

## Review Al-enhanced collective intelligence

#### Hao Cui<sup>1,2,3</sup> and Taha Yasseri<sup>1,2,3,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Sociology, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland <sup>2</sup>Geary Institute for Public Policy, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland <sup>3</sup>School of Social Sciences and Philosophy, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland <sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: taha.yasseri@tcd.ie https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patter.2024.101074

**THE BIGGER PICTURE** The challenges facing society today are becoming increasingly complex, surpassing what human efforts alone can manage, whether individually or collectively. As artificial intelligence (AI) evolves and improves, some might believe that replacing human intelligence with AI could solve our societal challenges. This notion has been criticized. Instead, we believe that AI can enhance human collective intelligence rather than replace it. Humans bring intuition, creativity, and diverse experiences, while AI offers vast computational power and rapid data processing. Combining these strengths can create a level of collective intelligence greater than the sum of its parts. However, understanding how humans and AI can effectively collaborate to achieve this collective intelligence is a complex and intellectually stimulating task. This is a new territory for us and requires multidisciplinary research to be harnessed effectively.

#### SUMMARY

Current societal challenges exceed the capacity of humans operating either alone or collectively. As Al evolves, its role within human collectives will vary from an assistive tool to a participatory member. Humans and Al possess complementary capabilities that, together, can surpass the collective intelligence of either humans or Al in isolation. However, the interactions in human-Al systems are inherently complex, involving intricate processes and interdependencies. This review incorporates perspectives from complex network science to conceptualize a multilayer representation of human-Al collective intelligence, comprising cognition, physical, and information layers. Within this multilayer network, humans and Al agents exhibit varying characteristics; humans differ in diversity from surface-level to deep-level attributes, while Al agents range in degrees of functionality and anthropomorphism. We explore how agents' diversity and interactions influence the system's collective intelligence and analyze real-world instances of Al-enhanced collective intelligence. We conclude by considering potential challenges and future developments in this field.

#### INTRODUCTION

Our societies form, sustain, and function because of our intelligence. In the animal kingdom, the more intelligent the animals are, the more social they are. Dunbar's "social brain theory"<sup>1</sup> even suggests that significantly superior human intelligence compared with other primates is the result of our need to be able to manage and maintain our social lives; it is humans' outstanding sociality that forced them to become more intelligent. The bottom line is that, in human societies, collectives are intelligence (CI) refers to the emergent outcome of the collective efforts of many individuals. The superiority of CI to the intelligence of any of the individuals who contributed to it has been demonstrated scientifically and practically in numerous instances.<sup>2</sup>

In every new chapter in the history of information and communication technologies (ICTs), CI has been elevated to a higher level through more readily and cheaply available platforms for collaboration and exchanging ideas. The Internet, especially the World Wide Web, was designed to foster large-scale collaboration. Tim Berners-Lee's initial intention in developing hypertext transfer protocol (HTTP), which later led to the development of the WWW, was to facilitate collaboration between CERN researchers. Some 30 years later, the Web facilitates the largest collaborative projects in human history, such as Wikipedia,<sup>3</sup> citizen science projects,<sup>4</sup> collaborative software development, and collaborative tagging projects,<sup>5</sup> all exemplifying technology-enhanced CI.

Even though large-scale knowledge-generating collaborations, crowdsourcing, and, in a more general form, CI are not Internet phenomena per se, we can see this new technology has undoubtedly boosted the state of the art in collaborative knowledge creation, task execution, and collective decision making. Artificial intelligence (AI), similar to the Web and

1



# 

#### Table 1. Comparison between WoC and Cl

Aspect	WoC	CI
Interaction	minimal	high, involving significant collaboration and coordination
Dependence	independent	interdependent and adaptable processes to changing conditions
Mechanism	aggregation	emergent and adaptable integration from coordinated efforts
Nature	static, immediate collective judgment	dynamic and adaptive, evolving to meet complex needs

Internet-based technologies, is reshaping different aspects of our lives at a tremendous pace. In light of this rapidly evolving situation, it is essential to consider how, similar to previous disruptions by new ICTs, AI can magnify and enhance our CI.

#### CI

Intelligent agents (humans, animals, and intelligent artifacts) often interact with others to tackle complex problems. They combine their knowledge and personal information with information acquired through interaction and social information, resulting in superior CI. The concept of CI has been redefined over the years, primarily due to different research streams that have employed the term in qualitatively different ways.<sup>6</sup>

One stream of research uses CI to refer to the outcome of collaboration produced by amalgamation of the input from a large crowd, exemplified in scenarios such as online contests or crowdsourced science.<sup>7-9</sup> Here, the concept of CI is often mixed up with the wisdom of crowds (WoC). Both rely on the idea that collective input from a diverse group typically vields better outcomes than any individual member's. The WoC involves aggregating independent judgments from a large, diverse group to arrive at collective decisions or predictions, often through statistical averaging.<sup>10</sup> In contrast, CI pertains to the achievements of collaborating groups, whose sizes can vary. It emerges from the synergy of interactions and mutual feedback among intelligent agents<sup>11</sup> working toward a common goal through interconnected efforts. However, social information and interconnection have also been studied in the context of WoC.<sup>12,13</sup> Despite the conflict in the literature on the boundaries and overlaps between the two, almost all the AI enhancements we discuss in this paper in the context of CI can also be implemented in WoC scenarios.<sup>14</sup> Nevertheless, for the sake of simplicity, we only use the term CI for the rest of the paper. Table 1 compares key aspects of the two concepts.

Another stream of research defines CI as an ability that "can be designed to solve a wide range of problems over time in the face of complex and changing environmental conditions."<sup>6</sup> Converging evidence suggests the presence of a general CI factor (c-factor) that serves as a predictor for a group's performance across a wide range of tasks,<sup>15,16</sup> similar to the individual's general intelligence (g-factor) but extended to groups. Research has found that this c-factor is not strongly correlated with the average or maximum individual intelligence of the group members, suggesting that CI is more than just the sum of the individual intelligence present in the group.<sup>15</sup> In this sense, this definition is virtually compatible with the one above.

A group's CI is fundamentally influenced by its composition and interactions. Past work indicates a positive correlation between the c-factor and factors such as the average social sensitivity,<sup>15</sup> the equitable distribution of conversational participation,<sup>15</sup> and the percentage of female members in the group.<sup>15</sup> Groups with diverse perspectives and skill sets are likelier to cultivate innovative solutions that might not emerge in a more homogeneous group. Effective interaction processes, encompassing coordination, cooperation,<sup>17</sup> and communication patterns,<sup>18</sup> are crucial. Prior studies have identified three distinct sociocognitive transactive systems<sup>19</sup> responsible for managing collective memory,<sup>20</sup> collective attention,<sup>21</sup> and collective reasoning,<sup>22</sup> all of which are essential for the emergence of CI.

#### AI

The definition of AI has undergone numerous evolutions in the past semi-century. AI is the simulation of human intelligence processes by machines,<sup>23</sup> especially computer systems. Previous research considers the classification of AI in distinct ways.<sup>24</sup> The first classification categorizes AI based on its human-like cognitive abilities, such as thinking and feeling, and contains four primary AI types<sup>24</sup>: reactive AI, limited-memory AI, theory-of-mind (ToM) AI, and self-aware AI. Limited-memory AI is the most common at the current time, ranging from virtual assistants to chatbots to self-driving vehicles. Such AI can learn from historical data to recognize patterns, generate new knowledge and understanding, and inform subsequent decisions.

Yet another classification is a technology-oriented approach that categorizes AI into artificial narrow intelligence (ANI), artificial general intelligence (AGI), and artificial superintelligence (ASI). ANI involves creating computer systems capable of performing specific tasks like human intelligence,<sup>25</sup> but it often surpasses humans in efficiency and accuracy.<sup>26</sup> ANI machines have a narrow range of capabilities and can represent all existing AI. AGI refers to machines that exhibit the human ability to learn, perceive, and understand a wide range of intellectual tasks.<sup>27,28</sup> Finally, ASI's primary goal is to develop a machine with cognitive abilities higher than those of humans.<sup>24</sup>

Existing AI can perform tasks including but not limited to complex calculations, language translation, facial recognition, and financial market prediction. Recent developments in generative AI, such as OpenAI's text-to-video model Sora (openai.com/ sora), exemplify AI's potential in creative industries. As AI technology evolves, it is poised to bring groundbreaking advancements across various fields. Beyond content creation, as AI deepens the understanding of the physical world and develops its simulation ability at the perceptual and cognitive level, it is possible to develop more super-intelligent tools in various fields.

Current AI systems can process vast amounts of data on a scale far beyond human capabilities. However, many real-world challenges cannot yet be solved solely by AI. Currently, AI lacks the deep conceptual and emotional understanding humans possess about objects and experiences.<sup>29</sup> AI cannot interpret human language's nuances, including contextual, symbolic,



and cultural meanings.<sup>30,31</sup> AI cannot be an ethical decision maker because it lacks the human attributes of intentionality, care, and responsibility.<sup>29</sup> Therefore, combining human insight with AI's analytical power is crucial for addressing complex real-world challenges, leveraging the strengths to compensate for each other's weaknesses.

#### **HUMAN-AI HYBRID CI**

Even though the field of CI initially focused solely on groups of people, in recent years, it has gradually expanded to include AI as group members in a new framework referred to as "hybrid intelligence."<sup>32</sup> Proponents of the hybrid-intelligence perspective stress that humans and AI can connect in ways that allow them to collectively act more intelligently and achieve goals unreachable by any individual entities alone.<sup>33</sup> Researchers investigating this hybrid CI explore "how people and computers can be connected so that collectively they act more intelligently than any person, group, or computer has ever done before."<sup>34</sup>

Acknowledging the complementary capabilities of humans and AI as discussed in the previous section, researchers identify the need for developing socio-technological ensembles of humans and intelligent machines that possess the ability to collectively achieve superior results and continuously improve by learning from each other.<sup>35</sup> Previous research provides evidence that teaming humans with AI has the potential to achieve complementary team performance (CTP), a level of performance that AI or humans cannot reach in isolation.<sup>36</sup> For instance, a study demonstrates that humans can use contextual information to adjust the AI's decision.<sup>37</sup> Research on mixed teams composed of humans and AI shows hybrid teams could achieve higher performance metrics, such as team situational awareness and score, than all-human teams.<sup>36</sup> Finally, recent advancements in developing large language models (LLMs) have also been proposed to reshape CI.38

## EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE, THEORETICAL GAPS, AND A NEW FRAMEWORK

Technological advances have constantly disrupted how we produce, exchange, collect, and analyze information and consequently make decisions, individually or collectively. Automation through smart devices based on machine learning, knowledge graph-based machine reasoning, and walking and talking household devices leveraging natural language processing have already reshaped our personal, professional, and social lives. Cl cannot be an exception. Inevitably, our collective decision-making processes have been, and will be, disrupted by Al.

For example, machine learning and automation can increase the efficiency and scalability of CI in citizen science projects, where citizens volunteer to help scientists tag and classify their large-scale datasets.<sup>39</sup> Members of a crowd with different interests and areas of competence can be matched to other tasks by recommendation systems.<sup>40</sup> Generative algorithms can extrapolate human solutions and generate new ideas.<sup>41</sup> Clustering algorithms can reduce a complex task's solution space as humans explore possible solutions.<sup>42</sup> Machines can unify similar solutions to mitigate statistical noise.<sup>43</sup> Matching algorithms can match individuals and build efficient groups.<sup>44</sup>



In this review of AI-enhanced CI, we adopted a narrative review methodology guided by a complexity-theory and network-science framework. We began with a conceptual narrative and systematically identified relevant literature for each section. Therefore, we do not claim we have exhausted the vast and fast-growing literature. However, our approach integrates diverse theoretical perspectives and empirical studies using these theories to explain CI and its enhancement by AI. This approach bridges interdisciplinary insights, offering a holistic understanding of AI-CI.

This review focuses on integrating AI to bolster the CI of human groups, addressing a notable theoretical gap in understanding performance enhancements, particularly in hybrid human-AI configurations. With AI integration becoming pervasive across various sectors, exploring how this collaboration can unlock optimal capabilities is imperative. This exploration is essential for boosting productivity, fostering innovation, and ensuring that AI complements and enhances human skills rather than replacing them outright. The industry's pivotal role in driving current AI-CI research underscores the absence of a comprehensive theoretical framework.<sup>45</sup>

In subsequent sections, we introduce a framework to deepen our comprehension of AI-enhanced CI systems. We then elaborate on the applications and discuss the implications of such fusion. Finally, we conclude by addressing existing challenges in designing AI-CI systems and speculate on the field's future trajectory.

#### **AI-ENHANCED CI FRAMEWORK**

#### Multilayer representation of the CI system

In advancing the field of human-AI CI, prior research shows the necessity of formulating theories encompassing CI, combining both humans and AI.<sup>46</sup> Developing such theoretical frameworks requires an in-depth comprehension and interpretation of human-AI systems, characterized by their high complexity and interrelated processes. Network science offers tools that enable us to understand the complexity of social systems<sup>47</sup> where a multitude of interacting elements give rise to the collective behavior of the whole system.<sup>48</sup> To enhance our understanding of CI in the human-AI system and explore how "the whole is more than the sum of its parts,"<sup>49,50</sup> we integrate complexity science and network science approaches and propose a multilayer representation of the complex system involving human and AI agents.

Complex system thinking has been used to understand diverse biological, physical, and social domains.<sup>51–53</sup> Inspired by this approach, a real-world CI system can be mapped into a multilayer network with three interconnected layers: cognition, physical, and information. In this network, nodes represent interacting agents, and links represent relationships between them. The construction of such networks and the meaning of the links are context dependent. A node can also represent a group of agents instead of an individual agent in situations where the group behaves or is treated as a single entity within the network. Figure 1 illustrates a multiplex network, <sup>54</sup> a special type of multilayer network where nodes remain the same but links differ across layers.

