## Pathogenic variants in the paired-related homeobox 1 gene (PRRX1) cause

## craniosynostosis with incomplete penetrance

Rebecca S. Tooze, Kerry A. Miller, Sigrid M. A. Swagemakers, et al.

## **Supplemental Methods**

#### Screening individuals with craniosynostosis

Primers were designed to screen all coding exons of *PRRX1* (Supplemental Table 2) in 388 samples. A 20 ng sample of DNA was mixed with each primer (at a final concentration of 0.5 μM per primer), 1x Q5 buffer, 200 μM each dNTP and 0.5 U of Q5<sup>®</sup> high-fidelity DNA polymerase (New England BioLabs). Cycling conditions consisted of a 30 s denaturation step at 98°C, followed by 35 cycles of 98°C for 10 s, 60–65°C for 30 s (details in Supplemental Table 2), and 72°C for 30 s, followed by a final extension step of 72°C for 10 min. Illumina-specific sequence adaptors and 10 bp sample indexes were attached using the Access Array<sup>™</sup> Barcode Library for Illumina<sup>®</sup> Sequencers-384, Single Direction (Fluidigm), at a concentration of 0.4 µM per primer with Q5<sup>®</sup> high-fidelity DNA polymerase as above, for 9 cycles only. Indexed PCR products were pooled, purified with AxyPrep MAG PCR Clean-Up Kit (Axygen) and quantified using the 2200 TapeStation (Agilent Technologies) with a High Sensitivity D1000 ScreenTape and a Qubit<sup>®</sup> 1.0 Fluorometer (ThermoFisher Scientific), following the manufacturer's instructions. Pooled and indexed PCR products were diluted to a final concentration of 9 pM and sequenced using the Illumina MiSeq platform with a MiSeq Reagent Kit v2 for 500 cycles (Illumina) according to the manufacturer's instructions, before analysis using amplimap software (including mapping, coverage analysis and variant calls).<sup>1</sup>

## **Targeted resequencing**

Analysis of 541 samples with undiagnosed craniosynostosis (considering a variety of sutures fused) for variants in *PRRX1* was undertaken using IDT's hybridization and capture protocol (version 4, May 2019). Individual libraries for capture-based resequencing were prepared using the 'Twist Bioscience' kits for the enzymatic fragmentation and universal adapter system (protocol version 11 (September 2019) Rev1) or the 'xGenTM DNA Library Prep EZ Kit' and protocol (IDT, protocol version 1 (December 2021)). DNA was fragmented to ~200 bp,

1

before adding adapters and indexing primers, and subsequently analysed using broad-range Qubit and D1000 TapeStation reagents (average fragment size of 330 bp). The prepared libraries were pooled to a total of 6 µg of DNA and between 32–40 samples per hybridization capture reaction. The hybridization reactions were carried out at 65°C for 16 hours. After hybridization, the pooled libraries were washed and post-capture PCR was performed following manufacturer's protocol (IDT xGen hybridization capture of DNA libraries for NGS target enrichment, 7 PCR cycles were used), for a panel containing 2054 probes. The amplified capture reactions were washed with beads before quantification and validation using highsensitivity (HS) qubit reagents and HS D1000 TapeStation, and next generation sequencing analysis using Miseq v3 (150-cycle, MRC WIMM Sequencing Facility). Data were analyzed using amplimap software.<sup>1</sup>

## **Coverage analysis**

In a subset of patients (n = 479) included in the targeted resequencing analysis, coverage was assessed. Normalized coverage was calculated by dividing the coverage for each gene by the mean coverage of all genes (n = 41) screened in a given sample. This value was normalized to the average coverage for all samples for a given gene. The normalized mean coverage for the gene with the largest deviation from 1 was removed for each sample before the mean and standard deviation (SD) of coverage for all other genes was calculated. After ranking each sample by SD, the error behaviour was calculated as  $SD_b$ - $SD_a$ , where a and b correspond to ranked sample numbers. Data quality noticeably deteriorated at n = 479, which corresponded to a SD threshold of 0.045, and all samples with a SD value above 0.045 were removed from the analysis. The two deletions identified were independently confirmed using array comparative genomic hybridization; individual II-2 in Family 14 was reported to harbor the rearrangement arr 1q24.2(170,622,833-170,688,644)x1 [hg19] and II-1 in Family 15 had arr 1q24.2q25.2(167,699,849-178,212,109)x1 [hg19].

