## Supplement 4: Descriptions of Included Studies

Author(s),	Response Rate and Participant	Social Media	Social Media Use Patterns	Description of	Reported Learning Outcomes
Publication	Characteristics	Platforms	Reported	Social Media	(Organized by MEOF)
Year, and		Included		Intervention	
Study					
Design					
Bozkurt &	- Response rate: 1119 out of	Facebook	- All used at least one	Any neurosurgery	L2: Social media facilitated
Chaurasia,	~5000 (22.4%)	Twitter	platform	social media	communication with
2021	- Career stage: six years median	Instagram	- WhatsApp (92.2%) and	platform.	colleagues (n = 605, 54.7%
_	experience	YouTube	Facebook (87.2%) were	Included	agreed), networking
Cross-	- Specialty: neurosurgery	LinkedIn	most used	Neurosurgery	opportunities (n = $620, 56.1\%$
sectional	- Location: 104 countries;	WhatsApp	- Mainly to exchange	Cocktail (NC), a	agreed), learning of
survey	highest response rates from	Telegram	knowledge (77.9%) with	private	events/conferences (n = 944,
study	India, Turkey, Brazil	Viber	and observe cases	community for	84.4% agreed), and rapid and
	- Practice type: 704 (63.8%)	imo	(57.6%) of colleagues	exchange of	widespread information
	academic, 400 (36.2%) non-	ResearchGate	- Time spent on social	knowledge and	transfer (n = 775, 69.3%
	academic		media was lower for	experience	agreed). Overall effect of
			men, older respondents,	among	social media on neurosurgery
			and those in non-	neurosurgeons.	was beneficial (n = $700$ ,
			academic settings		64.3%).
				founded on	L3: Social media improved
				Facebook and	knowledge of best evidence-
				expanded to	based practices (50.6%
				Twitter,	agreed).
				Telegram,	<b>14</b> : 736 (67.4%) felt they could
				whatsApp,	change practice based on
				Instagram,	learnings from social media
				Linkedin, Viber,	
				and imo. NC sees	L5: Surgeon self-reported that
				out cases posted	social media improved their
					level of care (n = 517, 46.6%
				from globally	agreed), ability to consider
					alternative management
				recognized	plans (n = 512, 46.3% agreed),
					ability to improve patient

				figures in	outcomes (n = 489, 44.4%
				neurosurgery.	agreed).
Dong <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2015 Cross- sectional survey study + profile review	<ul> <li>Response rate: 500 out of 2360 for demographics (limited by LinkedIn); 19 survey responses (unspecified invites)</li> <li>Career stage: consultants (n = 319, 63.8%), residents (n = unknown, ~11%)</li> <li>Specialty: plastic surgery, orthopedic surgery, hand surgery, other unspecified</li> <li>Location: UK (n = 105, 21%), US (n = 40, 8%), other countries including Italy, Singapore, Scotland</li> <li>Practice type: N/A</li> </ul>	LinkedIn	- Common discussion themes included management of difficult patients (n = 48, 31.8%), seeking consensus (n = 47, 31.1%), and finding general information or promotion of content (n = 37, 24.5%)	Hand Surgery International, a LinkedIn community of practice for developing, sharing, and maintaining knowledge in hand surgery. Sign up is voluntary. Any LinkedIn user in the healthcare profession interested in hand surgery may join. All posts are moderator- approved. Users get copy of community rules upon joining. Chat room formats for discussions on topics posted by a	<ul> <li>L2: Social media facilitated networking with beneficial professional contacts (n = 13, 68% agreed), professional support in practice (n = 13, 68% agreed), case-based discussions (n = 19, 59% agreed), and learning and teaching of hand surgery (n = 10, 53% agreed). Hand Surgery International was rated as overall easy to use by 15 (79%).</li> <li>L5: Social media allowed for adding/sharing/using skills in hand surgery practice (n = 12, 63% agreed), affected practice (n = 9, 47% agreed), and affected surgeon-reported outcome of patients (n = 7, 37% agreed).</li> </ul>
Elkhayat, Amin, & Thabet, 2018	<ul> <li>Response rate: 83 out of ~1000 (8.3%)</li> <li>Career stage: 7% residents, others staff/unspecified</li> <li>Specialty: 36% cardiothoracic surgery, 17% cardiac surgery,</li> </ul>	Facebook Twitter Instagram YouTube LinkedIn ResearchGate	<ul> <li>Beside personal use, most (84.4%) used social media for advertising and patient education</li> <li>17% had official account beside personal one</li> </ul>	General social media.	L2: Social media was perceived as relevant or helpful for work by 25% of surgeons "sometimes" and by 25% of surgeons "most of the time." This was mainly due to

