Table S1. Studies were assessed using the NIH quality assessme	nt tool for observat	ional cohort a	nd cross sectional stu	udies.
(https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health-topics/study-quality-assessment-	tools)			
	Pennington et al.	Song et al.	Kong et al. (PLA)	Kong
Major Components				et al.
				(DA)
1. Was the research question or objective in this paper clearly	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
stated?				
2. Was the study population clearly specified and defined?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
3. Was the participation rate of eligible persons at least 50%?	NA	NA	NA	NA
4. Were all the subjects selected or recruited from the same or	CD	CD	CD	CD
similar populations (including the same time period)? Were				
inclusion and exclusion criteria for being in the study prespecified				
and applied uniformly to all participants?				
5. Was a sample size justification, power description, or variance	No	No	No	No
and effect estimates provided?				
6. For the analyses in this paper, were the exposure(s) of interest	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
measured prior to the outcome(s) being measured?				
7. Was the timeframe sufficient so that one could reasonably	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
expect to see an association between exposure and outcome if it				
existed?				
8. For exposures that can vary in amount or level, did the study	NA	NA	NA	NA
examine different levels of the exposure as related to the outcome				
(e.g., categories of exposure, or exposure measured as continuous				
variable)?				
9. Were the exposure measures (independent variables) clearly	CD	CD	CD	CD
defined, valid, reliable, and implemented consistently across all				
study participants?				
10. Was the exposure(s) assessed more than once over time?	No	No	No	No
11. Were the outcome measures (dependent variables) clearly	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
defined, valid, reliable, and implemented consistently across all				
study participants?				
12. Were the outcome assessors blinded to the exposure status of	No	No	No	No
participants?				
13. Was loss to follow-up after baseline 20% or less?	NA	NA	NA	NA
14. Were key potential confounding variables measured and	No	No	No	No
adjusted statistically for their impact on the relationship between				
exposure(s) and outcome(s)?				
Quality Rating	Fair (5/14)	Fair (5/14)	Fair (5/14)	Fair
				(5/14)
CD: Cannot Determine. NA: Not Applicable. NR: Not Reported. Q	uality was rated as po	oor (0–4 out of	14 questions), fair (5	5–10 out
of 14 questions), or good (11–14 out of 14 questions).				