The cognition layer, which contains mental processes, is only indirectly perceivable. Intelligent processes are involved during







problem solving, such as sense making, remembrance, creation, coordination, and decision making, often happening in the cognition layer. The links are hard to determine, but these processes exist and are fundamental to the emergence of CI in the whole system. In opposition to this indirectly perceivable laver is the physical laver, where humans and AI have tangible physical interactions. Information interaction in the information layer refers to exchanging information between agents through various communication channels. Besides intra-layer interactions, interactions in one layer can lead to information transfer and trigger interactions in other layers, represented as inter-layer links in the network.<sup>55</sup> This interdependence and cross-layer influence are common features of multilayer networks. Moreover, even though our current framework revolves around pairwise interactions between nodes, recent advancements in the study of higher-order networks, going beyond pairwise interactions,<sup>56</sup> may enrich our understanding of the collective emergence of intelligence.

In addition to internal processes, it is essential to acknowledge the influence of the environment on the system.<sup>57</sup> The human-AI complex system functions in a potentially dynamic environment. Previous research suggests that collective intelligent behavior depends on the environment,<sup>57</sup> as certain behaviors or strategies that work well in one setting may not be as effective or relevant in another.<sup>58</sup>

Human-AI hybrid systems can be viewed as complex adaptive systems, continually evolving and adapting through interactions within dynamic environments.<sup>59</sup> The concept of emergence in complex systems can be used to describe the phenomenon

A multilayer representation can be used to untangle the processes within the complex system of human and Al agents. It consists of three interdependent layers: cognition, physical, and information. External factors and a changing environment can also influence the entire system's emergent Cl.

where new properties emerge at the collective level, which is not present at the level of individual components.59-62 Here, the CI of the whole system can be seen as an emergent property superior to the micro-level individual intelligence. This property, which includes outcomes, abilities, characteristics, and behaviors, is aligned with and encompasses the major existing definitions of CI. It emerges through complex nonlinear relationships between the agents and is likely a result of bottom-up and top-down processes.<sup>10</sup> The former encompasses the aggregation of group-member characteristics that foster collaboration. The latter includes structures, norms, and routines that govern collective behavior, thereby influencing the efficacy of coordination and collaboration.<sup>16</sup> The modeling of the emergence of

Cl has leveraged analogies from a diverse array of fields,<sup>63</sup> ranging from statistical physics<sup>64</sup> to neuroscience,<sup>65</sup> both within and beyond.

Employing a multilayer representation of this complex system in a changing environment can facilitate a deeper understanding of the interactions and relationships between humans and AI agents, untangling the intricate processes involved in emerging and maintaining CI. Given the interdisciplinary nature of this approach and the variety of terminologies used, key terms and main concepts are listed in Table S1 for more clarity. Table 2 provides a summary and breakdown of the components and features within the proposed multilayer network. The immediate benefit of this framework is that we can learn from the vast literature on multilayer networks and the studies on their robustness,<sup>66</sup> adaptivity,<sup>67</sup> scalability,<sup>68</sup> resilience,<sup>69</sup> and interoperability.<sup>70</sup> We discuss this further in the following sections.

#### The goal and the task

In the previous section, we view the hybrid human-AI groups as complex systems that often function in dynamic and complex task environments. Addressing challenges within such systems necessitates a clear understanding of the specific tasks' goals and nature. The system's goal might diverge from individual objectives, highlighting the need for strategic coordination to balance collective aims with personal pursuits.

Task types can vary significantly, from generative activities such as brainstorming, which requires creative and divergent thinking, to analytical tasks such as solving Sudoku, which demands logical reasoning and pattern recognition.<sup>71</sup> The diversity

 
 Table 2. Summary and breakdown of the multilayer network of the human-Al complex system

Components	Features
Layers	cognition layer information layer physical layer
Nodes	human: surface-level, deep-level diversity Al agents: diversity in functionality and anthropomorphism
Links	links can have directions. intra-layer links: interactions within layers inter-layer links: interactions between layers
Structure	size, centrality, density, hierarchy, community
Dynamics	communication patterns cognitive processes etc.

of the tasks requires different abilities, including memory utilization, creative imagination, sense making, and critical analysis. In the following subsections, we focus on the details of groupmember diversity's influence, the structure and dynamics of interaction networks, and AI's role in enhancing group performance.

#### **Group diversity**

The relationship between group diversity and CI is highly complex due to diversity's complexity and the range of its effects under different conditions. A group can exhibit surface-level diversities.<sup>72</sup> The surface-level diversity comes from readily observable social categories, including gender, age, and ethnicity.<sup>73</sup> The deep-level diversity refers to differences in psychological characteristics,<sup>74</sup> including personality, cognitive thinking styles, and values.

Diversity benefits teams by enhancing creativity, improving decision making, and expanding access to a broader talent pool.<sup>75,76</sup> However, diversity can also have adverse effects, such as emotional conflict,<sup>77,78</sup> stress,<sup>79</sup> poor work relationships, and poor overall performance.<sup>80</sup> There is a lack of consensus on which aspects of group diversity are likely to result in positive outcomes and which aspects could produce negative outcomes.<sup>80,81</sup> The effects of diversity on group performance depend on the complexity of the task and various moderators, such as the density of the interaction network.<sup>82</sup> A review underscores the need for in-depth research into surface and deeplevel diversity within hybrid teams,<sup>81</sup> exploring their impact across various outcomes and contexts over time.

#### **Surface-level diversity**

#### Gender

The evidence concerning the effect of gender diversity on team performance is equivocal and contingent upon various contextual factors.<sup>83</sup> Past research found that gender-diverse teams outperform gender-homogeneous teams when perceived time pressure is low.<sup>84</sup> One study showed gender diversity was negatively associated with performance, but only in large groups.<sup>73</sup> Previous evidence strongly suggests that team collaboration is greatly improved by the presence of females in the group.<sup>15,83</sup>



Gender-diverse teams produce more novel and higher-impact scientific ideas.<sup>85</sup> Other studies show that gender composition seems to matter for team performance. However, when controlling for the individual abilities of team members, the relation between gender composition and team performance vanishes.<sup>86</sup> One study points out that the "romance" of working together can benefit group performance.<sup>87</sup> Gender-diverse groups perform better than homogeneous groups by decreasing relationship and task conflict.<sup>87</sup>

#### Age

Age diversity may enhance group performance, but the benefits may depend on the context. Several studies have found that team age diversity positively correlated with team performance when completing complex tasks.<sup>88</sup> Past research points out the positive effects were only seen under conditions of positive team climate and low age discrimination.<sup>88</sup> Another study shows teams with more age diversity reported more age discrimination,<sup>89</sup> associated with lower commitment and worse performance.

#### Ethnicity

There are inconsistent results in the case of ethnic diversity. One study<sup>90</sup> found racially diverse groups produced significantly more feasible and effective ideas than homogeneous groups. Another study found racial similarity in groups associated with higher self-rated productivity and commitment.<sup>91</sup> Under the right conditions, teams may benefit from diversity in ethnicity and nationality.<sup>92</sup>

#### Al surface-level diversity

Al exhibits surface-level diversity through different anthropomorphic features in robots, such as voice, avatars, and human-like characteristics. Research has indicated that the gendering of Al can affect perceptions and trust levels in users. For instance, studies have found that users may exhibit more trust in AI personal assistants whose voice gender matches their own.93 Assigning a female gender to AI bots enhances their perceived humanness and acceptance.<sup>94</sup> Previous studies have indicated a perception bias in AI agents based on gender: male AI agents tend to be viewed as more competent, whereas female AI agents are often perceived as warmer.95 The perceived gender of the machine can make the social dynamics in hybrid teams even more complicated. However, these gendered characteristics in Al also raise concerns about reinforcing stereotypes and biases.<sup>96</sup> The influence of AI's gendered traits on human interactions is an ongoing area of research, with implications for how AI is designed and utilized. Despite substantial research on AI gender, there is a notable gap in understanding the influence of other characteristics, such as AI age and ethnicity, on human interactions, highlighting the need for further exploration in this area.

#### Deep-level diversity Personality

Some work proposes that investigations into the relationship between personality and work-related behaviors should expand beyond the linearity assumptions,<sup>97</sup> showing that extraversion, agreeableness, and conscientiousness have inverted U-shaped relationships with peer-rated contributions to teamwork.<sup>97</sup> Similar work demonstrates a curvilinear relationship between a team's average proactive personality and performance.<sup>98</sup> This body of





work also identifies the moderating effects of personality dispersion, team potency, and cohesion on this relationship.<sup>98</sup> Previous research has found that a team's collective openness to experience and emotional stability moderates how task conflict affects team performance.<sup>99</sup> Specifically, in teams with high openness or emotional stability, task conflict positively influences performance.<sup>99</sup>

#### **Cognitive style**

Team cognitive-style diversity refers to the variation in team members' ways of encoding, organizing, and processing information.<sup>100</sup> Research indicates its significance in fostering innovation within teams.<sup>101</sup> A certain level of cognitive diversity contributes to CI by providing diverse cognitive inputs and viewpoints necessary for task work.<sup>101</sup> However, excessive diversity may lead to high coordination costs,<sup>102</sup> as team members with differing perspectives struggle to understand each other.<sup>103,104</sup> Studies suggest that the relationship between cognitive style diversity and CI is curvilinear, forming an inverted U shape.<sup>100</sup> Additionally, the beneficial effects of cognitive diversity might be mediated through factors such as task reflexivity and relationship conflict.<sup>105</sup>

#### Value judgment

Values are internalized beliefs that can guide behavior and enhance motivation.<sup>106</sup> Past research found higher diversity in values was associated with more conflict<sup>107</sup> in relationships, tasks, and processes. Overall, existing research consistently indicates that value congruence within teams positively influences performance, satisfaction, and conflict<sup>81</sup> and moderates the effects of informational diversity.<sup>108</sup>

#### Al deep-level diversity

While AI systems can display various behaviors or responses based on their programming and training, this diversity is a product of different algorithms, models, and data inputs. Unlike humans, AI does not have personal experiences, innate personality traits, cognitive thinking, or beliefs contributing to deep-level diversity. Although AI lacks inherent deep-level traits, AI might influence deep-level diversity in human teams, primarily by shaping social interactions and decision-making processes. The optimal composition of teams remains uncertain.<sup>109</sup>

#### Interactions

Interaction distinguishes a group from a mere collection of individuals<sup>57</sup>: one person's behavior forms the basis for the responses of others.<sup>110</sup> Therefore, the interaction between two members establishes a link in the interaction network. In terms of the group's interaction network structure, past research suggests that the small-worldness of a collaboration network (small network diameter and high clustering) improves performance up to a certain point, after which the effect will reverse.<sup>111</sup> An inverted-U relationship is found between connectedness and performance,<sup>112</sup> suggesting an optimum number of connections for any given size of the collaboration network. A modular structure (a large number of connections within small groups that are loosely connected) can increase efficiency.<sup>113</sup> A study on forbidden triads among jazz groups shows that heavily sided open triangles are associated with lower success (measured by the number of group releases).<sup>114</sup>

Al can contribute to human groups in various ways by augmenting existing human skills or complementing capabilities that humans lack. Al has attributes beyond humans, such as more extended memory, higher computational speed, and a more vital ability to work with large, diverse datasets. Al's augmentation of human cognition enables teams to navigate complex situations effectively.<sup>19</sup> Al may also help reduce the harm of implicit bias in humans<sup>115</sup> and shape better decisions. Taking advantage of the differences between humans and Al can contribute to the performance of hybrid human-Al groups. In the following sections, we discuss the rules and incentives that govern the interaction processes and the structure and dynamics of the group's interaction network.

#### **Rules and incentives**

Decision rules function as prescribed norms that direct interactions and play a crucial role in shaping the communication and information integration within a group.<sup>116</sup> Previous research has focused on disentangling decision rules guiding the team's interaction, ultimately fostering synergy. Some literature emphasizes that the decision rules themselves are intelligent.<sup>117</sup> In addition to the rules guiding behavior, the group members interact with each other under specific incentive schemes. The intrinsic and extrinsic incentives are the driving forces<sup>118</sup> for the agents to behave in a direction such that the social network becomes dynamic and moves toward a collective goal. Some research proposes that an incentive scheme rewarding accurate predictions by a minority can foster optimal diversity and collective predictive accuracy.<sup>119</sup>

Al can augment human cognition<sup>120</sup> to help teams adapt to complexity. While AI can simulate certain human-like incentives through programming and learning algorithms,<sup>121</sup> fully capturing the depth and nuance of human behavior, such as irrationality or altruism, is challenging. A recent study applying a Turing test to compare AI chatbots and humans found that AI chatbots exhibited more altruistic and cooperative behavior than their human counterparts in behavioral games.<sup>122</sup> Machines can be programmed to mimic human behaviors, but whether they genuinely "exhibit" them like humans is debatable. In CI, integrating such human-like behaviors in AI may enhance collaboration and decision making within diverse teams. However, the extent to which AI can be truly self-aware and authentically replicate complex human traits remains a subject of ongoing research and philosophical debate.

#### Group structure and dynamics Group size

Much research on CI has been dedicated to finding a group's optimum size and structure. Several studies have reported that performance improves with group size through enhanced diversity,<sup>17</sup> whereas others suggest the opposite: small groups are more efficient.<sup>123</sup> Another study suggests that large teams develop and small teams innovate.<sup>124</sup> Larger groups can better utilize diverse perspectives and knowledge to solve complex problems but also tend to experience more coordination problems and communication difficulties.<sup>15</sup> The consideration of optimal group size can be related to the dynamics of the group, whether it is static, growing, or diminishing.

In human-Al hybrid teams, group size becomes nuanced with Al integration, especially when Al entities such as LLMs are involved. The countability of Al agents, particularly if multiple agents are derived from a single LLM, raises questions about their

distinctiveness and individuality in a team context. Unlike humans, Al agents can simultaneously process multiple tasks, blurring the traditional boundaries of group size. Applying past research on human group dynamics to these hybrid systems requires rethinking the notions of individual contribution, team cohesion, and communication. It is important to consider how Al's unique capabilities and scale impact these dynamics and whether multiple Al agents from a single model represent distinct entities or a CI resource.

