## **Prostaglandin** $E_2$ does not regulate total or myofibrillar protein breakdown in incubated skeletal muscle from normal or septic rats

Per-Olof HASSELGREN,\* Oded ZAMIR, J. Howard JAMES and Josef E. FISCHER Department of Surgery, University of Cincinnati, 231 Bethesda Avenue (ML 558), Cincinnati, OH 45267-0558, U.S.A.

The role of prostaglandins in the regulation of muscle protein breakdown is controversial. We examined the influence of arachidonic acid (5  $\mu$ M), prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub> (PGE<sub>2</sub>) (2.8  $\mu$ M) and the prostaglandin-synthesis inhibitor indomethacin (3  $\mu$ M) on total and myofibrillar protein breakdown in rat extensor digitorum longus and soleus muscles incubated under different conditions *in vitro*. In other experiments, the effects of indomethacin, administered *in vivo* to septic rats (3 mg/kg, injected subcutaneously twice after induction of sepsis by caecal ligation and puncture) on plasma levels and muscle release of PGE<sub>2</sub> and on total and myofibrillar protein breakdown rates were determined. Total and myofibrillar proteolysis was assessed by measuring production by incubated muscles of tyrosine and 3-methylhistidine respectively. Arachidonic acid or PGE<sub>2</sub> added during incubation of muscles from normal rats did not affect total or myofibrillar protein breakdown, despite effective plasma levels of indomethacin did not affect total or myofibrillar muscles from septic rats, but did not lower proteolytic rates. Adminstration *in vivo* of indomethacin did not affect total or myofibrillar muscle protein breakdown, despite effective plasma levels of indomethacin with decreased plasma PGE<sub>2</sub> levels and inhibition of muscle PGE<sub>2</sub> release. The present results suggest that protein breakdown in skeletal muscle of normal or septic rats is not regulated by PGE<sub>2</sub> or other prostaglandins.

#### INTRODUCTION

Prostaglandin  $E_2$  (PGE<sub>2</sub>) has been proposed to be an important regulator of muscle protein breakdown. Rodemann & Goldberg [1] reported that addition either of arachidonic acid, the common precursor of prostaglandins, or of PGE<sub>2</sub> to incubated rat skeletal muscle resulted in increased protein degradation. In other experiments in the same laboratory, Baracos *et al.* [2] noted that the prostaglandin-synthesis inhibitor indomethacin blocked the stimulation of both PGE<sub>2</sub> production and protein breakdown induced by leucocytic pyrogen. In more recent experiments, Tian & Baracos [3] found that administration of the prostaglandin synthesis inhibitor naproxen to *Escherichia coli*-infected animals decreased PGE<sub>2</sub> production and net protein degradation in skeletal muscle. From these observations it was proposed that cyclo-oxygenase inhibitors may be useful in the treatment of negative nitrogen balance during fever and infection [1–3].

The role of  $PGE_2$  in muscle proteolysis, however, is controversial, and conflicting results have been reported. Thus Barnett & Ellis [4] were unable to confirm increased protein degradation when arachidonic acid or  $PGE_2$  was added to incubated rat or mouse muscles. In other studies, indomethacin effectively blocked  $PGE_2$  production in muscle from burned rats, without affecting the elevated protein breakdown rates [5,6]. In recent experiments in our laboratory, administration of indomethacin *in vivo* or addition of the substance to incubated muscles from septic rats inhibited  $PGE_2$  production, but did not decrease muscle proteolysis [7,8].

In previous studies of the possible role of  $PGE_2$  in the regulation of protein turnover, protein breakdown rate was assessed as release of tyrosine by incubated muscles. Although tyrosine release adequately reflects total protein degradation, it does not distinguish between the breakdown of total and myofibrillar proteins. This distinction is important, because sepsis mainly stimulates myofibrillar protein breakdown, measured as release of 3-methylhistidine (3-MH) [9]. Previous studies demonstrated that non-myofibrillar and myofibrillar protein breakdown rates in skeletal muscle are regulated independently, and that changes in myofibrillar degradation can be undetected if only total proteolysis is measured [10,11]. Thus, in studies designed to examine the role of PGE<sub>2</sub> in muscle proteolysis during sepsis and other catabolic conditions, measurement of myofibrillar protein breakdown is of particular importance. The influence of prostaglandins on myofibrillar protein breakdown is not known, either in normal or in septic muscle.

In the present study, the effect of prostaglandins on total and myofibrillar protein breakdown was examined by adding arachidonic acid or  $PGE_2$  to incubated muscles from normal untreated rats. In other experiments, we tested the hypothesis that inhibition of  $PGE_2$  production by indomethacin decreases protein breakdown in muscle from septic rats.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Three series of experiments were performed. In the first series of experiments, the effects *in vitro* of arachidonic acid (5.0  $\mu$ M) or PGE<sub>2</sub> (2.8  $\mu$ M) on total and myofibrillar protein breakdown were tested in incubated muscles from normal fed male Sprague–Dawley rats (40–60 g).