#### Site-directed mutagenesis

A vector containing *Prrx1a* was obtained from Michael Kern's laboratory. Site-directed mutagenesis was conducted using two methods. For the R124Q and N144K plasmids, overlapping primers were designed containing the variant nucleotide in the centre of both complementary primers. Initially, 40 ng of plasmid DNA was added to 1.5  $\mu$ l of each 10  $\mu$ M

primer (Supplemental Table 5), 1 µl of 10 mM dNTPs, 10 µl of Q5 buffer and enhancer, 1 µl of Q5 polymerase and up to 50 µl of nuclease-free water. Reactions were placed in the Thermal Cycler (BIORAD T100<sup>™</sup>) at 95°C for 2 min, followed by 95°C for 30 s, 55°C for 1 min and 72°C for 10 min, for 12 cycles. Amplicons were digested with DpnI (NEB, #R0176) in CutSmart Buffer for 4 hours at 37°C. Digested products were transformed into competent E. coli (NEB 5-alpha, C2987) using the manufacturers 'High Efficiency Transformation Protocol'. Plasmid DNA was extracted using a miniprep kit (QIAprep Spin 27106, QIAGEN) and sent for dideoxysequencing. For all remaining vectors, site-directed mutagenesis was conducted following the NEB Q5 site-directed mutagenesis kit quick protocol (E0554). For these vectors, 25 ng of template DNA was added to 12.5  $\mu$ l Q5 hot start high-fidelity 2x master mix, 0.5  $\mu$ M of each forward and reverse primer (Supplemental Table 5), and up to 25  $\mu$ l of nuclease-free water. The reactions were placed in a thermocycler under the following conditions: 98°C for 30 s, followed by 25 cycles of 98°C for 10 s, 58–72°C (as documented in Supplemental Table 5) for 20 s and 72°C for 5 min; a final extension of 72°C for 2 min was performed. Following site directed mutagenesis, 1  $\mu$ l of product was incubated with 5  $\mu$ l of kinase, ligase, and DpnI (KLD) 2x reaction buffer (NEB, E0554S), 1  $\mu$ l of 10x KLD enzyme mix, and 3  $\mu$ l of nuclease-free water for 5 min at room temperature. Finally, 5  $\mu$ l of the KLD reaction was transformed into 50  $\mu$ l of chemically competent cells (NEB 5-alpha, C2987H) following manufacturer's guidelines. The presence of all variants was confirmed by dideoxy-sequencing (Supplemental Figure 3).

## Sub-cloning into a HA-tagged vector

*Prrx1* cDNA was amplified using a Q5 polymerase protocol (1  $\mu$ g of plasmid DNA [pcDNA3.1-*Prrx1a*], 12.5  $\mu$ l Q5 hot start 2x master mix, 10  $\mu$ M of each forward [Prrx1a\_mouse\_EcoRI: 5'-GAATTCATGACCTCCAGCTACGGGCACGTTC-3'] and reverse primer [Prrx1a\_mouse\_HindIII: 5'-AAGCTTCAGTTGACTGTTGGCACCTGGTTCCTC-3'], to a final volume of 25  $\mu$ l with nuclease-free water). Products were amplified in a thermocycler at 98°C for 30 s, followed by 35 cycles of 98°C for 10 s, 70°C for 20 s and 72°C for 30 s, with a final extension of 72°C for 2 min. A HA and FLAG tagged plasmid was obtained from Addgene (FLAG-HA-pcDNA3.1, #52535) and digested, alongside the amplified PCR products (1  $\mu$ g of plasmid or PCR product), using 1  $\mu$ l of each HindIII-HF and EcoRI-HF in 1x CutSmart Buffer. The products were incubated with enzyme at 37°C for 15 min before adding 1  $\mu$ l of alkaline phosphatase for a further 15 min. Digested products were run on a 1% agarose gel and gel purified (NEB, T1020 gel extraction kit). Purified *Prrx1a* inserts were ligated into the cut FLAG-HA-pcDNA3.1 plasmid using a quick ligation protocol (NEB, M2200) and a 4:1 ratio of insert DNA to vector ( $\mu$ I). Ligated products were transformed into one shot *Stbl3* chemically competent cells (Invitrogen, C7373-03) following the manufacturer's protocol. Colonies were screened by colony PCR and positive colonies were cultured in LB, supplemented with ampicillin (50 µg/mI). The plasmid was purified using a maxiprep kit (Qiagen, 12162) before sequence confirmation by dideoxy sequencing.

The S104G and R115W variants were introduced directly into the HA/FLAG-tagged vector using the Q5 site-directed mutagenesis kit (NEB, E0554S) as described previously for sitedirected mutagenesis of the initial *Prrx1a* vector inherited from the Kern lab. An annealing temperature of 65°C or 70°C was used for S104G and R115W, respectively.

