

Supplementary Material

Appendix A – Search strategy

PubMed Session Results (01 Dec 2023)

Search	Query	Items found
#3	#1 AND #2	945
#2	"Cerebral Small Vessel Diseases"[Mesh] OR "small vessel*"[tiab] OR "cerebral vascular dis*"[tiab] OR "cerebrovascular dis*"[tiab] OR "cerebro-vascular dis*"[tiab] OR "cerebral vascular dam*"[tiab] OR "cerebrovascular dam*"[tiab] OR "cerebro-vascular dam*"[tiab] OR "white matter hyperintensit*"[tiab] OR "white matter hyper-intensit*"[tiab] OR "WMH"[tiab] OR "fazekas"[tiab] OR "microbleed*"[tiab] OR "micro-bleed*"[tiab] OR "lacune*"[tiab]	62,310
#1	"Hypotension, Orthostatic"[Mesh] OR "orthostatic hypoten*"[tiab] OR "postural hypoten*"[tiab] OR "postural intoleran*"[tiab] OR "orthostatic intoleran*"[tiab] OR ((fall[tiab] OR decreas*[tiab] OR dimin*[tiab] OR dipp*[tiab] OR orthostat*[tiab]) AND ("Blood Pressure"[Mesh] OR "blood pressure"[tiab])) OR "postural orthostat*"[tiab] OR "orthostatic collapse*"[tiab] OR "orthostatic insufficien*"[tiab] OR "orthostatic syndrome*"[tiab] OR "orthostatic symptom*"[tiab] OR "orthostatic stress*"[tiab] OR "sudden hypoten*"[tiab]	139,271

Embase.com Session Results (01 Dec 2023)

Search	Query	Items found
#3	#1 AND #2	2,614
#2	'cerebrovascular disease'/de OR 'white matter hyperintensity'/exp OR 'small vessel*':ab,ti,kw OR 'cerebral vascular dis*':ab,ti,kw OR 'cerebrovascular dis*':ab,ti,kw OR 'cerebro-vascular dis*':ab,ti,kw OR 'cerebral vascular dam*':ab,ti,kw OR 'cerebrovascular dam*':ab,ti,kw OR 'cerebro-vascular dam*':ab,ti,kw OR 'white matter hyperintensit*':ab,ti,kw OR 'white matter hyper-intensit*':ab,ti,kw OR 'WMH':ab,ti,kw OR 'fazekas':ab,ti,kw OR 'microbleed*':ab,ti,kw OR 'micro-bleed*':ab,ti,kw OR 'lacune*':ab,ti,kw	131,838
#1	'orthostatic hypotension'/exp OR 'orthostatic hypoten*':ab,ti,kw OR 'postural hypoten*':ab,ti,kw OR 'postural intoleran*':ab,ti,kw OR 'orthostatic intoleran*':ab,ti,kw OR ((fall:ab,ti,kw OR decreas*':ab,ti,kw OR dimin*':ab,ti,kw OR dipp*':ab,ti,kw OR orthostat*':ab,ti,kw) AND ('blood pressure'/exp OR 'blood pressure':ab,ti,kw)) OR 'postural orthostat*':ab,ti,kw OR 'orthostatic collapse*':ab,ti,kw OR 'orthostatic insufficien*':ab,ti,kw OR 'orthostatic syndrome*':ab,ti,kw OR 'orthostatic symptom*':ab,ti,kw OR 'orthostatic stress*':ab,ti,kw OR 'sudden hypoten*':ab,ti,kw	239,245

Web of Science (Core Collection) Session Results (01 Dec 2023)

Search	Query	Items found
#3	#1 AND #2	1,157
#2	TS=("small vessel*" OR "cerebral vascular dis*" OR "cerebrovascular dis*" OR	59,025