#### Structure

Research shows that network structure, specifically hierarchy and link intensity, can affect information distribution,<sup>125</sup> influencing group performance. Another study observed that the presence of structural holes<sup>126</sup> in leaders' networks and the adoption of core-periphery and hierarchical structures in groups correlated negatively with their performance.<sup>127</sup> Incorporating the temporal dimension, adaptive social networks can significantly impact CI by allowing the network's structure to evolve based on feedback from its members.<sup>128</sup>

Team size moderates the relationship between network structure and performance.<sup>129</sup> Studies have found central members can coordinate with other team members more easily in smaller teams,<sup>130</sup> whereas, in larger teams, communication challenges may arise.<sup>131</sup> A recent study shows a more central connected leader in advice-giving networks has a more positive impact on the performance of larger teams, but this effect is reversed in smaller teams.<sup>129</sup>

Determining the optimal size, structure, and human-Al ratio for hybrid teams requires further study, particularly concerning task-specific requirements. Moreover, incorporating Al into human teams can reshape group hierarchies, norms, and rules, affecting Cl.

#### **Communication patterns**

Teams often outperform individuals primarily due to explicit communication and feedback within the team.<sup>132</sup> Understanding the communication network in crowds is crucial for effectively designing and managing crowdsourcing tasks.<sup>133</sup> Various studies highlight that centralized and decentralized communication patterns can effectively promote team performance, contingent on the nature of the task and the team's composition.<sup>134,135</sup> In particular, teams handling complex tasks tend to be more productive with decentralized communication networks.<sup>136</sup> Conversely, the centralization of communication around socially dominant or less reflective individuals can negatively impact the utilization of expertise and overall team performance.<sup>137</sup> Communication patterns, such as equal participation and turntaking of team members, can positively affect CI.<sup>15</sup>

The integration of AI in human groups can influence the conversation dynamics in different ways, depending on the role of AI. AI chat interventions can improve online political conversations at scale,<sup>138</sup> reducing the chance of conflicts. While AI machines might struggle with capturing the subtle and ineffable social expressions that make up the dynamics of human groups, this can be advantageous. In certain contexts, AI can be social catalysts<sup>139</sup> to promote communication where human capacity is limited. Striking the right balance in AI's role is key to maintaining the natural dynamics of human interaction.

#### **Cognitive processes**

Cognitive processes are foundations for the emergence of CI,<sup>6</sup> encompassing knowledge acquisition, information processing,



problem solving, decision making, language, perception, memory, attention, and reasoning. Human cognition processes evolve and interact with each other during various tasks and experiences. Humans can represent the mental states of others, including desires, beliefs, and intentions, <sup>140</sup> known as the ToM. Past research, inspired by ToM, showed that team members' ability to assess others' mental state is positively associated with team performance both in face-to-face settings<sup>15</sup> and on-line.<sup>141</sup> Regarding collective understanding within a group, the shared mental models (SMMs) theory is helpful for understanding, predicting, and improving performance in human teams.<sup>142</sup>

Applying theories such as SMMs to human-AI teams is a natural progression in research. These models can enhance team performance by ensuring that human and AI members have a similar understanding of tasks and each other,<sup>142</sup> facilitating better prediction of needs and behaviors. Developing ToM in AI also improves coordination and adaptability in human-AI teams.<sup>143</sup> This involves AI's capability to anticipate and respond to new information and behaviors. Moreover, recent studies indicate that incorporating "hot" cognition, influenced by emotional states in AI, can further improve human-machine interactions.<sup>144</sup> Understanding the transactive systems<sup>19</sup> of memory, attention, and reasoning within these teams is key to grasping the members' knowledge and task preferences.

#### **AI MODES OF CONTRIBUTION**

Al's role in hybrid groups varies based on its autonomous agency and functionality. Al may serve as a technical tool for human assistance or as an active agent that interacts with and influences humans. Functionally, Al's role can range from an assistant to a teammate, coach, or manager. Furthermore, the degree of Al's anthropomorphism, from non-physical voice-only interfaces to avatars and physically embodied robots, also significantly affects its role in the group. Table 3 outlines the key roles of Al in human-Al contexts, accompanied by descriptions.

Assistant-type AI systems generally function as technical tools with limited autonomy, designed to complement or augment human abilities and enhance efficiency in task performance. For example, language translation AI can assist in translating text or spoken language, facilitating communication across language barriers. LLMs in education can assist students' learning by adapting to different roles based on the prompts provided by the students.<sup>145</sup> Administrative AI assistants can help schedule meetings, manage emails, and organize tasks. Smart home AI assistants such as Alexa and Siri can help manage smart home devices, play music, provide weather updates, answer questions, and assist with daily routines. AI assistants are technical tools that help humans coordinate tasks efficiently, optimize their decision making, and personalize their experiences.

Al can function as teammates, working alongside humans with complementary abilities. Al teammates are already used in realworld settings; most employees using Al already see it as a coworker. In healthcare, radiologists and Al work together to diagnose from the pictures of the patients. In creative industries, Al collaborators in music, art, and literature, such as Google's Magenta project, collaborate with musicians and artists to create new compositions or artworks using Al algorithms. Furthermore,

# CellPress



Table 3. Key roles of Al in hybrid groups				
Role	Description	Examples		
Assistant	complements or augments human abilities by performing tasks such as language translation, administrative duties, and smart-home device control	Google Translate, Alexa, or Siri managing smart-home devices		
Teammate	collaborates with humans, offering complementary skills and enhancing team performance in various fields, such as healthcare and creative industries	Al collaborating with radiologists in image diagnosis; Google's Magenta collaborating with artists		
Coach	provides guidance, feedback, and strategic oversight, helping individuals and teams improve their skills and coordination	Al coaching in team sports, providing strategic advice; Al mentoring employees in skill development		
Manager	assists in decision-making processes, reduces biases, promotes diversity, and optimizes task allocation and team dynamics	AI in hiring and promotion decisions; AI optimizing task allocation in project management		
Embodied partner	integrates AI with robotics, enabling physical interactions and augmenting human capabilities in tasks requiring a physical presence	robotic arms in factories; autonomous vehicles aiding in logistics and transportation		

Al robots can serve as teammates in various workplaces, a topic we discuss in more detail later.

As a coach, AI can provide guidance, support, and personalized feedback to individuals seeking to improve their skills and achieve their goals. In team settings, one perspective highlights the AI coach's ability to provide a comprehensive, global view of the team's environment, guiding players who have only partial views.<sup>146</sup> This approach involves the AI coach strategizing and coordinating, distributing tailored strategies to each team member based on their unique perspectives and roles. Another dimension of AI coaching focuses on assessing and improving teamwork by closely monitoring team members during collaborative tasks.<sup>147</sup> Here, the AI coach actively intervenes with timely suggestions based on the team members' inferred mental model misalignment.<sup>147</sup>

Al has been used in working environments with supervision or managerial roles, such as making decisions of hiring, promotion, and reassigning tasks.<sup>148</sup> An Al manager may mitigate human biases<sup>149</sup> in hiring and promotions, contributing to a more diverse workforce, which is a key aspect of Cl. Additionally, by analyzing individual strengths and weaknesses and efficiently allocating tasks, an Al manager can optimize team dynamics and workflows, improving overall group performance.

With the advancement of AI in areas such as natural language processing, object recognition, and creative idea generation, there's a trend to integrate AI with robotics, creating physical entities in the real world. Embodied Al<sup>150</sup> refers to intelligent agents interacting with their environment through a physical body. These AI-enabled machines, embodied in robotic arms or autonomous vehicles, can augment the capabilities of human workers. They are equipped with advanced sensors, motors, and actuators, enabling recognition of people and objects and safe collaboration with humans in diverse settings, including factories, warehouses, and laboratories. Furthermore, advanced AI-robotics integration allows robots to recognize and respond to human speech and gestures, impacting conversational dynamics within mixed human-robot environments. Research has shown that a vulnerable robot's social behavior positively shapes the conversation dynamics among human participants in a humanrobot group.<sup>151</sup> In scenarios where conflicts emerge within a human group or in groups of strangers, a humorous robot can potentially serve as an ice breaker to intervene and resolve the awkward situation,<sup>152</sup> demonstrating the potential of robots to influence the nature of human-human interactions notably.

#### **HUMAN-AI CI EMERGING FACTORS**

#### **Perception and reaction**

In addition to investigating how humans perceive individual AI, previous research suggests the necessity of additional work to explore human perceptions of AI in collaborative team environments.<sup>153</sup> For human-AI teams to succeed, human team members must be receptive to their new AI counterparts.<sup>154</sup> People's pre-existing attitudes toward AI were found to be significantly related to their willingness to be involved in human-AI teams.<sup>155</sup> Research finds that two aspects of social perception, warmth and competence, are critical predictors of human receptivity to a new AI teammate. Psychological acceptance is positively related to perceived human-AI team viability.<sup>154</sup> Regarding human perception of AI behaviors, one study finds that people cooperate less with benevolent AI agents than with benevolent humans.<sup>156</sup>

Humans tend to be more accepting of AI when it makes mistakes. Some studies find that users have a more favorable impression of the imperfect robot than the perfect robot when the robot behaves adequately after making mistakes.<sup>157</sup> When errors occur in human-machine shared-control vehicles, the blame assigned to the machine is reduced if both drivers make mistakes.<sup>158</sup> Research has found that errors occasionally performed by a humanoid robot can increase its perceived human likeness and likability.<sup>159</sup> A recent study shows humans accept their AI teammate's decision less often when they are deceived about the identity of the AI as another human.<sup>160</sup> The nature of the task requested by the robot, e.g., whether its effects are revocable as opposed to irrevocable, significantly impacts participants' willingness to follow its instructions.<sup>161</sup> When taking AI's advice, humans must know the AI system's error boundary and decide when to accept or override AI's recommendation.<sup>162</sup>





#### Figure 2. AI-CI cases by application area

Distribution of AI-enhanced CI cases by application area based on dataset curated by Supermind Design.

#### Trust

In Al-assisted decision making, where the individual strengths of humans and Al optimize the joint-decision outcome, the key to success is appropriately calibrating human trust.<sup>163</sup> Trust between humans and Al plays a pivotal role in realizing Cl.<sup>164</sup> Previous research highlights the necessity of understanding factors that enable or hinder the formation, maintenance, and repair of trust in human-Al collaborations.<sup>164</sup> Key factors influencing this trust include the perceived competence, benevolence, and integrity<sup>165</sup> of the Al systems, mirroring the trust dynamics in human relationships.

The level of anthropomorphism of machines can be a predictor of the trust level of the human participants.<sup>166</sup> Studies indicate that, while anthropomorphic features in AI agents initially create positive impressions, this effect is often short lived.<sup>164</sup> Trust tends to decline, especially when the agent's capabilities are not clearly presented and its performance fails to meet users' expectations.<sup>167</sup>

Trust in a teammate may evolve over time irrespective of team performance.<sup>168</sup> Knowing when to trust the AI allows human experts to appropriately apply their knowledge, improving decision outcomes in cases where the model is likely to perform poorly.<sup>163</sup> A previous study also proposes a framework highlighting trust relationships within human-AI teams. It acknowledges the multilevel nature of team trust, which considers individual, dyads, and team levels as a whole.<sup>169</sup> Another study points out that the trust level of a team needs to be uniform, as uncooperative members could undermine the team's ability to reach intended goals.<sup>170</sup>

#### Explainability

In human-AI decision-making scenarios, people's awareness of how AI works and its outcomes is critical in building a relationship with the system. AI explanation can support people in justifying their decisions.<sup>171</sup> A study on content moderation found that, when explanations were provided for why the content was taken down, removal decisions made jointly by humans and AI were perceived as more trustworthy and acceptable than the same decisions made by humans alone.<sup>172</sup> Many researchers have proposed using explainable AI (XAI) to enable humans to rely on AI advice appropriately and reach complementary team performance.<sup>37</sup> However, some studies suggest that XAI can be associated with a white-box paradox,<sup>173</sup> potentially leading to null or detrimental effects.

Previous research finds that AI explanations can increase human acceptance of AI recommendations, regardless of their correctness,<sup>174</sup> leading to an over-reliance, threatening the performance of human-AI decision making. However, another study finds that there are scenarios where AI explanations can reduce over-reliance<sup>175</sup> using a cost-benefit framework. The impact of AI explanations on decision-making tasks is contingent upon individuals' diverse levels of domain expertise.<sup>176</sup> Additionally, some studies advocate for the concept of causability,<sup>177</sup> which measures whether and to what extent humans can understand a given machine explanation to develop effective human-AI interfaces, particularly in medical AI.

#### **APPLICATIONS AND IMPLICATIONS**

The AI-enhanced CI approach is increasingly applied across various domains, offering innovative solutions to challenges such as community response to climate change, environment, and sustainability challenges.<sup>178</sup> AI serves as a vital decision-support tool for policymakers in detecting misinformation<sup>179</sup> and real-time crisis management, exemplified during the COVID-19 pandemic. Its application extends to high-stake areas, including medical diagnosis<sup>180</sup> and criminal justice.<sup>181</sup> AI's integration into CI efforts enables significant amplification of its impact and scalability.<sup>182</sup>

Here, we present our analysis utilizing the Supermind Design database,<sup>183</sup> which includes over 1,000 actual examples of Alenhanced CI, with 938 categorized into 12 application areas. Notably, while some cases might not directly involve AI or CI depending on the exact definitions, the database collectively offers a comprehensive overview. It provides insights into the state of the art in AI-CI applications. As shown in Figure 2, the majority of applications, approximately 20%, are found in the public sector and non-governmental organizations (NGOs), followed by high tech, media, telecommunications, entertainment, and hospitality. Fewer instances are found in supply chain, real estate, and agriculture. It is important to note that several cases classified under "Public sector, NGO" are private sector initiatives addressing public issues. In the upcoming sections, we analyze and highlight specific AI-CI examples across these diverse domains. Table S2 provides a summary of the CI and AI aspects of these examples.

#### **Public sector, NGO**

Red Dot Foundation (reddotfoundation.in), formerly known as Safecity, is a platform that crowdsources personal stories of





sexual harassment and abuse in public spaces. It collaborates with the AI partner OMDENA to build solutions to help women at risk of sexual abuse by predicting places at a high risk of sexual harassment incidents utilizing convolutional neural networks and long short-term memory (LSTM).

