163)		Knee Osteoarthritis Demographics	N=97
	Count (%)	Count (%)		Count (%)
Sex:			Sex:	
Female	88 (54.7)	128 (78.5)	Female	76 (78.4)
Male	72 (44.7)	34 (20.9)	Male	20 (20.6)
Prefer not to say	1 (0.6)	1 (0.6)	Prefer not to say	1 (1.0)
How long have you been qualified?			Most bothersome joint:	
Less than 5 years	33 (20.5)	19 (11.7)	Knee	52 (53.8)
5-10 years	25 (15.5)	21 (12.9)	Hip	45 (46.4)
More than 10 years	103 (64.0)	123 (75.5)	Age Category:	
Work practice setting (GPs)			30-39 years	12 (12.4)
Urban	60 (37.3)	-	40-49 years	24 (24.7)
Rural	34 (21.1)	-	50-59 years	30 (30.9)
Mixed	67 (41.6)	-	60-69 years	25 (25.8)
Work practice setting (PTs)			70-79 years	6 (6.2)
Public hospital	-	38 (23.3)	Living Location:	
Private hospital	-	7 (4.3)	Inner city or suburb	46 (47.4)
Primary care	-	41 (25.2)	Town	16 (16.5)
Private practice clinic	-	70 (42.9)	Village	15 (15.5)
Other	-	7 (4.3)	Open country	20 (20.6)
Post-qualification training on OA / chronic pain			No. of other comorbidities:	
No	72 (44.7)	37 (22.7)	0	31 (32.0)

**Table 1.** Descriptive statistics using count (proportions) for healthcare professionals and people with osteoarthritis demographics

Inservice/webinars/reading Course or conference	32 (19.9) 28 (17.4)	17 (10.4) 72 (44.2)	1-2 3+	45 (47.9) 18 (19.1)
Diploma/APP or certification	15 (9.3)	3 (1.8)	Multi-joint pain(>1):	
MSc in related field PhD in related field	14 (8.7) 0	32 (19.6) 2 (1.2)	No Yes	6 (6.2) 91 (93.8)
Confidence in treating hip and knee OA			Rating of pain /symptoms on an average day	
Not confident	2 (1.2)	0	No pain/symptoms	1 (1.0)
Slightly confident	33 (20.5)	5 (3.1)	Mild Moderate	30 (30.9)
Very confident	36 (22 4)	86 (52 8)	Severe	17 (17 5)
Extremely confident	10 (6.2)	31 (19.0)		
% of typical caseload with hip/knee OA			Duration of pain	
1-5%	19 (11.8)	19 (11.7)	6 mon – 1 year	24 (24.7)
6-25%	117 (72.7)	83 (50.9)	1-2 years	13 (13.4)
26-50%	24 (14.9)	36 (22.1)	2-3 years	15 (15.5)
51-75% >75%	(0.0) I	5 (2 1)	J-4 years	11 (11.3) 34 (35.1)
~10/0	0	5 (5.1)	more man 4 years	J4 (JJ.I)

APP, Advanced Practice Physiotherapist; GP, General Practitioner; OA, Osteoarthritis; PT, Physiotherapist; PwOA, People with Osteoarthritis.

**Table 2.** Differences in agreement with statements between general practitioner (GP; n=161), physiotherapist (PT; n=163) and people with hip and knee osteoarthritis (PwOA; n=97). Agreement was defined as those who selected "strongly agree" or "somewhat agree" on Likert scales. Proportions that reached within-stakeholder "consensus", defined as >75% majority, are in bold.

Statement	Proportion in agreement			Chi-	Signifi-	Cramer'
	GP	PT	PwOA	Squar e	cance	s V
(a) Hip and knee problems can be improved by general exercise e.g. walking and swimming	97.5%	95.1%	85.6%ª	15.59	<0.0001	0.193
(b) Hip and knee problems can be improved by specific muscle strengthening exercises	98.8%	97.5%	80.9%ª	39.04	<0.0001	0.309
(c) Exercise is effective for patients if an x-ray shows severe osteoarthritis	53.8%	63.4%	39.8% <sup>c</sup>	13.24	0.001	0.179
(d) Exercise works just as well for everybody, regardless of the amount of pain they have	24.2%	19.6%	32.3%	5.14	0.076	n/a
(e) General exercise e.g., walking and swimming is safe for everybody to do	85.7%	68.9% <sup>b</sup>	87.1%	18.13	<0.0001	0.209
(f) Specific muscle strengthening exercise is safe for everyone to do	85.6%	84.5%	69.2%ª	11.86	0.003	0.170
(g) Every patient with hip or knee OA should try exercise treatment before surgery is considered	86.9%	<b>99.4%</b> <sup>b</sup>	91.4%	19.0	<0.0001	0.214
(h) Most patients with hip or knee OA would benefit from a supervised group exercise programme*	91.3%	85.3%	52.1%ª	61.35	<0.0001	0.384
(i) Most patients with hip or knee OA would benefit from an individualized exercise programme*	96.3%	93.9%	82.8%ª	15.91	<0.0001	0.196

 <sup>a</sup>Significantly different compared to GP and PT, <sup>b</sup>significantly different to GP and PwOA, <sup>c</sup>significantly different to PT, using Bonferroni at .05 level. \*Questions for PwOA phrased as: "The best way to learn about exercise is in a supervised group setting with people who have similar pain" and "The best way to learn about exercise is in a one-on-one setting with a health professional". Cramer's V =0.1 small, 0.3 medium, 0.5 large effect size. GP, general practitioner; OA, osteoarthritis; PT, physiotherapist; PwOA, people with osteoarthritis.

# **Predictors of Patient Beliefs**

There was no association between beliefs of PwOA about exercise and the question: "has your GP ever referred you to a physiotherapist for your painful joint?" (**Supplemental File 2**) [B=0.19 (95% CI -0.10, 1.50)]. In this model, a higher number of comorbidities [B=-0.26 (95% CI -0.56, -0.07)] was negatively associated with beliefs about exercise. In model 2, there was a positive association between beliefs of PwOA about exercise and the question: "Have you seen a physiotherapist for your painful joint?" [B=1.06 (95% CI 0.30, 1.82)]. Sex (male) [B=-0.72 (95% CI -1.44, -0.00)], a longer duration of pain and symptoms [B=-0.20 (95% CI -0.40, -0.01)] and a higher number of comorbidities [B=-0.29 (95% CI -0.53, -0.06)] were negatively associated with beliefs about exercise in this model.

# Healthcare Professional Sources of Education

For the question, "Where do you get your knowledge of care advancements for persons with knee or hip osteoarthritis?"; the top five selected responses for GPs were continuous medical education (CME) or GP training networks (78%), published guidelines or recommendations (61%), reading medical journals (47%), conference attendance (47%) and course attendance (31%). For the question, "Where do you access your knowledge of management for persons with knee or hip osteoarthritis?"; the top five selected responses for PTs were published guidelines or recommendations (85%), reading research articles (75%), clinic protocols and discussion with peers or in-services (70%), course attendance (47%).

# DISCUSSION

This research identified differences in beliefs about exercise effectiveness, safety and delivery between healthcare professionals and PwOA. While predominantly positive beliefs were observed across stakeholders, there was less consensus regarding the effectiveness of exercise when an X-ray shows "severe" OA. With regards to exercise referral, 48.5% of PwOA had either been referred to or self-referred to a physiotherapist for their joint pain. Referral to a physiotherapist by their GP was not associated with positive exercise beliefs.

However, attendance at a physiotherapist for joint pain was associated with positive exercise beliefs in PwOA.

 If OA management guidelines do not align with the personal beliefs of service providers or users, PwOA may not receive high quality care. This study has found that GPs (7/9 statements), PTs (6/9 statements) and PwOA (5/9 statements) have largely positive beliefs regarding exercise for OA. However, there is less certainty about exercise when an X-ray shows "severe osteoarthritis" across all stakeholders, and service providers do not agree that "*exercise works just as well for everybody, regardless of the level of pain they have*". These results highlight that beliefs are generally in line with best evidence and clinical guidelines. However, there may still be some misconceptions about the effectiveness of exercise for higher levels of pain and disease. Evidence suggests that the pain-relieving qualities of exercise are effective for even moderate to severe OA disease[27–29], and a more recent meta-analysis for hip and knee OA has shown that individuals with higher pain severity at baseline benefit more from therapeutic exercise than those with lower pain[30]. This evidence should be a focus of future efforts of knowledge translation to clinicians and PwOA.

Some of the beliefs identified in this study are reflective of the traditional view of OA as a "wear and tear" disease, synonymous with a desire to protect a "damaged" joint on X-ray from further damage, as found previously[8,20]. However, an encouraging finding from this research are the overwhelmingly more positive views towards exercise observed compared to similar studies published on a cohort of UK-based PTs in 2009[13], older adults with knee pain in 2012[9] and GPs in 2017[16]. Using the comparator of statements with at least majority view (>50% agreement), in the 2009 study[13], PTs agreed on the benefit of exercise for knee pain on 4/12 statements (33%), compared to 8/9 similar statements (89%) in the current study. For older adults with knee pain, there was no agreement for any statement in the 2012 study[9], compared to 7/9 statements (78%) in the current study. This may be reflective of the younger age and inclusion of hip and knee pain in the current study. In the 2017 study[16], GPs agreed on 9/12 statements (75%), compared to 8/9 statements (89%) in the current study. While some statements varied slightly, stronger exercise recommendations in clinical guidelines and greater efforts in implementation and translation to practice in the last 10 years are likely the rationale for these changes, particularly since clinical guideline updates in 2014[1,2]. However, there is still much space to enact recommendations from a 2018 Cochrane review to provide better information and advice about the safety and value of exercise for patients[31]. In particular, providing reassurance on the role of exercise in managing symptoms, and discussion of opportunities to participate Page 13 of 40

### BMJ Open

in activities regarded as enjoyable and relevant, may encourage greater exercise participation[31].

