Studies on electron transfer from methanol dehydrogenase to cytochrome $c_{\rm L}$, both purified from *Hyphomicrobium* X

Maarten DIJKSTRA, Johannes FRANK, Jzn. and Johannis A. DUINE*

Laboratory of Microbiology and Enzymology, Delft University of Technology, Julianalaan 67, 2628 BC Delft, The Netherlands

Ferricytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ isolated from Hyphomicrobium X is an electron acceptor in assays for homologous methanol dehydrogenase (MDH), albeit a poor one compared with artificial dyes. The intermediates of MDH seen during the reaction are identical with those observed with Wurster's Blue as electron acceptor, indicating that the reaction cycles are similar. The assay showed a pH optimum of approx. 7.0 and scarcely any stimulation by NH₄Cl, this being in contrast with assays with artificial dyes, where strong activation by NH_4Cl and much higher pH optima have been reported. From the results obtained with stopped-flow as well as steady-state kinetics, combined with the isotope effects found for C^2H_3OH , it appeared that the dissimilarities the electron acceptors can be explained from different rate-limiting steps in the reaction cycles. Ferricytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ is an excellent oxidant of the reduced MDH forms at pH 7.0, but the substrate oxidation step is very slow and the activation by NH₄Cl is very poor at this pH. At pH 9.0 the reverse situation exists: ferricytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ is a poor oxidant of the reduced forms of MDH at this pH. No C²H₃OH isotope effect was observed under these conditions, indicating that substrate oxidation is not ratelimiting, so that activation by NH_4Cl cannot be found. Since just the opposite holds for assays with artificial dyes, the poor electron-acceptor capability and the different pH optimum of ferricytochrome c_1 as well as the insignificant activating effect of NH₄Cl (all compared with artificial assays) can be explained. Although different views have been reported on the rate-limiting steps in the systems from Methylophilus methylotrophus and Methylobacterium sp. strain AM1, these are most probably incorrect, as rate-limiting electron transfer between ferrocytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ and horse heart ferricytochrome c can occur. Therefore the conclusions derived for the Hyphomicrobium X system might also apply to the systems from other methylotrophic bacteria. Comparison of the assays performed in vitro (at pH 7.0) having ferricytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ and Wurster's Blue as electron acceptor with methanol oxidation by whole cells shows that the former has similarity whereas the latter has not, this being although ferricytochrome c_1 is a poor electron acceptor in the assay performed in vitro. The reason for this is the absence of a (natural) activator able to activate the (rate-limiting) substrate oxidation step at physiological pH values.

INTRODUCTION

The suitability of ferricytochrome c to function as electron acceptor for methanol dehydrogenase (MDH) in vitro is a controversial point [1]. Methanol-dependent reduction of cytochrome c has been observed in anaerobically prepared cell-free extracts from *Hyphomicrobium* X. When the cell-free extracts were brought into contact with O₂, MDH activity became dependent on the presence of NH_4^+ ions in the assay and methanol-dependent reduction of ferricytochrome c was no longer observed, either in the absence or in the presence of NH_4^+ [2]. In other reports, however, it was mentioned that methanoldependent cytochrome c reduction occurred under aerobic conditions if the purified components from Methylomonas J [3], Methylophilus methylotrophus or Methylobacterium sp. strain AM1 [4] were assayed with an excess of horse heart ferricytochrome c as final electron acceptor. The turnover rates observed were low (e.g. for the components from M. methylotrophus a value of 0.025 s^{-1}

at pH 7.0 was given) and the authors ascribed this to the slow reaction between reduced MDH and ferricytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ [4]. It was further concluded that the mechanism operating in this electron-transfer process is similar to that in the autoreduction of ferricytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ observed at high pH [5].

In previous work [6], with the purified components from *Hyphomicrobium* X, it was found that the reaction between reduced MDH and ferricytochrome c_L at pH 7.0 is instantaneous, that this reaction is much slower at pH 9.0 and that the (slight) autoreduction of ferricytochrome c_L is caused by a mechanism different from that operating in the reaction with reduced MDH. However, this finding makes the unsuitability of ferricytochrome c_L to function as an electron acceptor for MDH in an assay still more intriguing. Recent studies on MDH with Wurster's Blue, as artificial electron acceptor, have extended and confirmed the proposed catalytic cycle [7]. The rate-limiting step in the catalytic cycle in the absence of activator appeared to be the decomposition reaction

Abbreviations used: PQQ, PQQH[•] and PQQH₂, the quinone, the semiquinone and the quinol forms of 2,7,9-tricarboxy-l*H*-pyrrolo-[2,3-*f*]quinoline-4,5-dione respectively; Wurster's Blue, the free radical of NNN'N'-tetramethyl-*p*-phenylenediamine; MDH, methanol dehydrogenase; MDH_{red}, reduced MDH; MDH_{sem}, MDH in the semiquinone state; MDH_{ox}, fully oxidized form of MDH; MDH_{ox}. S, the complex of MDH_{ox}, with substrate; Ches, 2-(*N*-cyclohexylamino)ethanesulphonic acid.

