

Supplementary Tables:

Highly accurate and precise automated cup-to-disc ratio quantification for glaucoma screening

Abadh K Chaurasia,^{1*} Connor J Greatbatch,¹ Xikun Han,^{2,3} Puya Gharahkhani,^{2,3,4} David A Mackey,⁵ Stuart MacGregor,^{2,3} Jamie E Craig,⁶ Alex W Hewitt,^{1,7}

Affiliations:

1. Menzies Institute for Medical Research, University of Tasmania, Australia.
2. QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Australia.
3. School of Medicine, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia.
4. Faculty of Health, School of Biomedical Sciences, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia.
5. Lions Eye Institute, Centre for Vision Sciences, University of Western Australia, Australia.
6. Department of Ophthalmology, Flinders University, Flinders Medical Centre, Bedford Park, Australia.
7. Centre for Eye Research Australia, University of Melbourne, Australia.

*Correspondence:

Abadh K Chaurasia

Menzies Institute for Medical Research,

University of Tasmania, Australia.

Email: awadhaiims@gmail.com

Table S1: Summary of the data block and batch transform parameters for classification and regression tasks.

i). Data Block:

Parameter	Classification Task	Regression Task
blocks	(ImageBlock, CategoryBlock)	(ImageBlock, RegressionBlock(n_out=1))
get_items	get_image_files	-
splitter	RandomSplitter(valid_pct=0.2)	RandomSplitter(valid_pct=0.2)
get_x	-	get_x
get_y	lambda x: str(parent_label(x))	get_y
item_tfms	Resize (512)	Resize (512)

ii). Batch Transforms

Transformation	Classification Task	Regression Task
aug_transforms	size=224, min_scale=0.75, max_lighting=0.05, do_flip=True, flip_vert=False, max_rotate=15, max_warp=0.0, p_affine=0.8, max_zoom=0.1, p_lighting=0.8	size=224, min_scale=0.75, max_lighting=0.1, do_flip=True, flip_vert=False, max_rotate=15, max_warp=0.1, p_affine=0.8, max_zoom=0.15, p_lighting=0.8
Resize	224, 224	224, 224
Normalize	Normalize.from_stats(*imagenet_stats)	Normalize.from_stats(*imagenet_stats)

Table S2: *The conversion of regression points into classification metrics utilizing variable thresholds.*

Threshold Tolerance (+/-)	Accuracy (%)
0.05	54.06
0.10	85.18
0.15	96.89
0.2	99.20

Table S3: External validation on publicly available datasets for glaucoma screening at various cut-off thresholds for glaucoma.

Dataset	Number of Images (Healthy=H, Glaucoma=G)	Cut-off Threshold	Performance Metrics (%)			Predicted ungradable Images	Time Taken (seconds)
			Accuracy	Sensitivity	Specificity		
EyePACS	H=98,172, G=3270	0.5	61.05	93.28	60.00	955	13251.07
Drishti-GS	H=31, G=70	0.5	84.16	90.00	70.97	0	35.63
EyePACS	H=98,172, G=3270	0.6	82.49	72.02	82.83	955	26789.02
Drishti-GS	H=31, G=70	0.6	79.21	78.87	80.65	0	47.37
EyePACS	H=98,172, G=3270	0.7	94.92	30.64	97.01	955	27177.49
Drishti-GS	H=31, G=70	0.7	64.36	50.00	96.77	0	35.46