Appendix A

As the following table shows, all distance measures correlate highly with each other. The restriction to the measure we have chosen is therefore not only theoretically but also empirically unproblematic.

Table A1 CORRELATIONS BETWEEN THE DIFFERENT SEMANTIC DISTANCE MEASURES

Distance measure	*Mean_CS_MD*	*Median_CS_MD*	*Mean_CS_Mean*	*Median_CS_Mean*	*Mean_EC_MD*	*Median_EC_MD*	*Mean_EC_Mean*	*Median_EC_Mean*
Mean_CS_MD	1	.937**	.996**	.944**	.910**	.867**	.906**	.862**
Median_CS_MD	.937**	1	.929**	.953**	.847**	.868**	.842**	.861**
Mean_CS_Mean	.996**	.929**	1	.946**	.910**	.866**	.907**	.861**
Median_CS_Mean	.944**	.953**	.946**	1	.854**	.862**	.849**	.856**
Mean_EC_MD	.910**	.847**	.910**	.854**	1	.919**	.996**	.915**
Median_EC_MD	.867**	.868**	.866**	.862**	.919**	1	.913**	.983**
Mean_EC_Mean	.906**	.842**	.907**	.849**	.996**	.913**	1	.917**
Median_EC_Mean	.862**	.861**	.861**	.856**	.915**	.983**	.917**	1

Note: cosine distance (CS), euclidean distance (EC) ** The correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-sided)

Appendix B

Table B1 UNSTANDARDIZED LOADINGS OF THE HIERARCHICAL LINEAR MODEL (HLM) IN RELATION TO IHAS

Variable name	*Estimate*	*Std. Error*	*P-Value*	$Q_{0.025}$	$Q_{0.075}$
intercept	7.12E-01	1.41E-02	2.00E-16	6.84E-01	7.39E-01
facelikeness	-2.92E-05	3.90E-05	0.4541	-1.06E-04	4.74E-05
childlikeness	4.45E-05	4.42E-05	0.3146	-4.22E-05	1.31E-04
friendliness	-5.58E-05	5.48E-05	0.3088	-1.63E-04	5.16E-05
threat	-6.76E-06	5.28E-05	0.8981	-1.10E-04	9.68E-05
age	5.09E-04	2.54E-04	0.0461*	9.43E-06	1.01E-03
sex	-1.03E-03	4.86E-03	0.8328	-1.06E-02	8.52E-03
nationality	-4.03E-03	3.09E-03	0.1933	-1.01E-02	2.05E-03

Note: * The correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-sided)