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed.

of the oxidized enzyme-substrate complex (MDH_{ox} , $\cdot S$) into the reduced form of MDH (MDH_{red}) and product [8]. Since this might also apply to the MDH/cytochrome c_L system, it seemed worthwhile to study the 'instantaneous' reaction between MDH_{red} and the semiquinone form of MDH (MDH_{sem}) and ferricytochrome c_L with stopped-flow kinetic methods. To identify the ratelimiting step in the catalytic cycle and the 'physiological' qualities of the system, steady-state kinetic experiments were performed with MDH and an excess of ferricytochrome c_L as electron acceptor. In addition, the effects of activator, C^2H_3OH and inhibitors on the system were studied with both methods.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Wurster's Blue was prepared from NNN'N'-tetramethyl-*p*-phenylenediamine as described previously [9]. Deazalumiflavin was kindly given by Dr. J. M. Lhoste. Sephadex gel-filtration PD-10 columns were from Pharmacia. Horse heart cytochrome *c* (type III) and zwitterionic buffers were from Serva (Heidelberg, Germany). All other chemicals were obtained from Janssen Chimica (Beerse, Belgium).

Organism and growth conditions

Hyphomicrobium X was grown in batch culture at 30 °C on a mineral salt medium [9] supplemented with 0.4% (v/v) methanol. Cells were harvested at the end of the exponential growth phase by centrifugation, washed twice with 50 mm-potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0, and stored at -20 °C.

MDH and cytochrome $c_{\rm L}$

MDH and cytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ were purified as described previously [6]. MDH was isolated as MDH_{sem.}, previously designated as 'MDH_{ox1}', the semiquinone form of the enzyme [10]. MDH_{red}, the fully reduced enzyme [10], was prepared by photoreduction according to the procedure of Massey & Hemmerich for flavoproteins [11]. To 3 ml of MDH_{sem.} in 50 mM-Mops/NaOH buffer, pH 7.0, were added 50 μ l of 0.2 M-EDTA and 5 μ l of 1 mM-deazalumiflavin in dimethyl sulphoxide. The mixture was made anaerobic by flushing with argon (< 3 p.p.m. of O₂) for 15 min and irradiated for 2–3 min with a 100 W halogen lamp at a distance of 20 cm. Low- M_r contaminants were removed by overnight dialysis at 4 °C.

Determination of MDH, cytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ and horse heart cytochrome c

The amounts of $\text{MDH}_{\text{sem.}}$ and $\text{MDH}_{\text{red.}}$ were calculated by using a specific absorption coefficient of 2.02 litre $\cdot g^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$ at 280 nm, determined according to the chromatographic procedure of Van Iersel *et al.* [12]. Specific absorption coefficients for cytochrome c_{L} (2.02 litre $\cdot g^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$) and horse heart cytochrome c(1.95 litre $\cdot g^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$) were from the literature [6,13]. Specific absorption coefficients at other wavelengths were determined from the ratio of the absorbance at that wavelength to that at 280 nm. Molar absorption coefficients were calculated from the specific absorption coefficients, by using M_r values of 120000 for MDH, 19600 for cytochrome c_{L} and 12800 for horse heart cytochrome c.

Calculation of absorption spectra

Absorption spectra were measured with a Hewlett– Packard HP 8450A photodiode-array spectrophotometer at 20 °C. The spectra of MDH in a reaction mixture with cytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ were corrected for the presence of oxidized and reduced cytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ by calculating their amounts with multicomponent analysis in the wavelength region 492–566 nm, by using the genuine spectra of cytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ [6] and assuming a negligible contribution of MDH to the absorption in that region. Multicomponent analysis was carried out with the software supplied with the spectrophotometer.

Steady-state kinetics

Specific activities of MDH with Wurster's Blue as electron acceptor were determined as described previously, except that ethanol was replaced by methanol [14]. MDH activity with ferricytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ as electron acceptor was measured in 1.0 ml assay mixtures containing CH₃OH or C²H₃OH (1.0 μ mol) and ferricytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ (5.3 nmol) in the appropriate buffer. Reactions were started by adding 0.28 nmol of MDH in the same buffer. Initial reaction rates were measured by monitoring the increase in absorbance at 550 nm. Absorption coefficients at 550 nm of 21.6 mm⁻¹·cm⁻¹ for ferrocytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ and 6.7 mm⁻¹ cm⁻¹ for ferricytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ were used in the calculations. Apparent kinetic parameters for the reduction of ferricytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ by MDH in the presence of various concentrations of NH₄Cl at pH 7.0 were determined by the direct-linear-plot method [15]. Before use, cytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ was oxidized with a small excess of $K_{3}Fe(CN)_{6}$ or reduced with $Na_{2}S_{2}O_{4}$. After that, contaminants were removed by passing the mixture through a PD-10 gel-filtration column, equilibrated with the appropriate buffer.