In the second series of experiments, the effects in vitro of the cyclo-oxygenase inhibitor indomethacin  $(3 \mu M)$  on total and myofibrillar protein breakdown rates were tested in incubated muscles from sham-operated or septic rats. Sepsis was induced by caecal ligation and puncture (CLP) in male Sprague–Dawley rats (40–60 g) as described previously [12]. Control rats were sham-operated. All animals were resuscitated with 5 ml of normal saline/100 g body wt. injected subcutaneously in the back at the time of the operative procedure, and were fasted with free access to water until muscles were harvested (16 h after sham-operation or CLP).

In the third series of experiments, CLP was performed as described above and one group of rats was treated with indo-

Abbreviations used:  $PGE_2$ , prostaglandin  $E_2$ ; 3-MH, 3-methylhistidine; CLP, caecal ligation and puncture; EDL, extensor digitorum longus; SOL, soleus.

<sup>\*</sup> To whom correspondence and reprint requests should be addressed.

methacin (3 mg/kg dissolved in 1 ml of 0.1 M-NaHCO<sub>3</sub>/kg body wt.), injected subcutaneously at the time of CLP immediately after closure of the abdomen and again after 3 h, and another group of rats received corresponding volumes of NaHCO<sub>3</sub>. Plasma levels of indomethacin and PGE<sub>2</sub> were determined 8 and 16 h after CLP. PGE<sub>2</sub> release and protein breakdown rates in incubated muscles were measured 16 h after CLP.

The time point for metabolic studies was chosen on the basis of previous experiments in which we found that muscle protein breakdown, in particular myofibrillar protein degradation, was increased 16 h after CLP in rats [9,12]. Arachidonic acid,  $PGE_2$  and indomethacin were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO, U.S.A.

#### Muscle incubations

Extensor digitorum longus (EDL) and soleus (SOL) muscles were dissected with intact tendons with the rats under diethyl ether anaesthesia. EDL is a white fast-twitch muscle, and SOL is a red slow-twitch muscle [13]. Both muscles were studied in most of the experiments, because regulation of protein turnover by different substances and conditions may be different in red and white muscle [14]. Unless stated otherwise, the muscles were fixed at approximate resting length to stainless-steel supports, weighed and preincubated for 30 min in 3 ml of oxygenated  $(O_{\circ}/CO_{\circ})$ 19:1) Krebs-Henseleit [14a] bicarbonate buffer (pH 7.4) with 10 mm-glucose. The incubations were performed in a shaking water bath at 37 °C unless stated otherwise. After preincubation, muscles were gently blotted and transferred to 3 ml of fresh medium of the same composition as described above with the addition of 0.5 mm-cycloheximide and incubated for 2 h. In experiments in which the effects in vitro of arachidonic acid, PGE, or indomethacin were studied, the substance was added to the incubation medium at the onset of the 2 h incubation in 30  $\mu$ l of 50 % (v/v) ethanol, and the same volume of ethanol was added to the medium of the contralateral muscle. In some experiments, the incubation medium was supplemented with 10 mм-glucose, 0.1 unit of insulin/ml, 0.85 mм-leucine, 0.5 mмisoleucine and 1.0 mm-valine, as described by Fulks et al. [15]. When protein synthesis was studied, and in some experiments when protein breakdown was measured, cycloheximide was omitted from the incubation medium.

#### Protein synthesis and breakdown

For the study of protein synthesis, the incubation medium contained [<sup>14</sup>C]phenylalanine (0.05  $\mu$ Ci/ml; 0.5 mM), and the amount of phenylalanine incorporated into trichloroacetic acid-precipitated proteins was determined as described in detail elsewhere [16].

Total and myofibrillar protein breakdown rates were determined by measuring free tyrosine and 3-MH respectively. When paired muscles were used, the total amount of free tyrosine and 3-MH in muscle and incubation medium at the end of the 2 h incubation was calculated. Because the muscles were preincubated under identical conditions, tissue levels of the amino acids at the start of the 2 h incubation were presumed to be the same in the paired muscles. Consequently, any differences in total amount of tyrosine and 3-MH in muscle and medium at the end of incubation should reflect differences in protein breakdown rates between the paired muscles. In experiments in which indomethacin was administered in vivo, protein breakdown rates in muscles from different groups of rats were studied. In these experiments, tissue levels of tyrosine and 3-MH were determined at both the start and the end of incubation, and actual breakdown rates were determined from release of the amino acids into the incubation medium, corrected for changes in tissue levels during incubation as described in detail elsewhere [17]. Tyrosine and 3MH in incubation medium and muscle extracts were determined by h.p.l.c. as reported previously [9,17].

