

# **Supporting Information for**

Manipulation of natural transformation by AbaR-type islands promotes fixation of
 antibiotic resistance in *Acinetobacter baumannii* populations

4	Rémi Tuffet <sup>a,b,‡</sup> , Gabriel Carvalho <sup>b‡</sup> , Anne-Sophie Godeux <sup>a,c,‡</sup> , Fanny Mazzamurro <sup>d,e</sup> , Eduardo P. C. Rocha <sup>d</sup> ,
5	Maria-Halima Laaberki <sup>a.c.#@</sup> , Samuel Venner <sup>b.#@</sup> , Xavier Charpentier <sup>a.#.@</sup>
6	<sup>a</sup> CIRI, Centre International de Recherche en Infectiologie, Inserm, U1111, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS,
7	UMR5308, École Normale Supérieure de Lyon, Univ Lyon, 69100, Villeurbanne, France
8 Q	* UMR CNRS 5558 – LBBE "Laboratoire de Biometrie et Biologie Evolutive", Universite Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Villeurbanne,
10	° Université de Lyon, VetAgro Sup, 69280 Marcy l'Etoile, France.
11	<sup>d</sup> Institut Pasteur, Université Paris Cité, CNRS UMR3525, Microbial Evolutionary Genomics, Paris, 75015, France.
12	<sup>d</sup> Collège Doctoral – Sorbonne Université, F-75005 Paris, France – France
13 14	
14	Maria-Halima Laaberki, <u>maria-halima.laaberki@vetagro-sup.fr</u> (experimental work)
16	Samuel Venner, <u>samuel.venner@univ-lyon1.fr</u> (computational work)
17	Xavier Charpentier, <u>xavier.charpentier@univ-lyon1.fr</u>
18	This PDF file includes
19	Supporting text: Computational model
20	Table S1: Gene content and acquisition time of the abaR disrupting comM in strains with abaR-disrupted
21	comM
22	Computation model
23	Table S2: Parameters used in the model.
24	Table S3: Genotypes nomenclature and phenotypes of the 3 sites model.
25	Table S4: Genotypes nomenclature and phenotypes of the 4 sites model.
26	Table S5: Transformation event probabilities used in the model.
27	Table S6: Genotype transition in the 3 sites model.
28	Table S7: Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study.
29	Table S8: Primers used in this study.
30	Figure S1. Strategy and mutant construction to quantify events of acquisition or deletion of a heterologous
31	DNA fragment occurring within mixed populations.
32	Figure S2. Quantification of gene transfer rate (acquisition and deletion, as a function of length) occurring
33	
34	Figure S3. A mathematical model of the <i>A. baumannii</i> population involving HGT by natural transformation.
35 36	<u>Figure S4</u> . Frequency of AbaR islands, island-carrying cells and SNP-carrying cells in fluctuating environments
37	Figure S5 Illustration of the quasi-neutrality between comM: AbaR and <i>pilT</i> : AbaR
57	<u>rigate ee</u> . Indettation of the quasi-neutrality between contrat. Abart and pirt. Abart.

#### 39 **Computational model**

We have developed a stochastic computational model, inspired by an earlier model (1). The model is composed of two compartments: bacterial cells (intracellular compartment) and extracellular DNA (eDNA within the extracellular compartment). Bacteria possess several insertion sites on their chromosome which can be occupied by two types of DNA each. Bacteria can uptake eDNA from the extracellular compartment and replace their own using transformation. Bacteria release DNA into the extracellular compartment upon cell lysis which can be enhanced by a stochastic bactericidal stress.

46 In the first stage, the environmental fluctuations only concern exposure to stress 1 (which occurs randomly, 47 see Fluctuating stress below), for which resistance is conferred by AbaR (Figure 3 and S3). Insertion sites of 48 AbaRs include three genes, comM, pilT and pho. These sites can be occupied by their respective wild-type allele 49 (comM, pilT or pho) or by their respective AbaR genomic island (comM::AbaR, pilT::AbaR or pho::AbaR). In 50 accordance with experimental results, the frequency of natural transformation can be affected by the insertion of 51 an AbaR that inactivates the gene (see Figure 2 and SI appendix Table S2) This frequency is unchanged when 52 the *pho* gene is inactivated, is reduced when the *comM* gene is inactivated and is dropped to 0 when the *pilT* gene is inactivated. The  $n=2^3=8$  different bacterial genotypes, along with their resistance phenotypes, natural 53 54 transformation phenotypes and replication costs are listed in Table S3.

55 In the second stage, we model more complex environmental fluctuations. In addition to stress 1, we have 56 introduced either a new stress that reduces the survival of non-resistant bacteria (see Flucutating stress below), 57 or a change in resource for bacterial growth (bacteria that do not have the allele adapted to this new resource 58 have a lower growth capacity, see Fluctuating resource below). In the latter two cases, adaptation to the new environment is conferred by a new single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) that can be acquired by transformation 59 60 and that integrates into a new site. This additional site can be occupied by a wild type allele or by the SNP. The inactivation of the comM gene, by insertion of an AbaR, only slightly inhibits the acquisition of SNPs by 61 transformation, whereas insertion of an AbaR in the *pilT* gene totally inhibits it (see figure 2C). The  $n=2^4=16$ 62 different bacterial genotypes, along with their resistance phenotypes, natural transformation phenotypes and 63 64 replication costs are listed in Table S4.

#### 65 Model processes

Bacterial population growth follows a logistic model and the number of replicating cells per genotype i and per time step dt,  $G_{i,t+dt}$  is determined using a binomial distribution:

$$68 \qquad G_{i,t+dt} \sim Bin(\mu_{i,t}.dt, N_{i,t}) \qquad (1)$$

69 where 
$$\mu_{i,t} = \left(\mu_{max} - \frac{\mu_{max} - k_b}{K} N_{tot,t}\right) * (1 - c_i).$$
 (2)

 $N_{i,t}$  is the number of cells with the genotype *i* at time *t*.  $\mu_{i,t}$  is the replication rate of genotype *i* at time *t*.  $\mu_{max}$  is the maximal growth rate per capita.  $k_b$  is the constant basal lysis rate per capita, which allows the extracellular compartment to be supplied, independently of the presence of stress.  $N_{tot,t}$  is the total number of cells in the population (considering all genotypes) at time *t*.  $c_i$  is the cost, in terms of cell replication, induced by all DNA types possessed by the genotype *i*. *K* is the carrying capacity. The number of lysed cells per time step  $L_{i,t+dt}$  is calculated using a binomial distribution:

76 
$$L_{i,t+dt} \sim Bin(k_{i,t}, dt, N_{i,t})$$
 (3)

where  $k_{i,t}$  is the lysis rate of genotype *i* at time *t* which depends on both the basal lysis rate and exposure to environmental stress (see Modeling environmental fluctuations). To optimize calculation time, the natural transformation mechanism is modeled as two successive processes. First the number of competent cells engaging in a transformation event during a time step  $C_{i,t+dt}$  is determined using the following binomial distribution:

82 
$$C_{i,t+dt} \sim Bin(T_{i,t}, dt, N_{i,t})$$
 (4)

83 
$$T_{i,t} = T_{max,i} \left\{ \frac{A_{tot,t}}{1 + A_{tot,t}} \right\}$$
 (5)

where  $T_{i,t}$  is the transformation rate at time t,  $T_{max,i}$  is the maximal transformation rate per capita (i.e. the expected rate of transformation in the absence of any limiting constraint: (i) when the eDNA is not limiting, (ii) transformation is not inhibited and (iii) the cell acquires a short sequence - either a WT allele or a SNP - of the genotype i),  $A_{tot,t}$  is the total number of eDNA at time t. 88 Second, the number of competent cells undergoing a transformation event  $C_{i,t+dt}$  is distributed between the 89 different bacterial genotypes using a multinomial distribution as follows:

$$(C_{t+dt}^{i \rightarrow j})_{j=0,\dots,n-1} = Multinomial(C_{i,t+dt}, \gamma_{i,.})$$

where  $\gamma_{i,.}$  is a probability vector that takes into account the relative frequency of the different eDNA molecules, corrected by their acquisition success rate (see Table S5). This success rate depends on both the bacterial genotype (transformation inhibition or not) and the molecule to be integrated (AbaR on the one hand, or SNP and WT on the other) (see Table S6).

Cells undergoing a transformation event change their genotype according to the DNA type integrated (see Table S6). The overall variation of a genotype *i* during a time step is summarized by:

96 
$$N_{i,t+dt} = N_{i,t} + G_{i,t+dt} - L_{i,t+dt} - C_{t+dt}^{i \to i} + C_{t+dt}^{i \to i}$$
(6)

97 where  $C_{t+dt}^{i \rightarrow ii}$  is the number of competent cells going from the genotype *i* to a different genotype and  $C_{t+dt}^{ii \rightarrow ii}$ 98 is the number of cells becoming of genotype *i* from a different genotype.

In the extracellular compartment, eDNA is degraded at a constant rate per capita  $Dg_j$ . The number of degraded eDNA molecules j per time step,  $D_{j,t+dt}$  is determined using a binomial distribution

101 
$$D_{j,t+dt} \sim Bin(Dg_j, dt, A_{j,t})$$
 (7)

where  $A_{j,t}$  corresponds to the number of eDNA of type j. The extracellular compartment is supplied by eDNA from lysed cells, each lysed cell releasing DNA molecules corresponding to their DNA composition. In addition, eDNA is added at a marginal rate simulating residual arrival from neighboring populations (open system), which prevents the total loss of the various eDNAs in the system. The number of eDNA molecules jadded per time step  $M_j$  is defined by:

107 
$$M_j = M_{input, j} * dt$$
 (8)

where  $M_{input, j}$  is the number of molecules of eDNA of type j, is residual and set to be orders of magnitude lower than the DNA released by cell lysis. The overall variation of eDNA of type j in the extracellular compartment during a time step is determined as follows by:

111 
$$A_{j,t+dt} = A_{j,t} - D_{j,t+dt} + M_j + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ L_{i,t+dt}^j - C_{i,t+dt}^j \right]$$
(9)

where  $L_{i,t+dt}^{j}$  is the number of cells of genotype *i* (containing DNA of type *j*) which are lysed and  $C_{i,t+dt}^{j}$  is the number of DNA molecules of type *j* which are acquired by competent cells.

### 114 Modeling environmental fluctuations

#### 115 *Fluctuating stress*

The bacterial population is exposed either to a single stress (stress 1, Figure 3, Figure 4D) or to two stresses (stress 1 and 2, figure 4A, 4B and 4C). In all these simulations AbaRs confer resistance to stress 1 while the SNP confer resistance to stress 2 (Figure 4, rows 1-3).

119 When there is only stress 1 (Figure 3 and 4D), the lysis rate for genotype i at time t is therefore calculated 120 as follows:

121 
$$k_{i,t} = k_b + I_{1,t} * (1 - r_{1,i})$$
 (10)

122 where  $I_{1,t}$  is the intensity of stress 1 at time t,  $r_{1,i}$  is the stress resistance provided by the genotype i.

123 Environmental fluctuations are modeled by a stochastic stress whose duration, frequency and intensity are

variable. Stress 1 is modeled as a sequence of stress-free periods (whose durations are drawn from  $exp(f_1)$ )

125 and stressful periods (whose durations are drawn from  $\exp\left(\frac{1}{d_1}\right)$ ) and stress intensities are drawn from

126 Unif(0,0.75). We set the maximum stress intensity at 0.75 to avoid population extinctions.

127 When there are 2 stresses (AbaR and SNP confer antibiotic resistance, see Figure 4A, 4B, 4C), the lysis 128 rate for genotype *i* at time *t* is calculated as follows:

129 
$$k_{i,t} = k_b + I_{1,t} (1 - r_{1,i}) + I_{2,t} (1 - r_{2,i})$$
 (11)

130  $I_{1,t}, I_{2,t}$  are the intensities of stresses 1 and 2 at time *t* (the term  $I_{1,t}*(1-r_{1,i})+I_{2,t}*(1-r_{2,i})$  is 131 truncated to 1 if necessary),  $r_{1,i}, r_{2,i}$  are the stress resistances of the genotype *i*. Stress 1 increases the lysis 132 rate of cells not carrying AbaRs whereas the lysis rate of cells carrying any AbaR remains at the basal rate  $k_{b}$ . 133 The lysis rate of cells carrying the SNP remains at the basal rate in presence of stress 2 and the lysis rate of 134 cells carrying both AbaR and SNP always remains at the basal rate. Stress 2 is modelled as stress 1 for its
 135 duration, frequency and intensity (see above).

#### 136 *Fluctuating resource*

In the last situation modelled, the SNP confers a selective advantage when the bacterial population has access to a new resource over a given period (Figure 4D). Under this scenario, we consider two SNP: SNP<sub>0</sub> and SNP<sub>1</sub>. SNP<sub>0</sub> is advantageous in environment 0 (it does not incur replication costs) but does not allow optimal exploitation of the resource in environment 1 (increases the replication cost) and inversely for SNP<sub>1</sub>. Unlike the situation with exposure to stress 2, here, the SNPs only influence the replication parameter  $c_i$  (see equation (2)). The environmental switches are modeled as a change from environment 0 to 1, or from environment 1 to 0.

Statistical analysis and figures. All the statistical analyses and figures were produced on R4.3.1 (additional
 packages: ggplot2 (2), forcats (3), ggpubr (4), scales (5)).