Stopped-flow kinetic measurements

Stopped-flow experiments were performed at 20.1 °C with an HI-Tech SF 50 stopped-flow spectrophotometer equipped with a beam-splitter and two monochromator photomultiplier assemblies. Data acquisition was performed with a 100 kHz DASH 16F A/D converter controlled by an Olivetti M24 SP computer. Reduction of ferricytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ by MDH_{red.} and MDH_{sem.} was monitored at 418 and 550 nm (at the latter wavelength the absorption of MDH is negligible). Oxidation of MDH_{sem.} and MDH_{red.} and MDH_{red.} and MDH_{sem.} and MDH_{sem.} concentrations of cytochrome $c_{\rm L}$. For the determination of the kinetic constants, MDH_{red.} and MDH_{sem.} concentrations (indicated in the Figures as catalytic sites concentrations since MDH is a bifunctional dimer) up to 40-fold compared to ferricytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ were used.

Pseudo-first-order rate constants were calculated by non-linear regression with the use of a Gauss-Newton algorithm, available with ASYST (Keithley). The data used were the average of at least four experiments (the reproducibility achieved was normally within 6%). Those parts of the curves were used encompassing over at least 4 half-lives. More complex reaction curves were analysed by numerical integration with PSI, an interactive simulation program [16].

Experiments at pH 7.0 and pH 9.0 were carried out by mixing MDH in 10 mm-Mops/NaOH buffer, pH 7.0, and 10 mm-Ches/NaOH buffer, pH 9.0, respectively

(with or without 0.2 M-NaCl), with an equal volume of ferricytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ in the same buffer. To exclude interference of the substrate-oxidation step in the catalytic cycle (due to contamination with small amounts of alcohols, formaldehyde or endogenous substrate [4,17]) measurements were performed in the presence of 1 mM-C²H₃OH, ensuring a rate limitation of this step ([18]; the present work). The effects of several compounds were examined: EDTA (0.1 mM and 1.0 mM); potassium phosphate (0.2 M); NaCl (1.0 mM), MgCl₂ (0.2 mM and 1.0 mM); NH₄Cl (1.0 mM). Concentrations mentioned in stopped-flow experiments were the final concentrations after mixing.

Electron transfer from cytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ to horse heart cytochrome c

Differences in absorption, measured at 548 and 532 nm, were used to determine the rate of electron transfer between ferrocytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ (2.75 μ M) and horse heart ferricytochrome c in 50 mm-Mops/NaOH buffer, pH 7.0. These wavelengths appeared to be most suitable, as determined from difference spectra [(ferricytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ + ferrocytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ + ferrocytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ + ferricytochrome c)], as described for other cytochromes cby König et al. [19]. The following concentrations of horse heart cytochrome c were used: $20.6 \,\mu\text{M}$, $30.9 \,\mu\text{M}$, 41.8 μ M, 51.4 μ M and 61.8 μ M. The molar absorption coefficients at 532 nm and 548 nm, calculated as described above, were for oxidized and reduced cytochrome c_1 9.6 and 7.8 mm⁻¹ cm⁻¹ at 532 nm and 7.0 and $19.2 \text{ mm}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$ at 548 nm respectively. For oxidized and reduced horse heart cytochrome c the values were 9.9 and $6.2 \text{ mm}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$ at 532 nm and 7.4 and 22.8 mm⁻¹ \cdot cm⁻¹ at 548 nm respectively.

RESULTS

Reduction of horse heart ferricytochrome c by ferrocytochrome c_1

In studies on cytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ as electron acceptor for MDH, assays have been reported that use catalytic amounts of cytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ and large amounts of horse heart ferricytochrome c as final electron acceptor [3,4]. However, since the rate constant for this reaction was not given, uncertainty existed about the reliability of the system. Investigations on the electron-transfer rates between ferrocytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ from Hyphomicrobium X and horse heart ferricytochrome c showed that the rates are very low: at all concentrations of ferricytochrome cinvestigated, a pseudo-first-order rate of 0.005 s⁻¹ was observed, indicating rapid complex-formation and ratelimiting electron transfer between both cytochromes. Thus, to avoid complications due to the introduction of an external rate-limiting step, horse heart cytochrome cwas omitted and an excess of ferricytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ was used in all assays of MDH.

Steady-state kinetics

Experiments at different pH values showed that the pH optimum is 7.0, the rate at pH 9.0 being 4 times lower than that at pH 7.0 (Table 1). Inclusion of NH₄Cl in the assay mixtures increased the rates by 2–3-fold at all pH values. At pH 7.0 the stimulation by NH₄Cl (K_m 0.9 mM) was maximal at about 20 mM (with a rate of 0.5 nmol of cytochrome c_L reduced/s per nmol of MDH), higher concentrations causing a decline in activity. Other salts

Table 1. Cytochrome c_L -linked MDH activity at various pH values

MDH activity in an assay with ferricytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ as electron acceptor was measured as described in the Materials and methods section. The following concentrations were used: MDH, 0.28 μ M (specific activity 10.9 μ mol of Wurster's Blue/min per mg of protein); ferricytochrome $c_{\rm L}$, 5.3 μ M; methanol, 1.0 mM; NH₄Cl, 20 mM.