	Complete Genomics Trios <sup>b</sup>		Clinical Gen (Oxford) Re Coh	etics Group sequencing lort	Yale V	WES Cohort	Totals		
Phenotype <sup>a</sup>	PRRX1-	PRRX1-	PRRX1-	PRRX1-	PRRX1-	PRRX1-	PRRX1-	PRRX1-	Percent (%)
	negative	positive	negative	Positive	negative	positive	negative	positive	positive
NS metopic			152		169		321		
NS unicoronal			178	2			178	2	1.11
NS sagittal			312	1	298		610	1	0.16
NS unilambdoid			10		18	1	28	1	3.45
NS multiple			51	3	16		67	3	4.29
NS bicoronal	1	1	31				32	1	3.03
NS bilambdoid			2		2		4		
S metopic			40	1	5		45	1	2.17
S unicoronal			24				24		
S sagittal	1		50		6		57		
S unilambdoid			2		1		3		
S multiple	1	1	40		4		45	1	2.17
S bicoronal	1		9	1			10	1	9.09
S bilambdoid			1				1		
Carpenter			1				1		
Crouzon			4				4		
Pfeiffer			3				3		
Saethre Chotzen			10				10		
Other			1				1		
Total	4	2	921	8	519	1	1444	11	0.76%

## Supplemental Table 1 Phenotypic composition of cohorts of unsolved CRS probands

<sup>a</sup>NS = non-syndromic, S = syndromic <sup>b</sup>9 cases were originally sequenced but 3 have since been solved and removed from the total numbers in this table

ID	Target	Forward Primer (5' – 3')	Reverse Primer (5' – 3')	Tm (°C)
	Length			
	(bp)			
PRRX1-	406	ATCTCTTTGGACCGCGCC	CTAGCAGGTGACTGACGGAG	65
Ex1a				
PRRX1-	378	AGACCATGACCTCCAGCTAC	TGAAGTCTGCCATCACCTCTC	62
Ex1b				
PRRX1-	390	GATGTGAGCAAATGAAGCAAG	GCATGAGCCACTGTGCTG	65
Ex2				
PRRX1-	397	ACGGAGAATTCCATAGCCATC	AGCAGCTTGAAACATGACCG	60
Ex3				
PRRX1-	388	AGTGAATGGCCTGGTTTTGC	TTCTAGAACTGCAACCCCCAC	60
Ex4				
PRRX1-	453	TCCCTTTCCTCACTCTACACC	GCAGATGAAGAAATAACAGAGCAG	60
Ex5				

# Supplemental Table 2 Primers used (excluding CS tags) for sequencing of gDNA

# Supplemental Table 3 Primers used in a targeted enrichment analysis

Chr	Start Position	End Position	חו
0	(GRCh38)	(GRCh38)	
chr1	170664188	170664308	Target029_PRRX1_ENST00000239461.11_2
chr1	170664248	170664368	Target029_PRRX1_ENST00000239461.11_3
chr1	170664308	170664428	Target029_PRRX1_ENST00000239461.11_4
chr1	170664368	170664488	Target029_PRRX1_ENST00000239461.11_5
chr1	170664428	170664548	Target029_PRRX1_ENST00000239461.11_6
chr1	170719663	170719783	Target030_PRRX1_ENST00000239461.11_1
chr1	170719723	170719843	Target030_PRRX1_ENST00000239461.11_2
chr1	170719783	170719903	Target030_PRRX1_ENST00000239461.11_3
chr1	170719843	170719963	Target030_PRRX1_ENST00000239461.11_4
chr1	170726130	170726250	Target031_PRRX1_ENST00000239461.11_1
chr1	170726190	170726310	Target031_PRRX1_ENST00000239461.11_2
chr1	170726250	170726370	Target031_PRRX1_ENST00000239461.11_3
chr1	170726310	170726430	Target031_PRRX1_ENST00000239461.11_4
chr1	170726370	170726490	Target031_PRRX1_ENST00000239461.11_5
chr1	170730214	170730334	Target031b_PRRX1_ENST00000367760.7_1
chr1	170730274	170730394	Target031b_PRRX1_ENST00000367760.7_2
chr1	170735967	170736087	Target032_PRRX1_ENST00000239461.11_1
chr1	170736027	170736147	Target032_PRRX1_ENST00000239461.11_2
chr1	170736087	170736207	Target032_PRRX1_ENST00000239461.11_3
chr1	170736147	170736267	Target032_PRRX1_ENST00000239461.11_4

## Supplemental Table 4 Primers used for isolating breakpoints of *PRRX1* deletions

ID	Forward Primer (5' – 3')	Reverse Primer (5' – 3')	Tm (°C)
Exons 1–2ª	GCAGTGTATCGGAGTTTCAGTTGCTTG	CCAGCTATCTCTGTGGTAGCTCAATC	66
Exons 2–5 <sup>b</sup>	CAGGATCAGGAAGCCCTTTCTAATAACTGAC	CTTCCTCAGTTTGTATTTGGTATTGACATT	66

<sup>a</sup>For analysis of Family 14 (76 kb deletion)

<sup>b</sup>For analysis of Family 13 (61.5 kb deletion)

