## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION FOR:

## Predator exposure early in life shapes behavioral development and individual variation in a clonal fish

Scherer U<sup>1,2,3</sup>\*, Laskowski KL<sup>3,4</sup>, Kressler MM<sup>3,5</sup>, Ehlman SM<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Wolf M<sup>1,3</sup>, Bierbach D<sup>1,2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> SCIoI Excellence Cluster, Technische Universität Berlin, 10587 Berlin, Germany

<sup>2</sup> Faculty of Life Sciences, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, 10117 Berlin, Germany

<sup>3</sup> Department of Fish Biology, Fisheries, and Aquaculture, Leibniz Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries, 12587 Berlin, Germany

<sup>4</sup>Department of Evolution & Ecology, University of California Davis, Davis CA 95616, USA

<sup>5</sup>Centre for Ecology and Conservation, University of Exeter, Penryn, Cornwall, TR10 9FE

\*Corresponding author (u.k.scherer@gmail.com)

**Table S1** Linear mixed-effects models testing if individuals that were forced to forage in the presence of the predator behaved differently over time (regarding their activity, time spent feeding, and visits to the feeding spot) compared individuals in the control treatment. We considered that, possibly, behaviors may develop differently over time in the two treatments by including an interaction term between week and treatment (full models, left), however, if not significant, the interaction term was removed from the models (final models, right). We included random intercepts for both individuals and broods in all models. In cases where brood had no explanatory power, the term was removed from the model.

		Full model					Final model							
Response	Predictors	Estimate	SE	χ²	Р	df	Estimate	SE	χ²	р	df			
	(Intercept)	0.114	0.042	-	-	-	0.125	0.040	-	-	-			
Response Feeding duration (%) Visits to feeding spot	Treatment [Predator]	0.069	0.044	-	-	-	0.047	0.031	2.224	0.136	1			
	Week	0.072	0.009	-	-	-	0.068	0.006	111.470	<0.001	1			
	Treatment [Predator] × Week	-0.009	0.012	0.519	0.472	1	-	-	-	-	-			
				Ranc	om Effect	ts								
Feeding	σ²			0.03			0.03							
duration	<b>T</b> 00		0.01	Individua	al		0.01 Individual							
(%)			0.0	0 Brood			0.00 Brood							
	ICC			0.35					0.35					
	Ν		47	ndividua	I			47 Ir	ndividual					
			6	Brood			6 Brood							
	Observations			559					559					
	Marginal R <sup>2</sup> / Conditional R <sup>2</sup>		0.13	88 / 0.438	3		0.138 / 0.437							
	(Intercept)	51.82	4.967	-	-	-	49.268	4.602	-	-	-			
	Treatment [Predator]	-1.41	6.404	-	-	-	3.797	5.141	0.542	0.462	1			
	Week	1.55	1.073	-	-	-	2.578	0.768	11.143	0.001	1			
	Treatment [Predator] × Week	2.09	1.534	1.858	0.173	1	-	-	-	-	-			
	Random Effects													
Visits to	σ²		2	108.60			410.10							
feeding	<b>T</b> 00		273.3	7 Individu	ual		273.14 Individual							
spot			22.	16 Brood			22.11 Brood							
	ICC			0.42			0.42							
	Ν		47 I	ndividua	I		47 Individual							
			6	Brood			6 Brood							
	Observations			559			559							
	Marginal $R^2$ / Conditional $R^2$		0.01	8 / 0.430	)		0.017 / 0.428							
	(Intercept)	3.189	0.178	-	-	-	3.074	0.156	-	-	-			
	Treatment [Predator]	-0.626	0.255	-	-	-	-0.391	0.184	4.305	0.038	1			
	Week	-0.487	0.049	-	-	-	-0.440	0.035	135.17	<0.001	1			
	Treatment [Predator] × Week	0.095	0.071	1.791	0.181	1	-	-	-	-	-			
A				Ranc	dom Effect	ts	a							
(cm/sec)	σ²			0.87					0.87					
	<b>T</b> 00		0.32	Individua	al			0.32	Individual					
	ICC			0.27			0.27							
	N		47 I	ndividua				47 Ir	ndividual					
Feeding duration (%) Visits to feeding spot	Observations			559					559					
	Marginal $R^2$ / Conditional $R^2$		0.19	2 / 0.412	2			0.19	0 / 0.410					

