Supplemental Online Content

O'Sullivan CC, Clarke R, Goetz MP, Robertson J. Cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 inhibitors for treatment of hormone receptor–positive, ERBB2-negative breast cancer: a review. *JAMA Oncol*. Published online June 29, 2023. doi:10.1001/jamaoncol.2023.2000

eTable 1: Post-Progression Treatments received by patients with HR+ HER2-MBC who participated in the seminal 1L and 2L CDK4/6i trials

eTable 2: Hazard Ratios for Overall Survival for Asian vs. Non-Asian Patients Across the CDK4/6i HR+ HER2- Metastatic Breast Cancer Trials in the 1st line metastatic setting

This supplemental material has been provided by the authors to give readers additional information about their work.

eTable 1: Post-Progression Treatments received by patients with HR+ HER2-MBC who participated in the seminal 1L and 2L CDK4/6i trials

Trial	CDK4/6i &	Study Phase	Patient	Post Progression
	ET	& Line of	Population	Therapy
		Treatment		
PALOMA 1	Palbociclib (P)	II; 1L	Postmenopausal	50% pts in P+L arm
			women	vs. 64% in L arm
Ref: Finn et al.,	Letrozole (L)			received ET.
Cancer				
Res (2016)				60% pts in P+L arm
				vs. 66% in L arm
				received CT.
PALOMA 2	Palbociclib (P)	III;1L	Postmenopausal	P+ L delayed
			women	initiation of 1 ST
Ref: Rugo et	Letrozole (L)			subsequent CT.
al., Breast				
Cancer Res and				ET: most common
Treat (2019)				1 ST PDT in pts on
				P+L vs. $PL+L$
				(60.8% and 58.0%,
				respectively),
				followed by CT
				(36.6% and 34.0%)
MONARCH 3	Abemaciclib	III;1L	Postmenopausal	Most received ET as
D 0 7 1	(Ab)		women	$1^{st} PDT (n = 132,$
Ref: Johnston	1			40.2% in Ab arm vs
et al. NPJ	Anastrozole			n = 88, 53.3% in PL
Breast Cancer	(A) or			arm), followed by
2021.	Letrozole (L)			CT (n = 39, 11.9%)
				in Ab arm vs $n = 30$,
				18.2% in PL arm),
				targeted therapy (n = 35, 10.7% in Ab
				-33, $10.7%$ III Ab arm vs n = 32,
				19.4% in PL arm),
				and other therapies
				(n = 13, 4.0% in Ab)
				arm vs n = 13, 7.9%
				in the PL arm).
				in the L thing.

				F (15.5% in the Ab
				arm vs 27.9% in the
				PL arm) and L
				(11.0% in A arm vs
				8.5% in PL arm)
				were the most
				common ET
				administered after
				progression
MONALEESA	Ribociclib (R	III;1L	Postmenopausal	Most common 1st
2)		women	PDT was single-
Ref: Blackwell				agent ET in 90
et al., Cancer	Letrozole (L)			(44.3%) vs 87
Res 2018				(35.4%) pts who
				discontinued R + L
				vs PL + L.
				CT was the most
				common 2 nd PDT in
				20 (9.9%) vs 36
				(14.6%) pts. CT
				alone was the 1st
				PDT after
				MONALEESA-2
				discontinuation in
				32 (15.8%) vs 55
				(22.4%) pts on R +
				L vs PL + L.
MONALEESA	Ribociclib (R	III;1L	Pre- and	Subsequent
7)		perimenopausal	antineoplastic
Ref: Lu et al.,			women	therapies following
Clin Cancer	(NSAI; L or		- Previous ET	discontinuation
Res 2022	A) + goserelin		permitted in	balanced between R
	or Tam		(neo)adjuvant	(77%) and PL
			setting (CT also	(78%) arms.
			permitted in the	
			(neo)adjuvant	Use of CDK4/6i
			setting or for	after discontinuation
			advanced BC)	was higher with PL
<u> </u>	1	1	/	

				(26%) versus R (13%). Time to 1 ST CT was significantly delayed with R versus PL.
PALOMA 3 Ref: Turner et al., Cancer Res 2017	Palbociclib (P) Fulvestrant (F)	III;2L -2: 1 Randomization	Pre and postmenopausal women	Most commonly used post progression tx were capecitabine (n=57 [28.8%]), paclitaxel (n=22 [11.1%]), and exemestane (n=34 [17.2%]). Median time to subsequent CT longer with P + F (252 d) vs. PL + F (132 d). Proportionally fewer pts in P + F vs. PL + F arm discontinued next line tx (33% vs 46%), indicating P does not adversely affect efficacy of PDT
MONARCH 2 Ref: Neven et al., Breast Cancer Research 2021	Abemaciclib (Ab) Fulvestrant (F)	III;2L 2:1 Randomization	-Pre and post Menopausal women	41 (56.9%) pts in Ab +F arm vs. 35 (83.3%) pts in PL+ F arm received PDT. CT received by 60 pts (n = 34, 47.2% vs n = 26, 61.9%) in Ab + F and PL + F

				arms, respectively,) any time PD.
				ET received by 48 pts $(n = 26, 36.1\%)$ in Ab + F arm vs $n = 22, 52.4\%$ in PL+ F arm), while 41 pts received targeted therapy (n = 19, 26.4%) in Ab + F arm vs $n = 22, 52.4\%$ in PL + F arm), and 17 received other therapies $(n = 9, 12.5\%)$ pts in Ab + F arm vs $n = 8, 19\%$ in
MONALEESA 3 Ref: Slamon et	Ribociclib (R)	III;2L -2:1	Men and post menopausal women	PL + F arm). Among those who received targeted therapies, 15 received CDK4/6i(s) as PDT (n = 5, 6.9% pts in A + F arm vs n = 10, 23.8% in PL + F arm). Among pts who discontinued study tx, 81.9% and
al. JCO, 2021.	Fulvestrant (F)	Randomization		86.4% received PDT, while 14.0% and 30.0% received a CDK4/6i as any subsequent line in the R vs PL arms, respectively.

				Time to 2 nd disease progression prolonged- 34.6 months with F to
				50.7 with R+ F(HR
				= 0.64; 95% CI =
				0.49–0.84).
				Time to CT also
				delayed (HR = 0.57 ;
				95% CI = 0.42–
				0.79).
PALOMA 4	Palbociclib (P)	III; 1L	Postmenopausal	N/A
		-1:1	Asian women	
	Letrozole (L)	Randomization		

Legend: A- anastrozole; Ab- abemaciclib; BC- breast cancer; CT- chemotherapy; ET-endocrine therapy; pts- patients; 1L- 1st line; 2L- 2nd line; Tx- treatment; NSAI- non-steroidal aromatase inhibitor; TAM- tamoxifen; PD- post discontinuation; PDT- post discontinuation therapy

eTable 2: Hazard Ratios for Overall Survival for Asian vs. Non-Asian Patients Across the CDK4/6i HR+ HER2- Metastatic Breast Cancer Trials in the 1st line metastatic setting

Trial	Hazard Ratio	Hazard Ratio
	Asian patients	Non-Asian
		patients
PALOMA 1	unknown	0.9 (overall)
PALOMA 2	0.48	0.58
MONARCH 3	0.30	0.69
MONALEESA 2	0.37	0.614
MONALEESA 7	0.40	0.66
PALOMA 3	0.485	0.50
MONARCH 2	0.515	0.620
MONALEESA 3	0.30	0.69
PALOMA 4	0.68	N/A