## Supplemental Table 5 Mutagenesis primers

ID	Forward Primer (5' – 3') <sup>a</sup>	Reverse Primer (5' – 3') <sup>a,b</sup>	Tm (°C)
PRRX1-D54A	GCACAAGCAG <u>C</u> CGAAAGTGTGGGCG	CGCCACCATGTCCCCGGC	72
PRRX1-R96M	AAGCAGCGGA <u>TG</u> AACAGGACAAC	тстсттсттстсстс	57
PRRX1-S104G	ATTCAACAGC <u>G</u> GCCAACTGCA	GTTGTCCTGTTTCTCCGC	65
PRRX1-F113L	GGAGCGTGTC <u>C</u> TTGAGCGGAC	AAGGCCTGCAGTTGGCTG	70
PRRX1-R115W	TGTCTTTGAG <u>T</u> GGACACATTACCCG	CGCTCCAAGGCCTGCAGT	70
PRRX1-R124Q	CCCGGATGCTTTTGTTC <b>A</b> AGAA	GACGTGCGAGATCTTCT <u>T</u> GAA	66
	GATCTCGCACGTC	CAAAAGCATCCGGG	
PRRX1-N144K	GCAGGTGTGGTTTCAGAA <u>G</u> CGA	GAACTTGGCTCTTCG <u>C</u> TTCTGA	66
	AGAGCCAAGTTC	AACCACACCTGC	
PRRX1-A147T	GAACCGAAGA <u>A</u> CCAAGTTCCG	TGAAACCACACCTGCACT	65
PRRX1-R150H	GCCAAGTTCC <u>A</u> CAGGAATGAG	TCTTCGGTTCTGAAACCAC	63

<sup>a</sup>Variant substitutions are highlighted in bold and underlined

<sup>b</sup>Site-directed mutagenesis of R124Q and N144K were completed using complementary overlapping primer pairs while the remaining variants were constructed using a Q5 site-directed mutagenesis kit (NEB, E0554) with abutting primers pairs (only the forward primer contains the variant substitution).

ID	Primer (5' – 3')	Tm (°C)
PRRX1.cDNA.2F	GGCAACCTCGACACCCTG	65
PRRX1.cDNA.6R	CTGTGCAGGGCTATTGTTGG	58
CMV_FW	CGCAAATGGGCGGTAGGCGTG	65
T7_FW	TAATACGACTCACTATAGGG	50
BGH_RV	TAGAAGGCACAGTCGAGG	55
<i>Prrx1a_</i> mouse_EcoRI	GAATTCATGACCTCCAGCTACGGGCACGTTC	67
<i>Prrx1a_</i> mouse_HindIII	AAGCTTCAGTTGACTGTTGGCACCTGGTTCCTC	68

# Supplemental Table 6 Primers used for confirming plasmid sequence

Supplemental Table 7 Patterns of suture fusion and presence of syndromic features in individuals heterozygous for functionally significant *PRRX1* variants

		Start-lost	Arg18Alafs*23	Arg95*	Arg96Met	Arg96Met	Asn97Lysfs*35 III-1	Asn97Lysfs*35 III-2	Arg115Trp III-1	Arg115Trp III-3	Arg124Gln	Asn144Lys I-2	Asn144Lys III-1	Ala147Thr	Arg150His	61.5 kb deletion	76 kb deletion	10.5 Mb deletion	Total
	Family #	1	2	4	5	6	7	7	8	8	9	10	10	11	12	13	14	15	
	Metopic																		0
e o	Left Coronal		Х				Х					Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	8
Ise(	Right Coronal		Х	X		Х	X					Х	Х	Х	X			Х	9
i) fu	Sagittal	Х		Х	X				Х	Х				Х	X				7
e(s	Left Lambdoid	Х																	1
ltui	Right Lambdoid										Х								1
SL	Multi-suture							X								Х			2
	Syndromic?						Y		Y	Y		Y	Y		Y			Y	7

<sup>a</sup>Y = yes, X = presence of suture fusion

Supplemental Table 9 Variants described within the homeodomain of homeobox transcription factors at positions corresponding to those in PRRX1.