Beliefs of PwOA about exercise were significantly less positive compared to healthcare professional beliefs for 6/9 statements, even though significantly more PwOA believed that general exercises are safe for everybody to do, compared to PTs. The greatest differences were observed for statements in relation to the benefits of strengthening exercises and group-based exercise but effect sizes were small to medium overall. Given 40% had never tried weight or strength-based training for their joint, and an additional 28% tried, but since stopped this type of exercise, healthcare professionals should be cognisant of ensuring patients understand the benefit of muscle strengthening and support patients to find enjoyable and sustainable ways to build these exercises into weekly routines. While strength-based training is not deemed superior to aerobic type exercise for pain relief in OA[27,32], knock-on benefits for improvements in physical function, longevity, bone health, and frailty[33] during ageing are important to highlight. Results for aerobic type exercise, however, were much more promising as only 14% had not tried this type of exercise for their joint and 67% were actively using. Further exploration on reasons for stopping exercise would be of benefit to determine if low adherence is related to barriers to exercise participation or a lack of perceived improvement in symptoms. While there is no strong evidence to indicate a difference in effectiveness regarding exercise setting, PwOA were less likely to agree with the benefits of a supervised group setting compared to service providers. Additional benefits of group exercise for older adults, such as social support, peerlearning, improvements in mental health and loneliness, and cost-effectiveness should, however, be considered and encouraged[34-36].

Physiotherapists are primary care providers of therapeutic exercise for people with OA and other chronic conditions. It was therefore hypothesised that PTs would have more positive beliefs regarding exercise compared to GPs. However, this was not shown to be the case based on findings in this study. PTs were significantly more positive regarding statement (g): *Every patient with hip or knee OA should try exercise treatment before surgery is considered.* However, more GPs responded positively to statement (e): *General exercise e.g., walking and swimming is safe for everybody to do,* and overall, there was a positive consensus on more statements amongst GPs (7/9) compared to PTs (6/9). These findings are somewhat at odds to the review by Nissen et al (including studies published from 2006-2019), which identified a certain lack of knowledge about the role of physical activity, exercise and physiotherapy in OA management amongst some GPs and PTs[20]. It suggests that the main barriers to implementation of exercise may not be entirely related to lack of updated knowledge or beliefs of the healthcare professionals.

In this study, referral to physiotherapy by a GP was not associated with more positive exercise beliefs in PwOA, in contrast to what was hypothesised. Although GPs had the most positive beliefs in comparison with other stakeholders, this finding may reflect the lack of time in a GP consultation to educate about exercise therapy and influence patient beliefs. A referral to exercise therapy alone may not be enough. However, seeing a PT for osteoarthritis was associated with more positive exercise beliefs. This may suggest that PTs impart important knowledge and education regarding the benefits of exercise to their patients, that, in turn, changes patient beliefs. Equally, this finding may suggest that PwOA with more positive exercise beliefs are more likely to attend a PT appointment. Tracking of changes in beliefs over time is recommended to further explore this association. Compared to GPs, PTs have more time in a consultation to discuss the effectiveness, mechanism, and safety of exercise for joint pain, which may help to influence beliefs and maximise the potential effect of exercise programs by improving adherence[37]. It is known that the provision of education for OA is superior for patient outcomes when combined with exercise therapy[38]. Almost 60% of PwOA reported having not tried self-management/education, despite some programme availability in Ireland[39]. PwOA were not asked specifically if their GP referred them to a self-management programme, which is a required area of further exploration. Additional efforts are required to support clinicians with resources to deliver trustworthy educational content for PwOA, or increase knowledge of available selfmanagement programmes, to ensure clinical recommendations are fully implemented.

In the current study, 78% of PwOA had spoken to their GP about their joint pain, while under 50% of these people had been referred to a PT. Despite OA being amongst the leading causes of years lived with disability[40], the decision to seek care can be deterred by negative or dismissive attitudes from healthcare professionals about their non-urgent condition, or the perception that pain is part of ageing[41]. Healthcare professionals should take care regarding attitudes and language use during consultations[42] to help promote the effectiveness of first-line treatment strategies. Additionally, decisions regarding treatment timing may require additional educational strategies given clinical guidelines support surgical intervention as the last resort[1,2]. In this study more PwOA were referred to an orthopaedic consultant (58%) rather than PT (49%). From the regression analysis, it is also apparent that people with multiple comorbidities may require additional supports to improve positive beliefs about exercise for their condition. For people living with the burden of multiple conditions, additional barriers to exercise may require more supportive self-management sessions and thorough training of exercise facilitators[43].

This study has shown that the most used education sources for healthcare professionals on management of OA are: published guidelines or recommendations (85% of PTs, 61% of

### **BMJ** Open

GPs), use of training networks, in-clinic protocols, discussion and in-services (70% of PTs, 78% of GPs) and reading medical journals or research articles (75% of PTs, 47% of GPs). Even where clinicians report using clinical guidelines and research to guide practice, this is no guarantee that the most up-to-date recommendations are being used with confidence, or that they are being interpreted, recalled or implemented appropriately[44]. In contrast to this study, previous international investigations have shown that only a small proportion of sport and musculoskeletal PTs use research articles to change their clinical practice (10.4%)[45]. Over half of PTs instead cited "interactions with colleagues" and "attending private education short courses" as the source for change[45]. Given the high proportion of GPs that use CME small groups and training networks, peer-learning opportunities may be a viable source of intervention to ensure practice guidelines are being met[46]. The evidence to practice gap could be filled with clinical guideline supplements that address contextual barriers and time needed to treat[47], and courses/training that include opportunities to discuss real-world implementation of evidence with experienced colleagues and experts, with input from patients on delivery needs.

While efforts were made to recruit participants for this research from multiple diverse sources, this study was not a representative sample. The highest proportion (31%) of PwOA in this study were in the 50–59-year age category and 50% reported moderate joint pain. While prevalence of OA is higher in older age categories, the sample recruited is likely reflective of the online nature of participation, wide inclusion criteria (age 30+ years) and exclusion criteria for previous joint replacement surgery. Due to the timing of survey administration (during COVID-19 pandemic lockdown), traditional survey advertising methods such as GP and health clinic waiting rooms were not utilised. Completion of an anonymous survey has benefits as results cannot be influenced, however if there was any confusion related to phrasing of a certain question or statement, then this could not be clarified. The selection of other belief statements about exercise may have yielded different results. Future research should also investigate similar beliefs using qualitative approach to allow for more context to these answers.

# Conclusion

Beliefs of GPs, PTs and PwOA regarding exercise as a treatment for hip and knee OA have likely become more positive in recent years. However, there is still much scope for service improvement, with less than 50% of PwOA having seen a PT for their joint pain and all stakeholders in disagreement with statements relating to effectiveness of exercise for severe joint pain. This sample included PwOA who did not have a previous joint replacement surgery and may therefore not be generalisable to an older sample with more severe disease. Knowledge translation activities should be aimed at increasing knowledge and improving access to first-line evidence-based exercise therapies, using stakeholder codesign to provide context on barriers and facilitators.

**Contributorship Statement -** Toomey CM: Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal Analysis, Supervision, Writing – Original Draft. Higgins N: Methodology, Formal Analysis, Writing – Reviewing & Editing; Wood-Thornsbury A: Methodology, Formal Analysis, Writing – Reviewing & Editing; Rector J: Methodology, Formal Analysis, Writing – Reviewing & Editing; Bhardwaj A: Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; Hayes P: Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; Browne J: Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; Grealis S: Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; Maguire D: Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; O'Hora J: Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; Dowling I: Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; Kennedy N: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing.

**Competing Interests** – none to declare.

**Funding** - Funding for this project (IMPACT), salary of the PI (CMT) and student fees and stipend (AB) from Health Research Board (Ireland) Emerging Investigator Award, awarded to CMT (EIA-2019-008).

**Data Sharing Statement** - All data pertaining to this study is anonymous and can be shared upon reasonable request for secondary data analysis by contacting the PI (corresponding author).

**Ethics Approval** - All procedures were approved by the University of Limerick Faculty of Education & Health Sciences Research Ethics Committee (REC) (2020\_12\_13\_EHS) and the Irish College of General Practitioners REC (ICGP\_REC\_21\_0006).

**Acknowledgements** – We acknowledge the contributions of other members of the IMPACT Steering Committee who were involved in the interpretation of these results (Adrian Cassar-Gheiti, Helen French, Brenda Monaghan, Bronwen Maher and James Young).

Figure 1. Flow chart of referral patterns for people with osteoarthritis.

**Figure 2.** Proportion of responses to guideline-based treatments people with osteoarthritis (n=97) have tried.

**Figure 3.** 100% stacked bar chart showing Likert scale results with count for each stakeholder on belief statements (a-d) related to exercise effectiveness. GP, general practitioner; PT, physiotherapist; PwOA, people with osteoarthritis.
**Figure 4.** 100% stacked bar chart showing Likert scale results with count for each stakeholder on belief statements (e-i) related to exercise safety and delivery. \*Questions for PwOA phrased slightly differently: "The best way to learn about exercise is in a supervised group setting with people who have similar pain" and "The best way to learn about exercise is in a one-on-one setting with a health professional". GP, general practitioner; PT, physiotherapist; PwOA, people with osteoarthritis.

