The $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ binding site of bovine adrenocortical microsomes: function and regulation

Susan PALMER and Michael J. O. WAKELAM

Molecular Pharmacology Group, Institute of Biochemistry, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ, U.K.

Adrenocortical microsomes possess a single population of $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ -specific binding sites $[IC_{50} 5.9 \pm 0.9 \text{ nM};$ Palmer, Hughes, Lee & Wakelam (1988) Cell. Signalling 1, 147–156]. Competition studies showed that Ins(1:2-cyclic,4,5) P_3 exhibits a 21-fold lower affinity for the site than $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ ($IC_{50} 124 \pm 16 \text{ nM}$). The affinity of the binding sites for $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ was not influenced by the non-hydrolysable GTP analogues $GTP\gamma S$ and Gpp[NH]p or by preincubation of the binding protein with a preparation of partially purified protein kinase C in the presence of ATP and TPA (12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol 13-acetate). These observations are discussed with reference to the identity and function of the $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ binding site.

INTRODUCTION

Stimulation of phospholipase-C-mediated PtdIns- $(4,5)P_2$ hydrolysis by calcium-mobilizing agonists results in the production of $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ (Berridge, 1984; Downes & Michell, 1985). $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ acts as a second messenger to elevate cytosolic free Ca²⁺ concentrations by activating Ca²⁺ release from an intracellular store in the endoplasmic reticulum (Berridge & Irvine, 1984) or perhaps from specialized organelles termed calciosomes (Volpe et al., 1988). The Ca²⁺-release response of permeabilized cells exhibits structural specificity with respect to $InsP_{a}$ and thus it has been proposed that a specific intracellular receptor is involved (Burgess et al., 1984). Evidence for the presence of high-affinity intracellular $InsP_3$ binding sites has been obtained in a number of systems (see Palmer et al., 1988, for references) including bovine adrenal cortex homogenate (Baukal et al., 1985) and adrenocortical microsomes (Guillemette et al., 1987; Palmer et al., 1988). We have recently defined some of the characteristics of the putative ' $InsP_3$ receptor' in adrenocortical microsomes, including its phosphate group positional specificity for $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ (Palmer et al., 1988). In addition, Willcocks et al. (1987) have demonstrated the stereospecificity of the InsP₃ binding site in rat cerebellar membranes. A bovine adrenocortical microsomal preparation has been incorporated into an $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ -specific binding assay and used to determine the intracellular concentration of $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ in both unstimulated and vasopressin-stimulated rat hepatocytes (Palmer et al., 1988). The data presented here further characterize the properties of the putative ' $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ receptor' of a bovine adrenocortical microsomal preparation and demonstrate that: (i) $Ins(1:2-cyclic, 4, 5)P_3$ is unlikely to release intracellular stores of Ca²⁺ under physiological conditions; (ii) a G-protein is unlikely to be involved in $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ -stimulated Ca^{2+} release and (iii) protein kinase C activity does not affect $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ binding to its putative receptor.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

[³H]Ins(1,4,5) P_3 (specific activity 38–44 Ci/mmol) and [γ -³²P]ATP (specific activity 3000 Ci/mmol) were obtained from Amersham International plc. Ins(1,4,5) P_3 and Ins(1:2 cyclic,4,5) P_3 were a generous gift from Dr. R. F. Irvine (A.F.R.C., Cambridge, U.K.). A crude protein kinase C preparation prepared from rat brain homogenate by the method of Niedel *et al.* (1983) was generously provided by Dr. Neil Thompson of the Wellcome Foundation, London, U.K. GTP γ S and Gpp[NH]p were purchased from Boehringer Mannheim; histone III-S (isolated from calf thymus) was from Sigma. All other reagents were of the highest grade commercially available.