		Rate (nmol of cytochrome c_{L} reduced/s per nmol of MDH)	
Buffer	pН	-NH ₄ Cl	+ NH ₄ Cl
50 mм-Mops	6.0	0.045	0.226
50 mм-Mops	7.0	0.079	0.237
50 mм-Hepes	8.0	0.057	0.151
50 mм-Tricine	8.0	0.049	0.112
50 mм-Ches	9.0	0.015	0.046
100 mм-Sodium borate	9.0	0.010	0.022

Table 2. Isotope effects on the steady-state kinetics at pH 7.0 and pH 9.0

MDH activity in assays with ferricytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ as electron acceptor was measured as described in the Materials and methods section. The following components and concentrations were used: MDH, 0.28 μ M (specific activity 13.8 μ mol of Wurster's Blue/min per mg of protein); ferricytochrome $c_{\rm L}$, 5.3 μ M; CH₃OH or C²H₃OH, 1.0 mM; NH₄Cl, 0.8 mM. Buffers used were 50 mM-Mops buffer, pH 7.0, and 50 mM-Ches buffer, pH 9.0. Values in parentheses are those obtained at pH 9.0.

	$10^2 \times \text{Rate}$ (nmol of cytochrome c_{L} reduced/s per nmol of MDH)		
Substrate	– NH ₄ Cl	+ NH ₄ Cl	
CH,OH	10.0 (1.9)	14.2 (3.0)	
C²Hँ₃OH	1.5 (2.0)	3.6 (2.8)	

tested appeared to be inhibitory, some of them even at low concentrations: NaCl (20 mM, 60 % inhibition); MnCl₂ (0.05 mM, 22 % inhibition); MgCl₂ (0.5 mM, 22 % inhibition).

With C^2H_3OH as substrate, appreciably lower reaction rates were observed compared with those with CH_3OH (Table 2). In the absence of NH_4Cl a 6.8-fold decrease and in its presence (0.8 mM) a 3.9-fold decrease were observed. Such an isotope effect was absent in the assays at pH 9.0.

By recording spectra during the reaction, and correcting them for the presence of oxidized and reduced cytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ (Fig. 1), intermediates of MDH were detected in the catalytic cycle, since the spectra were different from those of MDH_{red} and MDH_{sem}. [8,10] and could not be fitted by mixtures of the two. The spectra depicted in Fig. 1 strongly resemble that of an intermediate found in studies on the reaction of MDH with



Fig. 1. Spectra of a mixture of MDH species observed during the reaction of $\text{MDH}_{\text{red.}}$ with ferricytochrome c_{L} and CH_{3}OH at pH 7.0

The mixture consisted of Mops buffer, pH 7.0 (50 mM), MDH_{red.} (2.3 μ M), ferricytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ (5.1 μ M) and CH₃OH (1 mM). The reaction was started by adding MDH_{red.}. The sum of the spectra of MDH species was calculated from the recorded spectra by correcting them for the presence of oxidized and reduced cytochrome $c_{\rm L}$. Spectrum *1* represents the spectrum of MDH_{red.} in the assay mixture in the absence of cytochrome $c_{\rm L}$. The time elapsed after mixing was 36 s (spectrum 2), 72 s (spectrum 3) and 96 s (spectrum 4).

Wurster's Blue as electron acceptor [8] and which was identical with a complex of MDH_{ox} and substrate (MDH_{ox} . S). With C^2H_3OH as substrate the spectra were identical but the lifetime of the intermediate was appreciably longer, as is also obvious from the absorbance traces at 408 nm (Fig. 2), a wavelength that is an isosbestic point for the cytochrome c_L redox conversion.

Stopped-flow kinetics

On analysing the reaction curves measured at 337 nm (specific for $\text{MDH}_{\text{red.}}$ and $\text{MDH}_{\text{sem.}}$ oxidation) and 550 nm (specific for ferricytochrome c_{L} reduction) in 10 mm-Mops buffer, pH 7.0, single exponentials with the same pseudo-first-order rate constants were found. Therefore, for practical reasons, reactions of MDH with ferricytochrome c_{L} could be and were performed at 418 nm, the wavelength with the largest absorbance amplitude.

Åt pH 7.0 under the conditions used (excess MDH_{red.} or MDH_{sem.}, 10 mM-Mops buffer), the reduction of ferricytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ was monophasic and obeyed pseudofirst-order kinetics. Within the concentration range of MDH_{red.} or MDH_{sem.} applied, no limiting value of $k_{\rm obs.}$ was reached (Fig. 3). From the slope of the plot of the pseudo-first-order rate constants ($k_{\rm obs.}$) versus the concentration of the MDH catalytic sites (Fig. 3) the bimolecular rate constants were determined: $(1.9\pm0.4) \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ for MDH_{red.} (k_{+1}) and $(2.1\pm0.4) \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ for MDH_{sem.} (k_{+2}). In the presence of 0.2 M-NaCl the rate constant decreased to $6000 \pm 1200 \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ for MDH_{red.} and to $3200 \pm 670 \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ for MDH_{sem.}. Not only NaCl



Fig. 2. Reaction progress curves for the reaction of $MDH_{red.}$ with ferricytochrome c_1 and CH_3OH or C^2H_3OH

Reaction progress curves at 408 nm were recorded after the addition of MDH_{red.} ($0.28 \,\mu$ M) to the reaction mixture described in the legend to Fig. 1, for CH₃OH (\bigcirc) and for C²H₃OH (\triangle). The absorbance traces were constructed from a series of spectra taken at 10 s intervals with a measuring time of 2 s.