### 145 **Table S1: Gene content and acquisition time of the abaR disrupting** *comM* **in strains with abaR-disrupted** *comM*

gembase: genome identifier; Nb.genes: number of genes in abaR; tniC: present if yes otherwise absent; tniA: present if yes otherwise absent; cSL: presence of the left-end conserved sequence if yes otherwise absent, CSR: presence of the right-end conserved sequence if yes otherwise absent, ST : sequence type; Acquisition.node: node at which all genes for the abaR were gained, MGE/Defense system: mobile genetic elements and defense systems detected in the region of interruption, AMR: antimicrobial resistance gene detected in the region of interruption

Nb

gembase	genes	tniC	tniA	orf4	CSL	CSR	ST	Acquisition.node	MGE/Defense system	AMR
ACBA.0922.00001	20	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	1	Node105	ISL3	
ACBA.0922.00002	11	no	yes	yes	no	yes	437	Node95	IS701	
ACBA.0922.00005	16	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	2	Node417	IS91	tetracycline, aminoglycoside, streptomycin
ACBA.0922.00013	8	no	no	yes	no	yes	2	Node417		
ACBA.0922.00017	10	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	15	Node62		
ACBA.0922.00023	16	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	2	Node417	IS91	tetracycline, aminoglycoside, streptomycin
ACBA.0922.00025	23	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	1	Node105		
ACBA.0922.00034	20	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	1	Node105		
ACBA.0922.00051	11	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	39	ACBA.0922.00051		
ACBA.0922.00063	9	no	no	yes	no	yes	437	Node95		

sulfonamide, aminoglycoside, streptomycin, gentamicin, cephalosporin, phenicol, tetracycline,

ACBA.0922.00066	60	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	1	Node105	ISL3, Integron_complete, IS6, ISL3	chloramphenicol
ACBA.0922.00090	33	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	367	ACBA.0922.00090	ISL3	sulfonamide
ACBA.0922.00115	10	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	15	Node62		
ACBA.0922.00133	9	no	no	yes	no	yes	494	ACBA.0922.00133		
							122			
ACBA.0922.00185	9	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	0	ACBA.0922.00185		
ACBA.0922.00200	13	yes	yes	no	yes	no	923	ACBA.0922.00200	IS5	
ACBA.0922.00212	15	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	32	Node11		
ACBA.0922.00241	5	no	no	yes	no	yes	2	Node417	IS91	aminoglycoside, streptomycin
ACBA.0922.00251	10	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	15	Node62		
ACBA.0922.00263	15	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	32	Nodel1		
ACBA.0922.00273	8	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	2	Node417	IS91, NA	aminoglycoside, streptomycin
ACBA.0922.00289	20	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	1	Node105	ISL3, ISL3	
ACBA.0922.00290	16	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	2	Node417	IS91	aminoglycoside, tetracycline, streptomycin
ACBA.0922.00295	10	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	15	Node62		
ACBA.0922.00318	20	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	1	Node105	ISL3, ISL3	
ACBA.0922.00334	10	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	15	Node62		
ACBA.0922.00336	10	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	15	Node62		
ACBA.0922.00338	10	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	15	Node62		
ACBA.0922.00353	17	no	no	yes	no	yes	1	Node105	Integron_complete	sulfonamide, aminoglycoside, streptomycin, gentamicin
ACBA.0922.00356	16	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	2	Node417	IS91	tetracycline, aminoglycoside, streptomycin

ACBA.0922.00361	20	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	20	Node105	ISL3, ISL3	
ACBA.0922.00362	10	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	318	Node62		
ACBA.0922.00365	10	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	632	ACBA.0922.00365		
ACBA.0922.00368	16	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	2	Node417	IS91, IS91	tetracycline, aminoglycoside, streptomycin
ACBA.0922.00372	9	no	no	yes	no	yes	2	Node417	IS91	aminoglycoside, tetracycline, streptomycin
ACBA.0922.00381	20	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	1	Node105		
ACBA.0922.00386	10	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	15	Node62		
ACBA.0922.00387	5	no	no	yes	no	yes	15	Node62		
ACBA.0922.00388	7	no	no	yes	no	yes	745	Node417	IS91	tetracycline, aminoglycoside, streptomycin
							101			
ACBA.0922.00400	15	yes	yes	no	yes	no	7	ACBA.0922.00400	new, new	
ACBA.0922.00406	10	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	84	Node62		
ACBA.0922.00410	31	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	-	ACBA.0922.00410	IS91, IS3	sulfonamide
ACBA.0922.00420	10	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	103	ACBA.0922.00420		
ACBA.0922.00421	16	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	1	Node105		
ACBA.0922.00428	16	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	2	Node417	IS91	tetracycline, aminoglycoside, streptomycin
ACBA.0922.00436	10	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	318	Node62		
ACBA.0922.00440	18	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	2	Node417	IS91	tetracycline, aminoglycoside, streptomycin
ACBA.0922.00446	10	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	15	Node62		
ACBA.0922.00447	10	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	15	Node62		

ACBA.0922.00450	16	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	1	Node105
ACBA.0922.00453	10	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	15	Node62
ACBA.0922.00465	10	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	318	Node62
ACBA.0922.00467	9	yes	yes	no	yes	no	2	Node417
ACBA.0922.00470	10	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	15	Node62
ACBA.0922.00471	15	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	-	Node417
ACBA.0922.00475	16	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	1	Node105
ACBA.0922.00476	33	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	271	ACBA.0922.00476
ACBA.0922.00479	16	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	1	Node105
ACBA.0922.00480	7	yes	yes	no	yes	no	2	Node417
ACBA.0922.00482	10	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	15	Node62

aminoglycoside, tetracycline, streptomycin

#### sulfonamide

### 150 **Table S2: Parameters used in the model.**

151 While the values of time step dt and carrying capacity K are arbitrary, the value of the eDNA input  $M_{input, j}$  is set at 1E2 to add on average one eDNA molecule of

each type *j* at each time step dt. The values of  $\mu_{max}$  and  $k_b$  are chosen so that  $\mu_{max} - k_b = \frac{2^{dt} - 1}{dt}$  to ensure that one time unit corresponds to one generation of AbaR-

153 free cells and in the absence of density dependence and stress exposure. Finally the rate of eDNA degradation  $Dg_j$  is set at 0.16 so that the amount of extracellular

eDNA is divided by 1E5 in 24h as documented in (6) (i.e. 72 generations using the approximation that the generation time is 20 minutes).

Parameter	Description	Value(s)	Unit
dt	Time step	0.01	Unitless
$\mu_{max}$	Maximal growth rate	0.795555	GT <sup>-1</sup>
k <sub>b</sub>	Constant basal lysis rate	0.1	GT <sup>-1</sup>
С	Cost of SNP	0.01 or 0.1	Unitless
K	Carrying capacity	1E7	cells
T <sub>max, i</sub>	Maximal transformation rate of genotype i	1E-3 or 0	GT <sup>-1</sup>
Dg <sub>j</sub>	eDNA degradation rate	0.16∀ <i>j</i>	GT-1
M <sub>input,j</sub>	eDNA molecules input	1E2∀ j	molecules.GT <sup>-1</sup>

# 155 **Table S3: Genotypes of the 3 sites model (***comM***,** *pilT***,** *pho***)**

List of the 8 bacterial genotypes of the 3 sites model, with their simplified name, numbering (used in the transition matrix S5), complete genotype, maximal transformation rate (effective transformation rate depend on bacterial genotype and eDNA types and are listed in Table S5), as well as resistance and replication phenotypes. List of the 6 types of extracellular DNA molecules with their numbering.