#### PGE<sub>2</sub> and indomethacin

 $PGE_2$  production by incubated muscles was determined by measuring  $PGE_2$  released into incubation medium by radioimmunoassay as described previously [1]. The same assay was used for measurement of plasma  $PGE_2$ . Indomethacin was determined in incubation medium or plasma by h.p.l.c. as described by Bernstein & Evans [18].

#### Statistics

Results are presented as means  $\pm$  S.E.M. Student's *t* test for paired or unpaired differences was used for statistical comparisons.

#### RESULTS

Arachidonic acid  $(5.0 \ \mu\text{M})$  or PGE<sub>2</sub> (2.8  $\mu\text{M}$ ) added to incubated EDL or SOL muscles from normal rats did not affect total or myofibrillar protein breakdown rates (Table 1). One reason why the substances did not influence protein breakdown in the muscles could be that the concentration of the drugs in the incubation medium was much lower than expected, owing to either degradation or poor solubility. Control experiments were therefore performed in which PGE<sub>2</sub> levels were determined in medium after 2 h of incubation of EDL muscles to which PGE<sub>2</sub> (2.8  $\mu$ M) had been added. The concentration was 2.71 ± 0.20  $\mu$ M (n = 3), suggesting that the substance was relatively stable during the incubation.

Rodemann & Goldberg [1] reported that the addition of arachidonic acid to muscles incubated at 33 °C resulted in a greater increase in proteolysis than at 37 °C. We therefore also tested the effects of arachidonic acid and  $PGE_2$  in EDL and SOL muscles incubated at 33 °C. Again, however, neither total nor myofibrillar protein breakdown rates were affected by the substances (Table 1). The apparently higher protein breakdown rates, in particular total protein breakdown rates, noted in muscles incubated at 33 °C probably reflect day-to-day variation in protein turnover rates.

Another reason why the present results differ from those reported previously may be that different conditions in vitro were used. In order to duplicate the conditions used by Rodemann & Goldberg [1], we incubated flaccid SOL muscles (i.e. not tied to supports) in medium supplemented with insulin (0.1 unit/ml) and branched-chain amino acids at 5 times normal plasma concentrations. This contrasts with most of the other experiments in the present study, in which muscles were incubated at resting length in an unsupplemented medium. Because the presence of a relatively high (mm) concentration of other amino acids in the incubation medium interferes with the 3-MH assay (P.-O. Hasselgren, J. H. James & J. E. Fischer, unpublished work), only total protein breakdown could be assessed when the supplemented medium was used. Arachidonic acid (5.0 µM) or PGE, (2.8  $\mu$ M) did not affect protein degradation in this preparation in vitro. The total amount of free tyrosine at the end of incubation was  $302\pm30$  and  $307\pm25$  nmol/g when seven paired SOL muscles were incubated without or with arachidonic acid respectively (not significant). The corresponding values when muscles were incubated in the absence or presence of PGE<sub>2</sub> were  $258 \pm 15$  and  $280 \pm 21$  nmol/g (n = 7 paired muscles; not significant).

We next studied the effect of indomethacin, added at a concentration of  $3 \mu M$  to incubated EDL muscles from shamoperated or septic rats. Both total and myofibrillar protein breakdown rates were unaffected by the prostaglandin synthesis

### Table 1. Effect of arachidonic acid (5.0 µM) or PGE<sub>2</sub> (2.8 µM) on total and myofibrillar protein breakdown in incubated EDL and SOL muscles from normal untreated rats

Paired EDL and SOL muscles from normal untreated rats were preincubated for 30 min as described in the Materials and methods section. After preincubation, muscles were incubated for 2 h with or without the substances indicated in the Table. After incubation, total amounts (nmol/g) of tyrosine and 3-MH in medium and tissue were determined. Incubations were performed at 37 °C or 33 °C as indicated: (-) indicates muscle incubated without addition of arachidonic acid or PGE<sub>2</sub>, but with addition of 30  $\mu$ l of solvent (50 % ethanol); (+) indicates contralateral muscle incubated with arachidonic acid or PGE<sub>2</sub>; no difference between (-) and (+) was significant. A minimum of seven paired muscles was used in each experiment.