# REFERENCES

- McAlindon TE, Bannuru RR, Sullivan MC, *et al.* OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of knee osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthr Cartil* 2014;**22**:363–88. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2014.01.003
- 2 National Institute for Health & Clinical Excellence. Osteoarthritis: care and management. London: 2014.
- 3 Hunter DJ. Osteoarthritis: time for us all to shift the needle. *Rheumatology* 2018;**57**:iv1–2. doi:10.1093/rheumatology/key065
- Hagen REB, Smedslund G, Østeras N, *et al.* Quality of Community-Based
   Osteoarthritis Care : A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Arthritis Care Res* 2016;68:1443–52. doi:10.1002/acr.22891
- Healey EL, Afolabi EK, Lewis M, *et al.* Uptake of the NICE osteoarthritis guidelines in primary care: a survey of older adults with joint pain. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2018;19:295. doi:10.1186/s12891-018-2196-2
- Briggs AM, Jordan JE, Kopansky-Giles D, *et al.* The need for adaptable global guidance in health systems strengthening for musculoskeletal health: a qualitative study of international key informants. *Glob Heal Res policy* 2021;**6**:24. doi:10.1186/s41256-021-00201-7
- 7 Geenen R, Overman CL, Christensen R, *et al.* EULAR recommendations for the health professional's approach to pain management in inflammatory arthritis and osteoarthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2018;**77**:797–807. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2017-
- Barlow B, Brown M, Thompson B, *et al.* Living with osteoarthritis is a balancing act :
   an exploration of patients ' beliefs about knee pain. *BMC Rheumatol* 2018;2.
   doi:10.1186/s41927-018-0023-x BMC

Holden MA, Nicholls EE, Young J, et al. Role of Exercise for Knee Pain : What Do Older Adults in the Community Think? Arthritis Care Res 2012;64:1554-64. doi:10.1002/acr.21700 Bunzli S, Brien PO, Ayton D, et al. Misconceptions and the Acceptance of Evidence-based Nonsurgical Interventions for Knee Osteoarthritis . A Qualitative Study. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2019;477:1975-83. doi:10.1097/CORR.000000000000784 Armitage CJ, Conner M. Social cognition models and health behaviour: A structured review. Psychol Health 2000;15:173-89. doi:10.1080/08870440008400299 Hurley M V, Walsh N, Bhavnani V, et al. Health beliefs before and after participation on an exercised-based rehabilitation programme for chronic knee pain: Doing is believing. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2010;11:31. doi:10.1186/1471-2474-11-31 Holden MA, Nicholls EE, Young J, et al. UK-Based Physical Therapists 'Attitudes and Beliefs Regarding Exercise and Knee Osteoarthritis : Findings From a Mixed-Methods Study. Arthritis Care Res 2009;61:1511-21. doi:10.1002/art.24829 Hunter DJ. Osteoarthritis Management: Time to Change the Deck. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 2017;47:370-2. doi:10.2519/jospt.2017.0605 Briggs AM, Hinman RS, Darlow B, et al. Confidence and Attitudes Toward Osteoarthritis Care Among the Current and Emerging Health Workforce: A Multinational Interprofessional Study. ACR open Rheumatol 2019;1:219–35. doi:10.1002/acr2.1032 Cottrell E, Foster NE, Porcheret M, et al. GPs ' attitudes , beliefs and behaviours regarding exercise for chronic knee pain : a questionnaire survey. BMJ Open 2017;7. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014999 MacKay C, Hawker GA, Jaglal SB. Qualitative study exploring the factors influencing physical therapy management of early knee osteoarthritis in Canada. BMJ Open 2018;8. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2018-023457 Barker KL, Reid M, Minns Lowe CJ. What does the language we use about arthritis mean to people who have osteoarthritis? A gualitative study. Disabil Rehabil 2014;36:367-72. doi:10.3109/09638288.2013.793409 Cottrell E, Roddy E, Foster N. The attitudes, beliefs and behaviours of GPs regarding exercise for chronic knee pain: a systematic review. BMC Fam Pract 2010;**11**.http://www.doaj.org/doaj?func=abstract&id=516161 

Page 19 of 40

2 3 4 5 6 7	20	Nissen N, Holm PM, Bricca A, <i>et al.</i> Clinicians' beliefs and attitudes to physical activity and exercise therapy as treatment for knee and/or hip osteoarthritis: a scoping review. <i>Osteoarthr Cartil</i> 2022; <b>30</b> :260–9. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2021.11.008
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	21	Barton CJ, Ezzat AM, Bell EC, <i>et al.</i> Knowledge , confidence and learning needs of physiotherapists treating persistent knee pain in Australia and Canada : a mixed-methods study. <i>Physiother Theory Pract</i> 2021;:1–13. doi:10.1080/09593985.2021.1906805
	22	Toomey CM, Kennedy N, Macfarlane A, <i>et al.</i> Implementation of clinical guidelines for osteoarthritis together (IMPACT): protocol for a participatory health research approach to implementing high value care. <i>BMC Musculoskelet Disord</i> 2022; <b>23</b> . doi:10.1186/s12891-022-05599-w
22 23 24 25 26 27 28	23	Regan AO, Hayes P, Connor RO, <i>et al.</i> The University of Limerick Education and Research Network for General Practice (ULEARN-GP): practice characteristics and general practitioner perspectives. <i>BMC Fam Pract</i> 2020; <b>21</b> . doi:https://doi.org/10.1186/s12875-020-1100-y
29 30 31 32 33	24	Diamond IR, Grant RC, Feldman BM, <i>et al.</i> Defining consensus: a systematic review recommends methodologic criteria for reporting of Delphi studies. <i>J Clin Epidemiol</i> 2014; <b>67</b> :401–9. doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2013.12.002
34 35 36 37 38 39 40	25	Stubbs B, Hurley M, Smith T. What are the factors that influence physical activity participation in adults with knee and hip osteoarthritis? A systematic review of physical activity correlates. <i>Clin Rehabil</i> 2015; <b>29</b> :80–94. doi:10.1177/0269215514538069
41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58	26	Rosemann T, Kuehlein T, Laux G, <i>et al.</i> Osteoarthritis of the knee and hip: a comparison of factors associated with physical activity. <i>Clin Rheumatol</i> 2007; <b>26</b> :1811–7. doi:10.1007/s10067-007-0579-0
	27	Juhl C, Christensen R, Roos EM, <i>et al.</i> Impact of Exercise Type and Dose on Pain and Disability in Knee Osteoarthritis A Systematic Review and Meta-Regression Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. <i>Arthritis Rheumatol</i> 2014; <b>66</b> :622–36. doi:10.1002/art.38290
	28	Susko AM, Fitzgerald GK. The pain-relieving qualities of exercise in knee osteoarthritis. <i>Open access Rheumatol Res Rev</i> 2013; <b>5</b> :81–91. doi:10.2147/OARRR.S53974
59 60	29	Skou ST, Roos EM, Laursen MB, et al. A Randomized, Controlled Trial of Total Knee

3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
20	
28	
20	
30	
31	
32	
32	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
30	
40	
40 //1	
41 // 2	
42 //2	
45	
46	
40 47	
48 48	
40	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
57	
50	
23	
00	

Replacement. N Engl J Med 2015;373:1597–606. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1505467

- 30 Holden MA, Hattle M, Runhaar J, *et al.* Moderators of the effect of therapeutic exercise for knee and hip osteoarthritis : a systematic review and individual participant data meta-analysis. *Lancet Rheumatol* 2023;**5**:e386–400. doi:10.1016/S2665-9913(23)00122-4
- Hurley M, Dickson K, Hallett R, *et al.* Exercise interventions and patient beliefs for people with hip, knee or hip and knee osteoarthritis: a mixed methods review (Review). *Coch* 2018;:CD010842.
   doi:10.1002/14651858.CD010842.pub2.www.cochranelibrary.com
- Goh S-L, Persson MSM, Stocks J, *et al.* Relative Efficacy of Different Exercises for Pain, Function, Performance and Quality of Life in Knee and Hip Osteoarthritis: Systematic Review and Network Meta-Analysis. *Sports Med* 2019;49:743–61. doi:10.1007/s40279-019-01082-0
- 33 Seguin R, Nelson ME. The benefits of strength training for older adults. *Am J Prev Med* 2003;**25**:141–9. doi:10.1016/s0749-3797(03)00177-6
- Komatsu H, Yagasaki K, Saito Y, *et al.* Regular group exercise contributes to balanced health in older adults in Japan: a qualitative study. *BMC Geriatr* 2017;**17**:190. doi:10.1186/s12877-017-0584-3
- 35 Grønne DT, Roos EM, Ibsen R, *et al.* Cost-effectiveness of an 8- week supervised education and exercise therapy programme for knee and hip osteoarthritis : a pre – post analysis of 16 255 patients participating in Good Life with osteoArthritis in Denmark (GLA:D). *BMJ Open* 2021;**11**. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2021-049541
- Mays AM, Kim S, Rosales K, *et al.* The Leveraging Exercise to Age in Place (LEAP)
   Study: Engaging Older Adults in Community-Based Exercise Classes to Impact
   Loneliness and Social Isolation. *Am J Geriatr psychiatry Off J Am Assoc Geriatr Psychiatry* 2021;29:777–88. doi:10.1016/j.jagp.2020.10.006
- Gay C, Chabaud A, Guilley E, *et al.* Educating patients about the benefits of physical activity and exercise for their hip and knee osteoarthritis. Systematic literature review.
   *Ann Phys Rehabil Med* 2016;**59**:174–83.
   doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rehab.2016.02.005
- 38 Goff AJ, Oliveira D De, Merolli M, *et al.* Patient education improves pain and function in people with knee osteoarthritis with better effects when combined with exercise therapy : a systematic review. *J Physiother* 2021;**67**:177–89.

