Supplementary Information

Sphingosine-1-Phosphate (S1P) promotes osteogenesis by stimulating osteoblast growth and neovascularization in a vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)-dependent manner

Annalena Wille¹, Sarah Weske¹, Karin von Wnuck Lipinski¹, Philipp Wollnitzke¹, Nathalie H. Schröder¹, Nadine Thomas¹, Melissa K. Nowak¹, Jennifer Deister-Jonas¹, Björn Behr², Petra Keul¹, *Bodo Levkau¹

Supplementary Material and Methods:

Co-culture experiments

For co-culture experiments, BMEC were seeded in gelatine-coated cell-culture dished and cultivated in M1168 Complete Endothelial Cell Medium (Cell Biologics, Chicago, USA) for 24 hours. Afterward, the medium was exchanged with pOB differentiation medium supplemented with Endothelial Cell Growth Supplements (Cell Biologics, Chicago, USA) and pOBs were added at a 2:5 ratio to BMECs. S1P was added daily, and images were obtained using the CKX54 Microscope (Olympus, Shinjuku, Japan) after 24 h, 48 h and 72 h of co-cultivation.

For fluorescence staining of co-cultured cells, the cells were washed twice in PBS and fixed with 4 % PFA for 20 minutes. After an additional three washes with PBS buffer, fluorescently tagged antibodies against CD31 (AF-488, Biolegend, San Diego, USA, 1:100) and OSX (AF-548, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dellas, Texas, 1:100) were added in a staining solution containing 0.5 % Triton X, 0.1 mM CaCl2 and 2 % BSA in PBS for two hours. The cells were washed three times with PBS buffer and incubated for 5 minutes with 0.5 µg/mL DAPI solution (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA). After 3 washes in PBS for 5 minutes, sections are mounted using FlouromountG (Thermo Fisher Sciencific, Waltman, USA). Images were acquired using a Zeiss 880 LSM confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany).

Quantitative Real-Time PCR

Gene expression analysis was performed as described in the material and methods section. Additionally used primers are listed in Supplementary table 1.

Supplementary Table 1: Primers used for Quantitative Real-Time PCR

Gene	Product/5'-3'Sequence	Company
Bglap	Fw: GCGCTCTGTCTCTCTGACCT	Eurofins Genomics, Ebersberg,
	Rv: ACCTTATTGCCCTCCTGCTT	Germany
Sparc	Fw: GTGGAAATGGGAGAATTTGAGGA	Eurofins Genomics, Ebersberg,
	Rv: CTCACACACCTTGCCATGTTT	Germany
Postn	Fw: CCTGCCCTTATATGCTCTGCT	Eurofins Genomics, Ebersberg,
	Rv: AAACATGGTCAATAGGCATCACT	Germany
Sp7	Fw: ATGGCGTCCTCTCTGCTTG	Eurofins Genomics, Ebersberg,
	Rv: TGAAAGGTCAGCGTATGGCTT	Germany
Spp1	Fw: AGCAAGAAACTCTTCCAAGCAA	Eurofins Genomics, Ebersberg,
	Rv: GTGAGATTCGTCAGATTCATCCG	Germany

Bone Marrow Preparations of S1P measurements

To obtain bone marrow for S1P analysis, we retrieved one femur and removed adjacent tissue. We removed the epiphyseal regions to access the bone, which was then placed into a 0.5 mL centrifuge tube. An 18 G needle was used to make a hole at the bottom of the tube before putting it in a 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tube. After spinning the tubes at 10,000 g for 15 seconds. The bone marrow was weighted for normalization.

Finally, the bone marrow was resuspended in 200 μ L MeOH. 10 μ L of internal standard S1P was added. After overnight precipitation at -80 °C, the solution was centrifuged at 21,000 g for 10 minutes, and supernatants were collected for S1P quantification. The analysis was carried out following the prescribed procedure for plasma samples.