Cross- sectional survey study	30% thoracic surgery, perfusionist, other non- surgical/residents - Location: 50.6% Egyptian, other unspecified - Practice type: N/A		<ul> <li>Facebook used most (91.6%), then YouTube (68.7%)</li> <li>86.7% subscribed to interest groups discussing cases or medical problems among surgeons</li> </ul>		increased communication with colleagues (84.3%).
Fuoco & Leveridge, 2015 Cross- sectional survey study	<ul> <li>Response rate: 229 out of 504 (45.4%)</li> <li>Career stage (years in practice): &gt;20 (36.2%), 11-20 (33.5%), 5-10 (18.6%), &lt;5 (12.0%)</li> <li>Specialty: Urology</li> <li>Location: Canada</li> <li>Practice type: 54.8% community-based, 45.2% academic</li> </ul>	Facebook Twitter YouTube LinkedIn	<ul> <li>26% reported frequent or daily personal social media use</li> <li>8% reported frequent or daily professional use</li> <li>Services used frequently or daily: YouTube (33.3%), Facebook (19.6%), Twitter (7.6%), blogs (7.1%), and LinkedIn (2.7%)</li> </ul>	General social media.	L2: 67% agreed social media may have merit in interprofessional discussion/teaching. 58.8% accepted social media for use as a simple repository of information. 45.7% felt social is appropriate for discussion of patient cases.
Haberle <i>et</i> <i>al.,</i> 2020 Cross- sectional survey study + interview	<ul> <li>Response rate: 92 interviews (unspecified invites); 59 out of 61 surveys responses (96.7%)</li> <li>Career stage: surgeons (n = 72, 78.3%), residents (n = 18, 19.6%), other unknown</li> <li>Specialty: plastic surgery, ENT, head/neck surgery, oral &amp; maxillofacial surgery, ophthalmology, neurosurgery</li> <li>Interviewee location: Africa (n = 9, 9.8%), Asia-Pacific (n = 22, 23.9%), Latin America (n = 19, 20.7%), Middle East (n = 22, 23.9%), Europe (n = 12, 13.0%), North America (n = 8, 8.7%)</li> </ul>	Facebook YouTube WhatsApp Viber imo	<ul> <li>US surgeons were less likely to have used online resources for professional insights vs. non-US surgeons</li> <li>Surgeons from Africa, Asia, Latin America, and the Middle East (but not the US or Europe) commonly used WhatsApp professionally</li> </ul>	General social media.	L2: Surgeons from Africa, Asia, and Latin America had difficulty accessing resources due to poor connections and/or language barriers. These surgeons desired more downloadable and subtitled resources. US and European surgeons had reduced need for online resources given institutional access to case conferencing, literature search, and surgical consultation systems. Participants agreed that online courses and peer-to- peer consulting (presumably

	<ul> <li>Practice type: teaching hospitals, private clinics, other unspecified</li> </ul>				via social media) would benefit their professional education.
Laurentino et al., 2020 Cross- sectional survey study	<ul> <li>Response rate: 219 responses (unspecified invites)</li> <li>Career stage: practicing (n = 162, 74.0%), residents (n = 43, 19.6%), medical students (n = 14, 6.4%)</li> <li>Specialty: mostly laparoscopic, robotic, and general surgeons</li> <li>Location: South America (n = 190, 86.7%), North America (n = 15, 6.8%), Europe (n = 10, 4.6%), Central America (n = 3, 1.4%), Asia (n = 1, 0.5%)</li> <li>Practice type: N/A</li> </ul>	Facebook Instagram YouTube LinkedIn WhatsApp	<ul> <li>90.9% used social media to watch surgical lectures or engage in surgical discussions during COVID-19</li> </ul>	General social media.	L2: Preferred social media platforms for surgical education were YouTube (n = 73, 33.3%), other/webinars (n = 49, 22.4%), WhatsApp (n = 46, 21.0%), Instagram (n = 30, 13.7%), Facebook (n = 19, 8.7%), LinkedIn (n = 2, 0.9%). Preferred online formats for online surgical education were live webinars with chat (68.5%), streaming videos (17.3%), Instagram Live (6.4%), and other, less popular formats.
Lucatto <i>et</i> <i>al.,</i> 2022 Single- group posttest- only	<ul> <li>Response rate: 54 out of 75 (72.0%)</li> <li>Career stage: 6.81 years mean career length; fellows (n = 21, 38.9%), young surgeons (n = 21, 38.9%), senior surgeons (n = 12, 22.2%)</li> <li>Specialty: vitreoretinal surgery</li> <li>Location: Brazil (n = 39, 72.2%), Dominican Republic (n = 3, 5.6%), Mexico (n = 3, 5.6%); France, Colombia, Argentina, Japan, Canada, Iraq, Chile, Turkey, US (each n = 1, 1.9%)</li> <li>Practice type: N/A</li> </ul>	YouTube	- N/A	English videos posted to YouTube between 2011- 2021 regarding treatment of vitreoretinal diseases, shorter than 10 min, created by professionals for professionals, with minimum 1000 views.	<ul> <li>L2: Utility of videos as an educational tool was rated 3.83 ± 1.16 (mean ± SD) on the Likert scale (1-5). Utility scores differed significantly by group: fellows (3.99), young surgeons (3.87), senior surgeons (3.47).</li> <li>L3: Surgeons learned something new by watching a video in 29.6% of all evaluations. 34.8% of fellows reported learning something new as compared to only 19.2% of senior surgeons (p &lt; 0.05).</li> </ul>