Fig. 3. Kinetics of the oxidation of $MDH_{red.}$ and $MDH_{sem.}$ by ferricytochrome c_{L} at pH 7.0

The pseudo-first-order rate constants $(k_{obs.})$ were determined with the stopped-flow kinetic method and the values were plotted as a function of the concentration of the catalytic sites of MDH_{red.} (\bullet) and MDH_{sem.} (\blacktriangle). The reactions were performed in 10 mM-Mops buffer, pH 7.0, containing 1 mM-C²H₃OH, as described in the Materials and methods section. The ferricytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ concentration was 2.0 μ M.

but also high concentrations of potassium phosphate (0.2 M) were inhibitory (approx. 99 % inhibition).

At pH 9.0 (10 mM-Ches buffer) a quite different picture emerged. The reaction-rate progress curves appeared to be biphasic but they could be fitted with two exponentials.



Fig. 4. Kinetics of the oxidation of $MDH_{red.}$ and $MDH_{sem.}$ by ferricytochrome c_L at pH 9.0 in the presence of NaCl

The pseudo-first-order rate constants $(k_{obs.})$ were determined with the stopped-flow kinetic method and the values were plotted as a function of the concentration of the catalytic sites of MDH_{red.} (\bullet) and MDH_{sem.} (\blacktriangle). The reactions were performed in 10 mm-Ches buffer, pH 9.0, containing 0.2 m-NaCl and 1 mm-C²H₃OH, as described in the Materials and methods section. The ferricytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ concentration was 2.0 μ M. The curves depicted were simulated by using the values for $K_{\rm a}$, $k_{+1\rm b}$ and $k_{+2\rm b}$ from Table 3.

Similar biphasic kinetics at low ionic strength has also been observed for the reduction of c-type cytochromes with flavodoxin [20,21] and for the ascorbate- and dithionite-mediated reduction of horse heart cytochrome c [22,23] at pH 7.0. It was described to be due to the existence of two conformers of cytochrome c. The rate constants for the fast phase increased proportionally with the concentrations of MDH until a maximum value was reached. In the presence of 0.2 M-NaCl the reactionrate progress curves become monophasic. This may be due to a higher interconversion rate between the two conformers, to a shift in their equilibrium or to a comparable reduction rate of both conformers with

Table 3. Rates and association constants for the oxidation of $\text{MDH}_{\text{red.}}$ and $\text{MDH}_{\text{sem.}}$ with ferricytochrome c_{L} at pH 9.0

The $k_{obs.}$ values for the oxidation of MDH_{red.} and MDH_{sem.} with ferricytochrome c_{L} at pH 9.0 were obtained as described in the legend to Fig. 4. By using the equations given in the text and applying non-linear regression (Marquardt's algorithm), association constants (K_{a} values) and electron-transfer rate constants (k_{+1b} and k_{+2b}) could be calculated. Values in parentheses are those obtained in the presence of NaCl (0.2 M).

MDH form	k_{+1b} or k_{+2b} (s ⁻¹)	$10^{-3} \times K_{\rm a} \ ({\rm M}^{-1})$
MDH _{red.}	0.33 ± 0.07 (1.8 ± 0.15)	8.2 ± 2.1 (3.0 ± 0.3)
MDH _{sem.}	0.23 ± 0.04 (0.38 ± 0.07)	8.0 ± 2.4 (6.9 ± 2.1)

The reaction between ferricytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ and MDH_{red.} or MDH_{sem.} is visualized in the following reaction sequences {as the redox potential of cytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ (+270 mV, [6]) is probably much higher than that of MDH_{red.} and MDH_{sem.} (the redox potential of the PQQ/ PQQH₂ couple being +90 mV), $k_{-\rm 1b}$ and $k_{-\rm 2b}$ will be very small so that they can be neglected}:

cyt.
$$c_{\rm L}^{\rm ox.} + {\rm MDH}_{\rm red.} \xrightarrow{\frac{k_{+1a}}{k_{-1a}}} {\rm cyt.} \ c_{\rm L}^{\rm ox.} \cdot {\rm MDH}_{\rm red.}$$

$$\xrightarrow{k_{+1b}} {\rm cyt.} \ c_{\rm L}^{\rm red.} \cdot {\rm MDH}_{\rm sem}$$
and

cyt.
$$c_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{ox.}} + \mathrm{MDH}_{\mathrm{sem.}} \xrightarrow{\frac{k_{+2\mathbf{a}}}{k_{-2\mathbf{a}}}} \mathrm{cyt.} \ c_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{ox.}} \cdot \mathrm{MDH}_{\mathrm{sem.}}$$