			Arachidonic acid		PGE <sub>2</sub>	
	Temp.	Muscle	(-)	(+)	(-)	(+)
Tyrosine	37 °C	EDL SOL	$144 \pm 11$ 203 ± 11	137±5 187±10	$164 \pm 15$ $178 \pm 17$	$142 \pm 10$ $180 \pm 13$
3-МН	37 °C	EDL SOL	4.07±0.21 4.96±0.44	4.17±0.24 4.82±0.57	4.14±0.17 5.64±0.24	$3.89 \pm 0.18$ $5.42 \pm 0.31$
Tyrosine	33 °C	EDL SOL	$\begin{array}{c} 220\pm8\\ 297\pm9 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 220\pm7\\ 277\pm8 \end{array}$	$228 \pm 10$ $286 \pm 11$	$\begin{array}{r} 234\pm 4\\ 288\pm 5\end{array}$
3-МН	33 °C	EDL SOL	$5.37 \pm 0.30$ $6.42 \pm 0.31$	$5.57 \pm 0.45$ $5.74 \pm 0.33$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.99 \pm 0.53 \\ 6.35 \pm 0.38 \end{array}$	$5.34 \pm 0.29$ $7.20 \pm 0.41$

#### Table 2. Effect of indomethacin $(3 \mu M)$ on total and myofibrillar protein breakdown in incubated EDL muscles from sham-operated control rats or septic rats

Paired EDL muscles, harvested from rats 16 h after sham-operation (control muscles) or CLP (septic muscles), were preincubated for 30 min and then incubated for 2 h in the absence (-) or presence (+) of indomethacin. Total amounts (nmol/g) of tyrosine and 3-MH in muscle and medium were determined after the 2 h incubation; no difference between (-) and (+) was significant. Results are from seven paired muscles in each group.

	Control muscles		Septic muscles		
	(-)	(+)	(-)	(+)	
Tyrosine 3-MH	$307 \pm 15$ $5.30 \pm 0.24$	$305 \pm 17$ $5.26 \pm 0.36$	557±40 11.27±0.99	$568 \pm 40$ 10.59 $\pm 0.56$	

inhibitor (Table 2). Measurement of indomethacin in the incubation medium of EDL muscles (n = 7) from septic rats showed that the concentration was  $2.21 \pm 0.11 \ \mu\text{M}$  immediately after addition of the substance and  $2.10 \pm 0.18 \ \mu\text{M}$  at the end of the 2 h incubation, which was approx. 70% of the expected concentration. This may reflect incomplete solubility of indomethacin. However, the indomethacin concentration achieved was high enough to decrease PGE<sub>2</sub> production by incubated septic EDL muscles (n = 7 paired muscles) from  $46.0 \pm 8.9$  to  $19.3 \pm 3.7 \ \text{ng}/2$  h per g (-58%; P < 0.01), similar to a previous report [7].

Cycloheximide (0.5 mM) was added to the incubation medium in the present study to prevent re-incorporation of amino acids after their release during proteolysis. Because cycloheximide can inhibit muscle PGE<sub>2</sub> production [6], it may be argued that the effect of a PGE<sub>2</sub>-synthesis inhibitor cannot be detected when it is added to a medium containing cycloheximide. We therefore also tested the effect of indomethacin (3  $\mu$ M) on amino acid release into incubation medium from seven paired septic EDL muscles incubated without cycloheximide. Protein synthesis was measured by determining incorporation of [<sup>14</sup>C]phenylalanine into protein in the same muscles. Indomethacin did not affect tyrosine or 3-MH release or protein synthesis (Table 3), suggesting that total or myofibrillar protein breakdown was not affected by indomethacin in the absence of cycloheximide. Protein

# Table 3. Effect of indomethacin (3 $\mu$ M) on protein synthesis and total and myofibrillar protein breakdown in incubated EDL muscles from septic rats

Paired EDL muscles from septic rats (16 h after CLP) were preincubated for 30 min and then incubated for 2 h in the absence (-)or presence (+) of indomethacin. Cycloheximide was omitted from the incubation medium. At the end of the 2 h incubation, the amount of [<sup>14</sup>C]phenylalanine incorporated into trichloroacetic acidprecipitated proteins was determined. Total and myofibrillar protein breakdown rates were assessed as release of tyrosine and 3-MH respectively into the incubation medium; no difference between (-)and (+) was significant. Results are from seven paired muscles.

	Amino acid incorporated or released (nmol/2 h per g)		
Indomethacin	(-)	(+)	
Phenylalanine	$148 \pm 9$ 411 + 25	$150 \pm 10$ 405 + 12	
3-MH	$411 \pm 25$ 2.97 ± 0.22	$403 \pm 12$ 2.84 ± 0.09	

synthesis was determined in this experiment, since unaltered release of amino acids in the absence of cycloheximide could be consistent with a simultaneous inhibition of both protein breakdown and synthesis. Since the muscles were used for determination of protein synthesis, tissue levels of free tyrosine and 3-MH were not measured, but protein breakdown rates were assessed from the release into incubation medium only. This explains why the amounts of tyrosine and 3-MH reported in this experiment were lower than in the preceding experiments using septic muscles (compare Tables 3 and 2).