Search	Query	Items found
	<p>"cerebro-vascular dis*" OR "cerebral vascular dam*" OR "cerebrovascular dam*" OR "cerebro-vascular dam*" OR "white matter hyperintensit*" OR "white matter hyper-intensit*" OR "WMH" OR "fazekas" OR "microbleed*" OR "micro-bleed*" OR "lacune*")</p>	
#1	<p>TS=("orthostatic hypoten*" OR "postural hypoten*" OR "postural intoleran*" OR "orthostatic intoleran*" OR ((fall OR decreas* OR dimin* OR dipp* OR orthostat*) AND ("blood pressure"))) OR "postural orthostat*" OR "orthostatic collapse*" OR "orthostatic insufficien*" OR "orthostatic syndrome*" OR "orthostatic symptom*" OR "orthostatic stress*" OR "sudden hypoten*")</p>	100,326

Figure 1 – Summary of findings and risk of bias

A. Orthostatic hypotension and white matter hyperintensities

Author, year	Study design	Participants	Method	Risk of bias	Association
Buckley et al, 2020	Cross-sectional	Older adults	OH: Active standing WMH: Scheltens score		
Cui et al, 2020	Cross-sectional	Older adults	OH: Home measured OH WMH: WMH volume		
Foster-Dingley et al, 2018	Cross-sectional	Older adults	OH: Active standing WMH: WMH volume		
Huang et al, 2022	Cross-sectional	Patients with AIS	OH: Active standing. WMH: Fazekas scale		
Ten Harmsen et al, 2017	Cross-sectional	PD patients	OH: Active standing WMH: Fazekas scale		
Jacob et al, 2022	Cross-sectional and longitudinal	Older adults	OH: Active standing WMH: WMH volume		
Juraschek et al, 2020	Cross-sectional and longitudinal	Older adults	OH: Active standing WMH: White matter grade.		
Kario et al, 2002	Cross-sectional	Older hypertensive patients	OH: Tilt table test, WMH: Advanced deep WM lesion		
Oh et al., 2013	Cross-sectional	PD patients	OH: Tilt table test WMH: Scheltens Score		
Pilleri et al., 2012	Cross-sectional	PD patients and matched controls	OH: Tilt table test WMH: Scheltens Score		
Pilotto et al., 2021	Cross-sectional	PD and DLB patients	OH: Active standing WMH: Scheltens scale		
Shin et al, 2021	Cross-sectional	PD patients	OH: Tilt table test WMH: Fazekas scale		
Soennesyn et al, 2012	Cross-sectional	MCI patients	OH: Active standing WMH: Scheltens scale, WMH volume		
Wiersinga et al, 2023	Cross sectional	Memory clinic patients	OH: Active standing WMH: Fazekas score		
Zimmerman et al., 2020	Cross sectional	Older patients	OH: Active standing WMH: Fazekas score		

Abbreviations: AIS; acute ischemic stroke, DLB; dementia with lewy bodies, MCI; mild cognitive impairment, OH; orthostatic hypotension, PD; Parkinson disease, WMH; white matter hyperintensities









Risk of bias assessment:

- High
- Moderate
- Low

Association




- Association
- No Association

B. Orthostatic hypotension and lacunes



Author, year	Study design	Participants	Method	Risk of bias	Association
Cui et al, 2020	Cross-sectional	Older adults	OH: Home measured OH Lacunes: Presence of lacunes (≥ 1)		
Foster-Dingley et al, 2018	Cross-sectional	MCI patients	OH: Active standing Lacunes: Presence of lacunes (≥ 1)		
Jacob et al, 2022	Cross-sectional	Older adults	OH: Active standing Lacunes: Presence of lacunes (≥ 1)		
Wiersinga et al, 2023	Cross sectional	Memory clinic patients	OH: Active standing Lacunes: Presence of lacunes (≥ 1)		

Abbreviations: MCI; mild cognitive impairment, OH; orthostatic hypotension















Risk of bias assessment:

-  High
-  Moderate
-  Low

Association




-  Association
-  No Association

C. Orthostatic hypotension and microbleeds



Author, year	Study design	Participants	Method	Risk of bias	Association
Cui et al, 2020	Cross-sectional	Older adults	OH: Home measured OH Microbleeds: Microbleeds ≥ 1		
Daida et al, 2018	Cross-sectional	PD patients	OH: Active standing Microbleeds: Microbleeds ≥ 1		
Foster-Dingley et al, 2018	Cross-sectional	MCI patients	OH: Active standing Microbleeds: Microbleeds ≥ 1		
Jacob et al, 2022	Cross-sectional	Older adults	OH: Active standing Microbleeds: Microbleeds		
Wiersinga et al, 2023	Cross sectional	Memory clinic patients	OH: Active standing Microbleeds: Microbleeds ≥ 3		
Yamashiro et al., 2015	Cross-sectional	PD patients	OH: Active standing Microbleeds: Microbleeds ≥ 1		
Yamashiro et al., 2018	Cross-sectional	PD patients	OH: Active standing Microbleeds: Microbleeds ≥ 1		