Debeuden		14/ I-		Control		Predator			
Benavior	variance component	vveek	Estimate	Lower Cl	Upper Cl	Estimate	Lower Cl	Upper Cl	
	Repeatability for individual		0.263	0.183	0.359	0.193	0.106	0.300	
	Repeatability for Brood		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.266	0.092	0.463	
	Among-individual variation in intercepts	1	0.008	0.005	0.013	0.010	0.005	0.016	
	Among-individual variation in slopes		0.003	0.002	0.005	0.001	0.001	0.002	
	Among-brood variation in intercepts		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.014	0.004	0.032	
	Within-individual variation		0.019	0.017	0.023	0.026	0.022	0.031	
	Repeatability for individual		0.381	0.305	0.469	0.129	0.067	0.212	
	Repeatability for Brood		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.255	0.098	0.454	
	Among-individual variation in intercepts		0.014	0.010	0.020	0.006	0.003	0.010	
	Among-individual variation in slopes		0.003	0.002	0.005	0.001	0.001	0.002	
	Among- brood variation in intercepts		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.012	0.004	0.027	
Feeding	Within-individual variation		0.020	0.017	0.023	0.027	0.022	0.032	
duration (%)	Repeatability for individual		0.555	0.467	0.641	0.109	0.059	0.184	
	Repeatability for Brood		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.264	0.089	0.435	
	Among-individual variation in intercepts	2	0.028	0.020	0.041	0.005	0.003	0.008	
	Among-individual variation in slopes	3	0.003	0.002	0.004	0.001	0.001	0.002	
	Among- brood variation in intercepts		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.012	0.003	0.026	
	Within-individual variation		0.019	0.017	0.023	0.026	0.023	0.032	
	Repeatability for individual		0.687	0.599	0.762	0.158	0.087	0.239	
	Repeatability for Brood		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.246	0.096	0.409	
	Among-individual variation in intercepts	1	0.049	0.034	0.071	0.007	0.004	0.012	
	Among-individual variation in slopes	4	0.003	0.002	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.002	
	Among- brood variation in intercepts		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.011	0.004	0.024	
	Within-individual variation		0.020	0.017	0.023	0.027	0.023	0.031	

Table S2 Variance components for time spent feeding in the control vs. predator treatment, over the 4 experimental weeks.

Pehevier	Variance component	Maak		Control		Predator			
Denavior	variance component	Week	Estimate	Lower Cl	Upper Cl	Estimate	Lower CI	Upper Cl	
	Repeatability for individual		0.563	0.442	0.661	0.280	0.159	0.410	
	Repeatability for Brood		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.242	0.080	0.428	
	Among-individual variation in intercepts	1	2.807	1.772	4.310	1.015	0.562	1.635	
	Among-individual variation in slopes		0.134	0.084	0.209	0.186	0.114	0.296	
	Among-brood variation in intercepts		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.878	0.250	1.968	
	Within-individual variation		2.039	1.737	2.420	1.526	1.293	1.828	
	Repeatability for individual		0.475	0.364	0.575	0.275	0.168	0.407	
	Repeatability for Brood		0.000	0.000	0.000 0.223 0.085   2.998 0.959 0.572   0.000 0.405 0.100	0.085	0.408		
	Among-individual variation in intercepts	2	1.977	1.284	2.998	0.959	0.572 1	1.557	
	Among-individual variation in slopes		0.144	0.086	0.232	0.185	0.108	0.299	
	Among-brood variation in intercepts		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.769	0.258	1.771	
Visits to	Within-individual variation		2.039	1.758	2.448	1.540	1.301	1.832	
spot	Repeatability for individual		0.411	0.315	0.518	0.340	0.212	0.470	
	Repeatability for Brood		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.201	0.071	0.374	
	Among-individual variation in intercepts	2	1.560	1.040	2.318	1.283	0.795	2.057	
	Among-individual variation in slopes	5	0.159	0.094	0.269	0.177	0.108	0.279	
	Among-brood variation in intercepts		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.748	0.227	1.753	
	Within-individual variation		2.042	1.747	2.448	1.552	1.321	1.833	
	Repeatability for individual		0.404	0.291	0.512	0.453	0.321	0.571	
	Repeatability for Brood		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.168	0.061	0.319	
	Among-individual variation in intercepts	4	1.497	0.926	2.271	2.086	1.252	3.082	
	Among-individual variation in slopes	4	0.150	0.091	0.245	0.156	0.103	0.224	
	Among-brood variation in intercepts		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.773	0.239	1.649	
	Within-individual variation		2.048	1.741	2.425	1.548	1.294	1.841	

Table S3 Variance components for the number of visits to the feeding spot in the control vs. predator treatment, over the 4 experimental weeks.

