## Cox proportional hazards models

Models were fit in R (version 4.3) using the packages survival 3.5-5 and splines 4.3 using data of patients with no missing values (n= 969, number of events= 299). The effect of PA/AA is controlled for UICC stage, Sex and Age by including these variables as co-variables in the model. Age is modelled as a continuous variable and mapped by a natural spline with 3 degrees of freedom to allow for a non-linear relationship between age and the log hazard. Cancer is added as a fixed effect to estimate the hazard ratios between cancers, other categorical variables are added as strata, allowing different baseline hazards for each category.

### Model 1

This model assumes that the effect of PAbyAA is the same in all cancers. The model formula is

Surv ~ Cancer + log(PAbyAA) + ns(Age,3) + strata(UICC) + strata(Sex) The proportional hazars assumption is checked using the pxc.zph function (for details see: P. Grambsch and T. Therneau (1994), Proportional hazards tests and diagnostics based on weighted residuals. Biometrika, 81, 515-26):



The global p-value of the score test is 0.33. There is no evidence for a a violation of the proportional hazards assumption.

### The summary of the fitted model is as follows:

	coef	exp(coef)	se(coef)	Z	Pr(> z )	
CancerHNC	-0.3188	0.7270	0.1407	-2.266	0.02345	*
CancerUROC	0.2478	1.2812	0.1575	1.573	0.11580	
log(PAbyAA)	0.9996	2.7172	0.3493	2.862	0.00422	**
ns(Age, 3)1	0.6377	1.8922	0.3574	1.784	0.07438	
ns(Age, 3)2	0.2849	1.3296	1.3501	0.211	0.83287	
ns(Age, 3)3	1.5107	4.5300	0.3793	3.983	6.81e-05	* * *
Concordance= Likelihood r Wald test Score (logra	= 0.64 ( atio tes ank) test	se = 0.019 t= 75.1 = 79.27 = 83.46	) on 6 df, on 6 df, on 6 df,	p=4e- p=5e- p=7e-	-14 -15 -16	

The coefficients denote the log hazard ratios. CancerHNC and CancerUROC refer to the harad ratios to CancerGI, which is not shown here because it serves as the reference. The coefficient of log(PAbyAA) is positive (translating to an *increase* in the hazard or a decrease in survival with increasing PA/AA ratio) with a p-value of 0.004. The coefficients of Age refer to the spline basis and should not be interpreted directly. These coefficients are required by the model to correct the hazard ratos of the the other variables by the impact of age.

# Model 2

This model is similar to Model 1 but uses a dichotomized variable (PAbyAA.) distinguishing PA/AA  $\leq$  1 and PA/AA > 1 to estimate the hazard ratio comparing these two groups:

Surv ~ Cancer +	PAbyAA	+ ns(Age, ∶	3) + stra	ta(UICC)	) + strata	a(Sex)
	coef	exp(coef)	se(coef)	Z	Pr(> z )	
CancerHNC	-0.3307	0.7184	0.1404	-2.356	0.01847	*
CancerUROC	0.2595	1.2963	0.1572	1.650	0.09885	
PAbyAA.PA/A ratio > 1	1 0.4885	1.6299	0.1660	2.943	0.00325	* *
ns(Age, 3)1	0.6093	1.8391	0.3563	1.710	0.08724	
ns(Age, 3)2	0.2414	1.2731	1.3431	0.180	0.85735	
ns(Age, 3)3	1.5655	4.7852	0.3792	4.129	3.65e-05	* * *

## Model 3

This model tries to dissect the effects of PA/AA within the different cancers by including an interaction term of Cancer and log(PAbyAA):

### Surv ~ Cancer + log(PAbyAA):Cancer + ns(Age, 3) + strata(UICC) + strata(Sex),

	coef	exp(coef)	se(coef)	Z	Pr(> z )	
CancerHNC	-0.3189	0.7270	0.2140	-1.490	0.1362	
CancerUROC	0.2207	1.2469	0.2099	1.051	0.2932	
ns(Age, 3)1	0.6429	1.9020	0.3581	1.795	0.0726	
ns(Age, 3)2	0.2906	1.3372	1.3487	0.215	0.8294	
ns(Age, 3)3	1.5116	4.5338	0.3798	3.980	6.89e-05	***
CancerGIC:log(PAbyAA)	1.0502	2.8583	0.5818	1.805	0.0710	
CancerHNC:log(PAbyAA)	1.0452	2.8439	0.5586	1.871	0.0614	
CancerUROC:log(PAbyAA)	0.8693	2.3851	0.6622	1.313	0.1893	

The last three coefficients in the table show the effects of PA/AA estimated within each cancer type. All estimates are positive and of similar size, but fail to reach statistical significance. A comparison to model 1 shows that no evidence is provided that the effect of PA/AA would differ between cancers (liklihood ratio test p = 0.97). A comparison to model 3 excluding the interaction term demonstrates that the information of the cancers together is sufficient to conclude that the hazard ratio increases with PA/AA (likelihood ratio test, p = 0.043), in-line with the results of model 1. The corresponding analysis based on dichotomized PA/AA values gave very similar results.