Radioligand binding studies

Aliquots of a bovine adrenocortical microsomal fraction containing 500–1000 μ g of protein, prepared as described previously (Palmer *et al.*, 1988), were incubated in 25 mM-Tris (pH 9)/1 mM-EDTA/1 mM-EGTA/5 mM-NaHCO₃/0.25 mM-dithiothreitol/1 mg of bovine serum albumin (Fraction V)/ml. Incubations were performed for 15 min on ice in a final volume of 100 μ l with [³H]Ins(1,4,5)P₃ [approx. 4000–6000 c.p.m. = 82–123 fmol (0.82–1.23 nM)] and unlabelled Ins(1,4,5)P₃ as appropriate. Non-specific binding was determined in the presence of 1 μ M-unlabelled Ins(1,4,5)P₃. Incubations were stopped by centrifugation (12000 g, 3 min, 4 °C) and subsequent removal of the supernatant. Particulatebound radioactivity was analysed by liquid scintillation spectrometry.

Specific binding in the absence of unlabelled Ins-(1,4,5) P_3 varied between 35 and 45 % of total radioactivity in the incubation and was generally in excess of 2000 c.p.m. Non-specific binding was approx. 10 % of total radioactivity per incubation.

Abbreviations used: Ins(1:2-cyclic,4,5) P_3 , D-myo-inositol 1:2-cyclic,4,5-trisphosphate; GTP γ S, guanosine 5'-O-[3-thio]triphosphate; Gpp[NH]p, guanosine 5'-[$\beta\gamma$ -imido]triphosphate; G-protein, guanine-nucleotide-binding regulatory protein; TPA, 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol 13-acetate.

The Ins(1:2-cyclic,4,5) P_3 competition studies employed [³H]Ins(1,4,5) P_3 alone and the appropriate concentration of Ins(1:2-cyclic,4,5) P_3 . In studies to determine the effects of non-hydrolysable GTP analogues, GTP γ S (100 μ M final concn.) or Gpp[NH]p (100 μ M final concn.) were added to incubations prior to addition of the binding protein.

Protein kinase C studies

These experiments involved the preincubation of the binding protein with a crude protein kinase C preparation [containing 0.05% (w/v) Triton X-100]. The adrenocortical microsomal preparation was centrifuged (12000 g, 3 min, 4 °C), washed and resuspended in 25 mm-Tris (pH 7.5)/1 mм-MgCl₂/0.1 mм-CaCl₂/100 µм-ATP. Additions of either buffer, Triton X-100 [0.0025% (w/v) final] or the crude protein kinase C preparation [100 μ g of protein/ml of binding protein; 0.0025% (w/v) Triton X-100] in the presence of absence of 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol 13-acetate (TPA) (100 nm) were made before incubation at 20 °C for 20 min. An aliquot of each incubation was removed and assayed for protein kinase C activity (see below). An equal volume of ice-cold 100 mм-Tris (pH 9)/4 mм-EDTA/4 mм-EGTA/4 mg of bovine serum albumin (Fraction V)/ml was added to the remainder of the incubation. Chelation of divalent cations was employed to inhibit non-specific phosphatases and the protein kinase C. The binding proteins were washed twice and resuspended in 50 mm-Tris (pH 9)/ 2 mм-EDTA/2 mм-EGTA/2 mg of bovine serum albumin (Fraction V)/ml prior to assay for $[^{3}H]Ins(1,4,5)P_{3}$ binding activity as described above.

Protein kinase C activity, in the presence of binding protein, was determined by incubation of an aliquot of the above mixtures with 100 μ g of histone III-S and 1 μ Ci of [γ -³²P]ATP at 20 °C for 15 min. Incubations were terminated by addition of 20 vol. of ice-cold 20 % (w/v) trichloroacetic acid. Samples were centrifuged (12000 g, 3 min, 4 °C), the supernatant removed and the pellets washed once with a further 20 vol. of 20 % (w/v) trichloroacetic acid. Radioactivity associated with the pellet minus that in the appropriate control was taken to represent protein kinase C-catalysed phosphorylation of histone III-S.

Statistical analysis was performed using Students *t*-test for unpaired data.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

$Ins(1:2-cyclic,4,5)P_3$ competition

Wilson *et al.* (1985) reported that the half-maximal concentration of Ins(1:2-cyclic,4,5) P_3 and $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ required for the mobilization of Ca^{2+} from intracellular stores of saponin-permeabilized platelets were identical. A similar observation was made by Irvine *et al.* (1986) in saponin-permeabilized Swiss 3T3 cells. In addition, Ins- $(1,4,5)P_3$ -induced Ca^{2+} mobilization has been demonstrated in an adrenocortical microsomal fraction (Guillemette *et al.*, 1987). Therefore, if the $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ binding site in this preparation is the putative $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ receptor that is functionally related to Ca^{2+} release from intracellular stores, Ins(1:2-cyclic,4,5) P_3 and $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ would be expected to exhibit similar binding characteristics.