 $\xrightarrow{\frac{k_{+2\mathbf{b}}}{\longrightarrow}} \mathrm{cyt.} \ c_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{red.}} \cdot \mathrm{MDH}_{\mathrm{ot}}$

Applying the steady-state approximation in accordance with Hiromi [24] and assuming that ferricytochrome $c_{\rm L}$, reduced MDH and the complex are in rapid binding equilibrium (i.e. k_{-1a} , $k_{-2a} \ge k_{+1b}$, k_{+2b}), the following hyperbolic functions can be derived:

$$k_{\text{obs.}} = \frac{k_{+1a} \cdot k_{+1b} \cdot [\text{MDH}_{\text{red.}}]}{k_{+1a} \cdot [\text{MDH}_{\text{red.}}] + k_{-1a}}$$
$$k_{\text{obs.}} = \frac{k_{+2a} \cdot k_{+2b} \cdot [\text{MDH}_{\text{sem.}}]}{k_{+2a} \cdot [\text{MDH}_{\text{sem.}}] + k_{-2a}}$$

With non-linear-regression analysis of the measured points (Marquardt's algorithm), the association constants K_a $(k_{+1a}/k_{-1a}$ and $k_{+2a}/k_{-2a})$ and the electrontransfer first-order rate constants $(\bar{k}_{+1b} \text{ and } k_{\pm 2b})$ could be determined (Table 3). As is apparent from Table 3, the association constants of MDH_{red.} and MDH_{sem.} are more-or-less similar. Whereas high concentrations of NaCl were inhibitory at pH 7.0, an increase of the electron-transfer rates $(k_{+1b} \text{ and } k_{+2b})$ was observed at pH 9.0 (Table 3). Addition of low concentrations of NH₄Cl (1.0 mm) as well as NaCl led to an increase of the pseudo-first-order rate constants by 1.4-fold at pH 7.0 and by 1.8-fold at pH 9.0 (MDH_{red.} and MDH_{set} concentrations used were 37.5 μ M). Addition of C²H₃OH to the reaction mixtures had no effect, either at pH 7.0 or at pH 9.0. EDTA (0.1 mm) inhibited the oxidation of $MDH_{red.}$ and $MDH_{sem.}$ almost completely (95–99%) at pH 7.0. Subsequent addition of up to 1.0 mm-MgCl₂ had only a slight effect, decreasing the inhibition by EDTA to 84%. MgCl₂ (0.2 mm) as such enhanced the pseudo-firstorder rate constants by 1.4-fold as was also observed for NH₄Cl and NaCl. Adding MgCl₂ before the addition of EDTA did not change the extent of the inhibition.

DISCUSSION

and

The present work shows that ferricytochrome c_L is an electron acceptor in the assay for MDH, albeit poor. The spectra of the MDH intermediates occurring during the oxidation of MDH with ferricytochrome c_L are the same as observed with the artificial electron acceptor Wurster's Blue, so that a similar scheme is proposed (Scheme 1) as



Scheme 1. Reaction cycle of MDH with ferricytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ as electron acceptor

The scheme refers to a single catalytic site of dimeric MDH. S represents substrate and P represents product.

has been deduced for the latter [7,8]. However, significant differences exist with respect to the rate-limiting step in the cycles, as is discussed in the following paragraphs.

The catalytic cycle of MDH at pH 7.0 and pH 9.0 with different electron acceptors

Two conditions are required for efficient turnover: (i) rapid oxidation of $\text{MDH}_{\text{red.}}$ and $\text{MDH}_{\text{sem.}}$ by ferricytochrome c_{L} or Wurster's Blue and (ii) rapid intramolecular oxidation of substrate in the enzyme-substrate complex ($\text{MDH}_{\text{ox}} \cdot \text{S}$). Depending on the oxidant, pH, ionic strength and activator, the oxidation of $\text{MDH}_{\text{red.}}$ and $\text{MDH}_{\text{sem.}}$ or the substrate oxidation becomes rate-limiting.

(i) From the stopped-flow experiments it appeared that ferricytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ is an excellent oxidant of ${\rm MDH}_{\rm red}$ and ${\rm MDH}_{\rm sem}$ at pH 7.0 (Fig. 3), but a rather poor oxidant at pH 9.0 (Fig. 4 and Table 3). The slower oxidation of ${\rm MDH}_{\rm red}$ and ${\rm MDH}_{\rm sem}$ at the latter pH could result from the stronger negative charge of cytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ (pI 4.3; [6]) and MDH (pI approx. 6.5; M. Dijkstra, J. Frank, Jzn. & J. A. Duine, unpublished work) at this pH, which could prevent an adequate interaction between the two proteins. At pH 9.0 $k_{\rm obs.}$ reaches a limiting value, which can be explained by assuming that in the MDH cytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ complex geometrical reorientation or electron transfer becomes rate-limiting.

