1 SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION



4 Supplementary Figure 1: Optimized editing of the Colorado mt (7485+5 G>A). (A) in silico predicted efficiency (middle column) and specificity (right column) score of the 6 tested sgRNAs 5 6 (left column) used to mediate CAS9-cutting of the pathogenic Colorado allele. (B) Agarose gel 7 visualizing PCR amplicons of a 731bp sequence surrounding the COL7A1 target locus from 8 homozygous CO2 patient fibroblasts transfected with RNPs containing CAS9 and indicated 9 sqRNAs (Ctrl. omitted sqRNA). Two biological replicates are shown. A DNA size reference was 10 run in most left lane (100bp-15000bp range shown). (C) TIDE traces of PCR amplicons shown in 11 Supplementary Figure 1B. (D) COL7A1 editing efficiencies as measured by ddPCR in DEB125 12 primary patient fibroblasts (het. Colorado mt) after transfection with ssODNs and sgRNA/CAS9-13 containing RNPs as indicated. A bi-allelic locus (green) is used as a reference for calculating 14 COL7A1 editing (blue) efficiencies. Ctrl omitted sgRNAs. (E) InDel formation in CO2 fibroblasts 15 after transfection with indicated amounts of sgRNA C4-containing RNPs as measured by TIDE 16 (n=2 biological replicates; mean is shown with individual data points overlayed as scatter plot; 17 Ctrl. omitted sgRNA). (F-H) Agarose gels visualizing 78 E. coli colony-PCRs to detect edited 18 TOPO-cloned COL7A1 alleles from CO2 fibroblasts transfected with ssODNs and RNPs 19 containing sgRNAs as indicated. A primer specific for silent mutations (see Figure 1B) only 20 amplifies PCR products of alleles with integration of donor sequences (asterisks). DNA size 21 references were run in most left lanes (100bp-2000bp range shown).



24 Supplementary Figure 2: COL7A1 repair with the less specific sgRNA C2. (A) ddPCR

25 screening of 74 iPSC lines derived from DEB125 (sgRNA C2/ssODN+) identified 9 candidates.

26 Ratios of signals detecting edited COL7A1 alleles (blue) and a bi-allelic reference locus (green)

27 identify mono-allelic (0.5 +/-0.19) or bi-allelic (1.0 +/-0.19) editing events (black values; red values 28 below/above cut off). (B-C) 5 of these candidate iPS cell lines were analyzed via agarose gels 29 visualizing PCR amplicons of a 731bp (B) and 4560bp (C) sequence surrounding the edited 30 COL7A1 locus. (DNA size references in most left lanes). (D-E) Cloning and Sanger sequencing 31 revealed correctly edited COL7A1 in 2 of 5 candidates (D; top: summary of screen). COL7A1 32 repair in lines 125-C2-39 and 125-C2-69 occurred, however, on the wrong allele carrying the 33 second heterozygous compound mutation of this patient (E; 'Mexico mt', 6527dupC). This agrees 34 with the low specificity of sgRNA C2. We note that sgRNA C2 is capable of targeting the disease 35 allele as we have successfully used it to derive repaired cell lines from fibroblasts of homozygous 36 patient CO2 (Figure 4A). (F) Analysis of next generation sequencing (>700k reads per technical 37 replicate; n=4; mean and SD are shown) of PCR amplicons encompassing the Colorado locus 38 from CO2 primary patient fibroblasts. Employed sgRNAs complexed with CAS9, ssODNs(+), and 39 timepoints (d: days) after transfection are indicated. Compared to sqRNA C2, sqRNA C4-edited 40 populations exhibit significantly (t test; p<0.05*, p<0.01**, p<0.001***) more alleles with all 41 designed edits encoded by ssODNs (see Figure 1B) incorporated (i.e. complete donor 42 integration). Conversely, sgRNA C2-edited populations exhibit significantly more alleles on which 43 only some, but not all of the designed ssODN-edits were incorporated, when compared to sgRNA 44 C4 (i.e. incomplete and ambiguous donor integration); log10 scale. (G) Biological replicate of the 45 14d time point shown in (F) analyzed at single base pair resolution confirms less efficient repair 46 of the Colorado locus in sgRNA C2 edited fibroblasts compared to sgRNA C4 edited populations 47 (SMT: silent mutation). (H) Spectrum of insertions (In; green) and deletions (Del; blue) detected 48 by next generation sequencing of PCR amplicons as in (G; 14d timepoint). sgRNAs as indicated 49 and omitted in controls (Ctrl).