Fig. 1 illustrates the results of competition studies



Fig. 1. Competition by $Ins(1:2-cyclic,4,5)P_3$ for $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ binding sites

[³H]Ins(1,4,5) P_3 binding to adrenocortical microsomes was determined in the presence of increasing concentrations of unlabelled Ins(1,4,5) P_3 or Ins(1:2-cyclic,4,5) P_3 as described in the Materials and methods section. \bigcirc , Ins(1,4,5) P_3 ; \bigcirc , Ins(1:2-cyclic,4,5) P_3 . Results are means \pm s.E.M. (n = 3) for a single experiment, typical of three.

performed using $Ins(1:2-cyclic,4,5)P_3$. These experiments demonstrated that $Ins(1:2-cyclic,4,5)P_3$ was able to compete for the $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ binding site with an IC₅₀ {concentration producing 50% inhibition of specific $[^{3}H]Ins(1,4,5)P_{3}$ binding approx. 21-fold greater than that of $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ [Ins(1,4,5) P_3 , $5.9 \pm 0.9 \text{ nM}$; Ins(1:2-cyclic,4,5) P_3 , $124 \pm 16 \text{ nM}$]. This observation is similar to that made by Willcocks et al. (1989) using rat cerebellar membranes which exhibit a lower affinity for Ins $(1,4,5)P_3$ [IC₅₀ values: Ins $(1,4,5)P_3$, 55±2.4 nM; Ins(1:2-cyclic,4,5) P_3 , 2400±140 nM; 44-fold lower affinity). Acid treatment of $Ins(1:2-cyclic,4,5)P_3$ prior to assay [incubation with an equal volume of $10\frac{6}{3}$ (w/w) $HClO_{4}$ for 1 h, then neutralization with KOH (1.5 M)/ Hepes (60 mm)] resulted in a reduction of the radioactivity associated with the binding protein $(742 \pm$ 96 c.p.m., untreated; 244 ± 36 c.p.m., acid-treated; n = 3from a single typical experiment). This probably reflected the hydrolysis of the cyclic moiety of $Ins(1:2-cyclic,4,5)P_3$ to produce the more competitive $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$.

These observations are apparently contradictory to the Ca²⁺ mobilization studies described above and suggest that the Ins(1,4,5)P₃ binding site of the preparation may not be the putative Ins(1,4,5)P₃ receptor linked to the intracellular Ca²⁺ store. However, it has recently been reported that Ins(1:2-cyclic,4,5)P₃ [< 5% contamination with Ins(1,4,5)P₃] has a lower potency (6-fold) than Ins(1,4,5)P₃ for the release of Ca²⁺ from intracellular stores in permeabilized Swiss 3T3 cells [Willcocks *et al.* (1989): EC₅₀ for Ins(1,4,5)P₃, 3.56 μ M; for Ins(1:2cyclic,4,5)P₃,21 μ M]. In addition, Ins(1:2-cyclic,4,5)P₃ [at least 8% contamination with Ins(1,4,5)P₃] was 8-fold less potent in the activation of sea urchin eggs when microinjected [Crossley *et al.* (1988): EC₅₀ for Ins(1,4,5)P₃, 2.5 μ M, for Ins(1:2-cyclic,4,5)P₃, 19 μ M]. Furthermore, Meyer *et al.* (1988) reported that whereas 75 nm-Ins(1,4,5)P₃ was able to release 30–70% of the intracellular stored Ca²⁺ from permeabilized RBL cells, a similar concentration of $Ins(1:2-cyclic,4,5)P_3$ (of unspecified purity) was without effect. The apparent $Ins(1:2-cyclic,4,5)P_3$ -induced Ca²⁺ mobilization observed in earlier studies may, therefore, have been due to contamination of the $Ins(1:2-cyclic,4,5)P_3$ preparation with $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$.

