FAILURE RATES IN DIFFERENT CLASSIFICATIONS (GROUP 0-36)

Study	Events Total	failure rate in subset Graf 4	Proportion 95%-CI
(ubo 2019	13 58		0.22 [0.13; 0.35]
ospischill 2012	14 54		0.26 [0.15; 0.40]
Arneill 2021	22 35		0.63 [0.45; 0.79]
alathi - US 2020	18 26		0.69 [0.48; 0.86]
		_	
Random effects model Prediction interval	173		0.43 [0.15; 0.77] [0.01; 0.98]
leterogeneity: <i>1</i> ² = 89% [74	%; 95%], τ ² = 0.7415, <i>p</i> ·	<0.01 0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1	
Study	Events Total	failure rate in subset IHDI 2	Proportion 95%-CI
Yuan 2020	0 31	• <u> </u>	0.00 [0.00; 0.11]
Alassaf 2018	1 24	÷	0.04 [0.00; 0.21]
Morris 2021	0 11	+	0.00 [0.00; 0.28]
Sankar 2019	0 7	F	0.00 [0.00; 0.41]
Talathi - X-ray 2020	1 11		0.09 [0.00; 0.41]
Ramo 2018	8 44		0.18 [0.08; 0.33]
Random effects model	128	\sim	0.04 [0.00; 0.26]
Prediction interval			[0.00; 0.65]
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$ [0%	%; 75%], $\tau^2 = 1.1802$, $p =$	0.78	
		0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1	
Study	Events Total	failure rate in subset IHDI 3	Proportion 95%-Cl
Sankar 2019	3 32		0.09 [0.02; 0.25]
Yuan 2020	10 94		0.11 [0.05; 0.19]
Alassaf 2018	7 56		0.12 [0.05; 0.24]
Morris 2021	10 55		0.18 [0.09; 0.31]
Ramo 2018	35 113		0.31 [0.23; 0.40]
Talathi - X-ray 2020	17 53		0.32 [0.20; 0.46]
Random effects model	403		0.18 [0.10; 0.30]
Prediction interval	an and 2		[0.04; 0.53]
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 76\%$ [4	ö%; 89%], τ⁻ = 0.2712, <i>p</i>	< 0.01 0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1	
Study	Events Total	failure rate in subset IHDI 4	Proportion 95%-Cl
Yuan 2020	6 62		0.10 [0.04; 0.20]
Sankar 2019	3 18		0.17 [0.04; 0.41]
Alassaf 2018	37 84		0.44 [0.33; 0.55]
Morris 2021	24 50		0.48 [0.34; 0.63]
Ramo 2018	44 78	— 7 —	0.56 [0.45; 0.68]
Talathi - X-ray 2020	35 62	— • •	0.56 [0.43; 0.69]
Random effects model Prediction interval			0.37 [0.18; 0.61] [0.04; 0.89]
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 86\%$ [7	$(1\%; 93\%), \tau^2 = 0.7690, p$	< 0.01 0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1	-

Study	Events ⁻	Total	failure rate in subset Tönnis 2	Proportion	95%-CI
_i 2019	2	136		0.01	[0.00; 0.05]
_i 2018	9	202	+-	0.04	[0.02; 0.08]
Elghobashy 2021	2	33		0.06	[0.01; 0.20]
Daoud (36) 1996	1	14		0.07	[0.00; 0.34]
Tennant 2016	5	66			[0.03; 0.17]
Huang 1997	4	13			[0.09; 0.61]
Ramo 2018	51	160			[0.25; 0.40]
Sucato 2017	51	160			[0.25; 0.40]
Random effects model Prediction interval Heterogeneity: / ² = 91% [8-		784		0.10	[0.04; 0.25] [0.01; 0.70]
			0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1		
Study	Events	Total	failure rate in subset Tönnis 3	Proportion	95%-CI
Li 2019	15	173	* :	0.09	[0.05; 0.14]
Tennant 2016	10	61			[0.08; 0.28]
Daoud (36) 1996	2	11			[0.02; 0.52]
Barakat 2017	2	7	,		[0.04; 0.71]
Huang 1997	1	3			[0.01; 0.91]
Ramo 2018	18	43			[0.27; 0.58]
Sucato 2017	18	43			[0.27; 0.58]
Random effects model		341		0.02	[0 10. 0 41]
Prediction interval		341		0.23	[0.12; 0.41] [0.04; 0.70]
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 83\%$ [6	370/ . 0.00/ 1	$r^2 = 0.5212$ m			[0.04, 0.70]
Helefogeneity. 7 = 65% [c	₩ %°, 92 %°],	$\tau = 0.5213, p$	0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1		
Study	Events	Total	failure rate in subset Tönnis 4	Proportion	95%-CI
Barakat 2017	0	5		0.00	[0.00; 0.52]
Li 2019	1	11		0.09	[0.00; 0.41]
Huang 1997	0	1	P		[0.00; 0.97]
Ramo 2018	17	25		0.68	[0.46; 0.85]
Sucato 2017	17	25	t	0.68	[0.46; 0.85]
	7	10			[0.35; 0.93]
Daoud (36) 1996	5	6			[0.36; 1.00]
Daoud (36) 1996 Tennant 2016	-		:		
Random effects model		83			[0.13; 0.82] [0.01 · 0.98]
Tennant 2016 Random effects model Prediction interval			-0.18		[0.13; 0.82] [0.01; 0.98]
Tennant 2016 Random effects model			= 0.18 0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1		