**BMJ** Open

1 2		
3 4		doi:10.1016/j.jphys.2021.06.011
5 6 7 8 9	39	Arthritis Ireland. Living Well with Arthritis (and Related Conditions) Self-Management Programme. Courses. 2023.https://www.arthritisireland.ie/living-well-with-arthritis-and- related-conditions-self-management-programme (accessed 22 Aug 2023).
10 11 12 13 14 15	40	Vos T, Lim SS, Abbafati C, <i>et al.</i> Global burden of 369 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories, 1990-2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. <i>Lancet</i> 2020; <b>396</b> :1204–22. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30925-9
16 17 18 19 20	41	Prasanna SS, Korner-bitensky N, Ahmed S. Why Do People Delay Accessing Health Care for Knee Osteoarthritis ? Exploring Beliefs of Health Professionals and Lay People. <i>Physiother Canada</i> 2013; <b>65</b> :56–64. doi:10.3138/ptc.2011-50
21 22 23 24 25 26	42	Vennik J, Hughes S, Smith KA, <i>et al.</i> Patient and practitioner priorities and concerns about primary healthcare interactions for osteoarthritis: A meta-ethnography. <i>Patient Educ Couns</i> 2022; <b>105</b> :1865–77. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2022.01.009
20 27 28 29 30 31	43	Skou ST, Brødsgaard RH, Nyberg M, <i>et al.</i> Personalised exercise therapy and self- management support for people with multimorbidity: feasibility of the MOBILIZE intervention. <i>Pilot Feasibility Stud</i> 2023; <b>9</b> :12. doi:10.1186/s40814-023-01242-0
32 33 34 35 36	44	Swaithes L, Paskins Z, Finney A. Factors influencing the implementation of evidence- based guidelines for osteoarthritis in primary care : A systematic review and thematic synthesis. <i>Musculoskeletal Care</i> 2020; <b>18</b> :101–10. doi:10.1002/msc.1452
37 38 39 40 41 42 43	45	Whiteley R, Napier C, van Dyk N, <i>et al.</i> Clinicians use courses and conversations to change practice, not journal articles: is it time for journals to peer-review courses to stay relevant? <i>Br J Sports Med</i> 2021; <b>55</b> :651 LP – 652. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2020-102736
44 45 46 47	46	Lineker SC, Bell MJ, Boyle J, <i>et al.</i> Implementing arthritis clinical practice guidelines in primary care. <i>Med Teach</i> 2009; <b>31</b> :230–7. doi:10.1080/01421590802158377
48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	47	Johansson M, Guyatt G, Montori V, <i>et al.</i> Guidelines should consider clinicians ' time needed to treat. <i>Br Med J</i> 2023; <b>380</b> . doi:10.1136/bmj-2022-072953



Figure 1. Flow chart of referral patterns for people with osteoarthritis.

605x459mm (47 x 47 DPI)

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml





Figure 2. Proportion of responses to guideline-based treatments people with osteoarthritis (n=97) have tried.



BMJ Open



Figure 3. 100% stacked bar chart showing Likert scale results with count for each stakeholder on belief statements (a-d) related to exercise effectiveness. GP, general practitioner; PT, physiotherapist; PwOA, people with osteoarthritis.

377x526mm (47 x 47 DPI)

BMJ Open



Figure 4. 100% stacked bar chart showing Likert scale results with count for each stakeholder on belief statements (e-i) related to exercise safety and delivery. \*Questions for PwOA phrased slightly differently: "The best way to learn about exercise is in a supervised group setting with people who have similar pain" and "The best way to learn about exercise is in a one-on-one setting with a health professional". GP, general practitioner; PT, physiotherapist; PwOA, people with osteoarthritis

354x545mm (47 x 47 DPI)

# Supplemental File 1

Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare professional and patient beliefs

# <u>Survey 1:</u> Beliefs, Barriers and Enablers to Exercise Prescription for Hip and Knee Osteoarthritis in General Practice in Ireland

Instructions for completing this questionnaire

- When completing the questionnaire, please try and provide answers that most accurately
- reflect your usual clinical practice. There are no 'correct' or 'incorrect' answers.
- Please do not consult any literature while completing this questionnaire.

# Section 1. Information about you

1.	How long have you been qualified as a General Practitioner?  Less than 5 years experience  5-10 years experience  Greater than 10 years experience
2. 3. 4. 5	How many GP's work in your practice (including yourself) Are you:
0.	Primary care reimbursement scheme only     Private practice only     Mixed
6.	Since graduating from University, do you remember receiving any specific postgraduate training in musculoskeletal (MSK) which contained education about hip or knee osteoarthritis or chronic pain? (By this we do not mean clinical placements or jobs in rheumatology or orthopaedics) Yes ONO Yes ONO If yes, what type of training? CME small groups (or guest speaker) Diploma in MSK M.Sc. in Sports & Exercise Medicine Sports Medicine Faculty conferences Private Hospital Day Course Therapeutic Intra Articular and Soft Tissue Injection and Assessment Course Specific Modules on MSK on your GP training Scheme Other
7.	How would you rate your confidence in treating hip and knee osteoarthritis?  Not confident Slightly confident Confident
	Very confident
	Extremely confident
8.	Do you have, or have you ever suffered from chronic knee or hip pain yourself?
9.	What percentage of your typical caseload is made up of patients with hip and/or knee pain? $\Box 1-5\%$ $\Box 6-25\%$ $\Box 26-50\%$ $\Box 51-75\%$ $\Box >75\%$
Sec	tion 2. Exercise beliefs for hip and knee osteoarthritis
2.1 that	Where do you get your knowledge of care advancements for persons with knee or hip osteoarthritis? (Tick all apply) Published guidelines or recommendations (e.g. NICE, EULAR, OARSI) Reading medical journals Twitter or other social media Podcasts
l	CME networks or other GP networks

Conference attendance
 Course attendance

# Supplemental File 1

Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare professional and patient beliefs

□ Other \_\_

We are interested in your views about the role of exercise in the treatment of hip and knee osteoarthritis. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the statements given by ticking or placing an 'X' in one box per row.

Question	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
2.2 Hip and knee problems are improved by					
general exercise e.g. walking and swimming					
2.3 Hip and knee problems are improved by					
specific muscle strengthening exercises					
2.4 General exercise e.g. walking and					
swimming is safe for everybody to do					
2.5 Specific muscle strengthening exercise is					
safe for everyone to do					
2.6 Every patient with hip or knee OA should try	1				
conservative exercise treatment before more					
invasive procedures are recommended					
2.7 Exercise for hip or knee OA is most					
beneficial when it is tailored to meet individual					
patient needs					
2.8 A standard set of exercises is sufficient for					
every patient with hip or knee OA 🦯 🚽					
2.9 Education on lifestyle change is important					
for patients with OA					
2.10 Education on strategies for self-					
management of pain are important for patients with OA	-				
2.11 It is important that people with OA increase	e				
their overall activity levels					
2.12 Exercise is effective for patients if an x-ray					
shows severe knee osteoarthritis					
2.13 Exercise for OA is more effectively					
provided by physiotherapists than GPs					
2.14 Time constraints prevent the provision of					
advice on individual exercises for OA					
2.15 Exercise for OA should preferably be used					
after drug treatment has been tried					
2.16 Exercise for chronic knee pain would be					
used more frequently if access to physiotherapy	/				
was easier					

#### Section 3. Clinical scenario of a patient with osteoarthritis

Presented below is a clinical scenario of a patient with suspected knee osteoarthritis who presents to you with this problem for the first time. All questions in this section relate to the care you would give this particular

	Patient: Complaint: History:	Mrs. Murphy, 60-year old shop owner, no health insurance Right sided knee pain Gradually worsening over 3 years No history of trauma Pain when walking and at rest, worst when climbing stairs.
		No night pain. Activities of daily living are manageable. Difficulty gardening. Finding work increasingly difficult due to the stairs Tried going to gym but stopped – thinks was making pain worse. Otherwise well – mild hypertension Has tried ibunrofen with no effect
	Medication:	Amlodipine
<b>.</b>	Examination:	Mild Obesity with Body Mass Index of 33 Knees – bilaterally no effusions. Joint line tenderness on palpation. No pain or reduced mobility around knee cap Slightly reduced flexion of the right knee. Hips – no abnormality detected

patient.