Although Ins(1:2-cyclic,4,5) P_3 has been reported to be present in significant quantities in a number of tissues (see Palmer *et al.*, 1988 for references), it has been shown to constitute less than 5% of total inositol trisphosphates in others (Hawkins *et al.*, 1987; Wong *et al.*, 1988). Furthermore, the relatively low affinity of Ins(1:2cyclic,4,5) P_3 for the 'Ins(1,4,5) P_3 receptor' and its apparent low potency for Ca²⁺ mobilization suggests that Ins(1:2-cyclic,4,5) P_3 has only a limited role, if any, in the acute regulation of intracellular Ca²⁺ concentrations.

G-protein involvement?

Dawson and coworkers (Dawson, 1985; Dawson et al., 1986) observed that GTP, in the presence of poly-(ethylene glycol) increased the amount of Ca²⁺ released from rat liver microsomes in response to $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$. However, this system appears unique, as GTP has been shown not to modulate $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ -induced Ca^{2+} release from intracellular stores in other cell types (N1E-115 microsomes, Ueda et al., 1986; parotid gland heavy microsomes, Henne & Soling, 1986). Indeed, Henne et al. (1987) have isolated distinct GTP- and $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ sensitive vesicles from a post-nuclear fraction prepared from guinea pig parotid gland. However, the question of G-protein involvement or otherwise at the putative $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ receptor has not been assessed directly using classical receptor binding techniques. G-protein-linked receptors demonstrate a rightward shift in agonistbinding curves in the presence of GTP.

We have previously shown that GTP is a poor competitor for the $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ binding site in bovine adrenocortical microsomes ($IC_{50} > 2.5 \times 10^{-4}$ M; Palmer *et al.*, 1988). A similar observation was made using rat cerebellar membranes ($IC_{50} = 4.3 \times 10^{-4}$ M; Willcocks *et al.*, 1987). We have extended this observation by investigating the influence of the non-hydrolysable GTP analogues, GTP γ S and Gpp[NH]p, upon Ins(1,4,5) P_3 binding to adrenocortical microsomes (Table 1). The K_d of the binding site for Ins(1,4,5) P_3 was unchanged by incubation with either analogue. This suggests that there is no direct G-protein involvement at the putative Ins(1,4,5) P_3 receptor in this system. Ins(1,4,5) P_3 -induced Ca²⁺ release

Table 1. Influence of non-hydrolysable GTP analogues on the K_d of the Ins(1,4,5) P_3 binding site

Binding of $[{}^{3}H]Ins(1,4,5)P_{3}$ to adrenal microsomes was determined in the presence and absence of GTP γ S or Gpp[NH]p as described in the Materials and methods section. K_{d} values were obtained by analysing data by computer-assisted curve fitting. Values are means ± s.E.M. (n = 9; combined data from three separate experiments).

| Compound | Concentration | <i>K</i> _d (пм) |
|-------------|---------------------|----------------------------|
| No analogue | _ | 8.35±0.99 |
| GTPγS | 100 μм | 6.87 ± 1.52 |
| Gpp[NH]p | 100 [́] им | 7.50 ± 2.26 |

from bovine adrenocortical microsomes, therefore, probably does not involve a signal transduction system in the classical sense. Rather, $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ -induced Ca²⁺ mobilization may involve the activation of an ion channel.

Influence of protein kinase C?

Agonist-induced mobilization of intracellular Ca²⁺ has been shown to be inhibited by activators of protein kinase C, for example TPA (Brock *et al.*, 1985; Misbahuddin *et al.*, 1985; Orellana *et al.*, 1985; Brown *et al.*, 1987). Brown *et al.* (1987) suggested a number of possible sites at which protein kinase C activation could inhibit Ca²⁺ efflux from intracellular stores, including the putative Ins(1,4,5)P₃ receptor.

Table 2 (column *a*) illustrates results obtained with adrenocortical microsomes that had been preincubated with or without partially purified rat brain protein kinase C. The results show that the characteristics of the $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ binding site were not influenced by protein kinase C treatment. Protein kinase C was demonstrated to have been active under the conditions of the experiment, i.e. added histone IIIS was phosphorylated (Table 2, column *b*). Therefore, either the $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ binding site is not a substrate for protein kinase C, or phosphorylation of the $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ binding site by protein kinase C did not alter its affinity for $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$. Therefore, activation of protein kinase C probably does not inhibit agonist-induced Ca²⁺ release from intracellular stores at the level of $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ binding.