When indomethacin (3 mg/kg) was administered *in vivo* to septic rats at the time of CLP and again after 3 h, plasma concentrations of the substance were  $30.5 \pm 3.2 \,\mu\text{M}$  and  $21.5 \pm 3.8 \,\mu\text{M}$  at 8 h (n = 5) and 16 h (n = 5) after CLP respectively. These plasma concentrations are above therapeutic levels reported in man [19]. The plasma level of PGE<sub>2</sub> in control rats 16 h after sham-operation (n = 8) was  $138 \pm 45$  pg/ml. In septic animals, plasma PGE<sub>2</sub> levels were increased more than 2-fold as early as 8 h after CLP (Table 4). In septic animals treated with indomethacin, PGE<sub>2</sub> was not detectable in plasma 8 h or 16 h after CLP. Release of PGE<sub>2</sub> by incubated muscles 16 h after

#### Table 4. Effect of indomethacin, administered to septic rats, on plasma PGE<sub>2</sub> levels and muscle release of PGE<sub>2</sub>, tyrosine and 3-MH

Plasma PGE<sub>2</sub> levels were determined 8 h and 16 h after CLP in rats treated with indomethacin (3 mg/kg) at the time of CLP and again after 3 h or treated with corresponding volumes of vehicle. EDL muscles were harvested from rats 16 h after CLP and incubated for determination of PGE<sub>2</sub> production or total (i.e. tyrosine release) and myofibrillar (i.e. 3-MH release) protein breakdown rates, as described in the Materials and methods section. N.S., not significant; N.D., not detectable; n = 7 or 8 for each mean value.

	Plasma PCE (ng/ml)			Muscle release of:	
	8 h	16 h	PGE <sub>2</sub> (ng/2 h per g)	Tyrosine (nmol/2 h per g)	3-MH (nmol/2 h per g)
CLP + vehicle CLP + indomethacin	315±44 N.D.	311±110 N.D.	$46.6 \pm 4.6$ $31.7 \pm 2.6$ P < 0.01	500±19 497±65 N.S.	$6.87 \pm 0.59$ $7.47 \pm 1.62$ N S

sham-operation (n = 8) was  $29.2 \pm 2.8$  ng/2 h per g, and was approx. 60% higher during sepsis (Table 4). This increase was abolished by treatment with indomethacin. Despite non-detectable plasma PGE<sub>2</sub> levels and normalized muscle PGE<sub>2</sub> release, total and myofibrillar protein breakdown rates in septic rats were unaffected by indomethacin treatment (Table 4).

#### DISCUSSION

In the present study, we found no evidence for prostaglandin regulation of total or myofibrillar protein breakdown in skeletal muscle. The results of unaltered total proteolysis, i.e. tyrosine release, after addition of arachidonic acid or  $PGE_2$  to incubated normal muscle or after inhibition of  $PGE_2$  production by indomethacin, differ from previous reports from Goldberg's & Baracos' laboratories [1–3], but are in line with several other studies [4–8]. The unresponsiveness of myofibrillar protein breakdown to  $PGE_2$  and indomethacin is a new finding which further supports the concept that cyclo-oxygenase inhibitors may not be useful in the treatment of muscle catabolism in sepsis, since this condition mainly stimulates myofibrillar protein degradation [9].

It is unclear why we and others [4-8] have not been able to confirm a role of prostaglandins in muscle proteolysis. Several experiments were performed in the present study to exclude the possibility that the discrepancy between our results and those reported by Rodemann & Goldberg [1] was caused by differences in experimental conditions. However, although our variations in experimental conditions matched those of Rodemann & Goldberg [1], protein breakdown rates remained unchanged when PGE<sub>2</sub> or arachidonic acid was added to muscles at different temperatures, when muscles were incubated flaccid rather than stretched, and when the incubation medium was supplemented with insulin and branched-chain amino acids. Our results also demonstrated that the lack of effect of PGE<sub>2</sub> could not be ascribed to breakdown of the substance during incubation, since it was measured and found to be present in adequate concentrations. Similarly, Barnett & Ellis [4] found that the biological activity of PGE<sub>2</sub> (2.8  $\mu$ M) was retained over a 2 h incubation period, without influencing muscle protein degradation. In the same study, the effects of PGE<sub>2</sub> and arachidonic acid were tested under different experimental conditions, e.g. in muscles harvested from anaesthetized or unanaesthetized animals, in muscles incubated in media of different composition, in tissue incubated stretched or flaccid, and in muscles from both rats and mice. Under none of these conditions did PGE<sub>2</sub> stimulate muscle proteolysis, measured as release of tyrosine [4].