Q2 99.9

02 95.8





iPSC colonies after removal of fibroblasts









51

52 Supplementary Figure 3: Efficient derivation of iPS cells from primary RDEB patient 53 fibroblasts. (A) Phase contrast microscopy pictures of cell cultures during single-step 54 editing/reprogramming from time points (D: day) and patients as indicated. iPS cell colonies

emerge around D11-14 (line dependent). Scale as indicated. (B) Non-reprogrammed cells grow to confluency, adhere together, overlay iPS cell colonies and can be mechanically removed. (C) iPS cell colonies remain after removal of non-reprogrammed cells. Scale as indicated. (D) Flow cytometry analysis of single-step edited/reprogrammed iPS cell lines with and without labeling (antibodies; abs) of the pluripotency surface marker TRA-1-60 as indicated.



Supplementary Figure 4: ddPCR screening of single-step edited/reprogrammed iPS cells. (A-C) Screen of 122 (A), 293 (B), and 24 (C) single-step edited/reprogrammed iPS cell lines via ddPCR from patients as indicated. Ratios of signals detecting edited *COL7A1* alleles (blue) and a bi-allelic reference locus (green) are used to identify mono-allelic (0.5 +/-0.19) or bi-allelic (1.0 +/-0.19) editing events (black values; red values below/above cut off indicate potentially mixed or incorrectly edited clones).



70 Supplementary Figure 5: Optimized single manufacturing step editing/reprogramming of

71 patient DEB135 (6781C>T). (A) Overview of the COL7A1 target mutation 6781 C>T (red) and

72 ssODNs used for editing. PAM site to mediate cutting of the pathogenic allele via CRISPR/CAS9 73 is shown. ssODNs: wild type sequence (green); 4 silent mutations used for detection of editing 74 events (blue). (B-C) COL7A1 6781C>T editing efficiencies as measured by ddPCR in DEB135 75 primary patient fibroblasts after transfection with ssODNs of various lengths, orientation (+/-), and 76 sgRNA 135/CAS9-containing RNPs as indicated. A bi-allelic locus (green) is used as a reference 77 for calculating COL7A1 editing (blue) efficiencies, assuming mono-allelic integration of donor 78 sequence. The length of ssODNs correlates with editing efficiencies. Ctrls omitted sgRNAs. (D) 79 Screen of 83 iPS cell lines via ddPCR after single-step editing/reprogramming of fibroblasts from 80 patient DEB135 with ssODN(-) and sgRNA 135. Ratios of signals detecting edited COL7A1 alleles 81 (blue) and a bi-allelic reference locus (green) are used to identify mono-allelic (0.5 +/-0.19) or bi-82 allelic (1.0 +/-0.19) edited iPS cell lines (black values; red values below/above cut off indicate 83 potentially mixed or incorrectly edited clones). (E) Agarose gel visualizing PCR amplicons of a 84 696bp sequence surrounding the edited COL7A1 locus from established iPS cell lines from 85 Supplementary Figure 5D. Unedited fibroblasts were used as Ctrl. DNA size reference in most 86 left lane. (F) Sanger sequencing traces of PCR amplicons shown in Supplementary Figure 5E 87 containing both COL7A1 alleles. Unedited fibroblasts show the heterozygous 6781C>T mutation 88 as a double peak. Correctly edited iPS cell lines show heterozygous integration of intended silent 89 mutations (blue asterisks) and repair of the pathogenic mutation (green asterisks). iPS cell line 90 135-31(A) only displayed the wild type sequence, indicative of a large InDel prohibiting 91 amplification of the target allele. (G) Summary of single-step editing/reprogramming screen of 92 patient DEB135 (top). Sanger sequencing of individual Topo-cloned alleles (Supplementary 93 Figure 5E) confirmed correct editing in 3 iPS cell lines. See text for details. (H) STAMPv2 94 oncopanel sequencing of indicated cell lines did not identify any variants. (I) Immuno-fluorescence 95 microscopy images of DEB135 fibroblasts and thereof derived iPS cells. NANOG (red), TRA-1-96 60 (green), and DNA (blue). Scale as indicated.



