

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS PLAN

A Double-blind, Randomized, Placebo-controlled, Multicenter Study to Assess the Efficacy and Safety of Aficamten in Patients with Obstructive Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy

Protocol Number: CY 6031

Version: V 1.0

Date: 14/11/2023

Authors: Gregory D. Lewis, Matthew M.Y. Lee

Does this Supplemental Statistical Analysis Plan document any analysis with the objective of claiming pre-specification?

Yes

No

Version Number	Date (DDMMYYYY)	Summary of Changes, including rationale for changes
Original (v1.0)	14/11/2023	
Amendment 1 (v2.0)		
Amendment 2 (v3.0)		

Table of Contents

Table of Abbreviations	3
1. INTRODUCTION	4
2. OBJECTIVES	4
3. ANALYSIS SUBSET(S)	5
4. DEFINITIONS	5
5. COVARIATES AND SUBGROUPS	Error! Bookmark not defined.
5.1 Covariates	Error! Bookmark not defined.
5.2 Subgroups	Error! Bookmark not defined.
6. ENDPOINT CATEGORIES AND ANALYSES	11
6.1 Endpoints	Error! Bookmark not defined.
7. KEY REFERENCES	11

Table of Abbreviations

BL	baseline
BP	Blood pressure
CPET	cardiopulmonary exercise testing
CSR	Clinical Study Report
EQ-5D-5L	EuroQol 5-dimension 5-level instrument
HCM	hypertrophic cardiomyopathy
HR	heart rate
HRR	heart rate recovery
hs-cTnI	high sensitivity cardiac troponin I
KCCQ-CSS	Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire-Clinical Summary Score
LVEF	left ventricular ejection fraction
LVOT-G	left ventricular outflow tract gradient
METS	metabolic equivalent of task
NT-proBNP	N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide
NYHA	New York Heart Association
PETCO ₂	end-tidal partial pressure of carbon dioxide
RER	respiratory exchange ratio
SAP	Statistical Analysis Plan
SEQUOIA-HCM	Safety, Efficacy, and Quantitative Understanding of Obstruction Impact of Aficamten in Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy
SSAP	Supplemental Statistical Analysis Plan
VAT	ventilatory anaerobic threshold
VCO ₂	carbon dioxide output
VE	minute ventilation
VO ₂	oxygen uptake
VO ₂ RD	VO ₂ recovery delay
W24	week 24

INTRODUCTION

This Supplemental Statistical Analysis Plan (SSAP) outlines the statistical analyses to be performed beyond the analyses described within the SAP version 1.0 for data collected within the scope of Cytokinetics protocol CY 6031, titled “A Phase 3, Multi-Center, Randomized, Double-blind, Placebo-controlled Trial to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of CK-3773274 in Adults with Symptomatic Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy and Left Ventricular Outflow Tract Obstruction.” The objective of this SSAP is to prespecify non-Clinical Study Report (CSR) related analyses intended to provide supportive information around the effect of Aficamten on comprehensive measures of exercise capacity on cardiopulmonary exercise testing beyond peak oxygen uptake.

OBJECTIVES

To evaluate the effect of treatment with aficamten compared with placebo on comprehensive measures of exercise capacity on cardiopulmonary exercise testing beyond peak oxygen uptake during the course of study CY 6031.

ANALYSIS SUBSET(S)

By default, all secondary analyses will include all FAS patients (i.e. those included in the primary reporting of the SEQUOIA-HCM trial).

Please include any additional inclusion/exclusion criteria required for this specific analysis. Leave blank if no additional exclusions required.

Prespecified subgroups for the analysis are listed in [Section 4.2](#).

DEFINITIONS

All definitions of interest used in these analyses (definitions related to study endpoints, study time points, demographics and baseline related and other study related definitions) will match those described in the study CY 6031 SAP.