3.1 Select some key	words you would use to describe the	eir diagnosis <b>to the patient</b> . (Select all that a
□ Mild	□ Cartilage thinning	□ Fear avoidance
□ Moderate	□ Overloading	Pain sensitivity
□ Severe	□ Overweight	□ Bone on bone
Degeneration	□ Deterioration	□ Weakness
□ Wear and tear	□ Normal ageing	□ Joint swelling
□ Arthritis	□ Joint damage	Other
3.2 What investigation □ None □ Knee x	n(s)/assessment(s), if any, would you -ray □ Blood tests □ C	do/order for this patient at this point ther
3.3 At this consultatio apply)	n, what approaches would you use, o	or suggest, to manage this patient? (please ti
□ None	□ Advice on footwear	□ Exercise
□ Ice	General activity	Injection of steroids
Heat	Provision of walking aid	□ Oral NSAID
□ Rest	□ Weight Loss	□ Topical NSAID
Weak opioids	□ Paracetemol	□ Glucosamine/Chondroitin
□ Other, please state	,	
<ul> <li>Give a leaflet or on</li> <li>Refer to physiother</li> <li>Other (please state</li> <li>3.5 In an ideal world v neither, at this stage?</li> <li>Physiotherapy</li> </ul>	line resource rapy or other exercise specialist e) without barriers, would you refer the p	patient to physiotherapy or orthopaedic consu
<ul> <li>Give a leaflet or on</li> <li>Refer to physiother</li> <li>Other (please state</li> <li>3.5 In an ideal world w neither, at this stage?</li> <li>Physiotherapy</li> <li>Orthopaedic consu</li> <li>Neither</li> <li>A la your current pro-</li> </ul>	line resource rapy or other exercise specialist e) without barriers, would you refer the p litant	patient to physiotherapy or orthopaedic consu
<ul> <li>Give a leaflet or on</li> <li>Refer to physiother</li> <li>Other (please state</li> <li>3.5 In an ideal world w</li></ul>	line resource rapy or other exercise specialist e) without barriers, would you refer the p litant actice, would you refer this patient to	patient to physiotherapy or orthopaedic consu
<ul> <li>Give a leaflet or on</li> <li>Refer to physiother</li> <li>Other (please state</li> <li>3.5 In an ideal world v neither, at this stage?</li> <li>Physiotherapy</li> <li>Orthopaedic consu</li> <li>Neither</li> <li>3.6 In your current practice</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> </ul>	line resource rapy or other exercise specialist without barriers, would you refer the p litant actice, would you refer this patient to	patient to physiotherapy or orthopaedic consu
<ul> <li>Give a leaflet or on</li> <li>Refer to physiother</li> <li>Other (please state</li> <li>3.5 In an ideal world w</li></ul>	line resource rapy or other exercise specialist without barriers, would you refer the p litant actice, would you refer this patient to	patient to physiotherapy or orthopaedic const physiotherapy at this stage?
<ul> <li>Give a leaflet or on</li> <li>Refer to physiother</li> <li>Other (please state</li> <li>3.5 In an ideal world v neither, at this stage?</li> <li>Physiotherapy</li> <li>Orthopaedic consu</li> <li>Neither</li> <li>3.6 In your current pra</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If yes, why? (Select a</li> <li>Deemed an approp</li> </ul>	line resource rapy or other exercise specialist without barriers, would you refer the p litant actice, would you refer this patient to Il that apply) priate candidate for supervised conse	patient to physiotherapy or orthopaedic const physiotherapy at this stage?
<ul> <li>Give a leaflet or on</li> <li>Refer to physiother</li> <li>Other (please state</li> <li>3.5 In an ideal world weither, at this stage?</li> <li>Physiotherapy</li> <li>Orthopaedic consu</li> <li>Neither</li> <li>3.6 In your current practice</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If yes, why? (Select a Deemed an appropulate of access to pulse of access</li></ul>	line resource rapy or other exercise specialist without barriers, would you refer the p litant actice, would you refer this patient to Il that apply) briate candidate for supervised conse physiotherapy	patient to physiotherapy or orthopaedic const physiotherapy at this stage? rvative treatment
<ul> <li>Give a leaflet or on</li> <li>Refer to physiother</li> <li>Other (please state</li> <li>3.5 In an ideal world weither, at this stage?</li> <li>Physiotherapy</li> <li>Orthopaedic consurbation</li> <li>Neither</li> <li>3.6 In your current practice</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If yes, why? (Select a Deemed an appropriate appropriste appropriate appropri</li></ul>	line resource rapy or other exercise specialist without barriers, would you refer the p litant actice, would you refer this patient to lit that apply) priate candidate for supervised conse physiotherapy propriately address exercise needs in physiotherapy	patient to physiotherapy or orthopaedic const physiotherapy at this stage? rvative treatment practice
<ul> <li>Give a leaflet or on</li> <li>Refer to physiother</li> <li>Other (please state</li> <li>3.5 In an ideal world weither, at this stage?</li> <li>Physiotherapy</li> <li>Orthopaedic consures</li> <li>Neither</li> <li>3.6 In your current practice</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If yes, why? (Select and Deemed an appropriate appropriste appropriate appropriate appropriat</li></ul>	line resource rapy or other exercise specialist e) without barriers, would you refer the p litant actice, would you refer this patient to ull that apply) priate candidate for supervised conse physiotherapy propriately address exercise needs in o NSAIDS	patient to physiotherapy or orthopaedic const physiotherapy at this stage? rvative treatment practice
<ul> <li>Give a leaflet or on</li> <li>Refer to physiother</li> <li>Other (please state</li> <li>3.5 In an ideal world weither, at this stage?</li> <li>Physiotherapy</li> <li>Orthopaedic consu</li> <li>Neither</li> <li>3.6 In your current prace</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If yes, why? (Select and the properties of access to predict the properties</li></ul>	line resource rapy or other exercise specialist without barriers, would you refer the p litant actice, would you refer this patient to Il that apply) briate candidate for supervised conse physiotherapy bropriately address exercise needs in to NSAIDS	patient to physiotherapy or orthopaedic const physiotherapy at this stage? rvative treatment practice
<ul> <li>Give a leaflet or on</li> <li>Refer to physiother</li> <li>Other (please state</li> <li>3.5 In an ideal world weither, at this stage?</li> <li>Physiotherapy</li> <li>Orthopaedic consurtion</li> <li>Neither</li> <li>3.6 In your current prace</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If yes, why? (Select and the properties of access to predict the properties of access the properties of access to predict the properties of access to predict the properties of access to predict the properties of access the properties of access to predict the properties of access to properties of access the properties of access to properties of access to properties of access the properties of access the properties of access the properties of acce</li></ul>	line resource rapy or other exercise specialist a)	patient to physiotherapy or orthopaedic consu physiotherapy at this stage? rvative treatment practice
<ul> <li>Give a leaflet or on</li> <li>Refer to physiother</li> <li>Other (please state</li> <li>3.5 In an ideal world weither, at this stage?</li> <li>Physiotherapy</li> <li>Orthopaedic consurce</li> <li>Neither</li> <li>3.6 In your current prace</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If yes, why? (Select and the properties of access to properties of access to properties of access to properties of the propert</li></ul>	line resource rapy or other exercise specialist e) without barriers, would you refer the p latant actice, would you refer this patient to ull that apply) priate candidate for supervised conse physiotherapy propriately address exercise needs in o NSAIDS candidate for conservative treatment	patient to physiotherapy or orthopaedic const physiotherapy at this stage? rvative treatment practice
<ul> <li>Give a leaflet or on</li> <li>Refer to physiother</li> <li>Other (please state</li> <li>3.5 In an ideal world weither, at this stage?</li> <li>Physiotherapy</li> <li>Orthopaedic consures</li> <li>Neither</li> <li>3.6 In your current prace</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If yes, why? (Select and the properties of access to predict the prediction of the properties of access to predict the prediction of the properties of the propert</li></ul>	line resource rapy or other exercise specialist a)	patient to physiotherapy or orthopaedic const physiotherapy at this stage? rvative treatment practice
<ul> <li>Give a leaflet or on</li> <li>Refer to physiother</li> <li>Other (please state</li> <li>3.5 In an ideal world weither, at this stage?</li> <li>Physiotherapy</li> <li>Orthopaedic consures</li> <li>Neither</li> <li>3.6 In your current prace</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If yes, why? (Select and the properties of access to predict the prediction of the predict</li></ul>	line resource rapy or other exercise specialist a) without barriers, would you refer the p iltant actice, would you refer this patient to II that apply) oriate candidate for supervised conse physiotherapy oropriately address exercise needs in o NSAIDS ct all that apply) candidate for conservative treatment and poor access to physiotherapy are a priority	patient to physiotherapy or orthopaedic consu physiotherapy at this stage? rvative treatment practice
<ul> <li>Give a leaflet or on</li> <li>Refer to physiother</li> <li>Other (please state</li> <li>3.5 In an ideal world weither, at this stage?</li> <li>Physiotherapy</li> <li>Orthopaedic consurce</li> <li>Neither</li> <li>3.6 In your current prace</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If yes, why? (Select and properties of access to predice the state)</li> <li>Lack of time to apperties of access to predice the state of th</li></ul>	line resource rapy or other exercise specialist without barriers, would you refer the p litant actice, would you refer this patient to Il that apply) priate candidate for supervised conse physiotherapy propriately address exercise needs in to NSAIDS t all that apply) candidate for conservative treatment and poor access to physiotherapy are a priority the pain worse	physiotherapy or orthopaedic consu physiotherapy at this stage? rvative treatment practice
<ul> <li>Give a leaflet or on</li> <li>Refer to physiother</li> <li>Other (please state</li> <li>3.5 In an ideal world weither, at this stage?</li> <li>Physiotherapy</li> <li>Orthopaedic consurce</li> <li>Neither</li> <li>3.6 In your current prace</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If yes, why? (Select and the properties of access to predict the predict of the properties of access to predict of the properties of access to predict of the properties of access to predict of the properties of the properties of the properties of access to predict of the properties of th</li></ul>	line resource rapy or other exercise specialist without barriers, would you refer the p litant actice, would you refer this patient to Il that apply) briate candidate for supervised conse physiotherapy bropriately address exercise needs in to NSAIDS ct all that apply) candidate for conservative treatment and poor access to physiotherapy are a priority the pain worse kercise	patient to physiotherapy or orthopaedic consu physiotherapy at this stage? rvative treatment practice
<ul> <li>Give a leaflet or on</li> <li>Refer to physiother</li> <li>Other (please state</li> <li>3.5 In an ideal world weither, at this stage?</li> <li>Physiotherapy</li> <li>Orthopaedic consures</li> <li>Neither</li> <li>3.6 In your current prace</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If yes, why? (Select and the properties of access to properties of the properties of the properties of access to properties of access to properties of access to properties of the p</li></ul>	line resource rapy or other exercise specialist a)	physiotherapy at this stage? rvative treatment practice
<ul> <li>Give a leaflet or on</li> <li>Refer to physiother</li> <li>Other (please state</li> <li>3.5 In an ideal world weither, at this stage?</li> <li>Physiotherapy</li> <li>Orthopaedic consurtion</li> <li>Neither</li> <li>3.6 In your current prace</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If yes, why? (Select and the properties of access to properties of a correspondent of the properties of access to properties of the properties of access to properties of the properties of th</li></ul>	line resource rapy or other exercise specialist without barriers, would you refer the p litant actice, would you refer this patient to Ill that apply) priate candidate for supervised conse physiotherapy propriately address exercise needs in to NSAIDS et all that apply) candidate for conservative treatment and poor access to physiotherapy are a priority the pain worse kercise kamine further therapeutic options first injection)	physiotherapy at this stage? rvative treatment practice
<ul> <li>Give a leaflet or on</li> <li>Refer to physiother</li> <li>Other (please state</li> <li>3.5 In an ideal world weither, at this stage?</li> <li>Physiotherapy</li> <li>Orthopaedic consurts</li> <li>Neither</li> <li>3.6 In your current prace</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If yes, why? (Select and the properties of access to properties of access to properties of access to properties of a corest of the properties of a corest of the properties of a corest of the properties of the propert</li></ul>	line resource rapy or other exercise specialist a)	physiotherapy at this stage? rvative treatment practice at (e.g., develop a pain management plan or g

🗆 Will li	kely need a joint replacement in a few years so put on waiting list now
□ Need	a specialist opinion
Other	r
lf no, wł	ny not? (Select all that apply)
□ More	conservative treatmenta have not been exhausted
□ Symr	ptoms not severe enough to warrant joint replacement
□ Waiti	ng list too long
Other	ſ
3.8 Woi	uld you refer the patient to see someone else, either in the primary or community team or into
care, at	this point?
∐ No	
ir yes, v	
Sectior	4. Barriers and enablers to exercise prescription and referral in general practice
In vour	practice and experience of treating patients with osteparthritis, what are the main harriers to e
prescrip	tion or referral? (Please select all that apply)
	incient expertise
	realists about the effects of exercise
	rtainty about the most appropriate exercise type
	rtainty about the safety of exercise
	and accessibility of physiotherapy for patient
	lotnerapy waiting lists are too long
	of a standardized physiotherapy programme for OA in the region
	nts preier other management options
	hts want an orthopaedic consultant referral
	ritu of diagona (aumatama tao mild)
	rity of disease (symptoms too solvers)
	rade of patient
	age of many comorbidities
□ Othe	
What er	nablers would help you to prescribe or refer a patient with osteoarthritis to exercise in your pra-
	ased formal post-qualification education e.g. optiona or masters
	ased post-qualification training e.g. worksnops, videos
	ased exercise education during GP training
	consultation time to provide exercise prescription
	er waiting lists and improved access to physiotherapy
	ance of an evidence-based physiotherapy-supervised group exercise programme for osteoartr
	nts who recognize the importance of strategies for self-management of pain using appropriate
recomm	iendations
	cost community-based exercise programmes
	meration for exercise prescription and follow up consultations
U ()the	

# Supplemental File 1

Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare professional and patient beliefs

# <u>Survey 2:</u> Beliefs, Barriers and Enablers to Group Exercise Programme Delivery for Hip and Knee Osteoarthritis in Physiotherapy Practice in Ireland

The questionnaire is divided into 3 sections and should take approximately **7 minutes** to complete. Instructions for completing this questionnaire

- When completing the questionnaire, please try and provide answers that most accurately reflect your usual clinical practice. There are no 'correct' or 'incorrect' answers.
- Please do not consult any literature while completing this questionnaire.