Table 2. Influence of protein kinase C on the K_d of the Ins(1,4,5) P_3 binding site

(a) Binding protein was preincubated in the presence or absence of partially purified rat brain protein kinase C (PKC) prior to the determination of the binding affinity of the Ins(1,4,5)P₃ binding site by competition of [³H]Ins(1,4,5)P₃ with increasing concentrations of unlabelled Ins(1,4,5)P₃. Scatchard data were analysed by computer-assisted curve fitting. Values are means ± s.E.M. (n = 9; combined data from three separate experiments). (b) Binding protein, after treatment as described in (a), was incubated in the presence of [γ^{-32} P]ATP and 100 μ g of histone IIIS (see the Materials and methods section). Incubations were terminated by the addition of 20 vol. of ice-cold 20% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid. The pellets were washed once and their radioactivity determined. Results are means ± s.E.M. from a single representative experiment (n = 3). *P < 0.02.

| Treatment | (а) К _d (пм) | (b) Radioactivity associated with pellet (c.p.m.) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| None | 7.29 + 1.55 | |
| Resuspension in PKC incubation buffer | 5.04 ± 1.64 | 6197 <u>+</u> 139 |
| PKC incubation buffer + 0.0025% (w/w) Triton | 5.67 ± 2.66 | |
| PKC incubation buffer + | 5.30 ± 2.33 | 8005±114* |
| 100 μ g of PKC | | |
| PKC incubation buffer + 100 μ g of PKC + 100 nM-TPA | 5.97±3.15 | _ |

Identity of the putative $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ receptor

The identity of the $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ binding site of adrenocortical microsomes remains unclear. However, it is unlikely to be $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ 5-phosphatase, since this enzyme exhibits a relatively low affinity for its substrate $(K_{\rm m} = 17 \,\mu{\rm M}\,{\rm in\,adrenal\,cortex})$. Although the Ins $(1,4,5)P_{\rm m}$ 3-kinase is predominantly soluble in those tissues where its distribution has been studied (see Shears, 1989 for references), the possibility that a membrane-bound form could be the binding site for $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ cannot be excluded. $Ins(1:2-cyclic,4,5)P_3$ and $Ins(2,4,5)P_3$, which are relatively poor substrates for the kinase (Irvine & Moor, 1986) also have reduced affinities for the Ins(1,4,5)P₃ binding site (see Fig. 1; Palmer et al., 1988; Wilcocks et al., 1989). Protein kinase C activation has been reported to result in persistently increased activity of $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ 3-kinase, presumably by covalent modification (Imboden & Pattison, 1987; Biden et al., 1988a, b). In the present study, protein kinase C treatment of the binding protein was without effect on its affinity for $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$, although the phosphorylation state of the $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ binding site was not determined. In addition, Supattapone et al. (1988b) have reported that the purified $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ receptor of rat brain (Supattapone et al., 1988a) is not a substrate for protein kinase C. Furthermore, there is good correlation between the relative affinities of $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ and $Ins(1:2-cyclic,4,5)P_3$ for the binding site in adrenocortical microsomes and rat cerebellar membranes and their abilities to mobilize Ca²⁺ in a variety of tissues (Fig. 1; Crossley et al., 1988; Meyer et al., 1988; Willcocks et al., 1989). This suggests that the $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ binding site observed in a number of tissues, including bovine adrenocortical microsomes, could be that functionally linked to Ca²⁺ mobilization. However, as yet there is no definitive evidence for the true identity of the $Ins(1,4,5)P_3$ binding site.

Parts of this work were supported by grants from the Medical Research Council and the Cancer Research Campaign.

