Supplementary Material 2: Data Extraction template

Study context	
General study characteristics (title, author(s): year published, citation)	
Type of study (DCE, Best worst or Thurstone Scale)	
Sources of funding	
Competing interests	
Setting (country, focus, disease condition)	
Objective	
Mode of survey administration	
Ethical considerations	
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	
Participant characteristics	
Participants' age (min-max, mean)	
Participants sex	
Participants' pre-existing conditions (HIV, STI, CSW)	
Education background	
Sampling	
Sampling and recruitment	
Sample population (patient, healthcare provider, general public)	

Sample size	
Were the sample size calculations carried out?	
Attributes and Levels of Development	
Was a literature review conducted?	
Consultation with experts in the field?	
Focus group? If yes, what was the size of the focus group?	
Interviews? If yes, how many interviews, and with whom?	
Tested using a pilot study? If yes, how many participated?	
Any other notable characteristics of attribute development	
Number of attributes	
List of attributes	
List of levels for each attribute	
Method of attribute presentation (picture, text only, emoji…)	
Survey design	
Number of options presented per task	
Number of attributes presented for each option	
Was an opt-out option included?	
Is a dominant choice question included?	

Was there a block design?	
Total number of questions - tasks (not including dominant choices)	
Type of design (full factorial, partial factorial, etc.)	
What software is used for experimental design? (Ngene, Sawtooth, SAS, etc.)	
What criteria were used to judge the design?	
Administration method (electronic, paper-based)	
Additional data collected	
Demographic information collected?	
Disease history collected?	
Was any other data collected? If so, what?	
Anything else asked? If so, what?	
Analysis	
What was the main method of analysis?	
Any secondary method(s) of analysis?	
Software used for analysis	
Estimation procedure (probit, random effect probit, logit, random effect logit, CLM, mixed logit, latent scale, heteroskedastic …)	

Outcome measures	
Were results presented using importance scores?	
Were results presented using time until progression as numeraire?	
Were results presented using money as numeraire (i.e. WTP)?	
Were results presented using maximum acceptable risk?	
Were results presented using a predicted probability of uptake?	
Were results presented using utilities?	
Was participant heterogeneity examined in any other way? If so, what was examined?	
Conclusions	
What was the most valued positive attribute?	
What was the second most valued positive attribute?	
What was the most valued negative attribute?	
What was the second most valued negative attribute?	
If looking at the mode of administration, what was the most preferred method?	
If looking at the mode of administration, what was the least preferred method?	

Summary of other findings	