

Supplementary Text

Statistical inference at protein level

To identify phosphopeptides that are significant, we perform moderated t-test, comparing the 5XFAD samples with the wildtype (WT) samples. Let $q[i]$ be the resultant log2 fold change, and $\sigma[i]$, $df[i]$ be the corresponding standard error and degrees of freedom obtained from moderated t-test for peptide i .

To identify potential biomarkers based on phosphorylation data that are consistent across time points, we perform the analysis at the protein level. For this purpose, we first compute the mean log-fold changes $q_p[j]$ for each protein j :

$$q_p[j] = \frac{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_j} q[i]}{|\mathcal{V}_j|} \quad (1)$$

where \mathcal{V}_j denotes the set of phosphopeptides corresponding to protein j .

To estimate the pooled standard error $\sigma_p[j]$ and the corresponding degrees of freedom $df_p[j]$ in the estimation of the mean log-fold changes for each protein j , we use the Satterthwaite approximation:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_p[j] &= \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_j} \sigma^2[i]}}{|\mathcal{V}_j|} \\ df_p[j] &= \frac{\left(\sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_j} \sigma^2[i]\right)^2}{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_j} \left(\frac{\sigma^4[i]}{df[i]}\right)} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Based on these estimations, to compute the significance of a protein j , a t-test is performed with the t-statistic $t_p[j]$:

$$t_p[j] = \frac{q_p[j]}{\sigma_p[j]} \quad (3)$$

which follows a t-distribution with $df_p[j]$ degrees of freedom under the null hypothesis.

Statistical tests to identify sex differences

Let $q_{\text{male}}[i]$ denote the log2 fold change, and $\sigma_{\text{male}}[i]$, $df_{\text{male}}[i]$ denote the corresponding standard error and degrees of freedom for obtained from t-test for the male group for phosphosite i . Similarly, let $q_{\text{female}}[i]$, $\sigma_{\text{female}}[i]$, $df_{\text{female}}[i]$ denote the resulting values for the female group. The log2 fold change for the sex difference between the two groups is given by:

$$q_{\text{sexdif}}[i] = q_{\text{female}}[i] - q_{\text{male}}[i] \quad (4)$$

Since the male and female groups consist of independent set of samples, with the equal variance assumption, the corresponding standard error and degrees of freedom for $q_{\text{sexdif}}[i]$ is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\text{sexdif}}[i] &= \sqrt{\frac{df_{\text{female}}[i]\sigma_{\text{female}}^2[i] + df_{\text{male}}[i]\sigma_{\text{male}}^2[i]}{df_{\text{sexdif}}[i]}} \\ df_{\text{sexdif}}[i] &= df_{\text{female}}[i] + df_{\text{male}}[i] \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

After this step, similar to the individual tests done before, we enhance the degrees of freedom for individual variances by applying a moderated t-test, utilizing the *squeezeVar* function from the *limma* package in R to shrink sample variances towards a common value. Based on these, the p-values and statistical significance are computed as usual from the t-distribution.

Note that, this test corresponds to the following linear model where sex is used as a covariate:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sim (\mathbf{x}_{\text{female}}^{5\text{XFAD}}[i] - \mathbf{x}_{\text{female}}^{\text{Control}}[i]) - (\mathbf{x}_{\text{male}}^{5\text{XFAD}}[i] - \mathbf{x}_{\text{male}}^{\text{Control}}[i]) \\ & = \mathbf{x}_{\text{female}}^{5\text{XFAD}}[i] - \mathbf{x}_{\text{female}}^{\text{Control}}[i] - \mathbf{x}_{\text{male}}^{5\text{XFAD}}[i] + \mathbf{x}_{\text{male}}^{\text{Control}}[i] \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where \mathbf{x} denote the mean log2 intensities for the samples in the corresponding group.

Consistency analysis to identify protein biomarkers

To assess consistency across time points, we introduce a simple statistic called the consistency score, which combines the log2-fold change results of individual time points. This score represents the total log2-fold change of proteins across time points, considering any missing values as log2-fold change of 0.

Let $\mathcal{T} = \{3 \text{ month}, 6 \text{ month}, 9 \text{ month}\}$ denote the set of time points, and let $q_p^{(t)}[j]$, $\sigma_p^{(t)}[j]$, and $df_p^{(t)}[j]$ respectively denote the log-fold changes, standard error, and the degrees of freedom for protein j corresponding to the analysis performed for time point $t \in \mathcal{T}$. Based on these, we compute the consistency score $q_c[j]$ (i.e., total log fold change) for protein j as follows:

$$q_c[j] = \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} q_p^{(t)}[j] \quad (7)$$

The corresponding standard error $\sigma_c[j]$ and the degrees of freedom $df_c[j]$ for the consistency score are estimated by the Satterthwaite approximation:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_c[j] &= \sqrt{\sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} (\sigma_p^{(t)}[j])^2} \\ df_c[j] &= \frac{\left(\sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} (\sigma_p^{(t)}[j])^2 \right)^2}{\sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \left(\frac{(\sigma_p^{(t)}[j])^4}{df_p^{(t)}[j]} \right)} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Note that, if a protein j have a missing data at time point t , we consider it to have $q_p^{(t)}[j] = 0$, $\sigma_p^{(t)}[j] = 0$ and $df_p^{(t)}[j] = \infty$ during the computation of the consistency score.

Statistical inference at pathway level

To understand the biological pathways and networks impacted by the observed phosphoproteome changes, we performed a quantitative pathway enrichment analysis based on the mean phosphorylation (log2-FC) of proteins.

Let \mathcal{P}_k denote the set of proteins corresponding to pathway k with non-missing data (i.e., each protein having at least one phosphopeptide identified in our dataset). To estimate the enrichment of pathway k , we compute the mean log fold change $q_e[k]$ for each protein in \mathcal{P}_k as follows:

$$q_e[k] = \frac{\sum_{j \in \mathcal{P}_k} q_p[j]}{|\mathcal{P}_k|} \quad (9)$$

The corresponding standard error $\sigma_e[j]$ and the degrees of freedom $df_e[j]$ for the consistency score are estimated by the Satterthwaite approximation:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_e[k] &= \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{j \in \mathcal{P}_k} \sigma_p^2[j]}}{|\mathcal{P}_k|} \\ df_e[k] &= \frac{\left(\sum_{j \in \mathcal{P}_k} \sigma_p^2[j]\right)^2}{\sum_{j \in \mathcal{P}_k} \left(\frac{\sigma_p^4[j]}{df_p[j]}\right)} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Similar to the protein analysis, the statistical significance of a pathway k is then assessed with a t-test, based on the t-statistic:

$$t_e[k] = \frac{q_e[k]}{\sigma_e[k]} \quad (11)$$

which follows a t-distribution with $df_e[k]$ degrees of freedom under the null hypothesis.