REFERENCES

- Baukal, A. J., Guillemette, G., Rubin, R., Spat, A. & Catt, K. J. (1985) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 133, 532– 538
- Berridge, M. J. (1984) Biochem. J. 220, 345-360
- Berridge, M. J. & Irvine, R. F. (1984) Nature (London) 312, 315–321
- Biden, T. J., Atlin, J. G., Karjalainen, A. & Bygrave, F. L. (1988a) Biochem. J. 256, 697-701
- Biden, T. J., Vallar, L. & Wollheim, C. B. (1988b) Biochem. J. 251, 435–440

Brock, T. A., Rittenhouse, S. E., Powers, C. W., Ekstein, L. S., Gimbrone, M. A., Jr. & Alexander, R. W. (1985) J. Biol. Chem. 260, 14158–14162

- Brown, K. D., Blakely, D. M., Hamon, M. H., Laurie, M. S. & Corps, A. N. (1987) Biochem. J. 245, 631–639
- Burgess, G. M., Irvine, R. F., Berridge, M. J., McKinney, J. S. & Putney, J. W., Jr. (1984) Biochem. J. 224, 741–746
- Crossley, I., Swann, K., Chambers, E. & Whitaker, M. (1988) Biochem. J. 252, 257–262
- Dawson, A. P. (1985) FEBS Lett. 185, 147-150
- Dawson, A. P., Comerford, J. G. & Fulton, D. V. (1986) Biochem. J. 234, 311–315
- Downes, C. P. & Michell, R. H. (1985) Mol. Aspects Cell. Regul. 4, 3-56
- Guillemette, G., Balla, T., Baukal, A. J., Spat, A. & Catt, K. J. (1987) J. Biol. Chem. 262, 1010–1015
- Henne, V. & Soling, H.-D. (1986) FEBS Lett. 202, 267-273
- Henne, V., Piiper, A. & Soling, H.-D. (1987) FEBS Lett. 218, 153–158
- Hawkins, P. T., Berrie, C. P., Morris, A. J. & Downes, C. P. (1987) Biochem. J. 243, 211–218
- Imboden, J. B. & Pattison, G. (1987) J. Clin. Invest. 79, 1538–1541
- Irvine, R. F. & Moor, R. M. (1986) Biochem. J. 240, 917-920
- Irvine, R. F., Letcher, A. J., Lander, D. J. & Berridge, M. J. (1986) Biochem. J. 240, 301-304
- Meyer, T., Hulowka, D. & Stryer, L. (1988) Science 240, 653-656
- Misbahuddin, M., Isosaki, M., Houchi, H. & Oka, M. (1985) FEBS Lett. 190, 25–28
- Niedel, J. E., Kuhn, L. J. & Vandenbark, G. R. (1983) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 80, 36–40
- Orellana, S. A., Solski, P. A. & Brown, A. H. (1985) J. Biol. Chem. 260, 5236–5239
- Palmer, S., Hughes, K. T., Lee, D. Y. & Wakelam, M. J. O. (1988) Cell. Signalling 1, 147–156
- Shears, S. B. (1989) Biochem. J. 260, 313-324
- Supattapone, S., Worley, P. F., Baraban, J. M. & Snyder, S. H. (1988*a*) J. Biol. Chem. **263**, 1530–1534
- Supattapone, S., Danoff, S. K., Thiebert, A., Joseph, S. K., Steiner, J. & Snyder, S. H. (1988b) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 85, 8747–8750
- Ueda, T., Chueh, S.-H., Noel, M. W. & Gill, D. L. (1986) J. Biol. Chem. **261**, 3184–3192
- Volpe, P., Krause, K.-H., Hashimoto, S., Zorzato, F., Pozzan, T., Meldolsei, J. & Lew, D. P. (1988) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 85, 1091–1095
- Willcocks, A. L., Cooke, A. M., Potter, B. V. L. & Nahorski, S. R. (1987) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 146, 1071– 1078
- Willcocks, A. L., Strupish, J., Irvine, R. F. & Nahorski, S. R. (1989) Biochem J. 257, 297–300
- Wilson, D. B., Connolly, T. M., Bross, T. E., Majerus, P. W., Sherman, W. R., Tyler, A. N., Rubin, L. J. & Brown, J. E. (1985) J. Biol. Chem. 260, 13496–13501
- Wong, N. S., Barker, C. J., Shears, S. B., Kirk, C. J. & Michell, R. H. (1988) Biochem. J. 252, 1–5

Received 1 March 1989; accepted 5 April 1989