Supplementary Figure 01: Risk of Bias interpretation of included Retrospective observational studies (ROS) and cohorts by Newcastle Ottawa quality assessment scale.

	Newcastle Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale									Meta analysis		
First author		Sele	ction		Compa	rability		Outcor	ne	Score	Eligible	selected
Kana Hosokawa, MD 2023	*	*	*	*	/	*	*	*	/	7	Yes	Yes
Yukari Suzuki 2023	*	/	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	8	Yes	Yes
Ya-Chin Hou 2022	*	*	*	*	/	*	*	/	*	7	Yes	Yes
Tsuyoshi Takeda 2021	*	*	*	/	*	*	*	/	*	7	Yes	Yes
Yusuke Kurita 2019	*	*	*	*	/	*	*	/	*	7	Yes	Yes
Henry C. Y. Wong 2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	/	*	/	7	Yes	Yes
Junji Furuse 2023	*	/	*	*	*	*	*	/	*	8	Yes	Yes

Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale									
	1	Representation of the intervention cohort?							
	2	Selection of the non-intervention cohort?							
	3	Has the correct intervention been utilized?							
Selection:	4	Definition of intervention?							
	5	Are the cohorts comparable based on the basis of the design or analysis: age, sex and injury severity?							
Comparability	6	Are the cohorts comparable on the basis of the design or analysis? Additional factors							
	7	Was the outcome assessed?							
	8	Was the follow up long enough for measured outcomes to occur?							
Outcome:	9	Was the cohort follow up long enough?							

Statistics table for incidence of cachexia

Model		Effect siz	Effect size and 95% interval			Test of null (2-Tail)			Heterogeneity — —				Tau-squared		
Model	Number Studies	Point estimate	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-value	P-value	Q-value	df (Q)	P-value	l-squared	Tau Squared	Standard Error	Variance	Tau	
Fixed Random		7 0.311 7 0.454	0.292 0.269	0.330 0.653	-17.747 -0.444	0.000 0.657	321.462	6	0.000	98.134	1.171	0.936	0.876	1.082	

Supplementary figure 03

Statistics table for Incidence of sarcopenia

Model		Effect size and 95% interval			Test of null (2-Tail)		Heterogeneity —			T au-squared				
Model	Number Studies	Point estimate	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-value	P-value	Q-value	df (Q)	P-value	I-squared	Tau Squared	Standard Error	Variance	Tau
Fixed Random	3	0.517 0.571	0.470 0.400	0.565 0.727	0.712 0.810	0.477 0.418	22.747	2	0.000	91.208	0.337	0.396	0.157	0.581

Statistics Table for TTF

Model	el Effect size and 95% confidence interval		al	Test of null (2-Tail) Heterogeneity					T au-squared								
Model	Number Studies		oint imate	Standard error	Variance	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-value	P-value	Q-value	df (Q)	P-value	I-squared	Tau Squared	Standard Error	Variance	Tau
Fixed Random		4 4	-2.525 -2.222	0.064 0.241	0.004 0.058	-2.652 -2.694	-2.399 -1.749	-39.198 -9.220	0.000 0.000	13.729	3	0.003	78.148	0.171	0.201	0.040	0.414

Supplementary figure 05

Statistics table for mortality

Model		Effect siz	ze and 95%	interval	Test of nul	l (2-Tail)		Hetero	geneity			Tau-sq	uared		_
Model	Number Studies	Point estimate	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-value	P-value	Q-value	df (Q)	P-value	I-squared	Tau Squared	Standard Error	Variance	Tau	
Fixed Random		3 1.862 3 2.019	1.327 1.172	2.614 3.477	3.594 2.532	0.000 0.011	3.663	2	0.160	45.398	0.100	0.236	0.056	0.316	

Statistics table for overall survival

Model		_ E	ffect size an	d 95% confi	dence interv	val	Test of nu	ıll (2-Tail)		Heter	ogeneity			Tau-sq	luared		
Model	Number Studies	Point estimate	Standard error	Variance	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-value	P-value	Q-value	df (Q)	P-value	I-squared	Tau Squared	Standard Error	Variance	Tau	
Fixed Bandom		5 -1.59 5 -2.33		0.009 0.534	-1.778 -3.770	-1.408 -0.906	-16.903 -3.199	0.000	209.201	4	0.000	98.088	2.607	2.116	4.478	1.615	

Supplementary figure 07

Forest plot illustrating sensitivity analysis by leave-one-out for Overall survival

Study name	tudy name Statistics with study removed								Std diff in means (95%					
	Point	Standard error	Variance		Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value		CI) with	study re	emoved			
Kurtika 2008	-2.267	0.868	0.754	-3.969	-0.565	-2.611	0.009		-	—				
Hosokawa 202	232.830	0.632	0.399	-4.068	-1.591	-4.479	0.000		-	-				
Suzuki 2022	-2.498	1.005	1.010	-4.468	-0.528	-2.485	0.013		+=					
Hou 2022	-1.783	0.568	0.323	-2.896	-0.670	-3.140	0.002							
Takeda 2021	-2.310	0.878	0.771	-4.031	-0.589	-2.631	0.009		-					
	-2.338	0.731	0.534	-3.770	-0.906	-3.199	0.001		-	-				

Overall survival (OS)

Statistics Table for country of origin Meta-Regression.

Main results for Model 1, Random effects (MM), Z-Distribution, Std diff in means

Covariate	Coefficient	Standard Error	95% Lower	95% Upper	Z-value	2-sided P-value
Intercept	-1.7831	0.5679	-2.8962	-0.6700	-3.14	0.0017
country: Taiwan	-2.7450	1.2763	-5.2464	-0.2436	-2.15	0.0315

Statistics for Model 1

Test of the model: Simultaneous test that all coefficients (excluding intercept) are zero

Q = 4.63, df = 1, p = 0.0315

Goodness of fit: Test that unexplained variance is zero

 $Tau^2 = 1.2306$, Tau = 1.1093, $I^2 = 96.26\%$, Q = 80.21, df = 3, p = 0.0000

Comparison of Model 1 with the null model

Total between-study variance (intercept only)

 $Tau^2 = 2.6074$, Tau = 1.6147, $I^2 = 98.09\%$, Q = 209.20, df = 4, p = 0.0000

Proportion of total between-study variance explained by Model 1

 R^2 analog = 0.53

Number of studies in the analysis 5

Statistics table for age Meta-Regression.

Main results for Model 1, Random effects (MM), Z-Distribution, Std diff in means

Covariate	Coefficient	Standard Error	95% Lower	95% Upper	Z-value	2-sided P-value
Intercept	-4.6510	12.8116	-29.7612	20.4593	-0.36	0.7166
age	0.0325	0.1799	-0.3201	0.3851	0.18	0.8566

Statistics for Model 1

Test of the model: Simultaneous test that all coefficients (excluding intercept) are zero

Q = 0.03, df = 1, p = 0.8566

Goodness of fit: Test that unexplained variance is zero

 $Tau^2 = 3.5428$, Tau = 1.8822, $I^2 = 98.41\%$, Q = 189.25, df = 3, p = 0.0000

Comparison of Model 1 with the null model

Total between-study variance (intercept only)

 $Tau^2 = 2.6074$, Tau = 1.6147, $I^2 = 98.09\%$, Q = 209.20, df = 4, p = 0.0000

Proportion of total between-study variance explained by Model 1

R2 analog = 0.00 (computed value is -0.36)

Number of studies in the analysis 5