Supplementary Material: Adaptive Digital Tissue Deconvolution

1 Algorithmic details

1.1 Estimate hidden cell proportions

The hidden cell proportions $c = (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times n}_+$ of ADTD can be estimated analogously to Eq. (7) of the main manuscript as follows:

$$Y_{\cdot,i} = \Delta X C_{\cdot,i} + xc_i + \epsilon_{\cdot,i}$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 = \sum_{j=1}^{p} Y_{ji} = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \sum_{k=1}^{q} \Delta_{jk} X_{jk} C_{ki} + \sum_{j=1}^{p} x_j c_i + \epsilon_i$$

$$\Rightarrow c_i = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{p} \sum_{k=1}^{q} \Delta_{jk} X_{jk} C_{ki}$$

$$\Rightarrow c = \max\left((0, \cdots, 0), J_{1,n} - J_{1,p}(\Delta \circ X)C\right), \qquad (1)$$

where we used $\sum_{j=1}^{p} x_j = 1$ and assumed that the ϵ_i are small.

1.2 Optimization with respect to C

Next, we derive an estimate for C. Consider the ADTD loss function $L_{ADTD}(C, x, \Delta)$ for given x and Δ :

$$F(C) = L_{ADTD}(C, x, \Delta)$$

$$= ||G(Y - (\Delta \circ X)C - x(J_{1,n} - J_{1,p}(\Delta \circ X)C))||_{F}^{2} + \lambda_{1}||C_{0} - C||_{F}^{2} + const.$$

$$= ||GY - GxJ_{1,n} - G(\Delta \circ X - xJ_{1,p}(\Delta \circ X))C||_{F}^{2} + \lambda_{1}||C_{0} - C||_{F}^{2} + const.$$

$$= \left| \left| \begin{pmatrix} GY - GxJ_{1,n} \\ \sqrt{\lambda_{1}}C_{0} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} G(\Delta \circ X - xJ_{1,p}(\Delta \circ X)) \\ \sqrt{\lambda_{1}}I_{q} \end{pmatrix} C \right| \right|_{F}^{2} + const.$$
(2)

The latter expression allows us to reformulate the estimate of C as a quadratic programming problem. Let $\mathbf{c} = C_{\cdot i}$ and $y = Y_{\cdot i}$, then estimating C can be achieved by minimizing

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{c}^T P \mathbf{c} + q^T \mathbf{c} \tag{3}$$

subject to

$$J_{1,p}(\Delta \circ X)\mathbf{c} \le (1,\ldots,1)$$

and

$$-\mathbf{c} \preceq 0$$
.

with respect to \mathbf{c} , where

$$P = 2 \begin{pmatrix} G \left(\Delta \circ X - xJ_{1,p}(\Delta \circ X)\right) \\ \sqrt{\lambda_1}I_q \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} G \left(\Delta \circ X - xJ_{1,p}(\Delta \circ X)\right) \\ \sqrt{\lambda_1}I_q \end{pmatrix},$$
$$Q^T = -2 \begin{pmatrix} GY - GxJ_{1,n} \\ \sqrt{\lambda_1}C_0 \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} G \left(\Delta \circ X - xJ_{1,p}(\Delta \circ X)\right) \\ \sqrt{\lambda_1}I_q \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$q = Q_{\cdot i}$$
.

This procedure is performed for all columns $C_{\cdot i}$.

1.3 Optimization with respect to x

Finally, we derive an estimate for x, where we use the abbreviation $Z = Y - \Delta XC$:

$$L_{\text{ADTD}}(x) = ||GZ - Gxc||_F^2$$

= $\text{Tr} [(Z - xc)^T \Gamma(Z - xc)]$
= $\text{Tr} [c^T x^T \Gamma xc] - 2 \text{Tr} [Z^T \Gamma xc]$
= $\text{Tr} [cc^T x^T \Gamma x] - 2 \text{Tr} [cZ^T \Gamma x]$
= $(cc^T)(x^T \Gamma x) - 2cZ^T \Gamma x$
= $\frac{1}{2}x^T P' x + q'^T x$

subject to $x \succeq 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{p} x_j = 1$, with $P' = 2(cc^T)\Gamma$ and $q'^T = -2cZ^T\Gamma$, where one should note that cc^T and $x^T\Gamma x$ are scalars. Thus, also this optimization problem reduces to quadratic programming.