ENDPOINT CATEGORIES AND ANALYSES

The objective/s of these analyses are to:

- Compare treatment groups within the subgroup categories.
- Compare specific subgroups with one another regardless of the treatment arm assigned.
- Both
- Neither (objective: _____)

Endpoints

- Main Endpoint: Change in the composite of two Z-scores of CPET parameters from baseline to Week 24: - peak VO_2 (maximal exercise capacity) - VE/VCO_2 slope (sub-maximal capacity – independent of volitional effort)
- Other Endpoints:
 - Change in the following peak exercise parameters in aficamten vs placebo from BL to W24:
 - Peak VO_2
 - Percent predicted peak VO_2
 - Peak METS
 - Peak circulatory power
 - Peak exercise time
 - Peak RER
 - Peak PETCO_2
 - Change in heart rate (resting to peak)
 - Change in the following sub-maximal exercise parameters in aficamten vs placebo from BL to W24
 - $\text{VE}/\text{VCO}_2_{\text{pre-VATslope}}$
 - $\text{VE}/\text{VCO}_2_{\text{overall-slope}}$
 - Ventilatory power
 - Ventilatory anaerobic threshold
 - $\text{VO}_2/\text{workload slope}$
 - Oxygen uptake efficiency slope ($\text{VO}_2/\log\text{VE slope}$)
 - VO_2 recovery kinetics
 - O_2 pulse plateau (y/n)
 - O_2 pulse plateau gradient

- Relationships between main endpoint as well as both peak and non-peak exercise parameters and:
 - i. Change in health status (determined by KCCQ) from BL to W24
 - ii. Change in symptoms determined by NYHA from BL to W24
 - iii. Change in NT-proBNP from BL to W24
 - iv. Change in troponin level from BL to W24
 - v. Change in Rest and Valsalva LVOT-G from BL to W24
 - vi. Change in LAVI from BL to W24
- **RECOVERY MEASURES**
 - a. Heart rate recovery (HRR) at 1 minute and 2 minutes
 - b. VO₂ recovery kinetics (VO₂RD)
 - c. T_{1/2} VO₂ (time for VO₂ to decrease to 50% of peak VO₂ adjusted for resting VO₂)
- **Threshold analysis between placebo and aficamten (reference to KCCQ approach) – responder analysis - proportion achieving the following between BL to W24:**
 - a. Improvement of peak VO₂ by 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0 and 5.0 mL/kg/min
 - b. Deterioration of peak VO₂ by 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0 and 5.0 mL/kg/min
 - c. Improvement of percent predicted peak VO₂ by 5, 10, 15, 20 and 25%
 - d. Deterioration of percent predicted peak VO₂ by 5, 10, 15, 20 and 25%

COVARIATES AND SUBGROUPS

Baseline Covariates

By default, baseline variables shown in Table 1 will mirror those presented in the primary SEQUOIA-HCM manuscript:

Age, years

Sex, n (%)

Female

Male

Race, n (%)

Asian

White

Black or African American

etc. (race category can be sorted by descending order of % in overall

Region, n (%)

China

North America

Rest of World

Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy genetic testing performed, n (%)

Pathogenic or variant of uncertain significance

Medical history, n (%)

Family history of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy

Family history of HCM and/or known HCM-causing gene mutation

Time since HCM diagnosis, years

Myocardial infarction

Coronary artery disease

Paroxysmal atrial fibrillation

Permanent atrial fibrillation

Cardiac syncope

Sustained ventricular tachycardia

Ventricular fibrillation

Hypertension

Torsades de Pointes

Background Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy therapy, n (%)

Beta-blocker

Calcium channel blocker

Disopyramide

Implantable cardioverter-defibrillator, n (%)

Body-mass index, kg/m², mean (SD)

Resting heart rate, beats per min

Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg

Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg

NYHA functional class, n (%)

Class II

Class III

CPET parameters

CPET modality

Peak VO₂, mL/kg per min

Peak RER

% predicted peak VO₂

Chronotropic incompetence (defined as inability to achieve 80% of predicted peak heart rate during maximal exercise)

KCCQ-CSS

NT-proBNP, geometric mean, ng/L (CV%)

High-sensitivity cardiac troponin I, geometric mean, ng/L (CV%)

Echocardiographic parameters

LVEF, %

LVOT-G, rest, mm Hg

LVOT-G, Valsalva, mm Hg

Maximum left ventricular wall thickness, mm

Left atrial volume index, mL/m²

Left ventricular mass index, g/m²

Please include any additional variables required for this specific analysis. Leave blank if no additional baseline variables are required.