<b>D</b>   ·				Control	Upper Cl   0.707   0.059   2.433   0.132   0.143   0.978   0.567   0.029   1.267   0.156   0.053   0.977   0.378   0.036   0.591   0.193   0.051   0.973   0.304   0.032   0.421   0.204			
Behavior	variance component	Week	Estimate	Lower Cl	Upper Cl	Estimate	Lower Cl	Upper Cl
	Repeatability for individual		0.604	0.470	0.707	0.393	0.273	0.500
	Repeatability for Brood		0.021	0.005	0.059	0.000	0.000	0.000
	Among-individual variation in intercepts	1	1.503	0.873	2.433	0.460	0.277	0.699
	Among-individual variation in slopes		0.103	0.076	0.132	0.084	0.055	0.121
	Among-brood variation in intercepts		0.052	0.012	0.143	0.000	0.000	0.000
	Within-individual variation		0.830	0.710	0.978	0.626	0.532	0.743
	Repeatability for individual		0.448	0.328	0.567	0.261	0.181	0.340
	Repeatability for Brood		0.010	0.002	0.029	Predator   Cl Estimate Lower Cl U   7 0.393 0.273 1   9 0.000 0.000 3   9 0.000 0.000 3   2 0.084 0.055 3   3 0.626 0.532 1   7 0.261 0.181 1   9 0.000 0.000 1   7 0.256 0.159 1   6 0.093 0.056 3   3 0.000 0.000 1   7 0.634 0.533 1   8 0.290 0.195 6   0.000 0.000 1 0.292 0.170   3 0.089 0.056 1 0.000 1   1 0.292 0.170 3 1 0.000 1   3 0.628 0.533 1 0.000 1 0.534 0.300 1	0.000	
	Among-individual variation in intercepts		0.778	0.485	1.267	0.256	0.159	0.388
	Among-individual variation in slopes	2	0.108	0.073	0.156	0.093	0.056	0.140
	Among-brood variation in intercepts		0.018	0.004	0.053	0.000	0.000	0.000
Activity	Within-individual variation		0.829	0.712	0.977	0.634	0.533	0.750
(cm/sec)	Repeatability for individual		0.275	0.185	0.378	0.290	0.195	0.392
	Repeatability for Brood		0.013	0.003	0.036	0.000	0.000	0.000
	Among-individual variation in intercepts	2	0.371	0.226	0.591	0.292	0.170	0.467
	Among-individual variation in slopes	3	0.126	0.077	0.193	0.089	0.056	0.138
	Among-brood variation in intercepts		0.017	0.005	0.051	0.000	0.000	0.000
	Within-individual variation		0.835	0.706	0.973	0.628	0.533	0.756
	Repeatability for individual		0.213	0.133	0.304	0.430	0.298	0.555
	Repeatability for Brood		0.013	0.003	0.032	0.000	0.000	0.000
	Among-individual variation in intercepts		0.264	0.152	0.421	0.534	0.300	0.892
	Among-individual variation in slopes	4	0.127	0.071	0.204	0.077	0.053	0.112
Activity (cm/sec)	Among-brood variation in intercepts		0.016	0.004	0.042	0.000	0.000	0.000
	Within-individual variation		0.832	0.704	0.976	0.630	0.540	0.749

Table S4 Variance components for activity in the control vs. predator treatment, over the 4 experimental weeks.

**Table S5** Linear mixed-effects models testing if our three target behaviors (activity, feeding duration, visits to feeding spot) predicted each other: activity and feeding duration as well as activity and visits to the feeding spot were positively related to each other but feeding duration and number of visits to the feeding spot did not predict each other; tested for the predator (left models) and control (right models) treatment separately. We included random intercepts for both individuals and broods in all models, however, in cases where brood had no explanatory power, the term was removed from the model.