### Section 1. Information about you

•••	
1.	How long have you been qualified as a Physiotherapist? <ul> <li>Less than 5 years experience</li> <li>5-10 years experience</li> </ul>
	□ Greater than 10 years experience
2.	How many Physiotherapists work in your clinic (including yourself)
3.	Are you: Female Male Other Prefer not to disclose
4.	Is your primary work setting:
	Public hospital
	Private hospital
	Primary, community and continuing care
	Private practice clinic
	Education
	Other (please state)
5.	Have you undertaken any specific post-qualification training, which involved education about hip or knee
	osteoarthritis or chronic pain? (By this we do not mean clinical placements or jobs in rheumatology or
	orthopaedics)   Yes  No
If y	es, what type of training? (Provide additional details if you wish to expand)
	Additional details
	M.Sc. (taught) in this/similar field     Additional details
	M.Sc. (research) in this/similar field     Additional details
	PhD in this/similar field     Additional details
	Day, weekend or online course (please name most relevant)
~	Li Other
6.	How would you rate your confidence in treating hip and knee osteoarthritis?
	Extremely confident
7.	Do you have, or have you ever suffered from chronic knee or hip pain yourself?  Yes No
8.	What percentage of your typical caseload is made up of patients with hip and/or knee osteoarthritis?
	$\Box 1-5\%$ $\Box 6-25\%$ $\Box 26-50\%$ $\Box 51-75\%$ $\Box >75\%$
Se	ction 2. Exercise beliefs for hip and knee osteoarthritis
2.1	Where do you access your knowledge of management for persons with knee or hip osteoarthritis? (Tick all that apply)
	Published guidelines or recommendations (e.g. NICE, EULAR, OARSI)
	Clinic protocols, discussion with peers or in-services
	Reading published research articles
<u>п</u> .	Twitter or other social media
	Podcasts

□Blogs

- □Videos
- $\Box$  ISCP specialist groups and other network events
- Conference attendance
- 60 Course attendance

# Supplemental File 1

Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare professional and patient beliefs

□ Other

Please now rank in order your preferred resources to learn from

#### We are interested in your views about the role of exercise in the treatment of hip and knee osteoarthritis. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the statements given by ticking one box per row.

Question	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
2.2 Hip and knee problems are improved by general exercise e.g. walking and swimming	Diodgioc				
2.3 Hip and knee problems are improved by specific muscle strengthening exercises					
2.4 Hip and knee problems are improved by focusing on motor or neuromuscular contro of the joints during exercise	I				
2.5 General exercise e.g. walking and swimming is safe for most patients to do					
2.6 Specific muscle strengthening exercise is safe for most patients to do					
2.7 Neuromuscular control exercises are safe for most patients to do					
2.8 Every patient with hip or knee OA should try conservative exercise treatment before surgery is considered					
2.9 Exercise for hip or knee OA is most beneficial when it is tailored to meet individual patient needs	K				
2.10 A standard set of exercises with individual progression is sufficient for every patient with hip or knee OA	6				
2.11 Education on lifestyle change is important for patients with OA					
2.12 Education on strategies for self- management of pain are important for patients with OA		9.			
2.13 It is important that people with OA increase their overall activity levels	•	4			
2.14 Exercise is effective for patients if an x-ray shows severe knee osteoarthritis		L			
2.15 Most patients with hip or knee OA would benefit from a supervised group exercise programme		C			
2.16 Most patients with hip or knee OA would benefit from an individualized exercise programme					

#### Section 3. Barriers and enablers to exercise programme delivery in physiotherapy practice

3.1 Please select the current level of government COVID19 restrictions in place as you are completing this survey □ Level 5

 Level 1 Level 2 Level Level 4

3.2 Pre-COVID19 restrictions in March 2020, were you or your clinic providing group exercise classes for patients with hip or knee osteoarthritis? 
Ves 
No

If Yes, what was the average number of classes per week? \_

If No, were you interested in offering group exercise classes for osteoarthritis in an ideal world and if no barriers existed?

□ Yes □ No

#### **Supplemental File 1**

Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare professional and patient beliefs

# 3.3 **Pre-COVID19** restrictions in March 2020, **what** were the main **barriers** to providing group exercise programmes for patients with osteoarthritis in your practice? (Please select all that apply)

□ None

- □ Insufficient space and equipment resources
- □ Insufficient personnel (staff) resources
- □ Insufficient referrals or low OA caseload
- Patients want individualized programmes
- □ Patients prefer other management options e.g. manual therapy
- Insufficient expertise
  - Uncertainty about the effects of exercise
  - Uncertainty about the most appropriate exercise type
  - Uncertainty about the safety of exercise
  - Cost for patient
  - □ Access for patient (e.g. travel, parking, time)
  - □ Scheduling conflict related to patient working hours and clinic hours
  - □ Lack of a standardised programme or protocol for exercise for OA
  - English language barrier for patients
  - □ Lack of support from colleagues or managers
  - Other \_\_\_\_\_
    - 3.4 Are you <u>currently</u> offering group exercise classes for patients with hip or knee osteoarthritis and to what capacity?
  - Yes, face to face at full capacity
  - □ Yes, face to face at reduced capacity compared to Pre-COVID19 restrictions
  - □ Yes, online classes only
  - □ Yes, combination of face-to-face and online
  - 🗆 No

3.5 **Under current restrictions**, are there any **additional barriers** to providing **face-to-face** group exercise programmes for patients with osteoarthritis in your practice? (Please select all that apply)

□ None

- Government restrictions currently do not allow for group classes
- □ Hospital or clinic protocols currently do not allow for group classes
- Patients do not want to attend clinic
- □ Not enough resources for adequate distancing for class members
- □ Sanitization procedures are too time consuming
- Own COVID-related safety concerns
- Other \_

# 3.6 Under current restrictions, are there any additional barriers to providing online group exercise

programmes for patients with osteoarthritis in your practice? (Please select all that apply)

- □ None
- □ Lack of IT resources in clinic (e.g. online platform, webcams, high speed Wi-Fi)
- Lack of personnel (staff) with IT knowledge
- Patients lack IT resources or knowledge
- □ Patients prefer to wait until they can access face-to-face treatment
- □ Uncertainty about the effectiveness of online group exercise
- Own personal preference
- □ Other \_

3.7 What **enablers** would help you to provide **face to face group exercise** classes to patients with osteoarthritis in your practice if COVID restrictions were not a factor? (Please select all that apply)

None

- □ More university post-qualification education e.g. diploma or masters
- □ More other post-qualification training e.g. short courses, workshops, videos
- More education on group exercise delivery during physiotherapy training
- Appropriate referrals from GP or other sources
- □ GPs who impart knowledge regarding benefits of exercise to patients upon referral

58 59

	Supplemental File 1
1	Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare
2	professional and patient beliefs
3	Resources to deliver quality educational material regarding self-management alongside exercise
4	More support from colleagues or managers
5	□ Other
6	
7	3.8 What <b>enablers</b> would help you to provide an option of <b>online</b> group exercise classes to patients with
8	osteoartnritis in your practice? (Please select all that apply)
9	□ None
10	□ Improved IT Intrastructure in clinic (e.g. laptops, webcams)
11	$\Box$ Triskills resources for delivering online programmes (e.g. tutorials, do's and don'ts)
12	Access to Tr resources (e.g. tutorials) to provide patients with
13	
14	□ Strong evidence for effectiveness of existing online programmes
15	$\Box$ An online registry allowing collection of patient outcomes pre- and post- programme
16	
17	3.9 Would you be interested in receiving training (1.5 day workshop) to effectively implement and deliver a
18	standardized, international, evidence-based group exercise and education programme with online and face-
19	to-face options for patients with osteoarthritis in your clinic?
20	Extremely interested
21	□ Very interested
22	Moderately interested
23	□ Slightly interested
24	□ Not at all interested
25	If not interested, why?
26	
27	3.10 If interested, how much would you be willing to pay for this continuous professional development training?
28	□€100-150
29	□€151-200
30	
31	
32	
33	□ More than €350
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your time and participation is greatly
39	appreciated.
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	

Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare

Supplemental File 1

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49 50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