Name	Numb	Genotype			Phenotype		Cost C <sub>i</sub>
	er	comM	pilT	pho	Maximal transform ation rate $T_{max,i}$	Resistanc e	
AbaR-free	0	WT	WT	WT	1E-3	No	0
pho::AbaR	1			AbaR	1E-3	Yes	0.01
pilT::AbaR	2		AbaR		0	Yes	0.01
comM::AbaR	4	AbaR			1E-3	Yes	0.01
AbaR-multi	3		AbaR	AbaR	0	Yes	2*0.01
	5	AbaR		AbaR	1E-3	Yes	2*0.01
	6	AbaR	AbaR		0	Yes	2*0.01
	7	AbaR	AbaR	AbaR	0	Yes	3*0.01
Number		0	1	2	3	4	5
eDNA molecule		comM	comM::Aba	pilT	pilT::AbaR	pho	pho::Aba
			R				R

## 160 **Table S4: Genotypes of the 4 sites model (***comM***,** *pilT***,** *pho***, SNP)**

List of the 16 bacterial genotypes of the 4 sites model, with their simplified name, numbering (used in the transition matrix S5), complete genotype, maximal transformation rate, as well as resistance and replication phenotypes.

Name	#	Genoty	be			Maximal transformation	Resistan	ces	Cost C <sub>i</sub>
		comM	pilT	pho	SNP	- rate T <sub>max,i</sub>	Stress 1	Stress 2	-
AbaR-free	0	WT	WT	WT	0	1E-3	No	No	0
AbaR- free+SNP	1	WT	WT	WT	1	1E-3	No	Yes	С
pho::AbaR	2			AbaR	0	1E-3	Yes	No	0.01
pho::AbaR+ SNP	3			AbaR	1	1E-3	Yes	Yes	0.01+c
pilT::AbaR	4		AbaR		0	0	Yes	No	0.01
pilT::AbaR+S NP	5		AbaR		1	0	Yes	Yes	0.01+c
AbaR-multi	6		AbaR	AbaR	0	0	Yes	No	2*0.01
AbaR- multi+SNP	7		AbaR	AbaR	1	0	Yes	Yes	2*0.01+c
comM::AbaR	8	AbaR			0	1E-3	Yes	No	0.01
comM::AbaR +SNP	9	AbaR			1	1E-3	Yes	Yes	0.01+c
AbaR-multi	10	AbaR		AbaR	0	1E-3	Yes	No	2*0.01
AbaR- multi+SNP	11	AbaR		AbaR	1	1E-3	Yes	Yes	2*0.01+c
AbaR-multi	12	AbaR	AbaR		0	0	Yes	No	2*0.01
AbaR- multi+SNP	13	AbaR	AbaR		1	0	Yes	Yes	2*0.01+c
AbaR-multi	14	AbaR	AbaR	AbaR	0	0	Yes	No	3*0.01
AbaR- multi+SNP	15	AbaR	AbaR	AbaR	1	0	Yes	Yes	3*0.01+c
		· 	е	DNA mole	ecules no	omenclature	· 	·	
Number		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
eDNA molecule		comM	comM:: AbaR	pilT	pilT:: AbaR	pho	pho::Ab aR	SNP0	SNP1

164 List of the 8 types of extracellular DNA molecules with their numbering.

### 165 **Table S5: Transformation event probabilities used in the model.**

The probability of success of the transformation undertaken is equal to 1 when (i) the transformation is not 166 inhibited (i.e. when the cell involved in the transformation is of WT or  $\Delta pho$  genotype) and (ii) the cell acquires a 167 short allele (WT or SNP). In other situations, the probability of a successful transformation is lower, either 168 because of the high length of the acquired allele (AbaR acquisition), or because the comM or pilT gene is no 169 170 longer functional ( $\Delta comM$  or  $\Delta pho$ ). The probability of a successful transformation is then calculated as the ratio of the probabilities drawn at random from the normal distributions (mean and SE) obtained from the log-171 transformed frequencies of the experiments (Figure 2). The 'reference' probability (an estimate of the probability 172 173 of transformation without inhibition and corresponding to the acquisition of a short sequence) P1 and P5, differs 174 according to experimental design 1 or 2, respectively. The distributions of the relative probabilities (mean and SD for 1000 draws) are given for information. 175

Experim ental design	Event	Random draw of log- transformed probabilities	Transformation exp	Relative probability	Relative probability distributions (mean±sd)
Experim ental design 1	Deletion of AbaR by WT cells	$P_1 \sim N(-8.415965, 0.26905)$	$Q_1 = \frac{\exp(P_1)}{1 + \exp(P_1)}$	$R_1 = \frac{Q_1}{Q_1} = 1$	
(model of gene transfer, Figure	Acquisition of AbaR by WT cells	$P_2 \sim N(-9.840681, 0.15379)$	$Q_2 = \frac{\exp(P_2)}{1 + \exp(P_2)}$	$R_2 = \frac{Q_2}{Q_1}$	2.57E-1 ±8.28E-2
2B)	Deletion of AbaR by ΔcomM cells	$P_{3} \sim N(-14.19787, 0.29826)$	$Q_3 = \frac{\exp(P_3)}{1 + \exp(P_3)}$	$R_3 = \frac{Q_3}{Q_1}$	3.34E-3 ±1.41E-3
	Acquisition of AbaR by ΔcomM cells	$P_4 \sim N(-16.39911, 0.23570)$	$Q_4 = \frac{\exp(P_4)}{1 + \exp(P_4)}$	$R_4 = \frac{Q_4}{Q_1}$	3.72E-4 ±1.37E-4
Experim ental design 2	Acquisition of SNP by WT cells	$P_5 \sim N(-11.75745, 0.34040)$	$Q_5 = \frac{\exp(P_5)}{1 + \exp(P_5)}$	$R_5 = \frac{Q_5}{Q_5} = 1$	
of gene and allelic transfer, Figure 2C)	Acquisition of SNP by ΔcomM cells	$P_6 \sim N(-13.70786, 0.21012)$	$Q_6 = \frac{\exp(P_6)}{1 + \exp(P_6)}$	$R_5 = \frac{Q_6}{Q_5}$	2.04E-1 ±6.04E-2

## **Table S6: Matrix of transformation probabilities γ (3 sites model)**

177 Matrix of transition probabilities from an initial genotype to a new genotype by natural transformation for the 3 insertion site model. The probabilities shown in the

table are the probabilities of transformation once a cell and an eDNA molecule have met. The sum of probabilities is therefore equal to 1 for each line because in the

179 event of non-transformation, the genotype of the cell remains unchanged. For the model with 4 insertion sites (3 AbaR and 1 SNP), the γ matrix is constructed in a

180 similar way, but is 16x16 in size.