Mota <i>et</i>	- Response rate: 141 out of 256	YouTube	- 139 (98.6%) reported	YouTube videos	L2: Videos were helpful for
al., 2018	(55.1%)		using videos to prepare	and videos from	preparing for surgical cases;
	- Career stage: junior residents		for surgery.	other sources	median helpfulness rating
Cross-	(1-3 years), senior residents (>		- The most used video	(e.g., society	(Likert scale from 1-5) was 4
sectional	3 years), early specialists (1-3		sources were YouTube (n	websites).	among specialists and 5
survey	years), specialists (> 3 years)		= 114, 80.9%), society		among residents (p < 0.001).
study	- Specialty: general surgery,		webpages (n = 86,		Videos were preferred
	orthopedic surgery,		61.0%) <i>,</i> and		method for surgical
	neurosurgery, plastic surgery,		commercially available		preparation (n = 80, 56.7%)
	urology, vascular surgery,		videos (n = 57, 40.4%).		among all participants. Among
	ophthalmology,				specialists, watching videos (n
	otorhinolaryngology,				= 29, 38.7%) was preferred
	obstetrics and gynecology				preparation method after
	- Location: Portugal				reading (n = 35, 46.7%). Most
	- Practice type: N/A				valued characteristics in
					videos were surgeon's
					technical skill, didactic
					illustrations, tips and tricks,
					and narration.
Nathaniel	- Response rate: 87 responses	Facebook	- 82 (94.3%) used social	General social	L5: Social media enhanced
& Adio,	(unspecified invites)	Twitter	media	media.	surgeons' practices (n = 52,
2016	- Career stage: resident (n = 23,	Instagram	- Facebook (n = 72, 82.8%)		59.8%), especially through
	26.4%), diplomate (n = 3,	LinkedIn	and WhatsApp (n = 72,		collaboration and exchange of
Cross-	3.4%), fellow (n = 3, 3.4%),	WhatsApp	82.8%) most used		ideas.
sectional	consultant (n = 58, 66. $/\%$ )		- Of professional social		
survey	- Specialty: ophthalmology		media uses, interacting		
study	- Location: Nigeria		and collaborating with		
	- Practice type: N/A		colleagues was most		
Deves of			frequent (n = 43, 49.4%)	VauTukauidaaa	
Rapp <i>et</i>	- Response rate: 78 out of 86	YouTube	- Most used videos to	You I ube videos	L2: Videos were neiptui in
<i>ai.,</i> 2016	(90.7%) Carpor stago: 0 modical		prepare for surgical $r = 70, 80, 7\%$	and videos from	preparing for surgical cases,
Cross	- Career Stage: 9 medical		$\frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$		with average helpfulness
cruss-	faculty		- videos used mostly mom	(e.g., society	from 1 E
SULVOV	Specialty: general surgery		= Compared to learners	websites)	
survey	- Specially, general surgery		foculty significantly man		
study	- Location: University of Iowa		i acuity significantly more		

