# nature portfolio

Corresponding author(s):

Double-anonymous peer review submissions: write DAPR and your manuscript number here instead of author names.

Last updated by author(s): YYYY-MM-DD

## **Reporting Summary**

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our Editorial Policies and the Editorial Policy Checklist.

~		4.0			
< ⋅	トつ	1	ıct	11.	CS
٠,			151	- 11	, n

For	all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a	Confirmed
	$\square$ The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
$\boxtimes$	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
	The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided  Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
	A description of all covariates tested
	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i> ) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted <i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.</i>
$\boxtimes$	For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
	For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
	Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's <i>d</i> , Pearson's <i>r</i> ), indicating how they were calculated
	Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.
So	ftware and code

Policy information about availability of computer code

All sequencing data were taken from the SRA and ENA Data collection

All VCFs are deposited on Dryad at doi:10.5061/dryad.15dv41p57 and github at https://github.com/JimWhiting91/RepAdapt/activity Data analysis

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

#### Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

The data availability statement is included:

The SRA codes for all raw sequencing data are in Supplementary Table 1. All VCFs and sample location data is available on dryad99 (doi: 10.5061/dryad.15dv41p57).

### Research involving human participants, their data, or biological material

Policy information about studies with human participants or human data. See also policy information about sex, gender (identity/presentation),

and sexual orientation and I	race, ethnicity and racism.			
Reporting on sex and gene	er N/A			
Reporting on race, ethnici other socially relevant groupings	ty, or N/A			
Population characteristics	N/A			
Recruitment	N/A			
Ethics oversight	N/A			
Note that full information on th	ne approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.			
Field-specific	reporting			
Please select the one below	that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.			
Life sciences	Behavioural & social sciences			
For a reference copy of the docume	ent with all sections, see <u>nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</u>			
Ecological, e	volutionary & environmental sciences study design			
All studies must disclose on	these points even when the disclosure is negative.			
Study description	Study examined patterns of genomic variation in sequencing data from a large number of species, collected by other researchers. Thus, the sampling design within each study was heterogeneous and used different methods. We used the same bioinformatic methods for processing.			
Research sample	he number of individuals and their sampling locations is too lengthy to document here (in the thousands) but documentation is rovided in the supp mat and in the original papers for further detail.			
Sampling strategy	We used all available datasets that we could find that had a sufficient number of individuals and populations sampled and used either whole genome shotgun sequencing, whole-genome poolseq, or exome capture			
Data collection	equencing data were collected by many different research groups, as detailed in the original papers.			
Timing and spatial scale	Too much detail to summarize here for thousands of individuals and 25 different species. Please see original papers.			
Data exclusions	We found as many datasets as we could using extensive literature searches and excluded any studies that did not have at least 5 populations with a minimum of 50 individuals sequenced in total. We also excluded any studies of domesticated/agricultural plants and invasive species.			
Reproducibility	ue to the nature and scale of the dataset, it was not feasible to reproduce this study.			
Randomization	samples were grouped into populations based on the design of the original papers, which used geography to cluster them.			
Blinding	Blinding was not relevant due to the nature of this study.			
Did the study involve field	work? Yes No			

## Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Materials & experime	ntal systems Me	thods		
n/a Involved in the study	n/a	Involved in the study		
Antibodies		ChIP-seq		
Eukaryotic cell lines	robocology V	Flow cytometry  ADI based requisingsing		
Palaeontology and a		MRI-based neuroimaging		
Clinical data	rgainsins			
Dual use research of	concern			
Plants				
<b>.</b>	C			
Dual use research				
Policy information about <u>du</u>	<u>ial use research of concern</u>			
Hazards				
Could the accidental, deli in the manuscript, pose a		ents or technologies generated in the work, or the application of information presented		
1	tilleat to.			
No Yes				
Public health				
National security				
Crops and/or livest	ock			
Ecosystems  Any other significal	nt area			
Any other significal	it area			
Experiments of concer	n			
Does the work involve an	y of these experiments of conc	ern:		
No Yes				
Demonstrate how	to render a vaccine ineffective			
	o therapeutically useful antibiotics	or antiviral agents		
-1-	nce of a pathogen or render a non	oathogen virulent		
	ibility of a pathogen			
Alter the host rang				
	diagnostic/detection modalities			
	nization of a biological agent or toxi			
Any other potentia	lly harmful combination of experin	ients and agents		
Plants				
Seed stocks	Individuals were collected from th	ne wild, as documented in the original publications. No new collections were made for this paper.		
Novel plant construct	N/A			
Novel plant genotypes N/A				
Authentication N/A				