	Final genotype	AbaR-free	pho::AbaR	pilT::AbaR	AbaR-multi	comM::AbaR	AbaR-multi	AbaR-multi	AbaR-multi
Initial genotyp	e	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
AbaR-free	0	$1 - \sum_{j \neq i} \gamma_{0,j}$	$R_2 \frac{A_{5,t}}{A_{tot,t}}$	$R_2 \frac{A_{3,t}}{A_{tot,t}}$	0	$R_2 \frac{A_{1,t}}{A_{tot,t}}$	0	0	0
pho::AbaR	1	$R_1 \frac{A_{4,t}}{A_{tot,t}}$	$1 - \sum_{j \neq i} \gamma_{1,j}$	0	$R_2 \frac{A_{3,t}}{A_{tot,t}}$	0	$R_2 \frac{A_{1,t}}{A_{tot,t}}$	0	0
pilT::AbaR	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
AbaR-multi	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
comM::AbaR	4	$R_3 \frac{A_{0,t}}{A_{tot,t}}$	0	0	0	$1 - \sum_{j \neq i} \gamma_{4,j}$	$R_4 \frac{A_{5,t}}{A_{tot,t}}$	$R_4 \frac{A_{3,t}}{A_{tot,t}}$	0
AbaR-multi	5	0	$R_3 \frac{A_{0,t}}{A_{tot,t}}$	0	0	$R_3 \frac{A_{4,t}}{A_{tot,t}}$	$1 - \sum_{j \neq i} \gamma_{5,j}$	0	$R_4 \frac{A_{3,t}}{A_{tot,t}}$
AbaR-multi	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
AbaR-multi	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

# 181 Table S7: Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study

strains used for the measure of acquisition of a single nucleotide polymorphism           M2 WT         (7)         A. nosocomialis         M2 Wild-type strain	
M2 WT (7) <i>A. nosocomialis</i> M2 Wild-type strain	
M2 comM::[sacB aacC4]         This study         Sucrose sensitive and apramycin resistant	
M2 ΔcomM This study Deletion into the comM gene	
M2 pho::[sacB aacC4] This study Sucrose sensitive and apramycin resistant	
M2 <i>Apho</i> This study Deletion into the <i>pho</i> gene	
M2 attn7::[lacZ aphA] This study Natural transformation with assembly PCR	
M2 ΔcomM attn7::[lacZ aphA] This study Kanamycin resistant	
M2 Δpho attn7::[lacZ aphA]         This study         Kanamycin resistant	
M2 <i>pilT::km</i> (8) Not naturally transformable ; Kanamycin resistant	
M2 <i>rpoB</i> (Rif <sup>R</sup> ) This study Spontaneous mutation in <i>rpoB</i> gene ; Rifampicin resistant	
M2 rpoB(Rif*) comEC::aaC4 This study Rifampicin resistant, apramycin resistant	
Strains used for Dacterial competitions	
(9) Fludiescent, aplantych resistant	
M2 comM::[AbaR4] This study Natural transformation with genomic DNA from A. baumannii 40288 strain	
M2 comM::[AbaR1] This study Natural transformation with genomic DNA from A. baumannii AYE strain	
AYE WT (10) <i>A. baumannii</i> AYE Wild-type strain	
M2 comM::/AbaR4] hu-sfgfp aacC4         This study         Fluorescent ; apramycin resistant	
M2 comM::[AbaR1] hu-sfgfp aacC4         This study         Fluorescent ; apramycin resistant	
M2 AcomM hu-sfgfp aacC4 This study Fluorescent ; apramycin resistant	
Strains used for the measure of acquisition and deletion of the L tragment	
M2 pno::[AbaR4] Inis study Natural transformation with genomic DNA from A. baumannii AB0057 strain	
M2 comM::[sacB aacC4] pho::[AbaR4] This study Sucrose sensitive and apramycin resistant	
M2 AcomM pho::[AbaR4] Inis study Deletion into the comM gene	
M2 Acommut pho: [AbaR4 SaCb aacc4] Ins study Internediate genotype	
M2 Acomm pho. [AbaPd this (saR acCd) This study Internetiate genotype	
M2 ΔcomM pho::[AbaR4 tniA1(Oc) Δ'aacC4] This study Intermediate genotype	
M2 ΔcomM pho::[AbaR4 tniA1(Oc) aacC4:: This study Intermediate genotype [aphA Lj)]	
M2 $rpoB(Rif^{R})$ $\Delta comM pho::[AbaR4 tniA1(Oc)]$ This study acC4::(aphA Li)] Recipient strain for deletion ; deletion into the comM gene : Rifampicin resistant	М
M2 comEC::tetA pho::[AbaR4 This study Donor strain for acquisition ; not naturally	
tniA1(Oc) aacC4::(aphA Lj)] transformable	
M2 ΔcomM pho::[AbaR4 tniA1(Oc) aacC4 This study Intermediate genotype	
M2 rpoB(Rif <sup>R</sup> ) ΔcomM pho::[AbaR4 tniA1(Oc) This study Recipient strain for acquisition ; deletion into the co. aacC4 ΔLj] Recipient strain for acquisition ; deletion into the co. gene ; Rifampicin resistant	οmΜ
M2 comEC::tetA ΔcomM pho::[AbaR4 This study Donor strain for deletion ; not naturally transformabl triA1(Oc) aacC4 ΔLj]	le
M2 pho::[AbaR tniA1(Oc) aacC4::(aphA L)] This study Intermediate genotype	
M2 rpoB(Rif <sup>R</sup> ) comM <sup>+</sup> pho::[AbaR4 tniA1(Oc) This study Recipient strain for deletion ; rifampicin resistant	
M2 com/ pho://AbaR4 tniA1(Oc) aacC4 ΔLi] This study Intermediate genotype	
M2 rpoB(Rif <sup>R</sup> ) comM <sup>+</sup> pho::[AbaR4 tniA1(Oc) This study Recipient strain for acquisition ; Rifampicin resistan aacC4 ΔLj]	nt
Plasmids	
pASG-4 (9) Not replicative in <i>Acinetobacter</i>	
pMHL-7 Contains the [sacB aacC4] cassette	
pMHL-2 (9) Contains the aacC4 gene	
pXDC116 Derivative of pXDC61 (11) Contains the Kan <sup>R</sup> cassette	