1.4 Optimization with respect to Δ

In the following, we derive a procedure to minimize $L_{\text{ADTD}}(C, x, \Delta)$ with respect to $\Delta_{j,\cdot}$, while C, x and $\Delta_{k,\cdot}$ with $k \neq j$ are kept fixed. Let, $\delta_k = (0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)^T$, where the 1 is at the *k*th position and let $\delta_{\neq k} = (1, \ldots, 1)^T - (1, 1)$

 δ_k . Consider $F(\Delta_{j,\cdot}) = L_{ADTD}(C, x, \Delta)$. Then $F(\Delta_{j,\cdot})$ becomes

$$\begin{split} &||G\left(Y - xJ_{1,n} - (\Delta \circ X)C + xJ_{1,p}(\Delta \circ X)C\right)||_{F}^{2} + \lambda_{2}||\Delta - J_{p,q}||_{F}^{2} \\ &= ||G_{jj}\left(Y_{j,\cdot} - x_{j}J_{1,n} + x_{j}\delta_{\neq j}^{T}(\Delta \circ X)C - (\Delta \circ X)_{j,\cdot}C + x_{j}\delta_{j}^{T}(\Delta \circ X)C\right)||_{F}^{2} \\ &+ \sum_{k \neq j} ||G_{kk}\left(Y_{k,\cdot} - x_{k}J_{1,n} - (\Delta \circ X)_{k,\cdot}C + x_{k}\delta_{\neq j}(\Delta \circ X)C + x_{k}\delta_{j}(\Delta \circ X)C\right)||_{F}^{2} \\ &+ \lambda_{2}||\Delta_{j,\cdot} - J_{1,q}||_{F}^{2} + const. \\ &= ||G_{jj}\left(A_{j,\cdot} - (1 - x_{j})(\Delta \circ X)_{j,\cdot}C\right)||_{F}^{2} + \sum_{k \neq j} ||G_{kk}\left(B_{k,\cdot} + x_{k}\Delta_{j,\cdot}(\Delta \circ X)_{k,\cdot}C\right)||_{F}^{2} \\ &+ \lambda_{2}||\Delta_{j,\cdot} - J_{1,q}||_{F}^{2} + const. \\ &= ||G_{jj}\left(A_{j,\cdot} - (1 - x_{j})\Delta_{j,\cdot}C_{X_{j,\cdot}}\right)||_{F}^{2} + \sum_{k \neq j} ||G_{kk}\left(B_{k,\cdot} + x_{k}\Delta_{j,\cdot}C_{X_{j,\cdot}}\right)||_{F}^{2} \\ &+ \lambda_{2}||\Delta_{j,\cdot} - J_{1,q}||_{F}^{2} + const. \end{split}$$

with

$$A_{j,\cdot} = Y_{j,\cdot} - x_j J_{1,n} + x_j \delta_{\neq j}^T (\Delta \circ X) C,$$

$$B_{k,\cdot} = Y_{k,\cdot} - x_k J_{1,n} - (\Delta \circ X)_{k,\cdot} C + x_k \delta_{\neq j} (\Delta \circ X) C,$$
(4)

where we used the abbreviation $C_{X_{j,\cdot}} = (X_{j,\cdot}^T \circ C_{\cdot,1}, \ldots, X_{j,\cdot}^T \circ C_{\cdot,n})$ and summarized all terms independent of $\Delta_{j,\cdot}$ as *const*. To minimize the former equation using quadratic programming, we rewrite it as

$$F(\Delta_{j,\cdot}) = G_{jj}^{2} \left(A_{j,\cdot} A_{j,\cdot}^{T} - 2(1-x_{j}) A_{j,\cdot} C_{X_{j,\cdot}}^{T} \Delta_{j,\cdot}^{T} + (1-x_{j})^{2} \Delta_{j,\cdot} C_{X_{j,\cdot}} C_{X_{j,\cdot}}^{T} \Delta_{j,\cdot}^{T} \right) + \sum_{k \neq j} G_{kk}^{2} \left(B_{k,\cdot} B_{k,\cdot}^{T} + 2x_{k} B_{k,\cdot} C_{X_{j,\cdot}}^{T} \Delta_{j,\cdot}^{T} + x_{k}^{2} \Delta_{j,\cdot} C_{X_{j,\cdot}} C_{X_{j,\cdot}}^{T} \Delta_{j,\cdot}^{T} \right) + \lambda_{2} \left(J_{1,q} J_{1,q}^{T} - 2J_{1,q} \Delta_{j,\cdot}^{T} + \Delta_{j,\cdot} \Delta_{j,\cdot}^{T} \right) .$$
(5)