Ushahidi (ushahidi.com) is a non-profit online platform for crowdsourcing information, mapping, and visualizing data to respond to and inform decisions on social issues and crises. It aggregates crowdsourced information and reports from individuals across the globe. Ushahidi is developing new tools to enhance data management and analysis, such as leveraging machine-learning techniques to improve the efficiency of processing crisis reports, thus enabling faster response time.<sup>184</sup>

While NGOs and the public sector might seem like fertile grounds for AI-CI applications, it is crucial to consider the potential high-risk issues that may arise and their impact during the design and deployment of such AI-CI systems. A notable instance of a data-driven tool for social good that diverged from its original accuracy and effect is the infamous case of Google Flu.<sup>185</sup>

#### **High tech (software)**

Just as AI-enhanced CI helps address social issues and crises in the public sector and NGOs, it also drives innovation and efficiency in the high-tech industry. Bluenove (bluenove.com), a technology and consulting company that pioneers massive CI, focuses on mobilizing communities on a large scale. Their Assembl platform (bluenove.com/en/offers/assembl) facilitates open conversations among participants, employing advanced AI technologies such as natural language recognition, semantic analysis, and emotion analysis to categorize content published on the platform automatically.

Figure Eight (formerly known as CrowdFlower) was a humanin-the-loop machine-learning and Al company acquired by Appen (appen.com) in 2019. It leverages crowdsourced human intelligence to perform tasks such as text transcription or image annotation to train machine-learning algorithms, which can be used in various applications.

The recent advancements in high-tech technologies, especially the burgeoning field of LLM software, hold the potential for revolutionary developments. Nevertheless, the high risk associated with errors in automated or AI-generated software<sup>186</sup> necessitates the inclusion of human intelligence in these projects.

#### Media, telecommunication, entertainment, hospitality

Similar to high tech, more traditional ICTs, such as the media sector, harness AI-enhanced CI for purposes such as investigative journalism, historical research, and combating misinformation.

Civil War Photo Sleuth (civilwarphotosleuth.com) combines facial recognition technology and community effort to uncover lost identities in photographs from the American Civil War era. It leverages a dedicated community with a keen interest in the US Civil War and focuses on more accurately tagging and identifying individuals in historical photographs.

Bellingcat (bellingcat.com) is an independent investigative online journalism community specializing in fact checking and open-source intelligence. It brings together a collective of researchers, investigators, and citizen journalists to publish invesFacing the emerging challenge of deepfake videos, research indicates that a hybrid system combining human judgment with AI models outperforms either humans or models alone in detecting deepfakes,<sup>187</sup> underscoring the value of integrating human perceptual skills with AI technology in addressing the complexities posed by deepfake content.

#### **Energy, natural resources**

Switching to a more industrial environment, in the energy and natural resources sector, CI combined with AI can play a role in addressing environmental challenges through communitydriven data collection and analysis. Litterati<sup>188</sup> is a mobile app and community platform that combats litter by empowering people to take action. The app allows the worldwide community to contribute their observations and findings through photographing and documenting occurrences, creating a crowdsourced database of litter data. It also provides a platform for individuals to connect, share, and support each other's initiatives. The platform uses AI, such as machinelearning and computer-vision techniques, to automatically recognize different objects and materials and efficiently organize the collected litter data. Similarly, OpenLitterMap (openlittermap.com) is another example of making a cleaner planet using AI-enhanced CI.

eBird<sup>189</sup> is a mobile app and community platform for biodiversity and supports conservation initiatives to protect bird species and their habitats. It harnesses the power of a global community of birdwatchers and citizen scientists to collectively report bird observations and contribute to a shared knowledge base. eBird uses computer vision techniques to identify bird species from submitted photographs and recordings and employs machinelearning predictive models to forecast bird migration patterns and species distributions.

Tackling environmental challenges is crucial, and large-scale, crowd-based AI-enhanced CI projects play a significant role in this endeavor. Nevertheless, integrating AI with human efforts might dehumanize the work and demotivate human participants.<sup>190</sup> This balance between efficiently leveraging AI and maintaining human engagement is a key consideration in such projects.

#### **Education and academia**

Education and academia are other areas that benefit from Alenhanced CI by fostering collaborative learning environments and supporting large-scale research projects. Kialo Edu (kialoedu.com) is an argument mapping and debate site dedicated to facilitating collaborative and critical thinking. Kialo uses natural language processing techniques to analyze arguments, cluster topics, and identify discussion patterns. It allows users to participate in structured debates and discussions, promoting constructive engagement among students. This interaction helps them deeply understand and analyze the core aspects of the topics under discussion.

Zooniverse (zooniverse.org) is a platform for peoplepowered research where volunteers assist professional

researchers. Galaxy Zoo is a prominent project within the Zooniverse platform. This crowdsourced astronomy project invites people to assist in the morphological classification of large numbers of galaxies. It uses AI algorithms to learn patterns and characteristics indicative of different galaxy types by training on large datasets previously classified by citizen scientists. The combination of efforts from both the crowd and AI enables Galaxy Zoo to harness the power of human expertise and the efficiency of AI to scale up the analysis and understanding of galaxies.

A study analyzing the contributor demographics of the Zooniverse project revealed uneven geographical distribution and a gender imbalance, with approximately 30% of the citizen scientists being female.<sup>4</sup> This finding highlights concerns about representativeness and diversity in citizen science initiatives, indicating potential biases in the data and insights from such projects. Al can be used to train human contributors,<sup>191</sup> to debias CI, and to evaluate and combine human solutions.<sup>192</sup>

#### Healthcare

In a similar vein, the healthcare sector also leverages Alenhanced CI, addressing challenges such as diagnostic accuracy and medical data analysis, which are critical for improving patient outcomes and advancing medical research. The Human Diagnosis Project (humandx.org) is an open online system of collective medical insights that provides healthcare support to patients. Human Dx enables healthcare professionals worldwide to contribute expertise and collaborate on complex diagnostic cases. The platform facilitates idea exchange and mutual learning to ultimately benefit patient care by utilizing machinelearning techniques that automatically learn from classifying patterns in crowdsourced data. Human Dx makes the most of limited medical resources by harnessing the CI of human physicians and Al, enabling more accurate, affordable, and accessible care for those in need.

CrowdEEG<sup>193</sup> is a collaborative annotation tool for medical time series data. The project combines human and machine intelligence for scalable and accurate human clinical electroencephalogram (EEG) data analysis. It trains machine-learning algorithms using feedback from clinical experts and non-expert crowds to perform feature detection or classification tasks on medical time series data.

In healthcare, the use of AI-CI poses the risk of amplifying existing biases in human-only diagnosis once we combine AI with human judgment.<sup>194</sup> Consequently, errors resulting from such biased decision making can erode patients' trust not only in the AI systems but also in the medical professionals utilizing them.

#### **Financial services**

Al-enhanced Cl in financial services optimizes investment strategies and improve market predictions, demonstrating the powerful synergy between human expertise and machine learning. Numerai (numerai.fund) is an Al-run quant hedge fund built on crowdsourced machine-learning models. Numerai hosts an innovative data science tournament in which a global network of data scientists develops machine-learning models to predict stock markets. Based on the combined knowledge of the participants, Numerai combines and aggregates these crowdsourced



predictive models into an ensemble model to derive investment strategies in the financial markets.

CryptoSwarm AI is a forecasting service that provides rigorous insights and intelligence on cryptocurrencies and other Web3 assets. A combination of AI technology and real-time human insights powers it. CryptoSwarm AI uses Swarm AI to amplify the CI of the online communities quickly, enabling networked groups to converge on optimized solutions in real time.

In financial markets, research generally indicates positive impacts on market efficiency due to the superior information processing and optimization capabilities of machines, coupled with human anticipation of these effects. However, this improvement is sometimes disrupted by rapid price spikes and crashes, resulting from the herding behavior of machines and the challenges humans face in intervening at extremely fast timescales.<sup>195</sup>

#### Supply chain, real estate

The supply chain and real estate sectors also benefit from Alenhanced CI, improving efficiency, transparency, and decision making through real-time data integration and analysis. MarineTraffic (marinetraffic.com) is a web-based platform that creates a global network of vessel tracking information, enabling users to track vessels, monitor maritime traffic, analyze trends, and make informed decisions. It relies on the collective contributions of the maritime community, who voluntarily transmit realtime information about vessel positions and movements from various sources, including automatic identification system (AIS) data and satellite data. MarineTraffic employs neural network architectures for automatic maritime object detection using satellite imagery.<sup>196</sup>

Waze (waze.com) is a GPS navigation software that works on smartphones and other computers. It analyzes crowdsourced GPS data and user-submitted information along the route in real time and uses community editing to ensure the accuracy of the map data. Waze uses AI algorithms to predict traffic, optimize routes,<sup>197</sup> and provide personalized recommendations by analyzing driver behaviors. Waze has implemented integration with Google Assistant, enabling users to use the application by utilizing the voice command "Hey Google."

By improving efficiency, reducing waste, and enabling more equitable distribution and accessibility of resources and spaces, AI can play a significant role in addressing inequalities in access to resources, paving the way for sustainable and efficient infrastructure development.<sup>198</sup>

#### Agriculture

Finally, the agriculture sector leverages AI-enhanced CI to improve farming practices, resource management, and crop yields, addressing global food security challenges. WeFarm is a social networking platform connecting the small-scale farming community. It helps millions of African farmers meet, exchange solutions to their questions, and trade equipment and supplies. Farmers ask each other questions about agriculture and promptly receive content and ideas from other farmers worldwide through crowdsourcing. Natural language processing processes and understands the text-based messages farmers send in different regions. Machine-learning matching algorithms consider farmers' needs and expertise, identify the most suitable



responses, and present them to the farmer who posed the question.

Mercy Corps AgriFin (mercycorpsagrifin.org) harnesses the power of AI and a global network of partners to transform agriculture for smallholder farmers. By utilizing state-of-the-art imagery, modeling, and analysis, AI enables precise management of crops and adaptation strategies against climate change impacts. AgriFin's integration of digital technology and data, supported by a global network, empowers these farmers in a digitally interconnected world.

In light of the global food crisis and the quest for sustainable solutions, the importance of AI-enhanced CI platforms becomes increasingly critical. These platforms can significantly contribute to addressing agricultural challenges and transitioning toward global sustainable food systems.<sup>199</sup>

#### Limitations

The previous examples across various application domains demonstrate that CI and AI leverage the power of crowdsourced data, collaborative problem solving, and advanced data-processing techniques to enhance decision making, optimize processes, and improve outcomes. However, there are limitations and concerns associated with the AI-CI approach.

#### **Scalability**

Al-enhanced CI can scale up problem-solving efficiency for realworld challenges, but scalability remains a limitation. As the system grows, scaling the multilayer framework and ensuring its applicability across various domains and scales can be challenging. Integrating diverse fields provides a comprehensive approach but poses difficulties in coordination, consistency, and computational resources.

#### Bias

While AI can help mitigate certain human biases, they also have the possibility to introduce new ones. These biases can stem from various stages of AI development and deployment. Al systems learn from the data they are trained on, and biased training data can cause the AI to replicate these biases. Additionally, algorithmic design choices may inadvertently favor certain groups over others. Human-in-the-loop bias is another concern, where human inputs during training and feedback, such as biased labeling by annotators, can be learned by the Al. Moreover, user interactions with Al systems can also influence and reinforce these biases. Al biases pose risks to fair and equitable outcomes in society, especially in sensitive areas such as healthcare and education. Bias in AI algorithms can lead to unfair treatment or misdiagnosis in healthcare, and biased educational tools can perpetuate existing inequalities. Understanding how AI biases and human biases interact, and how to avoid doubly biased decisions made by human-machine intelligence,<sup>200</sup> are crucial areas for further study.

#### **Explainability**

Many AI models, particularly deep-learning algorithms, operate as "black boxes,"<sup>201</sup> making understanding how they arrive at specific decisions or recommendations difficult. This opacity can hinder trust and acceptance among users, especially in high-stakes domains such as healthcare and law. Explainability is essential for ensuring accountability, enabling users to understand and challenge AI decisions, and identifying and correcting



biases within the system. Developing AI models that are both effective and interpretable requires ongoing research and innovation.

#### **CHALLENGES AND OUTLOOKS**

The application areas of AI-enhanced CI continually expand as technology advances and new opportunities emerge. As introduced in the previous section, successful examples of AIenhanced CI exist in various domains. However, challenges coexist with opportunities. When realizing human-AI CI, many aspects must be considered, such as human-machine communication, trust, crowd retention, technology design, and ethical issues.<sup>202</sup> Here, we briefly discuss some of these challenges and call for further work on each aspect.

#### Communication

Clear and effective communication between humans and Al is the engine for Cl to emerge.<sup>164</sup> Communication refers to the process of exchanging information between teammates.<sup>203</sup> It is essential for team performance as it contributes to the development and maintenance of SMMs and the successful execution of necessary team processes.<sup>203,204</sup>

For effective communication in human-AI teamwork, AI must first be able to model human information comprehension accurately and then effectively communicate in a manner understandable to humans. Current AI technologies face limitations in verbal and contextual understanding<sup>204</sup> and cognitive capabilities, such as reasoning about others' mental states and intentions.<sup>205</sup> Detection, interpretation, and reasoning about social cues from a human perspective is imperative to ensure effective coordination, but AI has yet to achieve this. Although recent developments in LLMs appear promising, further advancing our understanding of how LLMs can be utilized in such a way is crucial.<sup>206,207</sup>

Al's inability to interpret nonverbal cues and limited self-explanation hinder human collaboration. Addressing these challenges, research suggests the development of Al agents with cognitive architectures that can facilitate both a machine theory of the human mind (MToHM) and a human theory of the machine mind (HToMM) will be especially important for supporting the emergence of Cl.<sup>164</sup>

#### Trust

In team success, a human member's trust in a machine is pivotal.<sup>208</sup> Research indicates that users interact differently with AI than humans, often exhibiting more openness and self-disclosure with human partners.<sup>209</sup> Developing explanatory methods that foster appropriate trust in AI is challenging yet crucial for enhancing performance in human-AI teams.<sup>174</sup> Mean-while, over-reliance on AI, especially when faced with incorrect AI advice, can be detrimental and lead to human skill degradation. Hence, it is essential for humans to judiciously rely on AI when appropriate and exercise self-reliance in the face of inaccurate AI guidance,<sup>210</sup> especially in high-stakes situations. More tech literacy, public understanding of AI, and advancements in the technology itself will help in overcoming these challenges.