Subgroups

Prespecified subgroups for the analysis include, but are not limited to:

Subgroups already delineated in the primary SAP include:

- Sex
- Age group
- Baseline body mass index
- Baseline NYHA Class
- Baseline KCCQ-CSS
- Baseline LVEF
- NT-proBNP
- CPET modality
- Baseline peak VO₂
- Beta-blocker use
- Baseline resting LVOT-G (continuous)
- Baseline Valsalva LVOT-G (continuous)
- Sarcomeric gene mutation status (pathogenic or variant of uncertain significance, and non-disease causing or none)

Additional Subgroups to be included in this analysis:

- Septal wall thickness

- Left atrial volume index
- Mitral regurgitant jet:left atrial area ratio (mitral regurgitation severity)
- LV end-diastolic volume
- LV end-systolic volume
- Valsalva LVOT gradient
- Left ventricular ejection fraction
- Plasma hsTroponin I concentration
- Blood pressure
- Heart rate
- Non-dihydropyridine calcium-channel blocker use
- Disopyramide use
- Chronotropic incompetence y/n (*as defined by inability to achieve 80% of predicted peak heart rate during maximal exercise*)

ENDPOINT CATEGORIES AND ANALYSES

The objective/s of these analyses are to:

- Compare treatment groups within the subgroup categories.
- Compare specific subgroups with one another regardless of the treatment arm assigned.
- Both
- Neither (objective: _____)

KEY REFERENCES

1. Wheeler MT, Olivotto I, Elliott PM, Saberi S, Owens AT, Maurer MS, Masri A, Sehnert AJ, Edelberg JM, Chen YM, Florea V, Malhotra R, Wang A, Oręziak A, Myers J. Effects of

Mavacamten on Measures of Cardiopulmonary Exercise Testing beyond Peak Oxygen Consumption: A Secondary Analysis of the EXPLORER-HCM Randomized Trial. *JAMA Cardiol.* 2023;8:240–247.

2. Tian Z, Li L, Li X, Wang J, Zhang Q, Li Z, Peng D, Yang P, Ma W, Wang F, Jin W, Cheng X, Sun J, Fu Y, Lyu C, Zhang S. Effect of Mavacamten on Chinese Patients With Symptomatic Obstructive Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy. *JAMA Cardiol.* 2023;
3. Coats CJ, Pavlou M, Watkinson OT, Protonotarios A, Moss L, Hyland R, Rantell K, Pantazis AA, Tome M, McKenna WJ, Frenneaux MP, Omar R, Elliott PM. Effect of Trimetazidine Dihydrochloride Therapy on Exercise Capacity in Patients with Nonobstructive Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Cardiol.* 2019;4:230–235.
4. Saberi S, Wheeler M, Bragg-Gresham J, Hornsby W, Agarwal PP, Attili A, Concannon M, Dries A, Shmargad Y, Salisbury H, Kumar S, Herrera J, Myers J, Helms AS, Ashley EA, Day SM. Effect of moderate-intensity exercise training on peak oxygen consumption in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association.* 2017;317:1349–1357.
5. Lewis GD, Voors AA, Cohen-Solal A, Metra M, Whellan DJ, Ezekowitz JA, Böhm M, Teerlink JR, Docherty KF, Lopes RD, Divanji PH, Heitner SB, Kupfer S, Malik FI, Meng L, Wohltman A, Felker GM. Effect of Omecamtiv Mecarbil on Exercise Capacity in Chronic Heart Failure with Reduced Ejection Fraction: The METEORIC-HF Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA.* 2022;328:259–269.
6. Kitzman DW, Brubaker P, Morgan T, Haykowsky M, Hundley G, Kraus WE, Eggebeen J, Nicklas BJ. Effect of Caloric Restriction or Aerobic Exercise Training on Peak Oxygen Consumption and Quality of Life in Obese Older Patients With Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA.* 2016;315:36–46.
7. Dybro AM, Rasmussen TB, Nielsen RR, Andersen MJ, Jensen MK, Poulsen SH. Randomized Trial of Metoprolol in Patients With Obstructive Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol* [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2022 Aug 10];78:2505–2517. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34915981/>
8. Macnamara JP, Dias KA, Hearon CM, Ivey E, Delgado VA, Saland S, Samels M, Hieda M, Turer AT, Link MS, Sarma S, Levine BD. Randomized Controlled Trial of Moderate-and High-

Intensity Exercise Training in Patients With Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy: Effects on Fitness and Cardiovascular Response to Exercise. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2023;12.