99 Supplementary Figure 6: iSCs enrichment for clinical scale manufacturing. (A) gRT-PCR of 100 pluripotency marker expression of iPS and ES cell lines. TERT keratinocytes (KC) were used as 101 the negative control (n= 3 technical replicates; mean and SEM are shown). Note log scale. (B) 102 Principal component analysis (PCA) of RNA-seq from CliniMACS Plus ITGA6-enriched and 103 reduced iSC cells compared to a H9-ES cells differentiation time course (D0-D45); H9 104 keratinocytes (KC) n = 2 biologically independent samples, and NHK (positive control, n=1), n = 2 105 for CliniMACS (CM) positive and negative samples. (C) Immunofluorescent images of 106 AutoMACS-ITGA6 enriched (and pre-enriched, left), DEB135-10 iSCs; p63 (Cyan), K18 (red),

107 and K14 (yellow). (D) % Coupling efficiency (CE) of H9 ES- and iPS cell- derived iSCs determined 108 by the equation (6) %CE= live sorted iSCs/iPS cell input x 100. Note line-to-line variability between 109 used iPS cells, both without genetic pathogenicity (see text for details). H9 n=3 independent 110 biological replicates, WTC-11 n=1 and, DSP n=2. (E) Table of optimizations for 5 CliniMACS Plus-111 mediated ITGA6 enrichments, including program and reagent modifications for each run with pre-112 and post-enrichment cell counts. (F) Expression of CliniMACS Plus ITGA6-enriched and reduced 113 populations analyzed via RNAseq, compared to H9 differentiation time course, H9 iSCs, and 114 NHKs, illustrating the non-target population markers (TRA-1-60, Thy1/CD90, KRT18) and the 115 target population markers (p63, KRT14 and ITGB4). (G) Flow cytometry plots and corresponding 116 bright field images of ITGA6 enriched and reduced Fractions from CM3 CliniMACS Plus 117 separation (10x Magnification, scale as indicated). (H) Flow cytometry analysis for % ITGA6 118 positive cells of CM-sorted populations compared to the unsorted (pre-enriched) population. (I) 119 Number of both viable and non-viable CliniMACS Plus-enriched iSCs. (J) %Coupling efficiency 120 (CE) for CliniMACS Plus runs CM 1-5.





Supplementary Figure 7: Analysis of iSC populations using scRNA-seq and canonical markers. (A) Violin plots of relative expression levels of indicated genes, i.e. markers for basal keratinocytes (C1), cycling holoclones (C2), early differentiating keratinocytes (C4), mesoderm (C5, C8), pre-vascular mesoderm (C3), and melanocytes (C6), define each cell cluster contained

127 in the iSC product (see also Figure 3). (B) Violin plot and Uniform manifold approximation 128 projection (UMAP) illustrate the melanocyte population identified by a signature of 25 genes 129 expressed in the C6 cluster. (C) UMAP illustrates clusters of cycling cells (i.e. C2 and C8) via 130 G2/M and S phase expressed gene sets. (D) Violin and UMAP plots show the overlap of cycling 131 cells with the keratinocyte stem cell/holoclone-like population (C2) using an enrichment score 132 based on a 526 gene set. (E) A signature of 1544 genes defining the Gibbin-dependent mesoderm 133 is expressed in the C5/C8 clusters, as shown by violin and UMAP plots. See material and methods 134 and Supplementary Data 1 for references and used gene-expression sets.



139 step edited/reprogrammed iPS cell lines from 3 individuals. (B) K-means clustering of all variants 140 found by 40x whole genome sequencing (WGS) in fibroblasts and thereof derived iPS and iSC 141 cells from patients CO1 and CO2 (CO2-65(B) n=114594; CO1-131 n=102278; CO1-173 142 n=101915; see Supplementary Data 2). The red frame highlights differentially expressed allele 143 frequencies (AFs) of variants in iPS/iSC cells compared to parental fibroblasts. Box plot shows 144 interguartile ranges of data (25%-75%, boxes), with medians (center lines) and whiskers 145 extending to 1.5 times the interquartile range; outliers as circles. (C) Variants (red: SNPs; green: 146 InDels) that are specifically found in iPS and iSC cells of indicated cell lines as identified by k-147 means clustering. Grouping of variants in Venn diagrams indicates virtually no selection for 148 mutations. Variants of clusters (cl.) showing clear separation between AFs found in fibroblasts 149 and AFs found in iPS/iSC cells from feature spaces with the lowest k (indicated) were selected 150 for this analysis. (D) AF cut-off filtering and k-means clustering identify virtually the same (>89%) 151 variants specific to iPS/iSC cells. (E) Percentage of identified cell type-specific variants with no 152 reads in other cell types as indicated. Note that virtually all iPS cell-specific variants identified by 153 AF cut-off filtering (Figure 4C) were also found at lower AFs in other cell types. (F) Sanger 154 sequencing traces of a PCR amplicon containing the androgen receptor (AR) locus c.1427 from 155 indicated iPS cell lines of patient CO2. A heterozygous mutation was found in 4 of 11 iPS cell 156 lines (double peaks). (G) A competitive ddPCR assay with probes specific for the wt and mt AR 157 sequence from indicated cell lines confirms that 4 lines derived from patient CO2 harbor the 158 mutation. Note that ddPCR detects this mtAR also in CO2 fibroblasts at low frequencies. (H) 159 Sanger sequencing traces of a PCR amplicon containing the CDKN1B locus c.426 confirm a 160 heterozygous germline mt (double peak) present in fibroblasts and thereof derived iPS/iSC cells 161 of patient DEB125. Fibroblasts of patient CO1 were used as a negative control.