Let $\mathbf{b} = \Delta_{j,\cdot}^T$,

$$P = 2\left(G_{jj}^2(1-x_j)^2 + \sum_{k \neq j} G_{kk}^2 x_k^2\right) C_{X_{j,\cdot}} C_{X_{j,\cdot}}^T + 2\lambda_2 I_q$$

and

$$q^{T} = -2 \left(G_{jj}^{2} (1 - x_{j}) A_{j,\cdot} - \sum_{k \neq j} G_{kk}^{2} x_{k} B_{k,\cdot} \right) C_{X_{j,\cdot}}^{T} - 2\lambda_{2} J_{1,q} ,$$

to formulate a typical quadratic programming problem:

$$F(\mathbf{b}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}^T P \mathbf{b} + q^T \mathbf{b}$$
(6)

subject to constraints

$$\mathbf{b} \succeq (0, \dots, 0)^T$$
, and $J_{1,n} - \delta^T_{\neq k} (\Delta \circ X) C \succeq \mathbf{b}^T C_{X_{k,\cdot}}$. (7)

The latter constraint can be derived from ADTD constraint $J_{1,q}(\Delta \circ X)C \preceq J_{1,n}.$

2 Supplementary Figures and Tables

Table S1: **Performance of ADTD, EPIC, CIBERSORTx and Scaden on training data.** Observed Pearson's correlations obtained by comparing the estimated cellular proportions with the ground truth for artificial cellular training mixtures generated from single-cell data of healthy breast tissue specimens (see Methods). The errors correspond to ± 1 standard deviation obtained over 10 simulation runs. For ADTD the parameters $\lambda_1 = 10^{-1}$ and $\lambda_2 = 10^{-8}$ were used (see hyper-parameter selection in validation performance section). Abbreviations: Endo. = endothelial cells; Myel. = myeloid cells; Epith. = epithelial cells; PVL = perivascular-like cells

	ADTD	$EPIC_1$	$EPIC_2$
B-cells	0.791 ± 0.020	0.112 ± 0.031	0.648 ± 0.014
Endo.	0.941 ± 0.006	0.863 ± 0.005	0.672 ± 0.015
Myel.	0.943 ± 0.005	0.854 ± 0.007	0.687 ± 0.015
Epith.	0.888 ± 0.008	0.647 ± 0.014	-
PVL	0.894 ± 0.011	0.573 ± 0.019	-
T-cells	0.942 ± 0.003	0.813 ± 0.009	0.293 ± 0.02
mean	0.900 ± 0.003	0.644 ± 0.007	0.577 ± 0.008
	CIBERSORTx	Scaden	
B-cells	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CIBERSORTx} \\ 0.179 \pm 0.041 \end{array}$	$\frac{\text{Scaden}}{0.486 \pm 0.025}$	
B-cells Endo.	CIBERSORTx 0.179 ± 0.041 0.825 ± 0.007	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm Scaden} \\ 0.486 \pm 0.025 \\ 0.782 \pm 0.012 \end{array}$	
B-cells Endo. Myel.	CIBERSORTx 0.179 ± 0.041 0.825 ± 0.007 0.87 ± 0.006	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm Scaden} \\ 0.486 \pm 0.025 \\ 0.782 \pm 0.012 \\ {\bf 0.812 \pm 0.015} \end{array}$	
B-cells Endo. Myel. Epith.	CIBERSORTx 0.179 ± 0.041 0.825 ± 0.007 0.87 ± 0.006 0.806 ± 0.008	$Scaden 0.486 \pm 0.025 0.782 \pm 0.012 0.812 \pm 0.015 0.783 \pm 0.008 $	
B-cells Endo. Myel. Epith. PVL	CIBERSORTx 0.179 ± 0.041 0.825 ± 0.007 0.87 ± 0.006 0.806 ± 0.008 0.707 ± 0.012	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Scaden} \\ \hline 0.486 \pm 0.025 \\ 0.782 \pm 0.012 \\ \hline \textbf{0.812 \pm 0.015} \\ 0.783 \pm 0.008 \\ 0.756 \pm 0.01 \end{array}$	
B-cells Endo. Myel. Epith. PVL T-cells	CIBERSORTx 0.179 ± 0.041 0.825 ± 0.007 0.87 ± 0.006 0.806 ± 0.008 0.707 ± 0.012 0.826 ± 0.009	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm Scaden} \\ \hline 0.486 \pm 0.025 \\ 0.782 \pm 0.012 \\ \hline \textbf{0.812} \pm \textbf{0.015} \\ 0.783 \pm 0.008 \\ 0.756 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.715 \pm 0.016 \end{array}$	



