

	Center#1			Center#2			Center#3		
	Reads	Aligned Reads	Uniformity of coverage	Reads	Aligned Reads	Uniformity of coverage	Reads	Aligned Reads	Uniformity of coverage
<b>ID_1</b>	30342484	95.00%	100.00%	3151680	95.78%	96.43%	43382964	96.98%	99.91%
<b>ID_2</b>	32051208	95.00%	100.00%	3009193	96.48%	95.60%	42443652	96.34%	99.91%
<b>ID_3</b>	32257448	96.00%	100.00%	2280014	96.24%	96.56%	40340238	95.99%	99.88%
<b>ID_4</b>	27997808	96.00%	100.00%	3083424	95.80%	94.46%	43434872	96.41%	99.92%
<b>ID_5</b>	27929198	96.00%	100.00%	3346566	95.56%	94.80%	38681522	96.65%	99.91%
<b>ID_6</b>	28519654	95.00%	100.00%	3025094	95.31%	96.08%	39567014	96.85%	99.89%
<b>ID_7</b>	26566174	95.00%	100.00%	2614340	95.41%	95.88%	36812004	96.85%	99.92%
<b>ID_8</b>	29026612	95.00%	100.00%	2160659	94.73%	96.15%	38378622	97.30%	99.90%
<b>ID_9</b>	28976660	97.00%	100.00%	3240796	95.98%	97.30%	41666208	96.80%	99.89%
<b>ID_10</b>	29974484	96.00%	100.00%	3226484	96.54%	88.66%	NA	NA	NA
<b>ID_11</b>	29498640	94.00%	100.00%	2987740	95.88%	96.13%	NA	NA	NA
<b>ID_12</b>	26728516	95.00%	100.00%	3077696	96.44%	92.03%	38811978	98.39%	99.90%
<b>ID_13</b>	25679184	96.00%	100.00%	2944272	95.95%	93.21%	37560378	98.15%	99.92%
<b>ID_14</b>	28749864	95.00%	99.94%	2980758	96.11%	97.57%	29447798	96.22%	99.94%
<b>ID_15</b>	25582666	95.00%	100.00%	2523669	94.45%	94.94%	30959056	96.44%	99.92%
<b>ID_16</b>	23514336	95.00%	100.00%	2175409	96.02%	91.01%	31234964	96.20%	99.93%
<b>ID_17</b>	24284426	95.00%	100.00%	2620747	95.85%	94.46%	35129310	96.13%	99.94%
<b>ID_18</b>	47376516	92.00%	98.59%	2482504	96.20%	91.90%	NA	NA	NA
<b>ID_19</b>	52442568	95.00%	100.00%	2657231	95.79%	97.43%	34807102	98.33%	99.93%
<b>ID_20</b>	47540742	93.00%	99.03%	2584802	95.85%	94.09%	36855122	98.05%	99.91%
<b>C</b>	25770988	95.00%	99.87%	6985476	95.15%	98.31%	34170392	98.10%	99.91%

**Table x:** Technical parameters from NGS analysis of each tested sample by participating institutions.

Abbreviations: *C* (Control); *ID* (Identify Number); *NA* (Not Assessed).