163





Supplementary Figure 10: Biodistribution and tumorigenicity. (A) Schematic of the bioluminescent assay to detect luciferase expressing RDEB-squamous cell carcinoma (SCC)cells in iSC grafts at 4-weeks. Bioluminescent detection of NHKs and 10, 100, 250 and 750 RDEB patient-derived SCC cells in 4-week-old grafts on mice determines a level of detection (LOD) as indicated. (B) Histology (H&E stain) of graft site from positive sample from (A). (C) Alu-qPCR

180 detecting TERT-human keratinocytes in mouse cells as indicated to determine the level of 181 detection (LOD) and quantification (LOQ) for human DNA (n=3 technical replicates; mean with 182 SD). (D) Alu-gPCR experiments performed on organs and blood of iSC grafted mice at 1-9 months 183 as indicated. At the left of each graph are the positive controls. Tissue sampled as indicated on 184 the x axis (n=3 technical replicates; mean and SEM). (E) gRT-PCR of LIN28A to detect remnant 185 iPS cells in expanded ITGA6-enriched iSC cultures. LOD was determined via spike in 186 experiments as indicated; (n=3 technical replicates; mean and SD; Student's t test was used to 187 determine the significance of differences with the annotations: * p < 0.05). (F) Mouse teratoma 188 biodistribution assay with indicated iPS cell lines. Human specific Alu-qPCR did not detect any 189 metastasizing cells. Human TERT keratinocytes and dissected iPS cell-induced teratomas were 190 used as positive controls. Sampled tissues as indicated (n=3 technical replicates; mean and SEM; 191 LOD: level of detection). Supplementary Figure 10/panel (a) created with BioRender.com 192 released under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International 193 license.

194

195 Supplementary Data 1

Lists of used gene expression sets to define Gibbin-dependent mesoderm-like, holoclone-like and
 melanocyte-like cell clusters contained in the iSCs (also see Figure 3, Supplementary Figure 7).

198 Supplementary Data 2

199 List of variants represented by k-means clustering (see Figure 4B and Supplementary Figure 8B).

200 Supplementary Data 3

201 Plots of whole genome sequencing coverage 1kbp and 1Mbp up-/downstream of 57 in silico

- predicted exonic, intronic, and intergenic off-targets for sgRNA C4 from fibroblasts and thereof
- derived iPS cells and iSCs.