Gene	Position in	Genomic	cDNA	aa Change	Classification <sup>a</sup>	Identical aa	Functional Data/ Additional
(UniProt ID)	homeodomain	Coordinates				change to this	Information
		(GRCh38)				study?⁵	
CRX	3	chr19:	NM_000554.6:	p.(Arg41Trp)	Likely pathogenic (PS3 –	No	Decreased DNA binding activity
(043186)		47836263	c.121C>T		Strong, PM1 – Moderate,		in mutant compared to wild-
					PP1 - Supporting)		type (WT) as illustrated by an
							electrophoretic mobility shift
		chr19:	NM_000554.6:c.1	p.(Arg41Gln)	Likely pathogenic (PS3 –		assay (EMSA). <sup>2</sup>
		47836264	22G>A		Strong, PM1 – Moderate,		
					PM5 – Moderate, PP4 –		
					Supporting)		
HESX1	3	chr3:	NM_003865.3:c.3	p.(Arg109Gln)	Likely pathogenic (PS3 –	No	Nuclear localization, as
(Q9UBX0)		57198784	26G>A		Strong, PM1 – Moderate,		illustrated through
					PM6 - Moderate)		immunofluorescence, showed
							no difference between WT and
							mutant HESX1. DNA-binding
							ability was abrogated in the
							mutant using an EMSA. <sup>3</sup>
PHOX2B	3	chr4:	NM_003924.4:c.2	p.(Arg100Leu)	Likely pathogenic (PM1 –	No	No functional analysis.
(Q99453)		41747479	99G>T		Moderate, PM2 –		Heterozygous variant predicted
					Moderate, PP1 –		damaging owing to position in
					Supporting, PP3 -		homeodomain. <sup>4</sup>
					Supporting)		
SHOX	3	chrX:	NM_000451.4:c.3	p.(Arg119Gly)	Likely pathogenic (PM1 –	No	No functional analysis. Missense
(015266)		634695	55C>G		Moderate, PM2 –		variant predicted damaging
					Moderate, PP1 –		owing to change in charge. <sup>5</sup>
					Supporting, PP4 -		
					Supporting)		
POU4F1	22	-	-	Experimental	-	No	This variant is not described in a
(Q01851)				substitution to			patient. The authors substituted
				isoleucine <sup>c</sup>			the 20 <sup>th</sup> position of the

							homeodomain to isoleucine in <i>POU4F1</i> , which resulted in a decrease in promoter activity. <sup>6</sup>
<i>MSX2</i> (P35548)	31	chr5: 174729294	NM_002449.5:c.5 15G>A	p.(Arg172His)	Pathogenic (PS1 – Strong, PS3 – Strong, PM1 – Moderate, PP1 – Supporting)	No	Mutant bound target sequence with an affinity 15% that of WT. <sup>7</sup>
<i>PROP1</i> (075360)	31	chr5: 177994152	NM_006261.5:c.2 96G>A	p.(Arg99Gln)	Likely pathogenic (PS3 – Strong, PM1 – Moderate, PP1 – Supporting )	Yes p.(Arg124Gln)	Reduced DNA binding activity in mutant compared to WT as demonstrated via an EMSA. Mutant was unable to activate the reporter in a luciferase assay. <sup>8</sup>
SHOX (015266)	31	chrX: 634780	NM_000451.4:c.4 40G>A	p.(Arg147His)	Likely pathogenic (PM1 – Moderate, PM2 – Moderate, PM5 – Moderate, PM6 – Moderate, PP4 - Supporting)	No	No functional analysis but considered damaging owing to conservation and position in the homeodomain. <sup>9</sup>
		chrX: 634780	NM_000451.4:c.4 40G>C	p.(Arg147Pro)	Likely pathogenic (PM1 – Moderate, PM2 – Moderate, PM6 – Moderate, PP3 – Supporting, PP4 - Supporting)		No functional analysis; heterozygous variant considered damaging owing to conservation and PolyPhen score. <sup>10</sup>
HOXA13 (P31271)	51	chr7:271982 51	NM_000522.5:c.1 114A>C	p.(Asn372His)	Likely pathogenic (PS2 – Strong, PM1 – Moderate, PM2 – Moderate)	No	No functional analysis but considered damaging owing to position within the homeodomain and presence of a <i>de novo</i> variant in the affected individual. <sup>11</sup>