Supplementary Figures:



Supplementary Figure 1: Pharmacological inhibition of the S1P-Lyase leads to the accumulation of sphingolipids in plasma. Plasma levels of sphingosine (Sph) (n=11/12), sphingomyelin (SM) (n=6/6), phosphatidylcholine (PC) (n=10/11) and lysophosphatidylcholine (LPC) (n=9/7) of C57Bl6 control animals and after six weeks of DOP treatment (3 mg/lg/d) measured with LC-MS/MS. Data are presented as mean \pm SEM, two-tailed t-test was used for statistical analysis.



Supplementary Figure 2: DOP treatment increases bone volume and vessel density in female mice. (a) BV/TV, Tb.Th., Tb.N. and Tb.Sp of female C57BL/6J mice with and without 6 weeks of DOP treatment (3 mg/kg/d) (n=3/4) and (b) vessel area and vessel area per bone marrow area

assessed by endomucin staining if these animals (n=3/3). Data are presented as mean \pm SEM, two-tailed t-test was used for statistical analysis.



Supplementary Figure 3: pOB and BMEC co-culture enhances the formation of vessel-like structures. (a) Brightfield images of pOB and BMEC co-cultures after 24, 48 and 72 hours of cultivation and (b) fluorescence staining of CD31, Osterix (OSX) and DAPI after 72 hours of coculture with and without daily S1P treatment; scale bar = $300 \mu m$.



Supplementary Figure 4: S1P treatment leads to changes in gene expression of several osteogenic markers. Fold change gene expression levels of Osteocalcin (*Bglap*), Osteonectin (*Sparc*), Periostin (*Postn*), Osteopontin (*Spp1*) and Osterix (*Sp7*) in S1PR3^{+/+} and S1PR3^{-/-} osteoblasts with and without S1P treatment of 6 hours. Data are presented as mean \pm SEM, a paired two-was ANOVA (black) or unpaired two-way ANOVA (blue) was used for statistical analysis.



Supplementary Figure 5: The DOP mediated decrease in RANKL and increase in OPG is S1PR3 mediated. RANKL and OPG plasma levels and the RANKL/OPG ratio of S1PR3^{+/+} control mice and after 6 weeks of DOP treatment (3 mg/kg/d) and in S1PR3^{-/-} mice with the

same treatment (n=10/10/7/8). Data are presented as mean \pm SEM, and a Two-way ANOVA

was used for statistical analysis.

Supplementary Tables:

Supplementary Table 2: Interaction p-value, Column and Row factors determined by Two-

Fig. 3e	p-Value
Interaction	0.0843
S1P Treatment	0.0817
VEGFa-AB Treatment	0.1086
Fig. 3i Pdgfa	p-Value
Interaction	0.0809
S1P Treatment	0.129
VEGFa-AB Treatment	0.0530
Fig. 3i Pdgfb	p-Value
Fig. 3i Pdgfb Interaction	p-Value 0.1081
Fig. 3i PdgfbInteractionS1P Treatment	p-Value 0.1081 0.0063
Fig. 3i PdgfbInteractionS1P TreatmentVEGFa-AB Treatment	p-Value 0.1081 0.0063 0.1545
Fig. 3i PdgfbInteractionS1P TreatmentVEGFa-AB TreatmentFig. 3i Tgfb	p-Value 0.1081 0.0063 0.1545 p-Value
Fig. 3i PdgfbInteractionS1P TreatmentVEGFa-AB TreatmentFig. 3i TgfbInteraction	p-Value 0.1081 0.0063 0.1545 p-Value 0.0633
Fig. 3i PdgfbInteractionS1P TreatmentVEGFa-AB TreatmentFig. 3i TgfbInteractionS1P Treatment	p-Value 0.1081 0.0063 0.1545 p-Value 0.0633 0.2020

way ANOVA corresponding to figure 3.