Supplementary Table 1

target	primer 1	primer 2	size
Colorado mt allele (Figures 1C-D, 2D, 4E&G	тасстессасалстестестелетс	CCTTTACTCCTCCACTCCCACTCAC	721hn
Supplementary Figures 1B-D, 2B)	INGGIGGGACAAGIGCIGCIGACIC	CCITIAGICCIGCACICCCAACAICAC	7310b
Colorado mt allele big (Figure 2E)	TCATGTCTGAGCTCCTGTGAGCC	TCCACAGACTGGCTCATTTCTCACC	2418bp
Colorado/Mexico mt allele (Supplementary	GCGTGGTATGGCTGGGCCTGAAG	ССТТТАСТССТССАСТСССААСАТСАС	4560hn
Figure 2C)	Sector And Sector Sector And		100000
DEB135 allele (Supplementary Figure 5E&F)	CTGACTGGACCTACTGGAGCTGTG	CCTGTGGGGGAATGCTAGTGAGTTTCC	696bp
E. coli colony PCR (Figure 1F;	GGACAAGTGCTGCTGACTCT	CACCGTGAGCCCCCTT	327bp
Supplementary Figure 1F-H)			
AR (Supplementary Figure 8F)	CTCGCATCAAGCTGGAGAAC	ACACATCAGGTGCGGTGAAG	354bp
CDKN1B (Supplementary Figure 8H)	CTTGGAGAAGCACTGCAGAGAC	CGAAAAGCAAGCTAAGGTTAACACC	426bp
in silico predicted off-targets (Figure 4E&G)	primer 1	primer 2	size
NOTCH1	GTGTGACGCAGCCTGTGGGTGC	AAGCGCACCAGTTCTTCAGGACAGAC	648bp
AC144450.2	GAGCTTGCAGTGAGCAGAGATCG	CCTCTTCCCTGACTTCCTACACTG	727bp
РАК4	CAGAGAAGAGGCCCAAGTCTTCC	GCACCTCGTTGAAGAGCAGCTC	835bp
CAPN12	GCATTGAGTTCTTCCTCCTAGTCC	GTAATTTGCAGGGAGATCGACGAC	702bp
FXYD1/CTD-2527I21.4	GAGGAAACTAAGGCACAGGGAGG	CCTTCAACTCTGGCACTTGGCC	763bp
HS3ST4	CGTGACCAGGGCCATCTCTG	CCAGATGCTGCTGCCCAGATGG	723bp
MARS	GGAATAGGGCAGAGCCTTGGG	GAGATCAGCAAACGGGACCTAGC	357bp
PAQR7/RP1-125I3.2	CCCACATAGTCCAGGAAGAAGAAGC	CCTCTCATGTGTTTCACATGGCCAG	829bp
RP11-334A14.8/SLC1A7	CTGGGCTTTCTGCCTACCAGTG	CCATTTCCTCTGGCTGCACAATCC	773bp
RP5-115904.1/MIOS	CGACAGAGTGGTTCTGAGAAGC	GGGTACAACGGGAACAGGGCA	746bp
SLC25A29	AGCACTGGTGTCCCATCTGCAG	GTTTGTTACTCAGCAGATGCCAGCTG	751BP
UBE2I	CAGTCCCTCACCACACACAC	AGGTCCTGGGAATCTGCTTT	719BP
ZNF385A	GCATCCTAGTTTCCAGCTTCGTCC	CCCAGAAGGATGTCGGAGTATC	767bp
FAM207A	CAGAGTCAAGGGTTAGAGG	GACAGGCTTCTTCCTCTGTGCCCT	705bp
LINC00710	CAGAGCAGCCAGTCCAAAGACC	GGCCACACTGATAAGGTGGAGAC	776bp
OLFM1	CCATGGATCCCCTAATCCAAATGCC	TGAGAGGAACAACGCCTTCCTGG	760bp
PADI3	CGTGATAAGAGTGCAGAGGCTGG	GCAGCTCCCTCCACTCTTACAAG	825bp
PLXNA1	GTCATGGACTGCCCAACTCAGC	GCACGGGTCTAGAATGTTCCACTG	714bp
PRR26	CGGAGATTCTAGCCCTTGTCCCTG	CCAACACTGTGCGTGTTGACTCAG	746bp
RBM10	CTGAGCTCAAGCAGTCCTCACG	CCATTTACTCGGCAGGGACAGTC	558bp
TIMM44	CAGCCTCCTCAGAAAACAGCCTCG	GTCCTGTGAGGACTGCTAGAGGC	741bp
UST	GTCCAGGGCACCTGTAAGTAAGCC	GGGTGTCAATGCACGACATTCTCCC	766bp
VWA3A	TAGGCAGGAGGTCCCAAACAGC	GCTAGTCCTTCTCAAGCCCCTTC	653bp
VWF	TAGTCACTGGCTGGCTGGGTGTG	ACAGCATTCCTGGACTCTGCAGCC	709bp
ZBED4	GGCTCCTGCTGGAATTTGTGGCAG	GGGCCAGTGAGCAAAGTCGCATC	744bp
ZNF534	CTGAAATGCCAGGCATTGGAGTTGC	GCAGCAGATCACAGGTGTCTGAGC	868bp

210 Source Data – uncropped gels:

- 212 Supplementary Figure 1B





234 Supplementary Figure 1F







Supplementary Figure 2B



283 Supplementary Figure 2C





