1	Supplementary Information for:
2	Hyperlocal Air Pollution Mapping: A Scalable Transfer Learning LUR
3	Approach for Mobile Monitoring
4	Zhendong Yuan <sup>1</sup> *, Jules Kerckhoffs <sup>1</sup> , Hao Li <sup>2</sup> , Jibran Khan <sup>3,4</sup> , Gerard Hoek <sup>1</sup> , Roel Vermeulen <sup>1,5</sup>
5	<sup>1</sup> Institute for Risk Assessment Sciences, Utrecht University, 3584 CM Utrecht, Netherlands
6	<sup>2</sup> Professorship of Big Geospatial Data Management, Technical University of Munich, 85521 Ottobrunn, Germany
7	<sup>3</sup> Department of Environmental Science, Aarhus University, DK-4000 Roskilde, Denmark
8	<sup>4</sup> Danish Big Data Centre for Environment and Health (BERTHA), Aarhus University, DK-4000 Roskilde, Denmark
9	<sup>5</sup> Julius Centre for Health Sciences and Primary Care, University Medical Centre, Utrecht University, 3584 CX Utrecht,
10	The Netherlands
11	
12	*Corresponding author
13	z.yuan@uu.nl
14	
15	
10	
17	
18	This file contains one text, five tables and three figures.
19	<u>Text:</u>
20	Text S1. Model implementation of SLR
21	<u>Tables:</u>
22	Table S1. Spatial predictor variables with units, predefined directions of effect, and buffer sizes
23	in AMS.
24	Table S2. Statistics of UFP model predictions in particle/cm <sup>3</sup> .
25	Table S3. SLR trained in Rotterdam.
26	Table S4. SLR trained in Copenhagen.
27	Table S5. SLR trained in Amsterdam.
28	
29	Figures:
30	Figure S1. Scatterplot of model predictions against fixed-site validation measurements.
31	Figure S2. $NO_2$ maps of differences between SLR_AMS_160D and the other model tested.

- 32 Figure S3. Spatial maps of UFP predictions from the mixed-effect and IDW\_Coral models with
- 33 a unified legend.

#### 35 Text S1. Model implementation of SLR

The stepwise linear regression (SLR) model selects predictor variables in a forward stepwise 36 manner. It starts by taking an empty, intercept-only model, and then adds variables based on 37 38 the goodness of fit determined via the adjusted R<sup>2</sup> values. The variable having the highest 39 adjusted R<sup>2</sup> value was added first in the model, and the model development process stopped 40 when adding new variables could not improve the adjusted R<sup>2</sup>. Predictor variables are only included when the direction of the association is predetermined (e.g., positive for traffic load). 41 42 The predictor variables in the LUR models were checked for p-value and collinearity. Here, p-43 value > 0.10 and variance inflation factor > 3 and Cook's D < 1 was removed.

44

### Table S1: Spatial predictor variables with units, predefined directions of effect, and buffer sizes in AMS.

Predictor variable	Abbreviation	Units	Direction	Buffer	10 <sup>th</sup>	Mean	90 <sup>th</sup>
			of effect	100	percentile	1150	Percentile
				200	0	1159	0
Agricultural	ACDI	<b>m</b> 2		500	0	24770	64052
land area <sup>1</sup>	AGKI_	m²	-	- 500	0	34779	64052
				5000	1710001	178942	649727
Ainn ant angal	A ID			5000	1/10991	13290916	30287613
Airport area	AIR_	III²	T	100	0	640794	1628347
				200	0	2006	0
Terdenstern and al	NIDUC	?		500	0	17769	41347
Industry area	INDUS_	m²	+	1000	0	49074	180131
				5000	2002105	199831	730248
				5000	2902185	5182292	8091464
				200	0	0	0
Natural and	NATIO	?		500	0	0	0
forested areas <sup>1</sup>	NATUR_	m²	-	500	0	0	0
				5000	0	420222	0
Dant ana 1	DODT	?		100	0	429332	2388024
rort area	PORI_	III-	Ŧ	200	0	2048	2510
				500	0	22447	85979
				1000	0	226202	258949
				5000	0	226393	956817
Desidential land	DEC			100	0	21152	1326/782
Residential land	KES_	m²	+	200	0	21152	31416
arca				500	0	183950	282742
				1000	104805	492795	785390
				5000	17042122	1820998	3040804
Transportation	TDANG	m <sup>2</sup>		100	17043122	32157817	40811045
area <sup>1</sup>	IKANS_	111-	т	200	0	0	0
arca				500	0	12457	2074
				1000	0	50061	2874
				5000	510010	1240506	2002005
				100	0	2251	7952
				300	0	2531	102385
Urban Green	URBG	m <sup>2</sup>	_	500	0	7023/	268822
area <sup>1</sup>		111	_	1000	0	261278	852803
				5000	62/18972	9362179	13883066
				100	<u>۲0572</u>	0	0
				300	0	10238	30716
Water <sup>1</sup>	WATER	m <sup>2</sup>		500	0	27897	152527
** atti	WITTER_	111		1000	0	222478	741893
				5000	2904140	8362718	12310634
				5000	2304140	0302710	12310034