Abbreviations: MCI; mild cognitive impairment, OH; orthostatic hypotension, PD; Parkinson disease

Risk of bias assessment:

-  High
-  Moderate
-  Low

Association

-  Association
-  No Association

Supplemental table 1 - Modified JBI critical appraisal checklist for analytical cross sectional studies

Questions JBI Risk of bias checklist (modified)	Answer options
<p>D1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined? <i>Yes: Inclusion criteria clearly defined, consecutive enrollment, preferably community-based samples. In patient based samples, inclusion of patients along the disease axis.</i> <i>No: Inclusion criteria are not specified. Excluding patients without valid explanation and/or added value for the study (for example patients with cardiovascular disease, patients with neurogenic OH).</i> <i>Unclear: not applicable.</i></p>	Yes/no/unclear
<p>D2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail? <i>Yes: Clear study setting: Description of the recruitment site of the population (clinic-, outpatient clinic-, community- based), inclusion period, inclusion center, inclusion method.</i> <i>In longitudinal research: Setting of follow-up moment (follow-up time frame, reach out procedure, data collection method).</i> <i>No: Study subjects and setting not sufficiently specified.</i> <i>Unclear: not applicable.</i></p>	Yes/no/unclear
<p>D3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way? <i>Yes: OH measured using active standing or tilt table test, after supine or seated rest of at least three minutes.</i> <i>No: OH measurement method unclear, not sufficient rest period before measurement.</i> <i>Unclear: Measurement unclear.</i></p>	Yes/no/unclear
<p>D4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition? <i>Yes: OH using consensus definition, measured within 3 minutes of standing up/tilting to at least 60 °C.</i> <i>No: Not using consensus definition: for example OH measurement later than three minutes, diagnosis based on complaints.</i> <i>Unclear: OH criteria unclear.</i></p>	Yes/no/unclear
<p>D5. Were confounding factors identified? <i>Yes: Identification of following confounding factors: age, sex, systolic blood pressure, OH-inducing medication and to lesser extent cardiovascular disease, diabetes and, neurological disease (PD/DLB).</i> <i>No: Important confounders not identified and/or presented.</i> <i>Unclear: Unclear if confounding factors are identified.</i></p>	Yes/no/unclear
<p>D6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated? <i>Yes: Analyses adjusted for important confounding factors (see above).</i> <i>No: Unadjusted analyses.</i> <i>Unclear: Adjustment unclear.</i></p>	Yes/no/unclear
<p>D7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way? <i>Yes: CSVD measured with MRI or CT, using validated measurement tools, assessment by trained professional(s) blinded for OH status.</i> <i>No: Unvalidated measurement tool, professional(s) not blinded.</i> <i>Unclear: Assessment unclear.</i></p>	Yes/no/unclear
<p>D8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?</p>	Yes/no/unclear

<p><i>Yes: Statistical analysis of association includes logistic/linear regression analysis or ANCOVA, adjusted for important confounding factors (see above). Cross-sectional data: Missing data <5%, otherwise imputed. For longitudinal studies: Information on re-examination, loss to follow-up <=20%.</i></p> <p><i>No: Percentage and t-test, other. Cross-sectional missing data >5% and not imputed or overall >25%. Longitudinal missing data > 20%.</i></p> <p><i>Unclear: Statistical analysis unclear.</i></p>	
--	--

Abbreviations: ANCOVA; Analysis of covariance, CSVD; cerebral small vessel disease, CT; computer tomography, DLB; Dementia with Lewy bodies, JBI; Johanna Briggs institute MRI; magnetic resonance imaging, OH: orthostatic hypotension, PD; Parkinson disease