## **Supporting Information for**

## **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

*In situ* tumor vaccine with optimized nanoadjuvants and lymph node targeting capacity to treat ovarian cancer and metastases

Yuan Li<sup>a,†</sup>, Fan Tong<sup>b,†</sup>, Yufan Wang<sup>b</sup>, Jing Wang<sup>b</sup>, Manqi Wu<sup>a</sup>, Hanmei Li<sup>c</sup>, Hongyan Guo<sup>a,\*</sup>, Huile Gao<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Obstet Gynecol, Peking University Third Hospital, Beijing 100191, China

<sup>b</sup>Key Laboratory of Drug Targeting and Drug Delivery Systems, West China School of Pharmacy, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610041, China

<sup>c</sup>School of Food and Biological Engineering, Chengdu University, Chengdu 610106, China

Received 22 January 2024; received in revised form 17 April 2024; accepted 20 April 2024

\*Corresponding authors.

E-mail addresses: bysyghy@163.com (Hongyuan Guo), gaohuile@scu.edu.cn (Huile Gao).

<sup>†</sup>These authors made equal contributions to this work.



**Figure S1** (A)FT-IR spectrum of PLGA, Man, and Man-PLGA. (B) Characterization of CpG@Man-P loaded with CpG by agarose gel electrophoresis (40, 100, 200 nm).



**Figure S2** (A) Inversion method to characterize hydrogels. (B) Rheological properties of hydrogels. (C) FT-IR characterization of hydrogels.



**Figure S3** MTT assay of CPG@Man-P (40 nm, 100 nm, 200 nm) on DC2.4. cells. Error bars represent mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 4).



Figure S4 MTT assay of Tra on ID8 cells.



**Figure S5** (A, B) Confocal (A) and flow cytometry (B) characterization of CRT exposure on the surface of ID8 cells. The scale bars represent 50  $\mu$ m.



**Figure S6** (A) SDS-PAGE characterization of antigen adsorption on CPG@Man-P (40 nm, 100 nm, 200 nm). (B) Size change of CPG@Man-P (40 nm, 100 nm, 200 nm) after antigen absorption. Error bars represent mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 3).



Figure S7 DiR@Man-P (40 nm, 100 nm, 200 nm) accumulation at the tumor site.



**Figure S8** (A) Cellular uptake of Dil@P and Dil@Man-P by DC2.4 cells, which was observed by confocal microscope. The scale bar represents 50 µm. (B) Cellular uptake of Dil@P and Dil@Man-P by DC2.4 cells. (C) Percentages of CD80<sup>+</sup> BMDC cells gated by CD11c<sup>+</sup>. Percentages of CD80<sup>+</sup> (D) and CD86<sup>+</sup> (E) DC2.4 cells gated by CD11c<sup>+</sup>. Error bars represent mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 3). Statistical significance was set at \*P < 0.05, \*\* P < 0.01, \*\*\* P < 0.001, \*\*\*\* P < 0.0001, and ns, not significant.



**Figure S9** Primary (A) and Distant (B) tumor volume growth curves. Error bars represent mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 5).



Figure S10 HE imaging of major organs. The scale bars represent 20  $\mu$ m.



**Figure S11** (A-D) Elisa analyses of IFN- $\gamma$  (A), TNF- $\alpha$  (B), IL-1 $\beta$  (C), and IL-6 (D) levels in the primary tumors. (E-H) Elisa analyses of IFN- $\gamma$  (E), TNF- $\alpha$  (F), IL-1 $\beta$  (G), and IL-6 (H) levels in the distant tumors. Error bars represent mean ± SD (n = 3, 4).

Statistical significance was set at \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001, \*\*\*\*P < 0.0001, and ns, not significant.



**Figure S12** (A, B) The percentage of IFN- $\gamma^+$  CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in the primary tumors detected by flow cytometry. (C)HE staining of lung tissues to investigate the anti-metastasis effect. The circled portions were metastatic tumor cells. The scale bar represents 2 mm. Error bars represent mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 3, 4). Statistical significance was set at \*P < 0.05, \*\* P < 0.01, \*\*\* P < 0.001, \*\*\*\* P < 0.0001, and ns, not significant.

Nanocarrier	Entrapment ratio (%)	Drug loading ratio (%)
CpG@Man-P (40 nm)	$77.2\pm10.3$	$0.077\pm0.010$
CpG@Man-P(100 nm)	$79.2\pm13.2$	$0.079\pm0.014$
CpG@Man-P (200 nm)	$77.9 \pm 14.3$	$0.079\pm0.014$

 $\label{eq:stable} Table \ S1. \ Drug \ loading \ ratio \ and \ entrapment \ ratio \ of \ CpG@Man-P$ 

Error bars represent mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 3).