Fig. 4a	p-Value
Interaction	0.0486
DOP Treatment	< 0.0001
Axitinib Treatment	< 0.0001
Fig. 4c BV/TV	p-Value
Interaction	0.6406
DOP Treatment	0.0006
Axitinib Treatment	0.3469
Fig. 4c Tb.Th.	p-Value
Interaction	0.6127
DOP Treatment	0.1243
Axitinib Treatment	0.3165
Fig. 4c Tb.N.	p-Value
Interaction	0.6378
DOP Treatment	0.0007
Axitinib Treatment	0.4343
Fig. 4c Tb.Sp.	p-Value
Interaction	0.2202
DOP Treatment	0.0002
Axitinib Treatment	0.1455
Fig. 4e Ct.Th.	p-Value
Interaction	0.0058
DOP Treatment	< 0.0001
Axitinib Treatment	0.7130
Fig. 4e Ct.Ar./Tt.Ar.	p-Value
Interaction	< 0.0001
DOP Treatment	< 0.0001
Axitinib Treatment	0.9810
Fig. 4h Stiffness	p-Value
Interaction	0.2842
DOP Treatment	0.0011
Axitinib Treatment	0.0007
Fig. 4h Ultimate Force	p-Value
Interaction	0.0054
DOP Treatment	< 0.0001
Axitinib Treatment	0.4631

way ANOVA corresponding to figure 4.

Supplementary Table 4: Interaction p-value, Column and Row factors determined by Two-

Fig. 5a Vegfa	p-Value
Interaction	0.0387
S1P Treatment	0.0034
TY-52156 Treatment	0.3889
Fig. 5a VEGFa	p-Value
Interaction	0.0374
S1P Treatment	0.0046
TY-52156 Treatment	0.0718
Fig. 5b Vegfa	p-Value
Interaction	0.7660
S1P Treatment	0.0050
S1PR3 KO	0.5162
Fig. 5b VEGFa	p-Value
Interaction	0.1449
S1P Treatment	0.0564
S1PR3 KO	0.0004
Fig. 5c	p-Value
Interaction	0.1449
S1P Treatment	0.0564
S1PR3 KO	0.0004

way ANOVA corresponding to figure 5.

Fig. 6a	p-Value
Interaction	0.1499
DOP Treatment	0.0034
S1PR3 KO	0.970
Fig. 6b	p-Value
Interaction	0.0036
DOP Treatment	0.2915
S1PR3 KO	0.0002
Fig. 6d BV/TV	p-Value
Interaction	0.2342
DOP Treatment	< 0.0001
S1PR3 KO	< 0.0001
Fig. 6d Tb.Th.	p-Value
Fig. 6d Tb.Th. Interaction	p-Value 0.1929
Fig. 6d Tb.Th. Interaction DOP Treatment	p-Value 0.1929 0.0174
Fig. 6d Tb.Th.InteractionDOP Treatment\$1PR3 KO	p-Value 0.1929 0.0174 <0.0001
Fig. 6d Tb.Th.InteractionDOP TreatmentS1PR3 KOFig. 6d Tb.N.	p-Value 0.1929 0.0174 <0.0001
Fig. 6d Tb.Th.InteractionDOP TreatmentS1PR3 KOFig. 6d Tb.N.Interaction	p-Value 0.1929 0.0174 <0.0001
Fig. 6d Tb.Th.InteractionDOP TreatmentS1PR3 KOFig. 6d Tb.N.InteractionDOP Treatment	p-Value 0.1929 0.0174 <0.0001
Fig. 6d Tb.Th.InteractionDOP Treatment\$1PR3 KOFig. 6d Tb.N.InteractionDOP Treatment\$1PR3 KO	p-Value 0.1929 0.0174 <0.0001 p-Value 0.4399 0.0006 0.0007
Fig. 6d Tb.Th.InteractionDOP TreatmentS1PR3 KOFig. 6d Tb.N.InteractionDOP TreatmentS1PR3 KOFig. 6d Tb.Sp.	p-Value 0.1929 0.0174 <0.0001
Fig. 6d Tb.Th.InteractionDOP TreatmentS1PR3 KOFig. 6d Tb.N.InteractionDOP TreatmentS1PR3 KOFig. 6d Tb.Sp.Interaction	p-Value 0.1929 0.0174 <0.0001
Fig. 6d Tb.Th.InteractionDOP TreatmentS1PR3 KOFig. 6d Tb.N.InteractionDOP TreatmentS1PR3 KOFig. 6d Tb.Sp.InteractionDOP Treatment	p-Value 0.1929 0.0174 <0.0001

way ANOVA corresponding to figure 6.