# 182 Table S8: Primers used in this study

Primer name	Sequence 5' to 3'	Template ; annealing site	Use (genetic construct)
mlo-104	AAGATATCGGTCTCCAAGC	M2 genomic DNA ; rpoB gene	<i>rpoB</i> (Rif <sup>R</sup> )
mlo-105	AGTACGGCCTTCGTCAT	M2 genomic DNA ; rpoB gene	rpoB(Rif <sup>R</sup> )
mlo-97	ATACCGCCGTAGAATGCC	M2 genomic DNA ; 2-kbp	ΔcomM
comM-	TTAAGAGTGATTACCTCGATAAGA	M2 genomic DNA ; 3' of the	ΔcomM
asg-100	AATTTCCAGTGCACGACG	M2 genomic DNA : <i>comM</i> gene	ΔcomM
asq-76	CGGCGTGCACTAGAAATTCCACGGGTGAAATTAC	M2 genomic DNA ; <i>comM</i> gene	ΔcomM
Apra-For	ATCAAGGCCCGATCCTTGGAGCCCTTG	pMHL-2; Begining of aacC4 gene	[aacC4 ΔL] ; aacC4::aphA
mlo-29	TCATGAGCTCAGCCAATCGACTGG	pMHL-2; End of Apra <sup>R</sup> cassette	[aacC4 ΔL] ; [sacB aacC4] ; [AbaR4 aacC4:: (aphA L)] (L=2, 4-kbp)
asg-58	ATCACCCATCACATATACCTGCCG	pMHL-2 ; Upstream sacB gene	[sacB aacC4]
asg-85	ACCCTGTATTTCACGTAG	M2 genomic DNA ; 2-kbp Upstream <i>pho</i> gene	pho::[sacB aacC4] ; pho:: [AbaR4 sacB aacC4] ; Дрho
asg-86	CGGCAGGTATATGTGATGGGTGATTACGACGGCTCACATATT GGTC	M2 genomic DNA ; <i>pho</i> gene	pho::[sacB aacC4] ; pho:: [AbaR4 sacB aacC4] ; Дрho
asg-87	CCAGTCGATTGGCTGAGCTCATGACTAAAGCAAGCGGTG	M2 genomic DNA ; <i>pho</i> gene	pho::[sacB aacC4]
asg-88	AACCAGCAATAACACC	M2 genomic DNA ; 2-kbp Downstream <i>pho</i> gene	pho::[sacB aacC4] ; pho:: [AbaR4 sacB aacC4] ; Δpho ; pho::[AbaR4 Δ'aacC4]
asg-97	ATCACCCATCACATATACCTGCCGTTGGGACGCAATTGG	M2 genomic DNA ; pho gene	Δpho
asg-108		AbaR4 island	pho::[AbaR4 sacB aacC4] ; pho::[AbaR4 Δ'aacC4] ; [AbaR4 aacC4::(aphA L)] (L=2, 10-kbp)
asg-137	CGGCAGGTATATGTGATGGGTGATGTCAGTTCCACAAATAAA TCAGAGT	M2 genomic DNA ; Begining of 3'half <i>pho</i> gene	pho::[AbaR4 sacB aacC4]
asg-77b	GTCAGTTCCACAAATAAATCAGAGT	M2 genomic DNA ; Begining of 3'half <i>pho</i> gene	pho::[AbaR4 Δ'aacC4]
asg-138 mlo-74	ACTCTGATTTATTIGTGGTGACTCATGCCCTCGTGGTCAG TGACAGAGCTGACGCC	pMHL-2 ; <i>aacC4</i> gene M2 genomic DNA ; Begining of <i>pho</i> gene	pho::[AbaR4 Δ'aacC4] tniA::[sacB aacC4] ; tniA1(Oc)
asg-159	GACCACATTGGGTTATAGAATGATACGACTA	AbaR4 island ; tniA gene	tniA1(Oc)
asg-160	TAGTCGTATCATTCTATCCAATGTGGTTGTC	AbaR4 island ; <i>tniA</i> gene	tniA1(Oc)
asg-161		AbaR4 island ; <i>tniA</i> gene	tniA::[sacB aacC4]
asg-162		AbaR4 island ; <i>tniA</i> gene	tniA::[sacB aacC4]
R18		AbaR4 island	tniA::[sacB aacC4] ; tniA1(Oc)
comEC- For		M2 genomic DNA ; comEC gene	comEC::aacC4
asg-67		M2 genomic DNA ; 2-kb upstream <i>comEC</i> gene	comEC::tetA
comEC- Rev		M2 genomic DNA ; <i>comEC</i> gene	comEC::aacC4 ; comEC::tetA
asg-168b	GGAAGTATCAGAATTGGTTAATCAATGATATGTTGCTCAG	M2 genomic DNA ; comEC gene	comEC::tetA
asg-169		M2 genomic DNA ; comEC gene	comEC::tetA
asg-148		piviHL-2; aacU4 gene	aacU4::apnA
kan P		pXDC116 ; Kap <sup>R</sup> cassette	
R3		AbaR4 island	Addute:.apriA
F4 2		AhaR4 island	(L=2-kbp) [AbaR aacC4:(aphA L)]
01223			(L=2-kbp)
Rev			(L=4-kbp)
K0			[ADAR4 aacC4::(apnA L)] (L=4-kbp)
F6.2		ADAR4 Island	[AbaR4 aacC4::(aphA L)] (L=4-kbp)
F7		AbaR4 island	[AbaR4 aacC4::(aphA L)] (L=6-kbp)
R7	CAAGGGCICCAAGGAICGGGCCTTGATAGATCATCGCAGTA GAC	AbaR4 island	[AbaR4 aacC4::(aphA L)] (L=6-kbp)
F8.2		ADaR4 Island	[AbaR4 aacC4::(aphA L)] (L=6-kbp)

asg-107	TCTTTCGTATCTGAATTTCCACG	AbaR4 island	[AbaR4 aacC4::(aphA L)] (L=6-kbp)
asg-84	CATTCCAATAAGTTCGACTTCTG	AbaR4 island	[AbaR4 aacC4::(aphA L)] (I =8-kbp)
R9	CAAGGGCTCCAAGGATCGGGCCTTGATTGTTACTTGGGTG	AbaR4 island	[AbaR4 aacC4::(aphA L)] (I =8-kbp)
F10.2	CCTTCTTCACGAGGCAAGTACCAGAAGC	AbaR4 island	[AbaR4 aacC4::(aphA L)] (I =8-kbp)
oxa23-D	CAGTGCTTTTAGTTGTTGTGA	bla <sub>OXA-23</sub> gene	[AbaR4 aacC4::(aphA L)] (I =8-kbp)
F11	AAAGCGTATCTTGCTG	AbaR4 island	[AbaR4 aacC4::(aphA L)] (I =10-kbp)
R11	CAAGGGCTCCAAGGATCGGGCCTTGATTCGCCATGGCAGT G	AbaR4 island	[AbaR4 aacC4::(aphA L)] (L=10-kbp)
F12.2	CCTTCTTCACGAGGCAAACTGCCATGGCG	AbaR4 island	[AbaR4 aacC4::(aphA L)] (L=10-kbp)
F13	TTTCTCAGATACAGCC	AbaR4 island	[AbaR4 aacC4::(aphA L)] (L=12-kbp)
R13	CAAGGGCTCCAAGGATCGGGCCTTGATAAGCACCGTAATTC TC	AbaR4 island	[AbaR4 aacC4::(aphA L)] (L=12-kbp)
F14.2	CCTTCTTCACGAGGCAATGAGAATTACGGTGC	AbaR4 island	[AbaR4 aacC4::(aphA L)] (L=12-kbp)
asg-79	AGAAGTCGTTATTGG	AbaR4 island	[AbaR4 aacC4::(aphA L)] (L=12-kbp)
mlo-111	CACTAGAAGCGCCAAGTACGA	AbaR4 island	[AbaR4 aacC4::(aphA L)] (L=14-kbp)
R15	CAAGGGCTCCAAGGATCGGGCCTTGATATCTGAGAGACGC	AbaR4 island	[AbaR4 aacC4::(aphA L)] (L=14-kbp)
F16.2	CCTTCTTCACGAGGCATTTCTCAGATACAGCC	AbaR4 island	[AbaR4 aacC4::(aphA L)] (L=14-kbp)
R16	ATGGAAGCACCGTAATTC	AbaR4 island	[AbaR4 aacC4::(aphA L)] (L=14-kbp)
F17	TGTCATTTACAGCAATAGAATAGAG	AbaR4 island	[AbaR4 aacC4::(aphA L)] (L=16-kbp)
R17	CAAGGGCTCCAAGGATCGGGCCTTGATACAAGCTTTACTGA TGTG	AbaR4 island	[AbaR4 aacC4::(aphA L)] (L=16-kbp)
F18.2	CCTTCTTCACGAGGCAATCAGTAAAGCTTGTC	AbaR4 island	[AbaR4 aacC4::(aphA L)] (L=16-kbp)
R18	TGAGAGACGCTACTC	AbaR4 island	[AbaR4 aacC4::(aphA L)] (L=16-kbp)