#### **Crowd retention**

Albeit beneficial in terms of efficiency, employing Al and citizen scientists together has been reported to damage the retention of citizen scientists.<sup>190</sup> There is a trade-off between efficiency and retention of the crowds when deploying AI in citizen science projects, and ultimately, if all the crowds leave the projects, the performance of AI alone would decline again. One of the factors contributing to the retention of volunteers is reported to be the social ties they make in their teams.<sup>211</sup> The challenge of forming social bonds with AI could increase human feelings of loneliness and isolation, potentially affecting mental health. Questions about identity and recognition could arise when humans are rewarded in conjunction with AI. Studies highlight concerns regarding the anthropomorphic appearance of social robots potentially threatening human distinctiveness.<sup>212</sup> Additionally, collaboration with AI may demotivate humans due to a lack of competitive drive and an over-reliance on AI, which could diminish human participation and initiative. Understanding how to balance AI collaboration with maintaining human motivation and retention is crucial.

#### **Technology design**

The interface design of AI technology influences user experiences, engagement, and acceptance of Al-driven communication. Interfaces should be user friendly, promoting seamless information exchange and aligning with human cognitive processes. Since AI systems need time to process human input and generate output, there may be a significant time lag between the interactions in some instances. The delay of feedback between human input and AI responses can adversely affect<sup>213</sup> the coordination and interaction efficiency between humans and AI, especially in collaborative tasks. On the other hand, human behavior possesses specific temporal patterns, mainly determined by circadian rhythm.<sup>214</sup> It is essential to design such hybrid systems so that the temporal characteristics of both entities match, support mutual understanding, and balance the cognitive load and workload distribution. Moreover, these systems should be designed to augment human contributions.<sup>215</sup> To effectively cater to diverse user groups, human-centered AI design approaches, including the use of personas,<sup>216</sup> can be employed to develop adaptive and inclusive human-Al interfaces.

#### **Ethical considerations**

When integrating AI into human interactions, several ethical considerations emerge. Addressing these concerns involves balancing benefits and minimizing potential harms. Key issues include the ethical implications of mandatory AI use, especially when humans are reluctant or do not require AI assistance. When it comes to AI transparency, a study reported that humans who were paired with bots to play a repeated prisoner's dilemma game outperformed human-human teams initially but later started to defect once they became aware that they were playing with a bot, showing a trade-off between efficiency and transparency.<sup>217</sup> Another critical aspect is addressing biases inherent in AI outputs, which are influenced by the data inputs. It is essential to consider how AI integration might exacerbate or introduce new biases in decision making.<sup>200</sup> Furthermore, liability questions arise when AI er-



rors cause serious harm, an issue compounded by the lack of comprehensive regulations and laws. For instance, a robot failed in object recognition and crushed a human worker to death in a factory in South Korea.<sup>218</sup> This highlights the urgent need for comprehensive legal frameworks to address such liabilities and ensure safety in environments where AI interacts with humans. As AI becomes more prevalent in the workplace, constructing ethical human-AI teams becomes a complex, evolving challenge.<sup>219</sup>

#### Conclusions

The advancement of AI technologies has led to enhanced and evolved approaches to tackling existing challenges. Approaches relying solely on human intelligence or AI encounter significant limitations. Therefore, a synergistic combination of both, complementing each other, is considered the ideal strategy for effective problem solving.

In current real-world AI-CI applications, AI is predominantly utilized as a technical tool to facilitate data analysis through techniques such as natural language processing and computer vision. Implementing AI not only scales up tasks but, in combination with human intelligence, leads to superior performance. Although AI is critical in some decision-making processes, humans make the ultimate decisions and contributions. It is essential to recognize that AI's function is to support and enhance human collaborative processes rather than to replace human intelligence. Nevertheless, it is also worth considering CI emerging from a group of AI entities in future research. Past work has demonstrated that the collective behavior of autonomous machines can lead to the emergence of complex social behavior.<sup>220</sup>

AI-CI approaches present numerous challenges. Success in this field relies on multiple factors beyond the initial idea and readiness of technological solutions. Critical aspects include attracting the audience, designing user-friendly interfaces, scalability, and effectively integrating AI with human CI. Understanding how to combine human intelligence and AI to address social challenges remains a significant and worthy area of study that requires interdisciplinary collaboration.

While interdisciplinary collaboration presents challenges, such as differences in terminology, methodologies, and objectives across fields, which can create communication barriers and slow progress, it also offers benefits. Interdisciplinary collaboration fosters a comprehensive understanding of complex problems and enables developing more effective, adaptable, and ethical Al-enhanced CI systems.

Complex system thinking has illuminated various biological, physical, and social domains. Based on this, we can model real-world CI systems as multilayer networks. This framework allows us to leverage extensive research on multilayer networks, focusing on their robustness, adaptivity, scalability, resilience, and interoperability.

Our theoretical model of human-Al CI, a multilayer network with cognition, physical, and information layers, offers valuable insights into complex phenomena in such hybrid systems. For example, in the case of the Flash Crash 2012,<sup>221</sup> the cognition layer includes high-frequency traders (algorithms) responding to market signals, the physical layer involves the actual financial transactions, and the information



layer encompasses the data streams and communication networks connecting these elements. By understanding the interplay and feedback loops within these layers, our model helps identify vulnerabilities, such as how a single large sell order propagated through the network, causing a cascade of automated responses that led to the crash.<sup>195</sup>

Similarly, regarding the reported issue of human user retention while AI is being deployed in citizen science projects, <sup>190</sup> our model elucidates the interaction between human volunteers and AI classifiers within the different layers. It highlights the importance of maintaining a balance between efficiency (enhanced by AI) and volunteer engagement (rooted in human cognition). This approach prepares us to preemptively address potential pitfalls, ensuring more resilient and effective CI systems in the future.

#### **Future research directions**

Future research in this field will require strong interdisciplinary communication between different domains and research fields. The following are a few directions for future research.

#### **Behavioral studies**

Various behavioral aspects, including human behavior, Al behavior, and the interactions between humans and Al, must be studied. To better understand and harness human-Al collectives for addressing societal challenges, researchers suggest building the foundations of social Al<sup>47</sup> by integrating insights from complex systems, network science, and Al.

#### **Adaptive systems**

Adaptive systems can learn and adapt over time. Researchers propose studying collective adaptation,<sup>63</sup> focusing on how human collectives adjust their cognitive strategies and social networks in response to changing problems. Extending this research to include both human and Al collective adaptation could be valuable, requiring collaboration from cognitive science, social psychology, and other related fields.

#### **Ethical guidelines**

Developing ethical guidelines and frameworks is vital to ensuring the responsible and equitable use of AI-enhanced systems and mitigating potential risks. This includes studying ethics and bias and examining broader societal implications, such as effects on employment, privacy, and social equity.

Future research should focus on large-scale empirical studies to validate theoretical models, understand real-world implications, and refine the deployment of these systems. Interdisciplinary collaborations are crucial for advancing the understanding and development of AI-enhanced CI systems. We agree that researchers across all relevant disciplines should collaborate and keep up with the latest developments to foster a promising future of AI-enhanced CI.

#### DATA AND CODE AVAILABILITY

Our source data and code are available publicly.<sup>183</sup>

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research conducted in this publication was funded by the Irish Research Council under grant number IRCLA/2022/3217, ANNETTE (Artificial Intelligence Enhanced Collective Intelligence). We thank Gianni Giacomelli for useful suggestions and the Supermind Design Augmented Collective Intelligence



Database. We thank Tahmineh Godazgar and Siobhan Grayson for the valuable comments on the manuscript.

#### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

H.C. and T.Y. conceived the idea. H.C. collected the data and performed the analysis. Both authors drafted the manuscript and read and approved the final manuscript.

#### **DECLARATION OF INTERESTS**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j. patter.2024.101074.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Dunbar, R.I. (1998). The social brain hypothesis. Evol. Anthropol. 6, 178–190.
- 2. Bonabeau, E. (2009). Decisions 2.0: The power of collective intelligence. MIT Sloan Manag. Rev. 50, 45–52.
- Yasseri, T., and Kertész, J. (2013). Value production in a collaborative environment: sociophysical studies of wikipedia. J. Stat. Phys. 151, 414–439.
- 4. Ibrahim, K., Khodursky, S., and Yasseri, T. (2021). Gender imbalance and spatiotemporal patterns of contributions to citizen science projects: the case of zooniverse. Front. Phys. *9*, 650720.
- 5. Yasseri, T., and Menczer, F. (2023). Can crowdsourcing rescue the social marketplace of ideas? Commun. ACM 66, 42–45.
- 6. Woolley, A.W., and Gupta, P. (2024). Understanding collective intelligence: Investigating the role of collective memory, attention, and reasoning processes. Perspect. Psychol. Sci. 19, 344–354.
- Aristeidou, M., and Herodotou, C. (2020). Online citizen science: A systematic review of effects on learning and scientific literacy. Citiz. Sci. Theory Pract. 5, 1–12.
- Kittur, A., Pendleton, B., and Kraut, R.E. (2009). Herding the cats: the influence of groups in coordinating peer production. In Proceedings of the 5th international Symposium on Wikis and Open Collaboration, pp. 1–9.
- 9. Malone, T.W. (2018). Superminds: The Surprising Power of People and Computers Thinking Together (Little).
- Surowiecki, J. (2004). The Wisdom of Crowds: Why the Many Are Smarter Than the Few and How Collective Wisdom Shapes Business, Economies, Societies and Nations (Doubleday).
- Grasso, A., and Convertino, G. (2012). Collective intelligence in organizations: Tools and studies: Introduction. Comput. Support. Coop. Work 21, 357–369.
- Lorenz, J., Rauhut, H., Schweitzer, F., and Helbing, D. (2011). How social influence can undermine the wisdom of crowd effect. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 108, 9020–9025.
- Becker, J., Brackbill, D., and Centola, D. (2017). Network dynamics of social influence in the wisdom of crowds. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 114, E5070–E5076.
- Kurvers, R.H.J.M., Nuzzolese, A.G., Russo, A., Barabucci, G., Herzog, S.M., and Trianni, V. (2023). Automating hybrid collective intelligence in open-ended medical diagnostics. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 120, e2221473120.
- Woolley, A.W., Chabris, C.F., Pentland, A., Hashmi, N., and Malone, T.W. (2010). Evidence for a collective intelligence factor in the performance of human groups. Science 330, 686–688.
- Woolley, A.W., Aggarwal, I., and Malone, T.W. (2015). Collective intelligence and group performance. Curr. Dir. Psychol. Sci. 24, 420–424.

Review



- Malone, T.W., and Bernstein, M.S. (2022). Handbook of Collective Intelligence (MIT press).
- 18. Engel, D., Woolley, A.W., Aggarwal, I., Chabris, C.F., Takahashi, M., Nemoto, K., Kaiser, C., Kim, Y.J., and Malone, T.W. (2015). Collective intelligence in computer-mediated collaboration emerges in different contexts and cultures. In Proceedings of the 33rd annual ACM conference on human factors in computing systems, pp. 3769–3778.
- Woolley, A.W., Gupta, P., and Glikson, E. (2023). Using ai to enhance collective intelligence in virtual teams: Augmenting cognition with technology to help teams adapt to complexity. In Handbook of virtual work (Edward Elgar Publishing), pp. 67–88. https://doi.org/10.4337/ 9781802200508.00011.
- Yasseri, T., Gildersleve, P., and David, L. (2022). Collective memory in the digital age. Prog. Brain Res. 274, 203–226.
- Gildersleve, P., Lambiotte, R., and Yasseri, T. (2023). Between news and history: identifying networked topics of collective attention on wikipedia. J. Comput. Soc. Sci. 6, 845–875.
- Wyss, D., and Beste, S. (2017). Artificial facilitation: Promoting collective reasoning within asynchronous discussions. J. Inf. Technol. Polit. 14, 214–231.
- 23. Konar, A. (2018). Artificial Intelligence and Soft Computing: Behavioral and Cognitive Modeling of the Human Brain (CRC press).
- Hassani, H., Silva, E.S., Unger, S., TajMazinani, M., and Mac Feely, S. (2020). Artificial intelligence (AI) or intelligence augmentation (IA): what is the future? AI 1, 143–155.
- 25. Rawat, R., Goyal, H.R., and Sharma, S. (2023). Artificial narrow intelligence techniques in intelligent digital financial inclusion system for digital society. In 2023 6th International Conference on Information Systems and Computer Networks (ISCON) (IEEE), pp. 1–5.
- Kuusi, O., and Heinonen, S. (2022). Scenarios from artificial narrow intelligence to artificial general intelligence—reviewing the results of the international work/technology 2050 study. World Futures Rev. 14, 65–79.
- Goertzel, B. (2014). Artificial general intelligence: concept, state of the art, and future prospects. J. Artif. Gen. Intel. 5, 1–48.
- Heaven, W.D. (2023). Google Deepmind Wants to Define what Counts as Artificial General Intelligence. MIT Technology Review. https://www. technologyreview.com/2023/11/16/1083498/google-deepmind-what-isartificial-general-intelligence-agi/.
- De Cremer, D., and Narayanan, D. (2023). How ai tools can-and cannot-help organizations become more ethical. Front. Artif. Intell. 6, 1093712.
- Nath, R., and Sahu, V. (2020). The problem of machine ethics in artificial intelligence. Al Soc. 35, 103–111.
- Mitchell, M. (2021). Abstraction and analogy-making in artificial intelligence. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1505, 79–101.
- Dellermann, D., Ebel, P., Söllner, M., and Leimeister, J.M. (2019). Hybrid intelligence. Bus. Inf. Syst. Eng. 61, 637–643.
- 33. Akata, Z., Balliet, D., De Rijke, M., Dignum, F., Dignum, V., Eiben, G., Fokkens, A., Grossi, D., Hindriks, K., Hoos, H., et al. (2020). A research agenda for hybrid intelligence: augmenting human intellect with collaborative, adaptive, responsible, and explainable artificial intelligence. Computer 53, 18–28.
- Malone, T.W. (2019). How human-computer 'superminds' are redefining the future of work. MIT Sloan Manag. Rev. 37–52. https://doi.org/10. 7551/mitpress/12450.003.0010.
- Dellermann, D., Calma, A., Lipusch, N., Weber, T., Weigel, S., and Ebel, P. (2021). The future of human-ai collaboration: a taxonomy of design knowledge for hybrid intelligence systems. Preprint at arXiv. https:// doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2105.03354.
- McNeese, N.J., Schelble, B.G., Canonico, L.B., and Demir, M. (2021). Who/what is my teammate? team composition considerations in human-ai teaming. IEEE Trans. Hum. Mach. Syst. 51, 288–299.