In a recent report, Tian & Baracos [3] discussed several possible reasons why no evidence of  $PGE_2$ -regulated muscle proteolysis was observed in previous studies from our laboratory [7,8]. First, they questioned if indomethacin was solubilized at an

effective concentration in our previous experiments. The results of the present study suggest that, even if indomethacin was not completely dissolved in the incubation medium, the concentration of the substance was sufficient to inhibit  $PGE_2$  production effectively. An even higher concentration of indomethacin (6  $\mu$ M) was added to incubated muscles from septic rats in a previous study [8], but protein breakdown was still unaffected. Thus it is not likely that the lack of effect of indomethacin on muscle proteolysis found in the present report and in previous studies from our [7,8] and other [4–6] laboratories reflects ineffective concentrations of the substance. Comparisons with previous studies are complicated by the fact that neither Rodemann & Goldberg [1] nor Baracos *et al.* [2] reported how they dissolved indomethacin or what concentrations *in vitro* they achieved.

Secondly, Tian & Baracos [3] suggested that a 2 h incubation period may be too short for indomethacin to influence protein turnover. This explanation seems inconsistent with reports by Goldberg *et al.* [1,2,20] that indomethacin inhibited muscle PGE<sub>2</sub> production and protein breakdown during a 2 h incubation. Furthermore, when muscles were incubated for up to 6 h in the presence of 3  $\mu$ M-indomethacin, protein breakdown rates remained unaffected in muscle from both septic and control rats [8].

Third, it was suggested [3] that the effect of indomethacin on PGE, production and protein breakdown may be masked when muscles are incubated in the presence of cycloheximide, a substance which by itself can block prostaglandin synthesis [6]. The present results showed, however, that indomethacin inhibited PGE<sub>2</sub> production by approx. 60 % when muscles were incubated in the presence of 0.5 mm-cycloheximide, similar to a previous report from our laboratory [7]. Clark et al. [5] reported an approx. 85% inhibition of PGE<sub>2</sub> production by indomethacin  $(3 \mu M)$  when muscles from burned rats were incubated in the presence of cycloheximide, but found no effect on protein degradation. They reported that similar conclusions were reached from experiments in which cycloheximide was omitted from the incubation media [5]. In the present study as well, protein breakdown rates were not affected by indomethacin when muscles were incubated without cycloheximide.

In the previous reports by Rodemann & Goldberg [1] and Baracos *et al.* [2], two different techniques were used to measure muscle protein breakdown rates. In one technique, muscles were incubated in the presence of 0.5 mM-cycloheximide and tyrosine released into the incubation medium was used as a measure of protein breakdown. In the alternative technique, muscles were incubated without cycloheximide, so that both protein synthesis and net protein degradation could be determined as described by Tischler *et al.* [21]. Unfortunately, it was not obvious in the previous reports [1,2] which technique was used when the effect of indomethacin was tested, and it is therefore unclear whether the inhibition of protein breakdown by the prostaglandinsynthesis inhibitor was noted only in the absence of cycloheximide.

An additional reason why we found no effect of indomethacin on protein turnover rates in the present study may be that muscles were incubated in a medium lacking insulin and amino acids. It could be argued that PGE<sub>2</sub> production by muscles under these conditions is too high to be regulated by indomethacin. However, since PGE, production rates in muscles from control rats were similar to those in several previous reports [2,3, 5,7,20,22], it is not likely that our conditions in vitro affected muscle PGE<sub>2</sub> metabolism. It is also unlikely that our conditions in vitro could explain the lack of effect of PGE, or arachidonic acid on muscle protein breakdown, because muscles remained unresponsive to the substances also when incubated in the presence of insulin and amino acids. In a recent study [3], PGE, production by incubated epitrochlearis muscle from control and infected rats was almost identical with the present results in muscles from control and septic animals. Moreover, treatment of infected rats with naproxen decreased PGE, production to control values [3], similar to the current results in indomethacintreated septic rats. Thus it is not likely that the lack of evidence for PGE<sub>2</sub>-regulated muscle proteolysis in the present study was due to impairment of muscle PGE, metabolism induced by factors specific for the methods used here.