Figure S1. Strategy and mutant construction to quantify events of acquisition or deletion of a heterologous DNA fragment occuring within mixed populations.

A. Genotypes of the M2 AbaR4-Lj/ $\Delta$ Lj couples used to quantify events of acquisition or deletion. In the M2 AbaR4-Lj genotype, the *j* insert of length *L* is part of an AbaR4 inserted in the *pho* gene, is delimited by the two halves of an *aacC4* apramycin resistance gene (*aacC4*' and '*aacC4*) and contains an *aphA* aminoglycoside resistance gene inserted directly downstream the 3'half of *aacC4*. In the M2 AbaR4- $\Delta$ Lj genotype, the *j* fragment has been removed to reconstruct the *aacC4* gene. The acquisition of *j* induces the expression of the *aphA* gene and the interruption of the *aacC4* gene leading to a kanamycin resistant/apramycin sensitive phenotype, whereas its deletion induces the reconstruction of an intact *aacC4* gene and the elimination of the *aphA* gene

leading to a kanamycin sensitive/apramycin resistant phenotype.

B. Chromosomal modifications used to generate the genotypes carrying the *i* fragment with a variable length. The A. nosocomialis M2 wild-type strain was modified to insert a large AbaR4 island into the pho gene (step 1). This was achieved by transforming the M2 pho::[sacB aacC4] intermediate with purified genomic DNA extracted from the A. baumannii AB0057 strain which naturally carries the AbaR4 island inserted into its pho gene. To avoid any transposition of the AbaR4 island, a point mutation leading to the generation of a stop codon and a change into the open reading frame was inserted into the coding sequence of the tniA gene of the AbaR4. generating the M2 pho::[AbaR4 tniA1(Oc)] strain (step 2). The M2 pho::[AbaR4 tniA1(Oc) Δ'aacC4] strain was then obtained by transforming the M2 pho:: [AbaR4 tniA1(Oc) sacB aacC4] intermediate with the 3'half of the aacC4 gene resulting to its insertion between the end of the AbaR4 and the 3'half of the pho gene (step 3). This parental strain was then transformed with different genetic constructs composed of the 5'half of the aacC4 gene and a kanamycin resistance gene (aphA) flanked by 2-kbp regions that are homologous to different insertion sites of the AbaR4. It generated 8 different M2 pho::[AbaR4 tniA1(Oc) aacC4::(aphA Lj)] genotypes in which an heterologous DNA fragment labelled j, had a variable L length of 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14 and 16-kbp (step 4). Finally, each of these genotypes, renamed M2 AbaR-Li, were transformed with a PCR product of the aacC4 gene to remove the *i* fragment and reconstruct the intact *aacC4* gene (step 5). The resulting M2 pho::[AbaR4 tniA1(Oc) aacC4  $\Delta L_i$ ] genotypes were renamed M2 AbaR4- $\Delta L_i$ . The  $\Delta comM$ derivatives were obtained by transforming the M2  $\Delta comM$  strain with genomic DNA extracted from the different M2 AbaR4-Li and M2 AbaR4- $\Delta$ Li strains.





A. and B. Genotypes of derivatives used as recipient or donor to generate the acquisition and the deletion of the *j* fragment. Acquisition events were obtained by naturally transforming M2 AbaR4- $\Delta Lj$  *rpoB2*(Rif<sup>R</sup>) recipient cells with non-transformable M2 AbaR4-*Lj comEC::tetA* and deletion events were obtained by naturally transforming M2 AbaR4-*Lj rpoB2*(Rif<sup>R</sup>) recipient cells with non-transformable M2 AbaR4-*Lj comEC::tetA* and deletion events were obtained by naturally transforming M2 AbaR4-*Lj rpoB2*(Rif<sup>R</sup>) recipient cells with non-transformable M2 AbaR4- $\Delta Lj$  *comEC::tetA*. Natural transformation frequencies of acquisition (C) or deletion (C) of a heterologous *j* fragment with variable length in mixed culture. Transformant frequencies are represented using a log transformation of the ratio of the number of transformants to the number of total recipient cells. The genetic backgrounds of the *comM* gene are represented for acquisition and for deletion of the *j* fragment (*comM*<sup>+</sup> in black and  $\Delta comM$  in blue). Detection limit (*F*=-8) is indicated by the light grey area. Experimental events, considered as under this limit, were given the detection limit value. A regression linear model is represented for each condition, as a full line when the slope is significantly different from 0 or as a pointed line if not. Transformant frequencies represent the ratio between the number of transformants and the total number of recipient cells. The limit of detection for transformants frequencies is indicated by the grey area (*F* <  $10^{-8}$ ).



**Figure S3. A mathematical model of** *A. baumannii* population involving HGT by natural transformation. A. Schematic representation of the stochastic computational model for modeling AbaR dynamics within a bacterial population. The model comprises two compartments, one composed of bacterial cells, the other of extracellular DNA (eDNA). The bacterial population grows according to a logistic model. The bacterial cells have three AbaR insertion site in their chromosome (*comM*, *pilT*, *pho*) at which two types of alleles from the eDNA compartment can be integrated by

transformation and replace their current DNA: wild-type allele and AbaR carrying resistance (*comM*::AbaR, *pilT*::AbaR, *pho*::AbaR). The integration of a WT allele is costless for cells, whereas the integration of AbaR causes a decrease of cell replication. Bacterial populations are faced with stochastic stresses of random duration, frequency and intensity. In the absence of stress, cells are lysed at a basal rate. Under stress exposure, the lysis rate of WT cells increases but remains unchanged for cells with an AbaR carrying resistance. Each lysed cell releases its DNA and fuels the extracellular compartment with eDNA. AbaR and WT alleles are constantly added to the extracellular environment at a marginal rate simulating residual arrival from neighboring populations. The WT alleles and AbaR are degraded at a constant rate in the extracellular compartment.