LMX1B	51	chr9:129455	NM_001174146:c.	p.(Asn269Lys)	Likely pathogenic (PS2 –	Yes	No DNA binding activity in
(060663)		868	807C>A		Strong, PM1 – Moderate,	p.(Asn144Lys)	mutant yet strong activity in
					PM2 – Moderate)		WT. <sup>12</sup>
SHOX	51	chrX:640833	NM_000451.4:c.4	p.(Asn167Asp)	Likely pathogenic (PM1 –	No	No functional analysis but
(015266)			99A>G		Moderate, PM2 –		considered damaging by Alamut
					Moderate, PM6 –		software.13
					Moderate, PP3 –		
					Supporting)		
LHX3	54	chr9:	NM_178138.6:c.6	p.(Ala210Val)	Likely pathogenic (PS3 –	No	Homozygous variant.
(Q9UBR4)		136198798	29C>T		Strong, PM1 – Moderate,		Significantly reduced DNA
					PM2 – Moderate, PP4 -		binding activity in mutant
					Supporting)		compared to WT as shown by
							an EMSA. <sup>14</sup>
PROP1	54	chr5:177993	NM_006261.5:c.3	p.(Ala125Trp)	Likely pathogenic (PM1 –	No	WT and mutant plasmids were
(075360)		017	73C>T		Moderate, PM2 –		indifferent when nuclear
					Moderate, PM3 –		localization was assessed. The
					Moderate, PP1 –		mutant retained ability to bind
					Supporting)		DNA, as shown via an EMSA, but
							showed faster migration. There
							was a subtle reduction in
							luciferase reporter activity in
							the mutant compared to WT.
							This patient is compound
							heterozygous for this missense
							variant and an indel resulting in
							a frameshift (c.310delC). <sup>15</sup>
SHOX	54	chrX:	NM_000451.4:c.5	p.(Ala170Pro)	Likely pathogenic (PS3 –	No	Both homozygous and
(015266)		640842	08G>C		Strong, PM1 – Moderate,		heterozygous variants reported.
					PM2 – Moderate, PP4 –		SHOX mutants show abnormal
					Supporting)		nuclear localization. <sup>16,17</sup>
		chrX:	NM_000451.4:c.5	p.(Ala170Asp)	Likely pathogenic (PM1 –		
		640843	09C>A		Moderate, PM2 –		
					Moderate, PP1 –		

					Supporting, PP4 – Supporting)		
RAX (Q9Y2V3)	57	chr18: 59269470	NM_013435.3:c.5 75G>A	p.(Arg192Gln)	Pathogenic (PS3 – Strong, PM1 – Moderate, PM2 – Moderate, PM3 – Moderate)	No	Compound heterozygous for the missense variant and p.(Gln147*). The missense variant showed reduced DNA binding activity compared to the WT, as illustrated by an EMSA. <sup>18</sup>
<i>SHOX</i> (015266)	57	chrX: 640851	NM_000451.4:c.5 17C>T	p.(Arg173Cys)	Pathogenic (PS3 – Strong, PM1 – Moderate, PM2 – Moderate, PM5 – Moderate, PM6 – Moderate, PP4 – Supporting)	Yes p.(Arg150His)	SHOX mutants show abnormal nuclear localization and no DNA binding activity in an EMSA. <sup>19</sup>
		chrX: 640852	NM_000451.4:c.5 18G>A	p.(Arg173His)	Likely pathogenic (PS3 – Strong, PM1 – Moderate, PM5 – Moderate, PM6 – Moderate, PP4 – Supporting)		SHOX mutants show abnormal nuclear localization and no DNA binding activity in an EMSA. <sup>19</sup>
		chrX: 640852	NM_000451.4:c.5 18G>T	p.(Arg173Leu)	Likely pathogenic (PM1 – Moderate, PM2 – Moderate, PM5 – Moderate, PM6 – Moderate, PP4 – Supporting)		No functional analysis but considered damaging owing to its position within the homeodomain. <sup>13</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Variants are classified based on ACMG criteria: https://www.acgs.uk.com/media/11631/uk-practice-guidelines-for-variant-classification-v4-01-2020.pdf <sup>b</sup>See table 1 for additional information on the variants described in *PRRX1* in this study.

<sup>c</sup>In *PRRX1* residue 22 encodes arginine.

# Supplemental Table 10 Potential explanations for the more severe agnathia-otocephaly phenotypes associated with heterozygous *PRRX1* variants.

Explanation	Comments
A variant present on the <i>trans</i> - allele was not identified	All 3 reports state that the entire coding region of <i>PRRX1</i> was sequenced; however, non-coding variants or a partial deletion of the <i>trans</i> allele (separated from the site of the heterozygous substitution) could have been missed
The normal <i>trans</i> - allele harboured sequences (in the 5' or 3' UTR or in intronic or flanking regions in <i>cis</i> ) causing reduced expression of this allele	Significant ( $P = 4 \times 10^{-9}$ ) cis-eQTL rs56250774 with effect size -0.57 identified for <i>PRRX1</i> in anterior cingulate cortex (GTex consortium)
An additional loss of function variant was present in the <i>PRRX2</i> gene	<ol> <li>In support of this, synergism between <i>Prrx1</i> and <i>Prrx2</i> is particularly evident for the mandible, which is severely reduced in <i>Prrx1<sup>-/-</sup>;Prrx2<sup>-/-</sup></i> double knockout mice<sup>20,21</sup></li> <li>The constraint score of <i>PRRX2</i> (pLI) = 0.31 (1 LoF observed, 3.8 expected); no common (&gt;10<sup>-4</sup>) LoF alleles identified in gnomAD</li> </ol>
Variation in expression of <i>PRRX2</i> influences the phenotype	Significant ( $P = 8 \times 10^{-8}$ ) cis-eQTL rs11788582 with effect size -0.21 identified for <i>PRRX2</i> in tibial artery (GTex consortium)
Other factors in the genetic background	Variants in <i>OTX2</i> are more frequent than <i>PRRX1</i> as a cause of agnathia-otocephaly <sup>22,23</sup>