High salt concentrations were inhibitory at pH 7.0, but slightly stimulatory at pH 9.0 (Table 3). Since low concentrations of NH₄Cl as well as NaCl were stimulatory at both pH values, a specific role of NH₄Cl as activator for these steps can be excluded. At pH 7.0 low concentrations of EDTA inhibited the oxidation of $MDH_{red.}$ and $MDH_{sem.}$ nearly completely. This inhibition was not reversed by the subsequent addition of Mg^{2+} ions, indicating that one of the components has a site with a high affinity for EDTA. This might be related to the dramatic effect of EDTA and high salt concentrations on the turnover of methanol *in vitro* [4] as well as *in vivo* [25,26], although it should be admitted that the inhibition in the latter case could be largely suppressed by the addition of Mg^{2+} ions [26].

The reverse holds for Wurster's Blue as an oxidant. This compound is an excellent oxidant of reduced MDH at pH 9.0, but a very poor one at pH 7.0 (a 100-fold lower rate compared with ferricytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ [8]).

(ii) Measurements under steady-state conditions showed that the turnover at pH 9.0 was slower than that at pH 7.0 (Table 1), which can be ascribed to the fact that oxidation of $MDH_{red.}$ and $MDH_{sem.}$ becomes ratelimiting. The absence of a deuterium isotope effect at pH 9.0 (Table 2), indicating that substrate conversion $(k_{+4};$ Scheme 1) is not rate-limiting, supports this view.

Although NH₄Cl enhanced the overall rate of reduction of ferricytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ at pH 7.0 and pH 9.0 (Table 1), only at pH 7.0 did it act as a real activator. This increase in the overall rate was related to the substrate oxidation, as was shown by a decrease of the deuterium isotope effect from 6.8 to 3.9 (Table 2). All these observations are in agreement with the view that substrate conversion (k_{+4} ; Scheme 1) is the rate-limiting step at pH 7.0 in a system with ferricytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ as electron acceptor.

Here too the reverse holds with Wurster's Blue as electron acceptor. Since substrate oxidation is the rate-

1989

limiting step at pH 9.0 and NH₄Cl is an activator at this pH, a substantial increase in the rate is observed on addition of NH₄Cl, whereas only a slight non-specific salt effect is observed at pH 7.0 (the oxidation of reduced MDH probably becomes rate-limiting [8]).

Comparison with the components from other organisms

Quite different results were reported for assays with the purified components from Methylobacterium sp. strain AM1 and Methylophilus methylotrophus [4]. It should be noted, however, that Beardmore-Gray et al. [4] used equimolar concentrations of reduced MDH and cytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ and that this reaction was monitored with an excess of horse heart ferricytochrome c. However, the reaction between horse heart ferricytochrome c and ferrocytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ was not investigated. Our experiments indicate a rather slow electron transfer between horse heart ferricytochrome c and ferrocytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ from *Hyphomicrobium* X. The pseudo-first-order rate constant ($k_{obs} = 0.005 \text{ s}^{-1}$), was independent of the concentration of horse heart cytochrome c used in this study, indicating rapid complex-formation and rate-limiting electron transfer between both cyctochromes. Although it cannot be excluded that the rate constant for the reaction between *Methylobacterium* sp. strain AM1 ferrocytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ and horse heart ferricytochrome c is higher than that of the Hyphomicrobium X system, it is not unlikely that it is also the rate-limiting step in that system, so that the real reduction rate of ferricytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ was not observed.

The rate-limiting electron transfer between horse heart ferricytochrome c and ferrocytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ could also explain the observation made by Beardmore-Gray *et al.* [4] that the $K_{\rm m}$ and $V_{\rm max}$ values were similar for methanol and the endogenous substrate in the assays with components from *Methylophilus methylotrophus*, rendering incorrect their conclusion that electron transfer from reduced MDH to ferricytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ is the rate-limiting step.

Ferricytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ as electron acceptor in vivo

In Hyphomicrobium X both MDH and cytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ constitute about 10% of the soluble protein [6,9]. Assuming that both proteins are located in the periplasmic space [27-29], which is about 20% of the total cell volume [4], then the concentrations of MDH (catalytic sites) and cytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ are 0.5 mM and 1.6 mM respectively. From the bimolecular rate constant of 1.9×10^5 M⁻¹ s⁻¹ derived in the present study, the cytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ reduction rate will be 9.6 μ mol of cytochrome c_1 reduced/min per mg of cells or 4.8 μ mol of O₂ reduced/ min per mg of cells. Since an average consumption of 0.4–0.6 μ mol of O₂/min per mg of cells has been found for methanol oxidation by whole bacteria [30], it is clear that the bimolecular rate constants of the oxidation of MDH $(k_{\pm 1} \text{ and } k_{\pm 2}; \text{ Scheme 1})$ with ferricytochrome c_{L} observed in vitro can explain the physiological turnover rates. As discussed above, at pH 7.0 the overall rate of the assay in vitro is very low, since the rate-limiting step in the cycle is the slow decomposition of the $MDH_{ox} \cdot S$ complex. Recently we reported the existence of a low- M_r component capable of enhancing the rate-limiting step with ferricytochrome $c_{\rm L}$ as electron acceptor at pH 7.0 [31,32]. This factor might be the 'natural' activator for MDH, activating the substrate oxidation step

in vitro at pH 7.0 (k_{+4} ; Scheme 1) to a rate comparable with that observed in vivo.