Since, in a previous report from this laboratory [7], plasma levels of indomethacin were not reported when rats were treated with the substance in vivo, results from those experiments as well were questioned by Tian & Baracos [3]. In the present study we therefore repeated those experiments, using an identical treatment protocol, and extended the previous study by including measurements of plasma levels of indomethacin and PGE, and by measuring muscle PGE<sub>2</sub> production and myofibrillar protein breakdown rates. Results showed that effective plasma levels of indomethacin were indeed achieved and maintained during the length of the experiment, resulting in decrease in muscle  $PGE_{2}$ production to control levels and non-detectable plasma levels of PGE<sub>2</sub>. In spite of this, total or myofibrillar protein breakdown rates were not affected. It should be pointed out that in these experiments the first dose of indomethacin was given at the time when CLP was performed. Thus it is likely that effective plasma levels of indomethacin were present when animals started to become septic. The data clearly demonstrated that the plasma concentration of PGE<sub>2</sub> was effectively decreased during the major part of the experiment. This would argue against the concept that high proteolytic rates, despite indomethacin treatment, reflected a role of PGE<sub>2</sub> only for the initiation of protein breakdown. This interpretation is further supported by a previous study in which pretreatment of rats with indomethacin 45 min before CLP failed to normalize protein degradation rates [7].

We cannot offer a definitive explanation for the differences between the present results and those reported from Goldberg's and Baracos' laboratories [1-3]. However, certain pertinent points deserve mention. First, a lack of evidence for regulation of muscle proteolysis by PGE<sub>2</sub> has been reported by several other authors [4-6,23,24]. Second, the role of  $PGE_2$  in muscle protein breakdown does not seem to be consistent even in experiments performed in the same laboratory. Thus, although Rodemann et al. [20] reported that stimulation of muscle proteolysis by Ca<sup>2+</sup> was mediated by PGE<sub>2</sub>, those results could not be reproduced by Baracos et al. [24]. In other experiments, Fagan & Goldberg [25] found that treatment of rats with indomethacin after endotoxin injection did not affect the increased muscle proteolysis, despite inhibition of PGE, production. This contrasts with the study by Tian & Baracos [3], in which treatment with a cyclo-oxygenase inhibitor 24 h after the infection improved muscle nitrogen

balance. Baracos and co-workers [26] reported previously that protein turnover rates in cultured isolated muscle cells were not influenced by arachidonic acid or prostaglandins, and in the same study pointed out that previous findings in incubated muscles are not always consistent.

Furthermore, a simultaneous inhibition of PGE, production and protein breakdown by cyclo-oxygenase inhibitors does not necessarily imply a direct cause-effect relationship. Thus the decreased net protein degradation in incubated muscles from naproxen-treated E. coli-infected animals, reported recently by Tian & Baracos [3], could have been the result of some property of naproxen other than inhibition of PGE, synthesis. In previous studies, indomethacin improved the haemodynamic status during endotoxin shock in dogs [27]. If this effect is shared by naproxen as well, the decreased muscle protein breakdown reported by Tian & Baracos [3] may have been caused by improved tissue perfusion, rather than by the inhibited PGE, production itself. Another secondary effect of naproxen which could have explained improved muscle nitrogen balance is inhibition of fever, because elevated temperature in itself stimulates muscle proteolysis [28], in particular in muscle from septic animals [29]. Interpretation of studies using naproxen is further complicated by the fact that, in addition to its effect on prostaglandin synthesis, the substance may act by inhibiting lysosomal enzymes and neutral proteases or by stabilizing certain membrane proteins [30].

In addition to the studies by Goldberg & Baracos [1-3,20], a report by Ruff & Secrist [31] seems to support a role of prostaglandins in muscle protein breakdown. In that study, infection of rats with live Streptococcus pneumoniae resulted in decreased muscle mass, decreased twitch and tetanic tension, and increased muscle cathepsin B activity. Because these changes were prevented by indomethacin, it was suggested that muscle breakdown was mediated by prostaglandins. Interpretation of the results in that study, however, is difficult for several reasons. First, protein synthesis or degradation rates were not measured, and no data on muscle protein content were given, and it is therefore not known if muscle protein metabolism was affected by the septic model and/or by the indomethacin treatment. Second, indomethacin, in addition to inhibiting prostaglandin synthesis, decreased muscle cathepsin B activity, and, although it was implied that the decreased activity of the proteolytic enzyme was secondary to decreased prostaglandin synthesis, no evidence for that assumption was presented. A direct inhibition of capthepsin B activity by indomethacin could explain the prevention of muscle breakdown. In fact, the study demonstrated that treatment with leupeptin, which is a lysosomal protease inhibitor, gave rise to results almost identical with those of treatment with indomethacin.

In conclusion, the present study found no evidence that total or myofibrillar protein breakdown in normal or septic skeletal muscle is regulated by  $PGE_2$  when experiments were done under a variety of conditions. These findings agree with the majority of studies published by other laboratories. Since previous reports are inconsistent, however, further experiments may be required to determine more definitively the role of prostaglandins in muscle proteolysis. Such studies are important, because muscle catabolism is a prominent metabolic response in septic and other critically ill patients.