**Supplemental Figure 1 Pedigree figures and dideoxy sequencing confirmation of each variant**. (A) Pedigrees of all families in which a small nucleotide variant or deletion was identified in *PRRX1*. The index patient is denoted by the arrowhead. If available, inheritance of the variant from family members have been confirmed by sequencing and documented on the pedigree (red lettering). A dot in the middle of the pedigree symbol indicates a heterozygote family member. (B) Confirmation of small nucleotide variants (by dideoxy-sequencing) identified by whole genome sequencing and targeted resequencing analyses (PCR and hybridization capture). Sanger traces show a representative result from forward or reverse sequencing. Arrows indicate the position of each variant.



**Supplemental Figure 2 Analysis of the breakpoints of partial deletions including** *PRRX1***.** (A, B) Left, breakpoints in Family 13; right, breakpoints in Family 14. (A) Breakpoint PCR of the affected proband, available family members, and a healthy control showing presence of the amplified product using *PRRX1* primers in both families with the deletion, but no product in the healthy control. Primers amplifying a region of *SYNJ2* (552 bp) were used to confirm that the control sample could be amplified. NTC = no template control. (B) Forward (FW) and reverse (RV) dideoxy sequencing traces showing the region of *PRRX1* where the two breakpoints. (C) A screenshot from UCSC genome browser indicating the region of *PRRX1* where the two breakpoints align (GRCh38: left = chr1:170692716\_170754397del, right = chr1:170649419\_170725333del).



**Supplemental Figure 3 Sanger sequencing traces of plasmids used in immunofluorescence analyses.** Nine missense variants assayed in the immunofluorescence analysis. For each construct the forward and reverse reads are shown (top and bottom, respectively).



В



Supplemental Figure 4 Analysis of coverage of *PRRX1* in a screen of 479 unsolved craniosynostosis patients (A-B) Images show a boxplot of the normalized probe coverage for 20 non-overlapping regions in *PRRX1*. For each target, the horizontal centre line in the box marks the mean normalized coverage considering 479 samples, the top and bottom line of the box mark the upper (75<sup>th</sup> percentile; Q3) and lower (25<sup>th</sup> percentile; Q1) interquartile ranges (IQR). For each box plot, the vertical line extends to the maximum and minimum values (Q3 + 1.5\*IQR and Q1 – 1.5\*IQR, respectively). Any normalized probe coverage values above or below the maximum and minimum are annotated as a dot (outliers). (A) Partial deletion of *PRRX1* (sample II-2 from Family 14; red dots), resulting in reduced normalized coverage of probes 1–9 (below 0.6), corresponding to the deletion of exons 1–2 as shown by the yellow lines in the below UCSC screenshot (chr1: 170664249–170719963 [GRCh38]). (B) Whole gene deletion of *PRRX1* (sample II-1 from Family 15; red dots) shown by a drop in coverage (below 0.6) across all probes (spanning exons 1–5; chr1: 170664249–170736267 [GRCh38]).





Supplemental Figure 5 Analysis of the p.(Phe113Leu) variant identified in a patient with agnathiaotocephaly. (A) Results from the ScanR analysis showed no significant difference between the proportion of nuclear cells in the F113L background compared to the wild-type protein. (B) Confocal microscopy showing four cells expressing the F113L construct with the cytoplasm stained in red (actin), PRRX1 stained in green, and the nucleus stained in blue.

## REFERENCES

1.Koelling N, Bernkopf M, Calpena E, et al. Amplimap: a versatile tool to process and analyze<br/>targeted NGS data. *Bioinformatics*. Dec 15 2019;35(24):5349-5350.<br/>doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btz582

2. Swain PK, CHen S, Wang Q-L, et al. Mutations in the Cone-Rod Homeobox Gene Are Associated with the Cone-Rod Dystrophy Photoreceptor Degeneration. *Neuron*. 1997;19:1329-1336.

3. Takagi M, Takahashi M, Ohtsu Y, et al. A novel mutaiton in HESX1 causes combined pituitary hormone deficiency withotu septo optic dysplasia phenotypes. *Endocrine Journal*. 2016;63(4):405-410.

4. Trochet D, Bourdeaut F, Janoueix-Lerosey I, et al. Germline Mutations of the Paired-Like Homeobox 2B (PHOX2B) Gene in Neuroblastoma. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2004;74:761-764.

5. Binder G, Renz A, Martinez A, et al. SHOX haploinsufficiency and Leri-Weill dyschondrosteosis: prevalence and growth failure in relation to mutation, sex, and degree of wrist deformity. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* Sep 2004;89(9):4403-8. doi:10.1210/jc.2004-0591

6. Dawson SJ, Palmer RD, Morris PJ, Latchman DS. Functional role of position 22 in the homeodomain of Brn-3 transcription factors. *Molecular Neuroscience*. 1998;9(10):2305-2309.