- Hemmer, P., Schemmer, M., Kühl, N., Vössing, M., and Satzger, G. (2022). On the effect of information asymmetry in human-ai teams. Preprint at arXiv. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2205.01467.
- Burton, J.W., Lopez-Lopez, E., Hechtlinger, S., Rahwan, Z., Aeschbach, S., Bakker, M.A., Becker, J.A., Berditchevskaia, A., Berger, J., Brinkmann, L., et al. (2024). How large language models can reshape collective intelligence. Nat. Human Behav. 8, 1643–1655. https://doi.org/10. 1038/s41562-024-01959-9.
- Jackson, C., Crowston, K., Østerlund, C., and Harandi, M. (2018). Folksonomies to support coordination and coordination of folksonomies. Comput. Support. Coop. Work 27, 647–678.
- Yuen, M.-C., King, I., and Leung, K.-S. (2011). Task matching in crowdsourcing. In 2011 International Conference on Internet of Things and 4th International Conference on Cyber, Physical and Social Computing (IEEE), pp. 409–412.
- Scirea, M., Nelson, M.J., and Togelius, J. (2015). Moody music generator: Characterising control parameters using crowdsourcing. In Evolutionary and Biologically Inspired Music, Sound, Art and Design (Springer), pp. 200–211. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-16498-4\_18.
- Hocking, A., Geach, J.E., Sun, Y., and Davey, N. (2018). An automatic taxonomy of galaxy morphology using unsupervised machine learning. Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 473, 1108–1129.
- Zhang, J., Sheng, V.S., Wu, J., and Wu, X. (2016). Multi-class ground truth inference in crowdsourcing with clustering. IEEE Trans. Knowl. Data Eng. 28, 1080–1085.
- Karger, D., Oh, S., and Shah, D. (2011). Iterative learning for reliable crowdsourcing systems. Adv. Neural Inf. Process. Syst. 24, 1953–1961.
- 45. Berditchevskaia, A., Maliaraki, E., and Stathoulopoulos, K. (2022). A descriptive analysis of collective intelligence publications since 2000, and the emerging influence of artificial intelligence. Collectiv. Intell. 1, 263391372211079. https://doi.org/10.1177/26339137221107924.
- 46. Eide, A.W., Pickering, J.B., Yasseri, T., Bravos, G., Følstad, A., Engen, V., Tsvetkova, M., Meyer, E.T., Walland, P., and Lüders, M. (2016). Humanmachine networks: towards a typology and profiling framework. In Human-Computer Interaction. Theory, Design, Development and Practice (Springer International Publishing), pp. 11–22. https://doi.org/10.1007/ 978-3-319-39510-4\_2.
- Pedreschi, D., Pappalardo, L., Ferragina, E., Baeza-Yates, R., Barabasi, A.-L., Dignum, F., Dignum, V., Eliassi-Rad, T., Giannotti, F., Kertesz, J., et al. (2024). Human-ai coevolution. Preprint at arXiv. https://doi.org/ 10.48550/arXiv.2306.13723.
- 48. Bianconi, G., Arenas, A., Biamonte, J., Carr, L.D., Kahng, B., Kertesz, J., Kurths, J., Lü, L., Masoller, C., Motter, A.E., et al. (2023). Complex systems in the spotlight: next steps after the 2021 nobel prize in physics. J. Phys. Complex. 4, 010201.
- 49. Aristotle. (1933). Metaphysics1 (Harvard University Press).
- 50. Anderson, P.W. (1972). More is different: Broken symmetry and the nature of the hierarchical structure of science. Science 177, 393–396.
- 51. Newman, M. (2018). Networks (Oxford University Press).
- Peters, D.H. (2014). The application of systems thinking in health: why use systems thinking? Health Res. Policy Syst. 12, 51. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/1478-4505-12-51.
- 53. Rosas, S.R. (2017). Systems thinking and complexity: considerations for health promoting schools. Health Promot. Int. *32*, 301–311.
- Solá, L., Romance, M., Criado, R., Flores, J., García del Amo, A., and Boccaletti, S. (2013). Eigenvector centrality of nodes in multiplex networks. Chaos 23, 033131.
- Boccaletti, S., Bianconi, G., Criado, R., Del Genio, C.I., Gómez-Gardeñes, J., Romance, M., Sendiña-Nadal, I., Wang, Z., and Zanin, M. (2014). The structure and dynamics of multilayer networks. Phys. Rep. 544, 1–122.
- Battiston, F., Cencetti, G., Iacopini, I., Latora, V., Lucas, M., Patania, A., Young, J.-G., and Petri, G. (2020). Networks beyond pairwise interactions: structure and dynamics. Phys. Rep. 874, 1–92.



- Janssens, M., Meslec, N., and Leenders, R.T.A.J. (2022). Collective intelligence in teams: Contextualizing collective intelligent behavior over time. Front. Psychol. 13, 989572.
- Kämmer, J.E., Gaissmaier, W., Reimer, T., and Schermuly, C.C. (2014). The adaptive use of recognition in group decision making. Cogn. Sci. 38, 911–942.
- Goldstein, J. (2011). Emergence in complex systems. In The Sage Handbook of Complexity and Management, pp. 65–78. https://doi.org/10. 4135/9781446201084.
- Artime, O., and De Domenico, M. (2022). From the origin of life to pandemics: Emergent phenomena in complex systems. Philos. Trans. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. 380, 20200410.
- Meehl, P.E., and Sellars, W. (1956). The concept of emergence. Minn. Stud. Philos. Sci. 1, 239–252.
- 62. Girvin, S.M., and Yang, K. (2019). Modern Condensed Matter Physics (Cambridge University Press).
- Galesic, M., Barkoczi, D., Berdahl, A.M., Biro, D., Carbone, G., Giannoccaro, I., Goldstone, R.L., Gonzalez, C., Kandler, A., Kao, A.B., et al. (2023). Beyond collective intelligence: Collective adaptation. J. R. Soc. Interface 20, 20220736.
- 64. De Vincenzo, I., Giannoccaro, I., Carbone, G., and Grigolini, P. (2017). Criticality triggers the emergence of collective intelligence in groups. Phys. Rev. E 96, 022309.
- Daniels, B.C., Flack, J.C., and Krakauer, D.C. (2017). Dual coding theory explains biphasic collective computation in neural decision-making. Front. Neurosci. 11, 313.
- Kumar, R., and Singh, A. (2020). Robustness in multilayer networks under strategical and random attacks. Procedia Comput. Sci. 173, 94–103.
- Aleta, A., and Moreno, Y. (2019). Multilayer networks in a nutshell. Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. 10, 45–62.
- Interdonato, R., Magnani, M., Perna, D., Tagarelli, A., and Vega, D. (2020). Multilayer network simplification: approaches, models and methods. Comp. Sci. Rev. 36, 100246.
- Demeester, P., Gryseels, M., Autenrieth, A., Brianza, C., Castagna, L., Signorelli, G., Clemenfe, R., Ravera, M., Lajszczyk, A., Janukowicz, D., et al. (1999). Resilience in multilayer networks. IEEE Commun. Mag. 37, 70–76.
- Fortino, G., Savaglio, C., Palau, C.E., De Puga, J.S., Ganzha, M., Paprzycki, M., Montesinos, M., Liotta, A., and Llop, M. (2018). Towards multi-layer interoperability of heterogeneous iot platforms: The inter-iot approach. In Integration, Interconnection, and Interoperability of IoT Systems, pp. 199–232.
- 71. Delahaye, J.-P. (2006). The science behind sudoku. Sci. Am. 294, 80-87.
- 72. Phillips, K.W., and Loyd, D.L. (2006). When surface and deep-level diversity collide: The effects on dissenting group members. Organ. Behav. Hum. Decis. Process. 99, 143–160.
- Wegge, J., and Schmidt, K.-H. (2009). The impact of age diversity in teams on group performance. In Handbook of Managerial Behavior and Occupational Health. https://doi.org/10.4337/9781848447219.00014.
- Harrison, D.A., Price, K.H., Gavin, J.H., and Florey, A.T. (2002). Time, teams, and task performance: Changing effects of surface-and deeplevel diversity on group functioning. Acad. Manage. J. 45, 1029–1045. https://doi.org/10.5465/3069328.
- Bagshaw, M. (2004). Is diversity divisive? a positive training approach. Ind. Commerc. Train. 36, 153–157.
- Van der Vegt, G.S., and Janssen, O. (2003). Joint impact of interdependence and group diversity on innovation. J. Manag. 29, 729–751.
- Pelled, L.H., Eisenhardt, K.M., and Xin, K.R. (1999). Exploring the black box: An analysis of work group diversity, conflict and performance. Adm. Sci. Q. 44, 1–28.
- Yasseri, T., Sumi, R., Rung, A., Kornai, A., and Kertész, J. (2012). Dynamics of conflicts in wikipedia. PLoS One 7, e38869.



- 79. DeFrank, R.S., and Ivancevich, J.M. (1998). Stress on the job: An executive update. Acad. Manag. Perspect. 12, 55–66.
- Van Knippenberg, D., De Dreu, C.K.W., and Homan, A.C. (2004). Work group diversity and group performance: an integrative model and research agenda. J. Appl. Psychol. 89, 1008–1022.
- Jansen, A.E., and Searle, B.J. (2021). Diverse effects of team diversity: a review and framework of surface and deep-level diversity. Person. Rev. 50, 1838–1853.
- Baumann, F., Czaplicka, A., and Rahwan, I. (2024). Network structure shapes the impact of diversity in collective learning. Sci. Rep. 14, 2491.
- Bear, J.B., and Woolley, A.W. (2011). The role of gender in team collaboration and performance. Interdiscipl. Sci. Rev. 36, 146–153.
- Kearney, E., Razinskas, S., Weiss, M., and Hoegl, M. (2022). Gender diversity and team performance under time pressure: The role of team withdrawal and information elaboration. J. Organ. Behav. 43, 1224–1239.
- 85. Yang, Y., Tian, T.Y., Woodruff, T.K., Jones, B.F., and Uzzi, B. (2022). Gender-diverse teams produce more novel and higher-impact scientific ideas. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 119, e2200841119.
- Städter, S., Roider, A., Dowling, M., and Helm, R. (2022). Differences in team performance: Gender versus ability. Soc. Sci. Q. 103, 926–933.
- Zhang, Y., and Hou, L. (2012). The romance of working together: Benefits of gender diversity on group performance in china. Hum. Relat. 65, 1487–1508.
- Wegge, J., Jungmann, F., Liebermann, S., Shemla, M., Ries, B.C., Diestel, S., and Schmidt, K.-H. (2012). What makes age diverse teams effective? results from a six-year research program. Work 41, 5145–5151.
- Kunze, F., Boehm, S., and Bruch, H. (2013). Organizational performance consequences of age diversity: Inspecting the role of diversity-friendly hr policies and top managers' negative age stereotypes. J. Manag. Stud. 50, 413–442.
- McLeod, P.L., Lobel, S.A., and Cox, T.H., Jr. (1996). Ethnic diversity and creativity in small groups. Small Group Res. 27, 248–264.
- Riordan, C.M., and Shore, L.M. (1997). Demographic diversity and employee attitudes: An empirical examination of relational demography within work units. J. Appl. Psychol. 82, 342–358.
- Nielsen, M.W., Alegria, S., Börjeson, L., Etzkowitz, H., Falk-Krzesinski, H.J., Joshi, A., Leahey, E., Smith-Doerr, L., Woolley, A.W., and Schiebinger, L. (2017). Gender diversity leads to better science. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *114*, 1740–1742.
- Lee, S.K., Kavya, P., and Lasser, S.C. (2021). Social interactions and relationships with an intelligent virtual agent. Int. J. Hum. Comput. Stud. 150, 102608.
- 94. Borau, S., Otterbring, T., Laporte, S., and Fosso Wamba, S. (2021). The most human bot: Female gendering increases humanness perceptions of bots and acceptance of ai. Psychol. Mark. 38, 1052–1068.
- Ahn, J., Kim, J., and Sung, Y. (2022). The effect of gender stereotypes on artificial intelligence recommendations. J. Bus. Res. 141, 50–59.
- Manasi, A., Panchanadeswaran, S., Sours, E., and Lee, S.J. (2022). Mirroring the bias: gender and artificial intelligence. Gend. Technol. Dev. 26, 295–305.
- Curşeu, P.L., Ilies, R., Virgă, D., Maricuţoiu, L., and Sava, F.A. (2019). Personality characteristics that are valued in teams: Not always "more is better"? Int. J. Psychol. 54, 638–649.
- Zhang, R., Li, A., and Gong, Y. (2021). Too much of a good thing: Examining the curvilinear relationship between team-level proactive personality and team performance. Person. Psychol. 74, 295–321.
- Bradley, B.H., Klotz, A.C., Postlethwaite, B.E., and Brown, K.G. (2013). Ready to rumble: How team personality composition and task conflict interact to improve performance. J. Appl. Psychol. 98, 385–392.
- Aggarwal, I., Woolley, A.W., Chabris, C.F., and Malone, T.W. (2019). The impact of cognitive style diversity on implicit learning in teams. Front. Psychol. 10, 112.