We are grateful to Arie Braat, who interfaced the stoppedflow apparatus to the Olivetti M24 SP computer and who developed the software used.

REFERENCES

- 1. Anthony, C. (1986) Adv. Microb. Physiol. 27, 113-203
- Duine, J. A., Frank, J., Jzn. & De Ruiter, L. G. (1979)
 J. Gen. Microbiol. 115, 523–526
- 3. Ohta, S. & Tobari, J. (1981) J. Biochem. (Tokyo) 90, 215–224
- Beardmore-Gray, M., O'Keeffe, D. T. & Anthony, C. (1983) J. Gen. Microbiol. 129, 923–933
- 5. O'Keeffe, D. T. & Anthony, C. (1980) Biochem. J. 190, 481-484
- Dijkstra, M., Frank, J., Jzn., Van Wielink, J. E. & Duine, J. A. (1988) Biochem. J. 251, 467–474
- Duine, J. A., Frank, J., Jzn., Jongejan, J. A. & Dijkstra, M. (1984) in Proc. Int. Symp. Microbial Growth on C1 Compounds 4th (Crawford, R. L. & Hanson, R. S., eds.), pp. 91–96, American Society for Microbiology, Washington
- Frank, J., Jzn., Dijkstra, M., Duine, J. A. & Balny, C. (1988) Eur. J. Biochem. 174, 331–338
- Duine, J. A., Frank, J., Jzn. & Westerling, J. (1978) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 524, 277–287
- Duine, J. A. & Frank, J., Jzn. (1981) in Proc. Int. Symp. Microbial Growth on C1 Compounds 3rd (Dalton, H., ed.), pp. 31–41, Heyden, London
- 11. Massey, V. & Hemmerich, P. (1978) Biochemistry 17, 9-16
- Van Iersel, J., Frank, J., Jzn. & Duine, J. A. (1985) Anal. Biochem. 151, 196–204
- Fasman, G. D. (ed.) (1976) Handbook of Biochemistry, 3rd edn.: Proteins, vol. 2, pp. 383-545, CRC Press, Cleveland
- Dijkstra, M., Van der Tweel, W. J. J., De Bont, J. A. M., Frank, J., Jzn. & Duine, J. A. (1985) J. Gen. Microbiol. 131, 3163-3169
- Eisenthal, R. & Cornish-Bowden, A. (1974) Biochem. J. 139, 715–720
- Van den Bosch, P. P. J. (1982) IEEE Control System Magazine 2, 42–48
- 17. Gosh, R. & Quayle, J. R. (1981) Biochem. J. 199, 245-250
- Duine, J. A. & Frank, J., Jzn. (1980) Biochem. J. 187, 213–219
- König, B. W., Wilms, J. & Van Gelder, B. F. (1981) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 636, 9-16
- Simondsen, R. P., Weber, P. C., Salemme, F. R. & Tollin, G. (1986) Biochemistry 21, 6366–6375
- Cheddar, G., Meyer, T. E., Cusanovich, M. A., Stout, C. D. & Tollin, G. (1986) Biochemistry 25, 6502–6507
- 22. Goldkorn, T. & Schejter, A. (1977) FEBS Lett. 75, 44-46
- Goldkorn, T. & Schejter, A. (1977) FEBS Lett. 82, 293– 296
- 24. Hiromi, K. (1979) Kinetics of Fast Enzyme Reactions, 1st edn., pp. 188-252, Halsted Press, New York
- 25. Anthony, C. (1975) Biochem. J. 146, 289-298
- Carver, M. A., Humphrey, K. M., Patchett, R. A. & Jones, C. W. (1984) Eur. J. Biochem. 138, 611–615
- Alefounder, P. R. & Ferguson, S. J. (1981) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 98, 778–784

- Kasprzak, A. A. & Steenkamp, D. J. (1983) J. Bacteriol. 156, 348–353
- 29. Jones, C. W., Kingsbury, S. A. & Dawson, M. J. (1982) FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 13, 195-200
- 30. Patchett, R. A. & Jones, C. W. (1986) Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 52, 387-392

Received 2 February 1988/17 June 1988; accepted 1 July 1988

- Duine, J. A., Frank, J., Jzn. & Dijkstra, M. (1987) in Proc. Int. Symp. Microbial Growth on C1 Compounds 5th (Van Verseveld, H. W. & Duine, J. A., eds.), pp. 105–112, Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, Dordrecht
- 32. Dijkstra, M., Frank, J., Jzn. & Duine, J. A. (1988) FEBS Lett. 227, 198-202