D	Deciliation	Predator					Control					
Response	Predictors	Estimate	SE	χ²	р	df	Estimate	SE	χ²	р	df	
	(Intercept)	2.779	0.121	-	-	-	3.208	0.163	-	-	-	
	Feeding duration (%)	-3.508	0.235	162.530	<0.001	1	-4.301	0.286	164.190	<0.001	1	
			;									
	σ²			0.52		0.70						
Activity (cm/sec)	<b>T</b> 00		0.15	Individua	I	0.42 Individual						
(0.1.1, 0.0.0)	ICC			0.22				0.37				
	Ν		23	Individual			24	Individual				
	Observations			274				285				
	Marginal R <sup>2</sup> / Conditional R <sup>2</sup>		0.4	57 / 0.577		0.428 / 0.643						
	(Intercept)	0.982	0.204	-	-	-	1.133	0.201	-	-	-	
	Visits to feeding spot	0.010	0.003	10.521	0.001	1	0.015	0.003	22.216	<0.001	1	
				Rando	om Effects							
	σ²			0.94		1.25						
	<b>T</b> 00		0.10	) Individua	1	0.21 Individual						
Activity (cm/sec)			0.0	04 Brood								
(criff bee)	ICC			0.13		0.14						
	N		23	Individual		24 Individual						
			e	Brood								
	Observations			274			285					
	Marginal R <sup>2</sup> / Conditional R <sup>2</sup>	0.063 / 0.189					0.098 / 0.227					
	(Intercept)	0.329	0.054	-	-	-	0.269	0.044	-	-	-	
	Visits to feeding spot	0.001	0.001	1.160	0.281	1	0.000	0.000	0.371	0.543	1	
				Rando	om Effects	;						
	σ²			0.03			0.03					
Feedina	<b>T</b> 00		0.00	) Individua	1			0.01	Individua	I		
duration			0.0	01 Brood				0.0	00 Brood			
(%)	ICC			0.28			0.33					
	Ν		23	Individual			24 Individual					
			E	Brood			6 Brood					
	Observations			274					285			
	Marginal R <sup>2</sup> / Conditional R <sup>2</sup>		0.0	05 / 0.287		0.001 / 0.327						

**Table S6** Linear mixed-effects models testing for body size differences between treatments and over time. We built a linear mixed model with approximated body sizes (detected blob size during tracking with Ethovision) as response and treatment (control vs. predator), trial number (trial 1 vs. trial 12), and the treatment-trial interaction term as fixed effects. Individual and brood ID were included as random terms. Body size approximations are smaller than actual fish sizes

		Full model					Final model					
Response	Predictors	Estimate	SE	χ²	р	df	Estimate	SE	χ²	р	df	
	(Intercept)	0.726	0.015	-	-	-	0.727	0.014	-	-	-	
Body size	Treatment [Predator]	0.024	0.014	-	-	-	0.021	0.012	3.072	0.081	1	
	Trial [End]	0.102	0.009	-	-	-	0.099	0.007	87.624	<0.001	1	
	Treatment [Predator] × Trial [End]	-0.005	0.013	0.120	0.729	1	-	-	-	-	-	
	Random Effects											
(detected	$\sigma^2$			0.00			0.00					
blob size during	<b>T</b> 00		0.00	Individua	l		0.00 Individual					
tracking,			0.0	00 Brood			0.00 Brood					
in cm)	ICC			0.63			0.63					
	Ν		48	Individual			48 Individual					
			7	Brood			7 Brood					
	Observations			96			96					
	Marginal $R^2$ / Conditional $R^2$		0.4	77 / 0.809			0.477 / 0.808					



**Figure S1**. Body sizes of Amazon mollies at the beginning and end of the experiment. Body sizes were approximated from the size of detected blobs during tracking with Ethovision. Thus, body size approximations are smaller than actual fish sizes. There was no difference in body size between individuals from the control vs. predator treatment at the beginning and at the end of the experiment, but individuals in both treatments grew over time. Depicted boxplots show raw data (points), medians (horizontal lines), 25 and 75% quantiles (boxes) and 1.5 x interquartile ranges (whiskers). P-values were calculated from a pairwise posthoc comparison of the full model (including the interaction term, see Table S6) via estimated marginal means and Tukey adjustment).