Review

- 101. Aggarwal, I., and Woolley, A.W. (2019). Team creativity, cognition, and cognitive style diversity. Manag. Sci. 65, 1586–1599.
- Straub, V.J., Tsvetkova, M., and Yasseri, T. (2023). The cost of coordination can exceed the benefit of collaboration in performing complex tasks. Collectiv. Intell. 2, 263391372311569. https://doi.org/10. 1177/26339137231156912.
- 103. Cronin, M.A., and Weingart, L.R. (2007). Representational gaps, information processing, and conflict in functionally diverse teams. Acad. Manage. Rev. 32, 761–773.
- 104. Mello, A.L., and Rentsch, J.R. (2015). Cognitive diversity in teams: A multidisciplinary review. Small Group Res. 46, 623–658.
- 105. Chen, X., Liu, J., Zhang, H., and Kwan, H.K. (2019). Cognitive diversity and innovative work behaviour: The mediating roles of task reflexivity and relationship conflict and the moderating role of perceived support. J. Occup. Organ. Psychol. 92, 671–694.
- Cennamo, L., and Gardner, D. (2008). Generational differences in work values, outcomes and person-organisation values fit. J. Manag. Psychol. 23, 891–906. https://doi.org/10.1108/02683940810904385.
- 107. Liang, T.-P., Wu, J.C.-H., Jiang, J.J., and Klein, G. (2012). The impact of value diversity on information system development projects. Int. J. Proj. Manag. 30, 731–739.
- 108. Jehn, K.A., Northcraft, G.B., and Neale, M.A. (1999). Why differences make a difference: A field study of diversity, conflict and performance in workgroups. Adm. Sci. Q. 44, 741–763.
- 109. Bell, S.T. (2007). Deep-level composition variables as predictors of team performance: a meta-analysis. J. Appl. Psychol. *92*, 595–615.
- 110. Driskell, J.E., and Salas, E. (1992). Collective behavior and team performance. Hum. Factors 34, 277–288.
- 111. Uzzi, B., and Spiro, J. (2005). Collaboration and creativity: The small world problem. Am. J. Sociol. *111*, 447–504.
- 112. Lazer, D., and Friedman, A. (2007). The network structure of exploration and exploitation. Adm. Sci. Q. 52, 667–694.
- 113. Navajas, J., Niella, T., Garbulsky, G., Bahrami, B., and Sigman, M. (2018). Aggregated knowledge from a small number of debates outperforms the wisdom of large crowds. Nat. Hum. Behav. 2, 126–132.
- Vedres, B. (2017). Forbidden triads and creative success in jazz: the miles davis factor. Appl. Netw. Sci. 2, 31. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41109-017-0051-2.
- **115.** Lin, Y.-T., Hung, T.-W., and Huang, L.T.-L. (2021). Engineering equity: How ai can help reduce the harm of implicit bias. Philos. Technol. *34*, 65–90.
- 116. Meslec, N., Curşeu, P.L., Meeus, M.T.H., and Iederan Fodor, O.C. (2014). When none of us perform better than all of us together: the role of analogical decision rules in groups. PLoS One 9, e85232.
- 117. Wolf, M., Krause, J., Carney, P.A., Bogart, A., and Kurvers, R.H.J.M. (2015). Collective intelligence meets medical decision-making: the collective outperforms the best radiologist. PLoS One 10, e0134269.
- 118. Giacomelli, G. (2024). Augmented Collective Intelligence (Supermind Design). https://static1.squarespace.com/static/5e95059565bf963c169f906a/t/ 65ed86bba84f4b463f80c28b/1710065349289/Augmented+Collective+ Intelligence+3.10.2024++PRINCIPLES.pdf.
- 119. Mann, R.P., and Helbing, D. (2017). Optimal incentives for collective intelligence. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *114*, 5077–5082.
- Regens, J.L. (2019). Augmenting human cognition to enhance strategic, operational, and tactical intelligence. Intell. Natl. Secur. 34, 673–687.
- 121. Park, J.S., O'Brien, J., Cai, C.J., Morris, M.R., Liang, P., and Bernstein, M.S. (2023). Generative agents: Interactive simulacra of human behavior. In Proceedings of the 36th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology, pp. 1–22.
- 122. Mei, Q., Xie, Y., Yuan, W., and Jackson, M.O. (2024). A Turing test of whether AI chatbots are behaviorally similar to humans. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 121, e2313925121.



- 123. Galesic, M., Barkoczi, D., and Katsikopoulos, K. (2018). Smaller crowds outperform larger crowds and individuals in realistic task conditions. Decision 5, 1–15.
- 124. Wu, L., Wang, D., and Evans, J.A. (2019). Large teams develop and small teams disrupt science and technology. Nature 566, 378–382.
- Tang, M., and Liao, H. (2023). Group structure and information distribution on the emergence of collective intelligence. Decis. Anal. 20, 133–150.
- 126. Burt, R.S. (2018). Structural holes. In Social stratification (Routledge), pp. 659–663.
- 127. Cummings, J.N., and Cross, R. (2003). Structural properties of work groups and their consequences for performance. Soc. Network. 25, 197–210.
- 128. Almaatouq, A., Noriega-Campero, A., Alotaibi, A., Krafft, P.M., Moussaid, M., and Pentland, A. (2020). Adaptive social networks promote the wisdom of crowds. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *117*, 11379–11386.
- Yuan, Y., and Van Knippenberg, D. (2022). Leader network centrality and team performance: Team size as moderator and collaboration as mediator. J. Bus. Psychol. 37, 283–296.
- Balkundi, P., and Harrison, D.A. (2006). Ties, leaders, and time in teams: Strong inference about network structure's effects on team viability and performance. Acad. Manage. J. 49, 49–68. https://doi.org/10.5465/amj. 2006.20785500.
- 131. Hollenbeck, J.R., Moon, H., Ellis, A.P.J., West, B.J., Ilgen, D.R., Sheppard, L., Porter, C.O.L.H., and Wagner, J.A., 3rd (2002). Structural contingency theory and individual differences: Examination of external and internal person-team fit. J. Appl. Psychol. 87, 599–606.
- 132. Larson, J., Jr. (2009). In Search of Synergy in Small Group Performance (Psychology Press). https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203848784.
- 133. Yin, M., Gray, M.L., Suri, S., and Vaughan, J.W. (2016). The communication network within the crowd. In Proceedings of the 25th International Conference on World Wide Web, pp. 1293–1303.
- Cohen, A.M., Bennis, W.G., and Wolkon, G.H. (1962). The effects of changes in communication networks on the behaviors of problem-solving groups. Sociometry 25, 177–196.
- 135. Rosen, D. (2008). Cooperation and coordination in decentralized communication networks. In Proceedings of the 41st Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS 2008) (IEEE), p. 59.
- 136. Shaw, M.E. (1964). Communication networks. Adv. Exp. Soc. Psychol. 1, 111–147. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2601(08)60050-7.
- 137. Sherf, E.N., Sinha, R., Tangirala, S., and Awasty, N. (2018). Centralization of member voice in teams: Its effects on expertise utilization and team performance. J. Appl. Psychol. *103*, 813–827. https://psycnet.apa.org/ doi/10.1037/apl0000305.
- 138. Argyle, L.P., Bail, C.A., Busby, E.C., Gubler, J.R., Howe, T., Rytting, C., Sorensen, T., and Wingate, D. (2023). Leveraging AI for democratic discourse: Chat interventions can improve online political conversations at scale. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 120, e2311627120.
- Rahwan, I., Crandall, J.W., and Bonnefon, J.-F. (2020). Intelligent machines as social catalysts. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 117, 7555–7557.
- 140. Rabinowitz, N., Perbet, F., Song, F., Zhang, C., Eslami, S.A., and Botvinick, M. (2018). Machine theory of mind. In Proceedings of the 35th International Conference on Machine Learning (PMLR), pp. 4218–4227.
- 141. Engel, D., Woolley, A.W., Jing, L.X., Chabris, C.F., and Malone, T.W. (2014). Reading the mind in the eyes or reading between the lines? theory of mind predicts collective intelligence equally well online and face-toface. PLoS One 9, e115212.
- 142. Andrews, R.W., Lilly, J.M., Srivastava, D., and Feigh, K.M. (2023). The role of shared mental models in human-ai teams: a theoretical review. Theor. Issues Ergon. Sci. 24, 129–175.
- 143. Baker, C., Saxe, R., and Tenenbaum, J. (2011). Bayesian theory of mind: Modeling joint belief-desire attribution. In Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, 33, pp. 2469–2474.



- 144. Cuzzolin, F., Morelli, A., Cîrstea, B., and Sahakian, B.J. (2020). Knowing me, knowing you: theory of mind in Al. Psychol. Med. 50, 1057–1061.
- Mollick, E.R., and Mollick, L. (2023). Assigning Al: Seven approaches for students, with prompts. SSRN J. 1–46. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv. 2306.10052.
- 146. Liu, B., Liu, Q., Stone, P., Garg, A., Zhu, Y., and Anandkumar, A. (2021). Coach-player multi-agent reinforcement learning for dynamic team composition. In Proceedings of the 38th International Conference on Machine Learning (PMLR), pp. 6860–6870.
- 147. Seo, S., Kennedy-Metz, L.R., Zenati, M.A., Shah, J.A., Dias, R.D., and Unhelkar, V.V. (2021). Towards an ai coach to infer team mental model alignment in healthcare. In 2021 IEEE Conference on Cognitive and Computational Aspects of Situation Management (CogSIMA) (IEEE), pp. 39–44.
- Kiron, D., Altman, E.J., and Riedl, C. (2023). Workforce Ecosystems and Al (Brookings). https://www.brookings.edu/articles/workforce-ecosystemsand-ai/.
- 149. Hofeditz, L., Clausen, S., Rieß, A., Mirbabaie, M., and Stieglitz, S. (2022). Applying xai to an ai-based system for candidate management to mitigate bias and discrimination in hiring. Electron. Mark. 32, 2207–2233.
- Pfeifer, R., and lida, F. (2004). Embodied artificial intelligence: Trends and challenges. Lect. Notes Comput. Sci. 3139, 1–26. https://doi.org/10. 1007/978-3-540-27833-7\_1.
- 151. Traeger, M.L., Strohkorb Sebo, S., Jung, M., Scassellati, B., and Christakis, N.A. (2020). Vulnerable robots positively shape human conversational dynamics in a human-robot team. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 117, 6370–6375.
- 152. Press, V.S., and Erel, H. (2023). Humorous robotic behavior as a new approach to mitigating social awkwardness. In Proceedings of the 2023 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, pp. 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1145/3544548.3580821.
- 153. Kocielnik, R., Amershi, S., and Bennett, P.N. (2019). Will you accept an imperfect AI? exploring designs for adjusting end-user expectations of AI systems. In Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, pp. 1–14.
- 154. Harris-Watson, A.M., Larson, L.E., Lauharatanahirun, N., DeChurch, L.A., and Contractor, N.S. (2023). Social perception in human-AI teams: Warmth and competence predict receptivity to AI teammates. Comput. Hum. Behav. 145, 107765.
- 155. Zhang, R., McNeese, N.J., Freeman, G., and Musick, G. (2021). An ideal human" expectations of AI teammates in human-AI teaming. Proc. ACM Hum. Comput. Interact. 4, 1–25.
- 156. Karpus, J., Krüger, A., Verba, J.T., Bahrami, B., and Deroy, O. (2021). Algorithm exploitation: Humans are keen to exploit benevolent Al. iScience 24, 102679.
- 157. Yasuda, H., and Matsumoto, M. (2013). Psychological impact on human when a robot makes mistakes. In Proceedings of the 2013 IEEE/SICE International Symposium on System Integration (IEEE), pp. 335–339.
- 158. Awad, E., Levine, S., Kleiman-Weiner, M., Dsouza, S., Tenenbaum, J.B., Shariff, A., Bonnefon, J.-F., and Rahwan, I. (2020). Drivers are blamed more than their automated cars when both make mistakes. Nat. Hum. Behav. 4, 134–143.
- 159. Salem, M., Eyssel, F., Rohlfing, K., Kopp, S., and Joublin, F. (2013). To err is human (-like): Effects of robot gesture on perceived anthropomorphism and likability. Int. J. Soc. Robot. 5, 313–323.
- 160. Zhang, G., Chong, L., Kotovsky, K., and Cagan, J. (2023). Trust in an Al versus a human teammate: The effects of teammate identity and performance on human-Al cooperation. Comput. Hum. Behav. 139, 107536.
- 161. Salem, M., Lakatos, G., Amirabdollahian, F., and Dautenhahn, K. (2015). Would you trust a (faulty) robot? Effects of error, task type and personality on human-robot cooperation and trust. In Proceedings of the Tenth Annual ACM/IEEE International Conference on Human-Robot Interaction, pp. 141–148.
- 162. Bansal, G., Nushi, B., Kamar, E., Lasecki, W.S., Weld, D.S., and Horvitz, E. (2019). Beyond accuracy: The role of mental models in human-ai team



performance. In Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Human Computation and Crowdsourcing, 7, pp. 2–11.