Figure S1: **ADTD performance for different hyper parameters on the training data.** A comprehensive parameter grid consisting of all combinations of $\lambda_1 \in \{0, 10^{-5}, 10^{-4}, \ldots, 1, 10, \infty\}$ with $\lambda_2 \in \{10^{-9}, 10^{-8}, \ldots, 10, \infty\}$ was evaluated. Performance was assessed by (1) calculating Pearson's correlation between ground truth and predictions for each of the included cell types, and (2) by subsequently averaging them.



was evaluated. Figure a to c correspond to the validation data and d to e to the test data. Figure a and d show the average performance in predicting the known cellular contributions, and b and e for the hidden contributions. Fig. c and f give the Figure S2: ADTD performance for different hyper parameters on the validation and test data data. A comprehensive parameter grid consisting of all combinations of $\lambda_1 \in \{0, 10^{-5}, 10^{-4}, \dots, 1, 10, \infty\}$ with $\lambda_2 \in \{10^{-9}, 10^{-8}, \dots, 10, \infty\}$ corresponding areas under the ROC curves for detecting cell-type specific gene regulation.



Figure S3: **ADTD** performance for recovering cell-type specific gene regulation on the validation data. The left figure shows areas under the ROC curve for recovering cellular regulation for different regularization parameters λ_2 , where $\lambda_1 = 10^{-1}$ was kept fixed. The corresponding performance in terms of Pearson's correlation for ADTD for estimating the known and hidden cellular contributions is shown on the right. Abbreviation: "hidden" = hidden cellular contributions.



Figure S4: **ADTD performance for recovering cell-type specific gene regulation on the validation data.** The left figure shows areas under the ROC curve for recovering cellular regulation for different regularization parameters λ_2 , where $\lambda_1 = 10^{-3}$ was kept fixed. The corresponding performance in terms of Pearson's correlation for ADTD for estimating the known and hidden cellular contributions is shown on the right. Abbreviation: "hidden" = hidden cellular contributions.



Figure S5: Visualization of ADTD results on test data. Predicted versus true cellular composition for the cell types captured in the reference matrix X for one simulation run of the testing scenario (n = 1000).



Figure S6: **ADTD performance for recovering cell-type specific gene** regulation on the breast cancer test data. The left figure shows areas under the ROC curve for recovering cellular regulation for different regularization parameters λ_2 , where $\lambda_1 = 10^{-3}$ was kept fixed. The corresponding performance in terms of Pearson's correlation for ADTD for estimating the known and hidden cellular contributions is shown on the right. Abbreviation: "hidden: c. epi." = hidden cancer epithelial cells.



Figure S7: **ADTD performance versus sample size in the breast cancer test data.** Figure a shows areas under the ROC curve for recovering cellular regulation for different sample sizes n = 125, 250, 500, 1000 for ADTD $(\lambda_1 = 10^{-1}, \lambda_2 = 10^{-8})$. The corresponding performance in terms of Pearson's correlation for estimating the known and hidden cellular contributions is shown on the right. Abbreviation: "hidden: c. epi." = hidden cancer epithelial cells.



Figure S8: **ADTD performance versus sample size in the breast cancer test data.** Figure a shows areas under the ROC curve for recovering cellular regulation for different sample sizes n = 125, 250, 500, 1000 for ADTD $(\lambda_1 = 10^{-3}, \lambda_2 = 10^{-6})$. The corresponding performance in terms of Pearson's correlation for estimating the known and hidden cellular contributions is shown on the right. Abbreviation: "hidden: c. epi." = hidden cancer epithelial cells.



Figure S9: **ADTD performance for recovering cell-type specific gene** regulation in T-cells on the breast cancer test data. The left figure shows areas under the ROC curve for recovering cellular regulation in T cells for different regularization parameters λ_2 , where $\lambda_1 = 10^{-1}$ was kept fixed. The corresponding performance in terms of Pearson's correlation for ADTD for estimating the known and hidden cellular contributions is shown on the right. Abbreviation: "hidden: c. epi." = hidden cancer epithelial cells.



Figure S10: **ADTD performance for recovering cell-type specific gene regulation in PVL cells on the breast cancer test data.** The left figure shows areas under the ROC curve for recovering cellular regulation in PVL cells for different regularization parameters λ_2 , where $\lambda_1 = 10^{-1}$ was kept fixed. The corresponding performance in terms of Pearson's correlation for ADTD for estimating the known and hidden cellular contributions is shown on the right. Abbreviation: "hidden: c. epi." = hidden cancer epithelial cells



Figure S11: Δ matrices representing cell-type specific gene regulation for six different genes discussed in the main text. Upregulation corresponds to red and downregulation to blue colors.