#### professional and patient beliefs Survey 3: Survey on the Role of Exercise for Hip and Knee Osteoarthritis in Adults in Ireland The questions below are divided into 3 sections. Please complete the questions to the best of your ability. Section 1. Information about you 1. Are you: Female □ Male □ Other □ Prefer not to disclose 2. Which age category do you fall into? $\Box$ 30 to 39 years $\Box$ 40 to 49 years □ 50 to 59 years $\Box$ 60 to 69 years □ 70 to 79 years $\Box$ 80 to 89 years □ 90 years or older Which province in Ireland do you reside in? Munster Ulster Connacht Leinster \*\*If "Ulster" is selected, question 3(b) will appear. 3(b) Do you access your healthcare in: □Northern Ireland (NHS) □Republic of Ireland (HSE) □A combination of both 4. Which of the following best describes where you live? □ Inner citv $\Box$ Suburb of a city □ Town □ Village □ Open country □ Island off Ireland 5. Have you ever been told by a health professional that you have a diagnosis of the following? (Select all that apply) □ Arthritis Diabetes Mellitus (type 1 or 2) □ Osteoarthritis Kidney or liver disease □ Wear and tear □ Anemia (reduced number of red blood cells) □ Degenerative changes Other blood disease □ Rheumatoid arthritis □ Cancer □ Hypertension □ Depression □ Heart Disease □ Anxiety □ Ulcer or other bowel diseases Other mental health disorder Neurological disease e.g. Parkinson's/MS □ Respiratory diseases e.g. COPD □ Thyroid Disease □ Hemochromatosis Fibromyalgia □ Other health condition 6. Have you had pain and joint symptoms in any of the following joints for 6 months or more (select all that apply) □Left Knee □Right Knee □Right Hip □Left Hip □Right Ankle □Left Ankle □Right Shoulder □Left Shoulder □Right Elbow □Left Elbow □Right Wrist □Left Wrist □Right Hand/Fingers □Left Hand/Fingers □Lower Back □Other, please describe\_ □Mid Back □Neck 7. Have you ever had joint replacement surgery for any of your painful joints? Please select below the joints that have been replaced. □Left Knee □Right Knee □Right Hip □Left Hip □Right Ankle □Left Ankle □Right Shoulder □Left Shoulder

1	S	upplemental File 1				
1	Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare professional and patient beliefs					
3	рі		5 - (1 )			
4						
5			ell WIISL			
6			en nanu/ringers			
7	8	Of your hip and/or knee joints	that have <b>NOT</b> hee	en replaced which joint are	you most bothered by? (select	
8	0.	one)				
9		□Right Knee □Le	eft Knee			
10		□Right Hip □Le	eft Hip			
11	All remaining questions will now be related to the joint that you have chosen.					
12	9.	How long have you been expe	eriencing pain in you	ur [insert chosen joint]?		
13		$\Box$ 6 months – 1 year				
14		$\Box$ 1 – 2 years				
15		$\Box 2 - 3$ years				
16		$\square 3 - 4$ years				
17		$\Box$ 4 – 5 years				
18	40	☐ More than 5 years		a sinfert line and also say is in th		
19	10.	Have you seen or spoken to y	our GP about your	paintui linsert chosen jointje		
20	11.	Have you ever had an x-ray o	to an <b>orthonaedi</b>	n jointj? 🗆 Yes 🗆 No c consultant for your linser	t chosen joint12	
21	12.		a to all <b>orthopaedi</b>			
22						
23		$\Box$ Currently on a waiting list (	private consultant r	referral)		
24		$\Box$ Currently on a waiting list (	public consultant re	eferral)		
25	**	If on a waiting list, how long ha	ve vou been waiting	1?		
26		□ Less than 6 months		5		
27		$\Box$ 6 months – 1 year				
28		$\square$ 1 vear – 1.5 vears				
29		$\square$ 1.5 years – 2 years				
30		□ More than 2 years				
31	13.	Has your GP ever referred yo	u to a <b>physiothera</b>	pist for your [insert chosen	ioint]?	
32		□ Yes			-	
33		□ No				
34		Currently on a waiting list (	private consultant r	eferral)		
35		□ Currently on a waiting list (	public consultant re	eferral)		
36		* If on a waiting list, how long	have you been wa	iting?		
37		$\Box$ Less than 6 months				
38		🗆 6 months – 1 year				
39		🗆 1 year – 1.5 years				
40		1.5 years – 2 years				
41		More than 2 years				
42	14.	How would you rate the pain a	and symptoms you a	are experiencing in your hip	and/or knee on an average	
43		day?				
44		□ No pain or symptoms				
45		Mild pain and symptoms				
46			oms			
47	15	□ Severe pain and symptom	S so following on osific	ally for your lineart above	inint]0	
48	15. M	uscle strengthening exercise	ie following specific	any for your linsen chosen	joint]?	
49	(e	a using weight/resistance ban	d) ⊡No never	□Yes currently using	□Yes_stopped using	
50	Ae	erobic exercise				
51	(e	.g. cycling, walking, fitness clas	s) □No, never	□Yes, currently usina	□Yes, stopped usina	
52	In	formation/Education course	, .,	<b>,,, -, -</b>		
53	(e	.g. self-management programm	e) □No, never	□Yes, currently using	□Yes, stopped using	
54	M	aking efforts to lose weight	□No, never	□Yes, currently using	□Yes, stopped using	
55		-			-	
56						
57						

# Supplemental File 1

Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare professional and patient beliefs

# Section 2. Exercise beliefs for hip and knee osteoarthritis

We are interested in your views about the role of exercise in the treatment of hip and knee osteoarthritis. Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the statements given by selecting one option per question.

Question	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
2.1 Hip and knee problems can be improved by general exercise e.g. walking and swimming					
2.2 Hip and knee problems can be improved by specific muscle strengthening exercises					
2.3 General exercise e.g. walking and swimming is safe for everybody to do					
2.4 Specific muscle strengthening exercise is safe for everyone to do					
2.5 Every patient with hip or knee osteoarthritis should try exercise treatment before surgery is considered					
2.6 Patients should learn more about how to self-manage their pain and symptoms using exercise and physical activity					
2.7 The best way to learn about exercise is in a supervised group setting with people who have similar pain (Pre-COVID-19 restrictions)					
2.8 The best way to learn about exercise is in a one-on-one setting with a health professional (Pre-COVID-19 restrictions)	X				
2.9 Exercise is effective for patients if an x-ray shows severe knee osteoarthritis					
2.10 Exercise works just as well for everybody, regardless of the amount of pain they have					

#### Section 3. Barriers and enablers to exercise for hip and knee osteoarthritis In this section we want to know more about your exercise experience and what kinds of things would prevent you or help you do more exercise

- 3.1 How many times a week do you exercise (e.g. 30 minute walk)?
  - □ 3 or more days per week
  - $\Box$  Less than 3 days per week
  - □ I don't exercise
- 3.2 Has a health professional ever given you specific exercises for your [insert chosen joint]?
  - □ Yes
  - 🗆 No
  - □ Not sure
  - \*If Yes, what type of health professional? (select all that apply)
  - Physiotherapist
  - $\Box$  GP
- Orthopaedic surgeon
- □ Nurse
  - Personal trainer
  - Other, please name \_
  - \*If Yes, what type of exercise?
  - Home-based individual exercises
  - □ Group exercise class for osteoarthritis
  - Other, please state \_
    - \*If Yes, did you find the exercise beneficial?
    - □ Yes
    - 🗆 No
    - Not sure
  - 3.3 Please select the current level of government COVID19 restrictions in place as you are completing this survey

0	BMJ Open	
	<b>Supplemental File 1</b> Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare professional and patient beliefs	
	Level 1    Level 2    Level 4    Level 5 (strictest restrictions)	
	<ul> <li>3.4 Thinking about life without COVID19 restrictions, what are the main barriers that would prevent you from exercising? (Please select all that apply)</li> <li>Pain or other joint symptoms</li> <li>I need assistance for mobility e.g. walking stick, wheelchair</li> <li>Finding time to exercise</li> <li>Lack of enjoyment from exercise</li> <li>Lack of exercise buddy or support network</li> <li>Wet or cold weather</li> <li>Other health problems</li> <li>Other disability e.g. visual impairment</li> <li>Cost of a gym membership or physiotherapy visit</li> <li>Cost of active wear or equipment</li> <li>I don't know the best types of exercise for joint pain</li> <li>Uncertainty about the benefit of exercise for joint pain</li> <li>Uncertainty about the benefit of exercise for joint pain</li> <li>Megative body image</li> <li>Access to facilities (e.g. availability, travel, parking)</li> <li>Work commitments</li> <li>Family commitments or other responsibilities</li> <li>Age</li> <li>Fear of injury</li> <li>Tiredness and fatigue</li> </ul>	
	□ Tiredness and fatigue □ Depression □ Other	
	<ul> <li>3.5 Thinking about life without COVID19 restrictions, what types of things would help you to exercise more? (Please select all that apply)</li> <li>Better knowledge of the best type of exercise to do</li> <li>Access to exercise that is supervised by a health professional</li> <li>Social aspect e.g. group exercise with other people with hip or knee pain</li> <li>More confidence in your joint</li> <li>Exercise recommendations from a GP</li> <li>Exercise recommendations from a physiotherapist</li> <li>More support from family or friends</li> <li>Warm and dry weather for outdoor exercise</li> <li>Low cost community exercise programmes</li> <li>Safe exercise environment (e.g. well-lit pathways)</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Other</li></ul>	

3.7 Thinking about **current restrictions**, how interested would you be in taking part in a 6-week, twice per week, **ONLINE** physiotherapy-supervised group exercise and education class for you hip or knee pain?

