

Study Characteristics	Prevalence % (95% CI)			
	SA	EA	PN	EN
<b>Country</b>				
<b>England vs Northern Ireland</b>				
England	<b>19.2* (6.6 – 31.7)</b>	32.5 (16.8 – 48.3)	7.7 (-1.0 – 16.5)	31.8 (17.7 – 45.8)
Northern Ireland	<b>3.3* (0.9 – 5.7)</b>	18.1 (14.5 – 21.7)	2.9 (1.7 – 4.1)	20.2 (17.4 – 23.1)
<b>England vs Scotland</b>				
England	19.2 (6.6 – 31.7)	32.5 (16.8 – 48.3)	7.7 (-1.0 – 16.5)	31.8 (17.7 – 45.8)
Scotland	11.3 (6.1 – 16.5)	33.1 (25.4 – 40.8)	17.6 (11.3 – 23.9)	36.6 (28.7 – 44.5)
<b>England vs Wales</b>				
England	19.2 (6.6 – 31.7)	N/A	N/A	
Wales	13.2 (8.6 – 17.7)	N/A	N/A	
<b>Northern Ireland vs Scotland</b>				
Northern Ireland	<b>3.3** (0.9 – 5.7)</b>	<b>18.1*** (14.5 – 21.7)</b>	<b>2.9*** (1.7 – 4.1)</b>	<b>20.2*** (17.4 – 23.1)</b>
Scotland	<b>11.3** (6.1 – 16.5)</b>	<b>33.1*** (25.4 – 40.8)</b>	<b>17.6*** (11.3 – 23.9)</b>	<b>36.6*** (28.7 – 44.5)</b>
<b>Northern Ireland vs Wales</b>				
Northern Ireland	<b>3.3*** (0.9 – 5.7)</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A
Wales	<b>13.2*** (8.6 – 17.7)</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Scotland vs Wales</b>				
Scotland	11.3 (6.1 – 16.5)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Wales	13.2 (8.6 – 17.7)	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Measurement tool</b>				
<b>ACE vs Christoffersen et al., 2013</b>				
ACE	12.4 (0.8 – 24.0)	28.9 (14.8 – 42.9)	8.2 (-0.04 – 16.5)	N/A
Christoffersen et al., 2013	11.3 (6.1 – 28.0)	33.1 (25.4 – 40.8)	17.6 (11.3 – 23.9)	N/A
<b>ACE vs CASE</b>				
ACE	12.4 (0.8 – 24.0)	N/A	N/A	N/A
CASE	22.2 (16.4 – 28.0)	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>ACE vs CTQ</b>				
ACE	12.4 (0.8 – 24.0)	28.9 (14.8 – 42.9)	N/A	N/A
CTQ	2.2 (1.1 – 3.3)	19.2 (16.2 – 22.3)	N/A	N/A
<b>ACE vs Oaksford &amp; Frude, 2001</b>				
ACE	12.4 (0.8 – 24.0)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Oaksford & Frude, 2001	13.2 (8.6 – 17.7)	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>ACE vs TLEQ</b>				
ACE		28.9 (14.8 – 42.9)	8.2 (-0.04 – 16.5)	N/A
TLEQ		20.6 (15.4 – 25.9)	0.9 (-0.3 – 2.1)	N/A
<b>Christoffersen et al., 2013 vs CASE</b>				
Christoffersen et al., 2013	<b>11.3 (6.1 – 28.0)**</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A
CASE	<b>22.2 (16.4 – 28.0)**</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Christoffersen et al., 2013 vs CTQ</b>				
Christoffersen et al., 2013	<b>11.3 (6.1 – 28.0)***</b>	<b>33.1** (25.4 – 40.8)</b>	N/A	N/A
CTQ	<b>2.2 (1.1 – 3.3)***</b>	<b>19.2** (16.2 – 22.3)</b>	N/A	N/A
<b>Christoffersen et al., 2013 vs Oaksford &amp; Frude, 2001</b>				
Christoffersen et al., 2013	11.3 (6.1 – 28.0)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Oaksford & Frude, 2001	13.2 (8.6 – 17.7)	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Christoffersen et al., 2013 vs TLEQ</b>				
Christoffersen et al., 2013		<b>33.1** (25.4 – 40.8)</b>	<b>17.6*** (11.3 – 23.9)</b>	N/A
TLEQ		<b>20.6** (15.4 – 25.9)</b>	<b>0.9*** (-0.3 – 2.1)</b>	N/A
<b>CASE vs CTQ</b>				
CASE	<b>22.2 (16.4 – 28.0)***</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A
CTQ	<b>2.2 (1.1 – 3.3)***</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>CASE vs Oaksford &amp; Frude, 2001</b>				
CASE	<b>22.2 (16.4 – 28.0)*</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A
Oaksford & Frude, 2001	<b>13.2 (8.6 – 17.7)*</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>CTQ vs Oaksford &amp; Frude, 2001</b>				
CTQ	<b>2.2 (1.1 – 3.3)***</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A
Oaksford & Frude, 2001	<b>13.2 (8.6 – 17.7)***</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>CTQ vs TLEQ</b>				
CTQ		19.2 (16.2 – 22.3)	N/A	N/A
TLEQ		20.6 (15.4 – 25.9)	N/A	N/A

Note. Results in bold = significant results; \* = significant at  $p < 0.05$  level; \*\* = significant at  $p < 0.01$  level; \*\*\* = significant at  $p < 0.001$  level; CI = confidence interval; SA = sexual abuse; EA = emotional abuse; PN = physical neglect; EN = emotional neglect; ACE scale = adverse childhood experiences scale; CASE = checklist to assess sexual exploitation; CTQ = childhood trauma questionnaire; TLEQ = traumatic life events questionnaire.