				100	0	319	770
<b>D</b>				300	0	2385	5355
Population	РОР	n	+	500	25	6091	13410
density-	—			1000	1485	21762	46115
				5000	146514	366674	580006
Traffic intensity on nearest road <sup>3</sup>	TRAFNEAR	Veh/day	+		137	9986	22487
Traffic intensity on nearest	TRAFMAJOR	Veh/day	+		3115	14212	28042
Heavy-duty traffic intensity on nearest road <sup>3</sup>	HTRAFNEAR	Veh/day	+		0	619	1333
Heavy-duty traffic intensity	HTRAFMAIOR	Veh/dav	+		54	980	2138
on nearest major road <sup>3</sup>		v en/ duy					
Road length of	RDL_	m	+	25	39	66	100
all roads <sup>5</sup>				50	100	187	294
				100	254	636	966
				300	2029	4880	7083
				500	7893	13761	19090
	MDDI			1000	29092	50344	68095
Road length of	MRDL_	m	+		0	24	91
an major roaus				100	0	210	198
				200	0	1522	254
				500	0	1532	3554
				1000	2015	13627	21887
Traffic intensity	TLOA	(Veh/dav)*m	+	25	0	63/722	15799/15
on all roads	ilon_	(ven/duy) in		50	6393	1815133	4797110
(sum of (traffic				100	78159	5494027	14290643
intensity *				300	3038453	36918277	1.01E+08
length of all				500	13741938	44180744	87625101
segments)) <sup>3</sup>				1000	62971674	166892417	324383228
Traffic intensity	TMLOA	(Veh/day)*m	+	25	0	543194	1387293
on all major				50	0	1548534	4473085
roads				100	0	4550786	13415227
(sum of (traffic				300	0	12783795	26453420
Intensity"				500	0	30877644	64537936
segments)) <sup>3</sup>				1000	26948221	116664854	238567679
Heavy-duty	HLOA_	(Veh/day)*m	+	25	0	40889	59383
traffic intensity				50	0	115926	205867
on all roads				100	1607	336918	909792
duty traffic				300	84212	2085219	8507687
intensity*				500	387896	2664654	6027990
length of all segments)) <sup>3</sup>				1000	2550331	10515869	25699398
Heavy-duty	HMLOA_	(Veh/day)*m	+	25	0	35363	41295
traffic intensity				50	0	100488	141074
on major roads				100	0	286355	742416
(sum of (neavy- duty traffic				300	0	846066	1614863
intensity*lenoth				500	0	2033997	4769469
of all segments)				1000	966747	8018542	22978460

47 <sup>1</sup>Source: CORINE (Copernicus Land Monitoring Service) 2018, raster 100m.

48 <sup>2</sup>Source: CBS (Central Bureau of Statistics Netherlands) 2017, 100m\*100m.

49 <sup>3</sup>Source: NWB (National Road Network Netherlands) 2011, vehicles per day per segment (between intersections)

Model names	Min.	1 <sup>st</sup> Qu.	Median	Mean	3 <sup>rd</sup> Qu.	Max
Mixed-effect model	16,200	19,200	20,000	22,175	21,800	70,200
AMS_SLR_160D	15,444	21,722	23,587	25,588	26,810	70,903
CPH2AMS_SLR	-1,543	5,723	9,796	16,941	17,556	191,372
RTM2AMS_SLR	7,123	16,164	19,701	23,151	26,785	79,853
IDW_SLR	7,642	15,353	18,886	22,629	26,161	88,468
CPH2AMS_Coral	5,249	10,410	11,100	11,832	12,397	36,805
RTM2AMS_Coral	2.42	22,043	24,218	24,865	27,394	52,094
IDW_Coral	1.01	21,102	23,102	23,762	26,014	49,497