The study was supported in part by N.I.H. grant 1RO1 DK 37908-01.

#### REFERENCES

- Rodemann, H. P. & Goldberg, A. L. (1982) J. Biol. Chem. 257, 1632–1638
- Baracos, V., Rodemann, H. P., Dinarello, C. A. & Goldberg, A. L. (1983) N. Engl. J. Med. 308, 553–558

- 3. Tian, S. & Baracos, V. E. (1989) Biochem. J. 263, 485-490
- 4. Barnett, J. G. & Ellis, S. (1987) Muscle Nerve 10, 556-559
- Clark, A. S., Kelly, R. A. & Mitch, W. E. (1984) J. Clin. Invest. 74, 888–897
- 6. McKinley, C. J. & Turinsky, J. (1986) Am. J. Physiol. 250, R207-R210
- Hasselgren, P. O., Talamini, M., LeFrance, R., James, J. H., Peters, J. C. & Fischer, J. E. (1985) Ann. Surg. 202, 557–562
- Hasselgren, P. O., Warner, B. W., Hummel, R. P., James, J. H., Ogle, C. K. & Fischer, J. E. (1988) Ann. Surg. 207, 399–403
- Hasselgren, P. O., James, J. H., Benson, D. W., Hall-Angerås, M., Angerås, U., Hiyama, D. T., Li, S. & Fischer, J. E. (1989) Metab. Clin. Exp. 38, 634–640
- Lowell, B. B., Ruderman, N. B. & Goodman, M. N. (1986) Biochem. J. 234, 237–240
- 11. Kayali, A. G., Young, V. R. & Goodman, M. N. (1987) Am. J. Physiol. 252, E621-E626
- Hasselgren, P. O., Talamini, M. A., James, J. H. & Fischer, J. E. (1986) Arch. Surg. (Chicago) 121, 918–923
- Ariano, M. D., Armstrong, R. B. & Edgerton, V. R. (1973) J. Histochem. Cytochem. 21, 51-55
- 14. Frayn, K. N. & Maycock, P. F. (1979) Biochem. J. 184, 323-330
- 14a. Krebs, H. A. & Henseleit, K. (1932) Hoppe-Seyler's Z. Physiol. Chem. 210, 33-66
- Fulks, R. H., Li, J. B. & Goldberg, A. L. (1975) J. Biol. Chem. 250, 290–298
- Hummel, R. P., Hasselgren, P. O., James, H. J., Warner, B. W. & Fischer, J. E. (1988) Metab. Clin. Exp. 37, 1120–1127

Received 29 January 1990/19 April 1990; accepted 2 May 1990

- Hasselgren, P. O., Hall-Angerås, M., Angerås, U., Benson, D., James, H. J. & Fischer, J. E. (1990) Biochem. J. 267, 37–44
- 18. Bernstein, M. S. & Evans, M. A. (1982) J. Chromatogr. 229, 179-187
- Cooper, J. K., McKay, G., Hawes, E. M. & Midha, K. K. (1982) J. Chromatogr. 233, 289–296
- Rodemann, H. P., Waxman, L. & Goldberg, A. L. (1982) J. Biol. Chem. 257, 8716–8723
- Tischler, M. E., Desautels, M. & Goldberg, A. L. (1982) J. Biol. Chem. 257, 1613–1621
- Baracos, V., Greenberg, R. E. & Goldberg, A. L. (1986) Am. J. Physiol. 250, E702–E710
- 23. Turinsky, J. & Loegering, D. J. (1985) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 840, 137-140
- 24. Jepson, M. M. & Millward, D. J. (1989) Clin. Sci. 77, 13-20
- Fagan, J. M. & Goldberg, A. L. (1985) in The Physiologic, Metabolic, and Immunologic Actions of Interleukin-1 (Kluger, M. J., Oppenheimer, J. J. & Powanda, M. C., eds.), pp. 201–210, Alan R. Liss, New York
- McElligott, M. A., Chang, L. Y., Baracos, V. & Gulve, E. A. (1988) Biochem. J. 253, 745–749
- 27. Fletcher, J. R. & Ramwell, P. W. (1977) Br. J. Pharmacol. 61, 175-181
- Baracos, V. E., Wilson, E. J. & Goldberg, A. L. (1984) Am. J. Physiol. 246, C125–C130
- Hall-Angerås, M., Angerås, U., Hasselgren, P. O. & Fischer, J. E. (1990) Am. J. Physiol. 258, C589–C592
- Brogden, R. N., Heel, R. C., Speight, T. M. & Avery, G. S. (1979) Drugs 18, 241-277
- 31. Ruff, R. L. & Secrist, D. (1984) J. Clin. Invest. 73, 1483-1486