7. Wilkie A, Tang Z, Elanko N, et al. Functional haploinsufficiency of the human homeobox gene MSX2 causes defects in skull ossification. *Nature Genetics*. 2000;24:387-390.

8. Vieira TC, Dias da Silva MR, Cerutti JM, et al. Familial combined pituitary hormone deficiency due to a novel mutation R99Q in the hot spot region of Prophet of Pit-1 presenting as constitutional growth delay. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. Jan 2003;88(1):38-44. doi:10.1210/jc.2001-011872

9. Jorge AA, Souza SC, Nishi MY, et al. SHOX mutations in idiopathic short stature and Leri-Weill dyschondrosteosis: frequency and phenotypic variability. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*. Jan 2007;66(1):130-5. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2265.2006.02698.x

10. Ross JL, Kowal K, Quigley CA, et al. The phenotype of short stature homeobox gene (SHOX) deficiency in childhood: contrasting children with Leri-Weill dyschondrosteosis and Turner syndrome. *J Pediatr*. Oct 2005;147(4):499-507. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2005.04.069

11. Goodman FR, Bacchelli C, Brady AF, et al. Novel HOXA13 mutations and the phenotypic spectrum of hand-foot-genital syndrome. *Am J Hum Genet*. Jul 2000;67(1):197-202. doi:10.1086/302961

12. Dreyer SD, Zhou G, Baldini A, et al. Mutations in LMX18 cause abnormal skeletal patterning and renal dysplasia in nail patella syndrome. *Nature Genetics*. 1998;19:47-50.

13. Bunyan DJ, Baker KR, Harvey JF, Thomas NS. Diagnostic screening identifies a wide range of mutations involving the SHOX gene, including a common 47.5 kb deletion 160 kb downstream with a variable phenotypic effect. *Am J Med Genet A*. Jun 2013;161A(6):1329-38. doi:10.1002/ajmg.a.35919

14. Pfaeffle RW, Savage JJ, Hunter CS, et al. Four novel mutations of the LHX3 gene cause combined pituitary hormone deficiencies with or without limited neck rotation. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. May 2007;92(5):1909-19. doi:10.1210/jc.2006-2177

15. Kelberman D, Turton JP, Woods KS, et al. Molecular analysis of novel PROP1 mutations associated with combined pituitary hormone deficiency (CPHD). *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*. Jan 2009;70(1):96-103. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2265.2008.03326.x

16. Barca-Tierno V, Aza-Carmona M, Barroso E, et al. Identification of a Gypsy SHOX mutation (p.A170P) in Leri-Weill dyschondrosteosis and Langer mesomelic dysplasia. *Eur J Hum Genet*. Dec 2011;19(12):1218-25. doi:10.1038/ejhg.2011.128

17. Sabherwal N, Schneider KU, Blaschke RJ, Marchini A, Rappold G. Impairment of SHOX nuclear localization as a cause for Leri-Weill syndrome. *J Cell Sci*. Jun 15 2004;117(Pt 14):3041-8. doi:10.1242/jcs.01152

18. Voronina VA, Kozhemyakina EA, O'Kernick CM, et al. Mutations in the human RAX homeobox gene in a patient with anophthalmia and sclerocornea. *Hum Mol Genet*. Feb 1 2004;13(3):315-22. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddh025

19. Schneider KU, Marchini A, Sabherwal N, et al. Alteration of DNA binding, dimerization, and nuclear translocation of SHOX homeodomain mutations identified in idiopathic short stature and Leri-Weill dyschondrosteosis. *Hum Mutat*. Jul 2005;26(1):44-52. doi:10.1002/humu.20187

20. ten Berge D, Brouwer A, Korving J, Martin JF, Meijlink F. Prx1 and Prx2 in skeletogenesis: roles in the craniofacial region, inner ear and limbs. *Development*. 1998;125:3831-3842.

21. Lu M-F, Cheng H-T, Lacy AR, et al. Paired-Related Homeobox Genes Cooperate in Handplate and Hindlimb Zeugopod Morphogenesis. *Developmental Biology*. 1999;205:145-157.

22. Chassaing N, Sorrentino S, Davis EE, et al. OTX2 mutations contribute to the otocephalydysgnathia complex. *J Med Genet*. Jun 2012;49(6):373-9. doi:10.1136/jmedgenet-2012-100892

23. Patat O, van Ravenswaaij-Arts CM, Tantau J, et al. Otocephaly-Dysgnathia Complex: Description of Four Cases and Confirmation of the Role of OTX2. *Mol Syndromol*. Sep 2013;4(6):302-5. doi:10.1159/000353727