B. Examples of simuled dynamics of bacterial genotypes carrying AbaR (only one type per simulation) in competition with AbaR-free cells (wild-type) represented as Mueller plots. Simulations were initialized with an equal number of AbaR-free cells and AbaR-carrying cells (either *pho*::AbaR - first column-, or *comM*::AbaR -second column-, or *pilT*::AbaR -third column-) and with an equal number of each DNA types. As AbaRs are site-specific (for example, an AbaR specialising in insertion into the pho gene cannot insert into the *comM* or *pilT* gene), the results in each column relate to only one AbaR type. First row, when AbaRs carry no cost and confer no antibiotic resistance. Second row, when AbaRs carry a cost on fitness (0.01) and confer resistance to a stress, but no stress is applied. Third and fourth rows, same as in the second row, but a stress is applied either continuously or stochastically.



# Figure S4. Frequency of AbaR islands, island-carrying cells and SNP-carrying cells in fluctuating environments.

This figure is an extension of figure 4 and corresponds to the results of the competition between the three types of AbaR islands, which differ in their insertion strategy (initial number of cells: AbaR-free = K/10, initial number of each eDNA type = K), with K being the carrying capacity. Environmental fluctuations have two components: the bacterial population is exposed to stochastic stress 1 (to which resistance is conferred by AbaR) and another environmental change 2 (to which advantage is conferred by a SNP acquired by allelic transfer). The grey boxes show the dynamics of environmental change 2 (left column). In panels B, F, J, environmental change 2 corresponds to stochastic exposure to a new stress (stress 2) which from top to bottom are (B) rare and short (mean peak duration d=40, mean peak frequency f=2.5E-4), (F) frequent and long (mean peak duration d=320, mean peak frequency f=1E-3), and (J) frequent and long over a short period (20000-30000 time units). Lastly, environmental change 2 is not a stress but a change in resource availability occurring between 20000 and 30000 time units, or occuring between times 10000 and 40000, respectively (panel N and R). The ratio of bacterial genotypes carrying AbaR were assessed as a function of stress 1 frequency and duration. In addition to the information in Figure 4, this figure shows the frequency of AbaR-carrying cells (5th column) and the frequency of SNP-carrying cells (6th column). This figure also shows the results obtained when the cost of the SNP in terms of cell replication is low (0.01) (bottom panel), which are gualitatively similar to those presented in the main text (high cost equal to 0.1, top panel). However, when the period with the new resource is of short duration and the SNP costs are low (panel N at the bottom), there is no obvious advantage of comM::AbaR over pilT::AbaR. In the complete absence of SNP costs, we would have the same situation as in Figure 3 (without stress 2). In that sense, for a low SNP cost (0.01), and if the duration of exposure to the new environment is short (panel N) we obtain the same situation as in Figure 3. On the contrary, if exposure to the new environment is long enough, the advantage of comM::AbaR (which can acquire the advantageous SNP) has a significant effect and comM::AbaR can become fixed (panel R).



В



**Figure S5. Illustration of the quasi-neutrality between** *comM***::AbaR and** *pilT***::AbaR (panel A) and the variability between simulations in a given regime of environmental fluctuations (panel B).** A. The quasi-neutrality between *comM*::AbaR and *pilT*::AbaR is illustrated from their long-term dynamics under continuous stress (intensity 0.5). Under the initial conditions, the two genotypes are represented at the same frequency (K/2 each and K molecules of each eDNA types). Left panel: 25 independent dynamics. Right panel: Mean and confidence interval (95%) of the 25 simulations. We show that over the very long term, we can detect a small

selective advantage of AbaR inserting into the *pilT* gene (which completely inhibits transformation and therefore cannot be eliminated from the genome) over AbaR inserting into *comM* (which considerably - but not totally - reduces the probability of being eliminated). This selective advantage selective advantage is so small that the extinction of *comM*::AbaR never occurs in any trajectory (which lasts 1E6 time units equivalent to 1E6 generations without density dependence) and that the extinction of *comM*::AbaR in all trajectories would require an infinitely long time. B. The variability between simulations under a given regime of environmental fluctuations is illustrated from 50 simulations of the 4-sites model, with stress 2 being rare and short (Figure 4A). The different panels show the frequency distribution of the 3 AbaR genotypes (violin diagram and dots) with different stress 1 regimes. Note that when stress 1 is frequent (5E-4 or 1E-3), the *pho*::AbaR genotype becomes fixed or extinct, depending on the distribution of stress 2 along the trajectory. In that sense, the *pho*::AbaR genotype has an advantage when stress 2 becomes frequent (see figure 4B).

### 183 SI References

- 1. G. Carvalho, *et al.*, Bacterial Transformation Buffers Environmental Fluctuations through the Reversible Integration of Mobile Genetic Elements. *mBio* **11** (2020).
- 2. H. Wickham, ggplot2. WIREs Computational Statistics 3, 180–185 (2011).
- 3. H. Wickham, Tools for Working with Categorical Variables (Factors). (2023). Available at: https://forcats.tidyverse.org/.
- 4. A. Kassambara, ggpubr: "ggplot2" Based Publication Ready Plots. (2023). Available at: https://rpkgs.datanovia.com/ggpubr/.
- 5. H. Wickham, T. L. Pedersen, D. Seidel, scales: Scale Functions for Visualization. Available at: https://scales.r-lib.org.
- 6. J. B. Harrison, J. M. Sunday, S. M. Rogers, Predicting the fate of eDNA in the environment and implications for studying biodiversity. *Proc Biol Sci* **286**, 20191409 (2019).
- 7. C. Niu, K. M. Clemmer, R. A. Bonomo, P. N. Rather, Isolation and Characterization of an Autoinducer Synthase from Acinetobacter baumannii. *J. Bacteriol.* **190**, 3386–3392 (2008).
- 8. G. Wilharm, J. Piesker, M. Laue, E. Skiebe, DNA uptake by the nosocomial pathogen Acinetobacter baumannii occurs during movement along wet surfaces. *Journal of Bacteriology* **195**, 4146–4153 (2013).
- 9. A.-S. Godeux, *et al.*, Fluorescence-Based Detection of Natural Transformation in Drug-Resistant Acinetobacter baumannii. *Journal of Bacteriology* **200**, e00181-18 (2018).
- 10. P.-E. Fournier, *et al.*, Comparative genomics of multidrug resistance in Acinetobacter baumannii. *PLoS Genet.* **2**, e7 (2006).
- 11. X. Charpentier, *et al.*, Chemical genetics reveals bacterial and host cell functions critical for type IV effector translocation by Legionella pneumophila. *PLoS Pathog* **5**, e1000501 (2009).