51 Table S2: Statistics of UFP model predictions in particle/cm<sup>3</sup>.

52

# 53 Table S3: SLR trained in Rotterdam

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )			
(Intercept)	7.598e+00	1.297e-01	58.558	<2e-16	***		
PORT_5000	6.990e-08	8.808e-09	7.936	2.13e-15	***		
PORT_1000	1.603e-06	9.488e-08	16.890	<2e-16	***		
MRDL_100	1.879e-03	1.149e-04	16.348	<2e-16	***		
WATER_500	7.356e-08	4.736e-09	15.532	<2e-16	***		
0							
RES_5000	4.877e-08	3.356e-09	14.532	<2e-16	***		
INDUS_5000	1.398e-07	1.567e-08	8.917	<2e-16	***		
NATUR_500	3.064e-08	1.551e-08	1.975	0.0483	*		
0							
TRANS_1000	1.419e-06	1.864e-07	7.612	2.74e-14	***		
AGRI_100	3.803e-05	3.039e-06	12.515	<2e-16	***		
INDUS_500	1.289e-06	2.381e-07	5.412	6.25e-08	***		
WATER_500	1.574e-06	3.617e-07	4.353	1.34e-05	***		
URBG_100	5.097e-06	4.652e-06	1.096	0.2732			
TRAFNEAR	4.782e-05	1.716e-06	27.866	<2e-16	***		
Multiple R-squ	Multiple R-squared: 0.08009, Adjusted R-squared: 0.07988						

Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

54 55 56

### Table S4: SLR trained in Copenhagen

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	<i>Pr(&gt; t )</i>	
(Intercept)	-1.844e-01	5.297e-01	-0.348	0.727717	
TRANS_5000	1.994e-06	1.158e-07	17.223	<2e-16	***
PORT_300	2.572e-05	1.483e-06	17.343	<2e-16	***
WATER_100	7.235e-06	4.429e-07	16.335	<2e-16	***
0					
POP_100	3.360e-03	5.600e-04	5.999	2.03e-09	***
TRANS_1000	4.101e-06	4.246e-07	9.659	<2e-16	***
TRAFNEAR	3.209e-04	9.890e-06	32.444	<2e-16	***
INDUS_5000	1.525e-07	3.481e-08	4.381	1.19e-05	***

NATUR_500	-8.172e-09	1.574e-08	-0.519	0.603678			
0							
RDL_500	2.094e-04	3.433e-05	6.100	1.09e-09	***		
AGRI_300	7.796e-06	2.785e-06	2.799	0.005138	**		
MRDL_300	4.554e-04	1.349e-04	3.376	0.000737	***		
AIR_5000	1.051e-07	3.976e-08	2.643	0.008239	**		
URBG_1000	1.409e-06	2.052e-07	6.867	6.86e-12	***		
MRDL_50	2.475e-02	1.240e-03	19.956	<2e-16	***		
Maltinla Damanuda 0.24(1 Adimeted Damanuda 0.2454							

Multiple R-squared: 0.3461, Adjusted R-squared: 0.3454

Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

57 58

## 59 Table S5: SLR trained in Amsterdam

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )	
(Intercept)	6.906e+00	2.244e-01	30.780	<2e-16	***
MRDL_100	5.908e-03	1.533e-04	38.532	<2e-16	***
TRAFNEAR	1.069e-04	1.425e-06	75.012	<2e-16	***
MRDL_25	2.742e-02	9.877e-04	27.767	<2e-16	***
PORT_1000	9.889e-07	5.961e-08	16.590	<2e-16	***
TRANS_5000	1.048e-06	4.653e-08	22.523	<2e-16	***
TLOA_1000	4.916e-09	1.363e-10	36.059	<2e-16	***
URBG_5000	-1.209e-08	1.207e-08	-1.001	0.317	
AGRI_300	6.586e-06	6.140e-07	10.726	<2e-16	***
INDUS_5000	1.552e-07	1.601e-08	9.693	<2e-16	***
WATER_1000	1.404e-06	8.749e-08	16.048	<2e-16	***
POP_1000	3.543e-05	1.848e-06	19.176	<2e-16	***
AIR_5000	-9.398e-08	1.349e-08	-6.966	3.31e-12	***
WATER_5000	-9.105e-08	7.067e-09	-12.885	<2e-16	***
NATUR_5000	-6.473e-07	3.644e-08	-17.765	<2e-16	***
Multiple R-squa	red: 0.4427,	Adjusted R-s	quared: 0.4	1425	

60 Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1



64 Figure S1. Scatterplot of model predictions against fixed-site validation measurements (NO<sub>2</sub>;

65 **n=82).** 



AMS\_SLR-CPH2AMS\_Coral





AMS\_SLR-CPH2AMS\_SLR

AMS\_SLR-RTM2AMS\_SLR



Figure S2. NO<sub>2</sub> maps of differences between SLR\_AMS\_160D and the other model tested.



Figure S3. Spatial maps of UFP predictions from the mixed-effect and IDW\_Coral models with a unified legend.