## nature portfolio

Corresponding author(s):	Jingbi You

## Solar Cells Reporting Summary

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form is intended for publication with all accepted papers reporting the characterization of photovoltaic devices and provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. Some list items might not apply to an individual manuscript, but all fields must be completed for clarity.

For further information on Nature Research policies, including our data availability policy, see Authors & Referees.

•	ĿΧ	pe	rım	enta	Ιd	lesi	gn		

Ple	ase check the following details are reported in the ma	nuscript, a	and provide a brief description or explanation where applicable.
1.	Dimensions		
	Area of the tested solar cells	<b>x</b> Yes	Methods in the manuscript
	Area of the tested solar cells	No No	Explain why this information is not reported/not relevant.
	Method used to determine the device area		Methods in the manuscript
		Yes	Explain why this information is not reported/not relevant.
2	Comment wells are the marketing	No	
۷.	Current-voltage characterization		[F: 2
	Current density-voltage (J-V) plots in both forward and backward direction	Yes No	Fig. 3c
	Voltage scan conditions		Methods in the manuscript
		Yes No	Explain why this information is not reported/not relevant.
	Test environment  Protocol for preconditioning of the device before its characterization  Stability of the J-V characteristic		Methods in the manuscript
		Yes	Explain why this information is not reported/not relevant.
		No	
		<b>x</b> Yes	Methods in the manuscript
		No	Explain why this information is not reported/not relevant.
			Supplementary Fig. 16, Newport certificated results
		<b>x</b> Yes	Explain why this information is not reported/not relevant.
3.	Hysteresis or any other unusual behaviour		
	Description of the unusual behaviour observed during the characterization	<b>X</b> Yes	The device show low hysteresis (Fig. 3c and Supplementary Table 1)
		No	Explain why this information is not reported/not relevant.
	Related experimental data	<b>x</b> Yes	Fig. 3c and Supplementary Table 1
		No	Explain why this information is not reported/not relevant.
4.	Efficiency		
	External quantum efficiency (EQE) or incident photons to current efficiency (IPCE)	🗶 Yes	Supplementary Fig. 9e
		No	Explain why this information is not reported/not relevant.
	A comparison between the integrated response under		The JSC obtained from the J-V characteristics was found to be consistent with the value integrated from the external quantum efficiency (EQE) spectrum, with a relative
	the standard reference spectrum and the response measure under the simulator	No	difference of less than 3%. (Supplementary Fig. 9)

Explain why this information is not reported/not relevant.

	٥
	₹.
	Ü
ς	$\sim$
	ぃ
L	

F	For tandem solar cells, the bias illumination and bias	Yes	Provide a description of the measurement conditions.
	voltage used for each subcell		No tandem solar cell was reported in this manuscript
5.	Calibration		
	Light source and reference cell or sensor used for the	🗶 Yes	Methods in the manuscript
	characterization	No	Explain why this information is not reported/not relevant.
	Confirmation that the reference cell was calibrated and certified	🗶 Yes	Methods in the manuscript
		No	Explain why this information is not reported/not relevant.
	Calculation of spectral mismatch between the reference cell and the devices under test	🗶 Yes	It has been calibrated.
		☐ No	Explain why this information is not reported/not relevant.
õ.	Mask/aperture		
	Size of the mask/aperture used during testing	🗶 Yes	0.0737 cm2 and 14.625 cm2, Methods in the manuscript
		☐ No	Explain why this information is not reported/not relevant.
	Variation of the measured short-circuit current density with the mask/aperture area	Yes	Report the difference in the short-circuit current density values measured with the mask and aperture area.
	,	<b>X</b> No	We didn't measure the solar cells with apertures of different sizes
7.	Performance certification		
	Identity of the independent certification laboratory that confirmed the photovoltaic performance	<b>x</b> Yes	Newport
		No	Explain why this information is not reported/not relevant.
	A copy of any certificate(s)	<b>x</b> Yes	Supplementary Fig. 16
		No No	Explain why this information is not reported/not relevant.
3.	Statistics		
	Number of calar calls tosted	ر ا <b>ب</b>	22 devices were tested (Supplementary Fig. 14).
	Number of solar cells tested	Yes No	Explain why this information is not reported/not relevant.
S		<b>x</b> Yes	Supplementary Fig. 14
	Statistical analysis of the device performance	No	Explain why this information is not reported/not relevant.
€.	Long-term stability analysis		
	Type of analysis, bias conditions and environmental conditions		Fig. 4 and Supplementary Fig. 21
		V.	Explain why this information is not reported/not relevant.
		Yes No	
		L INO	