	- Practice type: academic		<ul> <li>likely to use society webpages and commercially available videos</li> <li>Faculty used videos to prepare for laparoscopic / thoracoscopic (73%), open (53%), robotic (10%), and endoscopic cases (3%)</li> </ul>		
Redmann, Willging, & Roby, 2020 Cross- sectional survey study	<ul> <li>Response rate: 37 out of 84 (44.0%)</li> <li>Career stage: 26 fellows and 11 fellowship directors</li> <li>Specialty: pediatric ENT</li> <li>Location: US</li> <li>Practice type: academic</li> </ul>	YouTube	<ul> <li>Fellows (n = 25, 96.2%) and fellowship directors (n = 6, 54.5%) used videos to prepare for surgical cases</li> <li>Most common source of videos was YouTube; used by 26 (100.0%) fellows and 7 (63.6%) fellowship directors</li> </ul>	YouTube videos and videos from other sources (e.g., society websites)	L2: Videos were helpful in preparing for surgical cases (overall helpfulness = 3.41 on Likert scale from 1-5). Fellows rated helpfulness as 3.62 compared to 2.90 for fellowship directors (p = 0.09). Videos were most helpful for illustrating technical portions of case (51%), visualization (27%), and reviewing anatomy (24%). Most common drawbacks of videos were poor content quality (59%), irrelevance to institutional surgical approach (19%), and lack of discussion of complications (12%).
Schmidt, Shi, & Sethna, 2016 Cross- sectional	<ul> <li>Response rate: 202 out of 2700 (7.5%)</li> <li>Career stage (years in practice): 0-10 (n = 72, 36.1%), 11-20 (n = 58, 28.7%), &gt;20 (n = 71, 35.1%)</li> <li>Specialty: facial plastic surgery</li> <li>Location: US</li> </ul>	YouTube	<ul> <li>89.9% of respondents indicated likeliness to use an online video library, and 60.0% expressed willingness to pay for such a service</li> <li>Length of experience had significant inverse</li> </ul>	YouTube videos and videos from other sources (e.g., society websites)	<ul> <li>L3: 64.1% of respondents indicated use of social media at least once to learn a new technique, especially for rhinoplasty and injectable procedures.</li> <li>L5: 83.1% of those who used social media at least once to</li> </ul>

survey study	<ul> <li>Practice type: private (n = 149, 73.8%), academic (n = 52, 25.7%)</li> </ul>		correlation with prior use of online streaming media		learn a new technique subsequently applied said technique(s) to their practice.
Wagner <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2018 Cross- sectional survey study	<ul> <li>Response rate: 208 out of 645 (32.2%)</li> <li>Career stage: practicing (n = 132, 63.5%), fellows (n = 2, 1.0%), residents (n = 74, 35.6%)</li> <li>Specialty: all surgeons</li> <li>Location: US</li> <li>Practice type: academic public (n = 120, 57.7%), academic private (n = 71, 34.1%), community teaching (n = 10, 4.8%), community private (n = 7, 3.4%)</li> </ul>	Facebook Twitter LinkedIn WhatsApp Doximity	<ul> <li>46 (22.1%) preferred social media vs. all other means to keep in touch with professional contacts</li> <li>83 (39.9%) had participated in online discussion forums on surgery</li> <li>Respondents younger than 55 years more likely to have positive opinion of social media (p = 0.02)</li> </ul>	General social media.	L2: Overall effects of social media on professional development was perceived as very beneficial (15.6%), somewhat beneficial (54.0%), neutral or irrelevant (21.0%), somewhat detrimental (4.5%), very detrimental (1.0%), or other (4.0%).
Waqas <i>et</i> <i>al.,</i> 2021 Cross- sectional survey study	<ul> <li>Response rate: 178 out of 1160 (15.3%)</li> <li>Career stage: fellows (n = 25, 14.0%), residents (n = 153, 86.0%)</li> <li>Specialty: neurosurgery</li> <li>Location: North America</li> <li>Practice type: academic</li> </ul>	Facebook Twitter Instagram YouTube LinkedIn Reddit TumbIr Pinterest	<ul> <li>Most used social media platforms: Facebook (87.1%), YouTube (84.3%), Instagram (81.5%), Twitter (74.7%)</li> <li>Most common uses: personal social (89.3%), networking (65.2%), academic resources (64.6%)</li> <li>113 (63.5%) reported less than 25% of their time on social was for academic purposes</li> </ul>	General social media.	<ul> <li>L2: Social media was not essential to workflow (n = 91, 51.1%). Academic social media use correlated with increased essence to workflow (p &lt; 0.001).</li> <li>L5: Respondents had neutral views toward influence of social media on patient care and job performance (median = 3/5). Respondents who used social media for academic purposes believed it improved workflow, patient care, and job performance.</li> </ul>