Extremely interested

Very interested

- Moderately interested
- Slightly interested

□ Not at all interested	
If not interested, why?	
3.8 Do you have any expe professional?	rience with online-delivered healthcare or telerehabilitation from a GP or other hea
□Yes	
□ No	
3.9 What are the <b>barriers</b> apply)	that would prevent you taking part in an <b>online exercise</b> class? (Please select all
□ Lack of technology equi	pment (e.g. laptop, smartphone or tablet, webcams)
□ Lack of confidence in us	sing computers, laptops etc.
🗆 Wi-Fi / Broadband conn	ection is not good enough
$\hfill\square$ Preference to wait until	I can access face-to-face treatment
□ Uncertain about how on	line group exercise would work
□ Lack of space in home of	environment to perform exercises
English language barrie	rs
□ Lack of time to take par	t 🔿
□ Other	
3.10 What would <b>help you</b> (Please select all that	I to take part in an <b>online</b> group exercise class with other people with osteoarthriti apply)
□ An initial one-to-one ses	ssion with a physiotherapist to get familiar with the process
□ Resources (e.g. videos)	with explanations of how to get started
□ Improved Wi-Fi and bar	ndwidth
Evamples and testimon	
	als from patients who have finished the classes
□ Opportunities to chat or	als from patients who have finished the classes
<ul> <li>Deportunities to chat or</li> <li>Support from family me</li> </ul>	als from patients who have finished the classes line with other patients before and after the class mbers to get set up in your home
<ul> <li>Description of the standard restriction</li> <li>Deportunities to chat or</li> <li>Support from family met</li> <li>A laptop or tablet</li> </ul>	als from patients who have finished the classes line with other patients before and after the class mbers to get set up in your home
<ul> <li>Opportunities to chat or</li> <li>Support from family mer</li> <li>A laptop or tablet</li> <li>Other</li> </ul>	als from patients who have finished the classes line with other patients before and after the class mbers to get set up in your home
<ul> <li>Opportunities to chat or</li> <li>Support from family mer</li> <li>A laptop or tablet</li> <li>Other</li></ul>	als from patients who have finished the classes line with other patients before and after the class mbers to get set up in your home 
<ul> <li>□ Opportunities to chat or</li> <li>□ Support from family mer</li> <li>□ A laptop or tablet</li> <li>□ Other</li> <li>3.11 If interested, how murentire 14-15 session p</li> <li>□ €0-25</li> </ul>	als from patients who have finished the classes line with other patients before and after the class mbers to get set up in your home 
<ul> <li>□ Opportunities to chat or</li> <li>□ Support from family men</li> <li>□ A laptop or tablet</li> <li>□ Other</li></ul>	als from patients who have finished the classes line with other patients before and after the class mbers to get set up in your home 
<ul> <li>□ Opportunities to chat or</li> <li>□ Support from family men</li> <li>□ A laptop or tablet</li> <li>□ Other</li></ul>	als from patients who have finished the classes line with other patients before and after the class mbers to get set up in your home 
<ul> <li>□ Opportunities to chat or</li> <li>□ Opport from family met</li> <li>□ A laptop or tablet</li> <li>□ Other</li></ul>	als from patients who have finished the classes line with other patients before and after the class mbers to get set up in your home 
Champles and testimon □ Opportunities to chat or □ Support from family men □ A laptop or tablet □ Other 3.11 If interested, how munication entire 14-15 session p □ €0-25 □ €26-50 □ €51-100 □ €101-150 □ €151-200	als from patients who have finished the classes line with other patients before and after the class mbers to get set up in your home ch would you be willing to pay to take part in these exercise classes (price in europ programme)?
Champles and testimon □ Opportunities to chat or □ Support from family men □ A laptop or tablet □ Other 3.11 If interested, how munication entire 14-15 session p □ €0-25 □ €26-50 □ €26-50 □ €151-100 □ €151-200 □ > €200	als from patients who have finished the classes line with other patients before and after the class mbers to get set up in your home 
<ul> <li>□ Opportunities to chat or</li> <li>□ Support from family men</li> <li>□ A laptop or tablet</li> <li>□ Other</li></ul>	he time to complete this questionnaire. Your time and participation is
<ul> <li>□ Opportunities to chat or</li> <li>□ Support from family met</li> <li>□ A laptop or tablet</li> <li>□ Other</li></ul>	he time to complete this questionnaire. Your time and participation is
<ul> <li>□ Opportunities to chat or</li> <li>□ Support from family met</li> <li>□ A laptop or tablet</li> <li>□ Other</li></ul>	he time to complete this questionnaire. Your time and participation is d.
<ul> <li>□ Opportunities to chat or</li> <li>□ Support from family met</li> <li>□ A laptop or tablet</li> <li>□ Other</li></ul>	he time to complete this questionnaire. Your time and participation is d.
<ul> <li>□ Opportunities to chat or</li> <li>□ Support from family met</li> <li>□ A laptop or tablet</li> <li>□ Other</li></ul>	he time to complete this questionnaire. Your time and participation is d.
<ul> <li>□ Opportunities to chat or</li> <li>□ Opport from family men</li> <li>□ A laptop or tablet</li> <li>□ Other</li></ul>	he time to complete this questionnaire. Your time and participation is d.
<ul> <li>□ Opportunities to chat or</li> <li>□ Support from family mean</li> <li>□ A laptop or tablet</li> <li>□ Other</li></ul>	he time to complete this questionnaire. Your time and participation is d.
<ul> <li>□ Opportunities to chat or</li> <li>□ Support from family met</li> <li>□ A laptop or tablet</li> <li>□ Other</li></ul>	hine with other patients before and after the classs mbers to get set up in your home ch would you be willing to pay to take part in these exercise classes (price in europorogramme)? he time to complete this questionnaire. Your time and participation is d.
<ul> <li>□ Opportunities to chat or</li> <li>□ Support from family met</li> <li>□ A laptop or tablet</li> <li>□ Other</li></ul>	he time to complete this questionnaire. Your time and participation is d.
<ul> <li>□ Opportunities to chat or</li> <li>□ Support from family met</li> <li>□ A laptop or tablet</li> <li>□ Other</li></ul>	he time to complete this questionnaire. Your time and participation is d.
<ul> <li>□ Opportunities to chat or</li> <li>□ Support from family mer</li> <li>□ A laptop or tablet</li> <li>□ Other</li></ul>	he time to complete this questionnaire. Your time and participation is d.
<ul> <li>□ Opportunities and testimon</li> <li>□ Opportunities to chat or</li> <li>□ Support from family met</li> <li>□ A laptop or tablet</li> <li>□ Other</li></ul>	he time to complete this questionnaire. Your time and participation is d.

# Supplemental File 2

Guideline-based exercise management for hip and knee osteoarthritis: differences in healthcare professional and patient beliefs

**Supplemental Table**: Multivariable linear regression models to determine if positive beliefs about exercise in PwOA are associated with (1) referral to physiotherapist by a GP and (2) if they have seen a physiotherapist for their joint pain.

Depend	lent Varia	ble: Nur	<u>mber of ex</u> erci	ise belie	f stateme	ents agree	d with	
Variables Model 1 <sup>a</sup>			Partial				95% EXI	CI for P(B)
	В	S.E.	Correlation	VIF	Sig.	Exp(B)	Lower	Upper
Has your GP ever referred you to a physiotherapist for your painful joint?	0.700	0.400	0.187	1.124	0.084	0.185	-0.095	1.496
Sex	-0.620	0.374	-0.177	1.015	0.101	-0.166	-1.363	0.124
How long have you been experiencing pain in your joint?	-0.163	0.100	-0.174	1.130	0.106	-0.173	-0.361	0.035
Number of comorbidities	-0.314	0.123	0.268	1.027	0.012	-0.259	-0.557	-0.070
Constant	7.687 <	0.604	-	-	0.000	-	6.485	8.888
Model 2 <sup>b</sup>								
Have you seen a physiotherapist for your painful joint?	1.060	0.383	0.288	1.138	0.007	0.287	0.299	1.821
Sex	-0.723	0.362	-0.212	1.003	0.049	-0.194	-1.444	-0.003
How long have you been experiencing pain in your joint?	-0.204	0.099	-0.219	1.163	0.042	-0.216	-0.400	-0.008
Number of comorbidities	-0.293	0.119	-0.257	1.026	0.016	-0.241	-0.530	-0.055
Constant	7 680	0 585	_		000	_	6 03/	0 652

Constant7.6800.585--0.000-6.0349.653aModel variables removed due to non-significance (1): How long have you been experiencing pain in your joint?,<br/>How would you rate the pain and symptoms you are experiencing in your hip and/or knee on an average day?.bModel variables removed due to non-significance (2): How would you rate the pain and symptoms you are<br/>experiencing in your hip and/or knee on an average day?.bModel variables removed due to non-significance (2): How would you rate the pain and symptoms you are<br/>experiencing in your hip and/or knee on an average day?.bModel variables removed due to non-significance (2): How would you rate the pain and symptoms you are<br/>experiencing in your hip and/or knee on an average day?.bModel variables removed due to non-significance (2): How would you rate the pain and symptoms you are<br/>experiencing in your hip and/or knee on an average day?.bModel variables removed due to non-significance (2): How would you rate the pain and symptoms you are<br/>experiencing in your hip and/or knee on an average day?.bModel variables removed due to non-significance (2): How would you rate the pain and symptoms you are<br/>experiencing in your hip and/or knee on an average day?.bModel variables removed due to non-significance (2): How would you rate the pain and symptoms you are<br/>experiencing in your hip and/or knee on an average day?.bModel variables removed due to non-significance (2): How would you rate the pain and symptoms you are<br/>experiencing in your hip and/or knee on an average day?.bModel variables removed due to non-significance (2): How would you rate the pain and symptoms you are<br/>experiencing in your hip and/or knee on an average day?.bModel variables removed due to non-s

3
4
5
6
0
/
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
17
10 10
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
20
27
20
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
20
20
27
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59

STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of cross-sectional stud	dies

	Item No	Recommendation	Page No
Title and abstract	1	( <i>a</i> ) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of	1
		what was done and what was found	
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation	3-4
		being reported	-
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	4
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	4-5
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods	4-5
C		of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of	5
-		selection of participants	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential	5-6
		confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if	
		applicable	
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of	5-7
measurement		methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of	
		assessment methods if there is more than one group	
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	n/a
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	n/a
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If	5-6
		applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for	6-7
		confounding	
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	6-7
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	7
		(d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of	6-7
		sampling strategy	
		( <u>e</u> ) Describe any sensitivity analyses	n/a
Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers	7
		potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible,	
		included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	7
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	n/a
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic,	Table 1
		clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential	
		confounders	
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable	Table 1
		of interest	

Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	Page 7, Figure
Main results	16	( <i>a</i> ) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	Table 3
		( <i>b</i> ) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	n/a
		( <i>c</i> ) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	n/a
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	n/a
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	14-15
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	18
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	16,17
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	18
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	18

\*Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

**Note:** An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.