- 163. Zhang, Y., Liao, Q.V., and Bellamy, R.K. (2020). Effect of confidence and explanation on accuracy and trust calibration in Al-assisted decision making. In Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency, pp. 295–305.
- 164. Gupta, P., Nguyen, T.N., Gonzalez, C., and Woolley, A.W. (2023). Fostering collective intelligence in human-ai collaboration: laying the groundwork for cohumain. Top. Cogn. Sci. 1–28.
- 165. McAllister, D.J. (1995). Affect-and cognition-based trust as foundations for interpersonal cooperation in organizations. Acad. Manage. J. 38, 24–59.
- 166. Natarajan, M., and Gombolay, M. (2020). Effects of anthropomorphism and accountability on trust in human robot interaction. In Proceedings of the 2020 ACM/IEEE International Conference on Human-Robot Interaction, pp. 33–42.
- 167. Glikson, E., and Woolley, A.W. (2020). Human trust in artificial intelligence: Review of empirical research. Acad. Manag. Ann. 14, 627–660. https://doi.org/10.5465/annals.2018.0057.
- McNeese, N.J., Demir, M., Chiou, E.K., and Cooke, N.J. (2021). Trust and team performance in human–autonomy teaming. Int. J. Electron. Commer. 25, 51–72.
- 169. Ulfert, A.-S., Georganta, E., Centeio Jorge, C., Mehrotra, S., and Tielman, M. (2024). Shaping a multidisciplinary understanding of team trust in human-ai teams: a theoretical framework. Eur. J. Work. Organ. Psychol. 33, 158–171. https://doi.org/10.1080/1359432X.2023.2200172.
- De Jong, B., Gillespie, N., Williamson, I., and Gill, C. (2020). Trust consensus within culturally diverse teams: A multistudy investigation. J. Manag. 47, 2135–2168. https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206320943658.
- Ferreira, J.J., and Monteiro, M. (2021). The human-ai relationship in decision-making: Ai explanation to support people on justifying their decisions. Preprint at arXiv. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2102.05460.
- 172. Wang, S., and Kim, K.J. (2023). Content moderation on social media: does it matter who and why moderates hate speech? Cyberpsychol., Behav. Soc. Netw. 26, 527–534. https://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2022.0158.
- 173. Cabitza, F., Campagner, A., Ronzio, L., Cameli, M., Mandoli, G.E., Pastore, M.C., Sconfienza, L.M., Folgado, D., Barandas, M., and Gamboa, H. (2023). Rams, hounds and white boxes: Investigating human-ai collaboration protocols in medical diagnosis. Artif. Intell. Med. *138*, 102506.
- 174. Bansal, G., Wu, T., Zhou, J., Fok, R., Nushi, B., Kamar, E., Ribeiro, M.T., and Weld, D. (2021). Does the whole exceed its parts? the effect of ai explanations on complementary team performance. In Proceedings of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, pp. 1–16.
- 175. Vasconcelos, H., Jörke, M., Grunde-McLaughlin, M., Gerstenberg, T., Bernstein, M.S., and Krishna, R. (2023). Explanations can reduce overreliance on ai systems during decision-making. Proc. ACM Hum. Comput. Interact. 7, 1–38.
- 176. Wang, X., and Yin, M. (2021). Are explanations helpful? a comparative study of the effects of explanations in ai-assisted decision-making. In Proceedings of the 26th International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces (Association for Computing Machinery), pp. 318–328. https:// doi.org/10.1145/3397481.3450650.
- Holzinger, A., and Müller, H. (2021). Toward human–ai interfaces to support explainability and causability in medical AI. Computer 54, 78–86.
- Berditchevskaia, A., and Baeck, P. (2024). Future minds and machines. Nesta. https://www.nesta.org.uk/report/future-minds-and-machines/.
- 179. Kou, Z., Shang, L., Zhang, Y., Yue, Z., Zeng, H., and Wang, D. (2022). Crowd, expert & ai: A human-ai interactive approach towards natural language explanation based covid-19 misinformation detection. In Proceedings of the Thirty-First International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI-22, pp. 5087–5093. https://doi.org/10.24963/ijcai. 2022/706.

Review



- 180. Lebovitz, S., Lifshitz-Assaf, H., and Levina, N. (2022). To engage or not to engage with ai for critical judgments: How professionals deal with opacity when using ai for medical diagnosis. Organ. Sci. 33, 126–148.
- Rigano, C. (2019). Using artificial intelligence to address criminal justice needs. Natl. Inst. Just. J. 280, 1–10. https://nij.ojp.gov/topics/articles/ using-artificial-intelligence-address-criminal-justice-needs.
- **182.** Verhulst, S.G. (2018). Where and when ai and ci meet: exploring the intersection of artificial and collective intelligence towards the goal of innovating how we govern. AI Soc. *33*, 293–297.
- Cui, H., and Yasseri, T. (2024). Real World Examples of Ai-Enhanced Collective Intelligence (OSF). https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/R5TMA.
- Ryan, M., Gambrell, D., and Noveck, B.S. (2020). Using collective intelligence to solve public problems. Nesta. https://www.nesta.org.uk/report/ using-collective-intelligence-solve-public-problems/.
- 185. Lazer, D., Kennedy, R., King, G., and Vespignani, A. (2014). The parable of google flu: traps in big data analysis. Science 343, 1203–1205.
- 186. Zhang, Q., Zhang, T., Zhai, J., Fang, C., Yu, B., Sun, W., and Chen, Z. (2023). A critical review of large language model on software engineering: An example from chatgpt and automated program repair. Preprint at ar-Xiv. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2310.08879.
- 187. Groh, M., Epstein, Z., Firestone, C., and Picard, R. (2021). Deepfake Detection by Human Crowds, Machines, and Machine-Informed Crowds. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *119*, e2110013119.
- 188. de Leon, S.P. (2019). National science foundation awards litterati grant to advance its AI for a cleaner planet (Forbes). https://www.forbes.com/ sites/cognitiveworld/2019/04/22/national-science-foundation-litteratiai-for-a-cleaner-planet/.
- 189. Sullivan, B.L., Aycrigg, J.L., Barry, J.H., Bonney, R.E., Bruns, N., Cooper, C.B., Damoulas, T., Dhondt, A.A., Dietterich, T., Farnsworth, A., et al. (2014). The ebird enterprise: An integrated approach to development and application of citizen science. Biol. Conserv. 169, 31–40.
- 190. Trouille, L., Lintott, C.J., and Fortson, L.F. (2019). Citizen science frontiers: Efficiency, engagement, and serendipitous discovery with human-machine systems. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *116*, 1902–1909.
- 191. Zevin, M., Coughlin, S., Bahaadini, S., Besler, E., Rohani, N., Allen, S., Cabero, M., Crowston, K., Katsaggelos, A.K., Larson, S.L., et al. (2017). Gravity spy: integrating advanced ligo detector characterization, machine learning, and citizen science. Class. Quantum Gravity 34, 064003.
- 192. Kleinberg, J., Lakkaraju, H., Leskovec, J., Ludwig, J., and Mullainathan, S. (2018). Human decisions and machine predictions. Q. J. Econ. 133, 237–293.
- Schaekermann, M., Lim, A., Larson, K., and Law, E. (2021). Crowdeeg platform: A collaborative annotation tool for medical time series data. Zenodo. https://zenodo.org/doi/10.5281/zenodo.4553557.
- 194. Groh, M., Badri, O., Daneshjou, R., Koochek, A., Harris, C., Soenksen, L.R., Doraiswamy, P.M., and Picard, R. (2024). Deep learning-aided decision support for diagnosis of skin disease across skin tones. Nat. Med. 30, 573–583.
- Tsvetkova, M., Yasseri, T., Pescetelli, N., and Werner, T. (2024). Humanmachine social systems. Preprint at arXiv. https://doi.org/10.48550/ar-Xiv.2402.14410.
- 196. Bereta, K., Zissis, D., and Grasso, R. (2020). Automatic maritime object detection using satellite imagery. In Global Oceans 2020: Singapore– US Gulf Coast (IEEE), pp. 1–5.
- 197. Link, R. (2019). How waze uses AI to navigate and dominate the game (Medium). https://medium.com/marketing-right-now/how-waze-usesai-to-navigate-and-dominate-the-game-729e075a09b2.
- 198. Cowls, J., Tsamados, A., Taddeo, M., and Floridi, L. (2021). A definition, benchmark and database of ai for social good initiatives. Nat. Mach. Intell. 3, 111–115.
- 199. Marvin, H.J., Bouzembrak, Y., Van der Fels-Klerx, H., Kempenaar, C., Veerkamp, R., Chauhan, A., Stroosnijder, S., Top, J., Simsek-Senel, G.,

Vrolijk, H., et al. (2022). Digitalisation and artificial intelligence for sustainable food systems. Trends Food Sci. Technol. *120*, 344–348.

- Silberg, J., and Manyika, J. (2019). Notes from the Ai Frontier: Tackling Bias in Ai (And in Humans) (McKinsey Global Institute). https://www. mckinsey.com/featured-insights/artificial-intelligence/tackling-bias-inartificial-intelligence-and-in-humans.
- 201. Buhrmester, V., Münch, D., and Arens, M. (2021). Analysis of explainers of black box deep neural networks for computer vision: A survey. Mach. Learn. Knowl. Extr. (2019). 3, 966–989.
- 202. Tsvetkova, M., Yasseri, T., Meyer, E.T., Pickering, J.B., Engen, V., Walland, P., Lüders, M., Følstad, A., and Bravos, G. (2017). Understanding human-machine networks: a cross-disciplinary survey. ACM Comput. Surv. 50, 1–35.
- 203. Salas, E., Rosen, M.A., Burke, C.S., and Goodwin, G.F. (2008). The wisdom of collectives in organizations: An update of the teamwork competencies. In Team effectiveness in complex organizations (Routledge), pp. 73–114.
- 204. Stowers, K., Brady, L.L., MacLellan, C., Wohleber, R., and Salas, E. (2021). Improving teamwork competencies in human-machine teams: Perspectives from team science. Front. Psychol. 12, 590290.
- 205. Matthews, G., Panganiban, A.R., Lin, J., Long, M., and Schwing, M. (2021). Super-machines or sub-humans: Mental models and trust in intelligent autonomous systems. In Trust in Human-Robot Interaction, C.S. Nam and J.B. Lyons, eds. (Academic Press), pp. 59–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-819472-0.00003-4.
- Lu, S., Bigoulaeva, I., Sachdeva, R., Madabushi, H.T., and Gurevych, I. (2023). Are emergent abilities in large language models just in-context learning?. Preprint at arXiv. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2309.01809.
- 207. Schaeffer, R., Miranda, B., and Koyejo, S. (2023). Are emergent abilities of large language models a mirage? In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 36, A. Oh, T. Naumann, A. Globerson, K. Saenko, M. Hardt, and S. Levine, eds. (*Curran Associates, Inc.*), pp. 55565– 55581. https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper\_files/paper/2023/file/adc 98a266f45005c403b8311ca7e8bd7-Paper-Conference.pdf.
- 208. Nam, C.S., and Lyons, J.B. (2020). Trust in Human-Robot Interaction (Academic Press).
- Mou, Y., and Xu, K. (2017). The media inequality: Comparing the initial human-human and human-ai social interactions. Comput. Hum. Behav. 72, 432–440.
- Schemmer, M., Hemmer, P., Kühl, N., Benz, C., and Satzger, G. (2022). Should i follow ai-based advice? measuring appropriate reliance in human-ai decision-making. Preprint at arXiv. https://doi.org/10.48550/ar-Xiv.2204.06916.
- 211. Hristova, D., Quattrone, G., Mashhadi, A., and Capra, L. (2021). The life of the party: Impact of social mapping in openstreetmap. In Proceedings of the International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media, 7, pp. 234–243.
- 212. Ferrari, F., Paladino, M.P., and Jetten, J. (2016). Blurring human-machine distinctions: Anthropomorphic appearance in social robots as a threat to human distinctiveness. Int. J. Soc. Robot. 8, 287–302.
- 213. MacKenzie, I.S., and Ware, C. (1993). Lag as a determinant of human performance in interactive systems. In Proceedings of the INTERACT'93 and CHI'93 conference on Human factors in computing systems, pp. 488–493.
- 214. Yasseri, T., Sumi, R., and Kertész, J. (2012). Circadian patterns of wikipedia editorial activity: A demographic analysis. PLoS One 7, e30091.
- Jarrahi, M.H. (2018). Artificial intelligence and the future of work: Humanai symbiosis in organizational decision making. Bus. Horiz. 61, 577–586.
- 216. Holzinger, A., Kargl, M., Kipperer, B., Regitnig, P., Plass, M., and Müller, H. (2022). Personas for artificial intelligence (AI) an open source toolbox. IEEE Access 10, 23732–23747.
- 217. Crandall, J.W., Oudah, M., Rahwan, I., Ishowo-Oloko, F., Ishowo-Oloko, F., Abdallah, S., Bonnefon, J.F., Cebrian, M., Shariff, A., and Goodrich, M.A. (2018). Cooperating with machines. Nat. Commun. 9, 233.





- 218. Atkinson, E. (2023). Man crushed to death by robot in south korea. BBC News. https://www.bbc.com/news/world-asia-67354709.
- 219. Flathmann, C., Schelble, B.G., Zhang, R., and McNeese, N.J. (2021). Modeling and guiding the creation of ethical human-ai teams. In Proceedings of the 2021 AAAI/ACM Conference on AI, Ethics, and Society, pp. 469–479.
- 220. Tsvetkova, M., García-Gavilanes, R., Floridi, L., and Yasseri, T. (2017). Even good bots fight: The case of wikipedia. PLoS One 12, e0171774.
- 221. Kirilenko, A., Kyle, A.S., Samadi, M., and Tuzun, T. (2017). The flash crash: High-frequency trading in an electronic market. J. Finance 72, 967–998.

Patterns, Volume 5

## Supplemental information

## Al-enhanced collective intelligence

Hao Cui and Taha Yasseri

Term	Main concepts	References
Al-enhanced Collective Intelligence	A system where artificial intelligence tools and techniques are integrated to augment the collective intelligence of a group, improving decision-making, problem-solving, and innovation.	
Complex Adaptive System	A subset of complex systems characterized by the ability of its components to adapt and learn from interactions with each other and the environment, leading to dynamic evolution.	[60]
Collective Intelligence	The group intelligence that emerges from the collaboration and collective efforts of individuals working together towards a common goal.	[2]
Complex System	A system composed of interconnected parts that exhibit collective behavior, self-organization, and adaptation to changing environments, often displaying emergent properties.	[49]
Complexity Theory	A framework for understanding how interactions among components of a system give rise to collective behaviors and emergent phenomena that cannot be predicted from individual parts.	[49]
Emergence	The process through which larger patterns, structures, or behaviors arise from the interactions among smaller or simpler entities that do not exhibit such properties individually.	[60]
Hybrid Intelligence	The combination of human intelligence and artificial intelligence, leveraging the strengths of both to solve complex problems more effectively.	[34]
Multilayer Network	A network model where nodes represent entities and different layers represent different types of interactions or relationships between these entities.	[56]
Wisdom of Crowds	The collective opinion of a diverse and independent group of individuals can be more accurate than that of a single expert, leveraging diversity of thought and decentralization.	[10]

Table S1: Main concepts of key terms in human-AI collective intelligence. For references, see the main article.