Plant Communications Correspondence

IsDge10 is a hypercompact TnpB nuclease that confers efficient genome editing in rice

Dear Editor,

Cas9 and Cas12a have been widely applied in genome engineering in both plant and human cells ([Tang and Zhang, 2023\)](#page-2-0). However, the relatively large sizes restrict their delivery into cells via viral vectors. As hypothetical ancestors of Cas9 and Cas12a, IscB and TnpB have been reported as RNA-guided DNA endonucleases suitable for genome editing in human cells [\(Han et al., 2023;](#page-2-1) [Xiang et al., 2023](#page-3-0)). More recently, a eukaryotic RNA-guided endonuclease named Fanzor has demonstrated genome editing capabilities in human cells ([Saito et al., 2023\)](#page-2-2). These nucleases, such as IsDge10, are significantly smaller $(\sim 390$ amino acids for IsDge10) compared to Cas9 and Cas12a (e.g., \sim 1300 amino acids for SpCas9). However, the applicability of TnpB, IscB, or Fanzor for plant genome engineering remained unexplored. Here, we evaluated a series of nucleases from the TnpB, IscB, and Fanzor families and successfully developed a miniature plant genome editor using IsDge10, a TnpB nuclease from *Deinococcus geothermalis.*

First, we selected six different nucleases from these three small nuclease families, including IsDge10, IsAam1, enIscB, and SpuFz1 [\(Figure 1](#page-1-0)A) [\(Han et al., 2023;](#page-2-1) [Saito et al., 2023;](#page-2-2) [Xiang et al., 2023](#page-3-0)), and optimized their codons for expression in rice. Next, we used the ZmUbi1 (RNA polymerase II) promoter to drive the expression of the nuclease gene and the OsU6-2 promoter for their respective guide RNAs [\(Figure 1B](#page-1-0)). We also developed a dual-fluorescence reporter system that simultaneously expresses GFP and mCherry. In this system, the green fluorescence from GFP serves as a normalization standard, and the red fluorescence from mCherry can be perturbated by targeted mutagenesis by any of these seven nuclease systems ([Supplemental Figure 1A\)](#page-2-3). This reporter system was co-transfected with the nuclease system into rice protoplasts, enabling preliminary assessments of the editing capabilities of our constructed nuclease systems. In our design, the mCherry gene was targeted at one site with the transposon-associated motif (TAM) by each corresponding nuclease ([Figure 1A](#page-1-0); [Supplemental Table 1\)](#page-2-3). The results showed that IsDge10, IsAam1, enIscB, and SpuFz1 each exhibited detectable editing activity in rice protoplasts, as indicated by the reduction of mCherry-to-GFP ratios, although their editing activities appeared lower than that of Cas9 ([Supplemental Figures 1B and 1C\)](#page-2-3). We then selected these four nucleases for further experiments.

To evaluate the editing efficiency of these four systems at endogenous sites in rice, we selected seven sites per nuclease system and assessed the outcomes of targeted mutagenesis in rice protoplasts using next-generation sequencing of PCR amplicons [\(Figure 1A](#page-1-0); [Supplemental Table 1\)](#page-2-3). Our data showed that IsDge10 exhibited an editing efficiency ranging from 2.20% to 15.04% across the seven target sites. In contrast, enIscB achieved 2.05%–8.27% editing efficiency at five out of seven sites, IsAam1 exhibited 2.36%–4.65% editing efficiency at two out of seven sites, and SpuFz1 showed no detectable editing activity [\(Figure 1](#page-1-0)C and [Supplemental Figure 2\)](#page-2-3). These results suggest that IsDge10 is superior to the enIscB, IsAam1, and SpuFz1 systems for genome editing in rice. Further analysis showed that IsDge10 primarily generated deletions ranging from 6 to 10 bp in size, occurring 13 to –23 bp away from the TAM ([Figures 1D](#page-1-0) and 1E and [Supplemental Figures 3–5](#page-2-3)). This cleavage pattern of IsDge10 is similar to those observed with Cas12 nucleases, but differs from that of enIscB, which cleaves proximally to the TAM-a characteristic consistent with enlscB's evolutionary relationship to the Cas9 group ([Supplemental](#page-2-3) [Figures 6 and 7\)](#page-2-3). This suggests that IsDge10, like Cas12 nucleases, produces off-set DNA double-strand breaks distal to the TAM sites.

P CellPress
Partner Journal

To assess the specificity of IsDge10, we focused on a highactivity target, site 01, and designed a series of protospacers with two adjacent mutations at various positions ([Supplemental](#page-2-3) [Table 1](#page-2-3); [Supplemental Figure 2A](#page-2-3)). Analysis of rice protoplasts showed that permutations of every two nucleotides from positions 1–14 bp within the protospacer completely abolished the editing activity of IsDge10, whereas mutations at positions 15–16 bp within the protospacer led to \sim 50% reduction in editing frequency ([Figure 1](#page-1-0)F). In contrast, mutations at positions 17–20 bp of the protospacer did not significantly affect the editing activity of IsDge10 [\(Figure 1](#page-1-0)F). These findings delineate the core functional length of a spacer for IsDge10 and confirmed its high specificity as a nuclease.

We then tested whether IsDge10 could generate edits in stable rice lines. The same transfer DNA constructs targeting the seven sites were used for the stable transformation of rice. The analysis of T0 generation plants revealed successful editing at all seven sites, with mutation efficiencies ranging from 4.2% to 25% [\(Figure 1G](#page-1-0)). The mutations predominantly consisted of deletions of 5–10 bp or longer ([Supplemental Figure 8](#page-2-3)), consistent with the editing profile observed in rice protoplasts ([Figure 1D](#page-1-0)). Notably, only monoallelic mutations were detected in these rice lines ([Supplemental Figure 9\)](#page-2-3). Assuming that biallelic knockout of these genes is non-lethal, these findings indicate the potential for enhancing IsDge10 to achieve biallelic editing in rice.

To develop a multiplexed IsDge10 genome editing system, we adopted the dual RNA polymerase II promoter expression

Published by the Plant Communications Shanghai Editorial Office in association with Cell Press, an imprint of Elsevier Inc., on behalf of CSPB and CEMPS, CAS.

Figure 1. Development of the IsDge10 genome editing system in rice.

(A) Phylogenetic diagram illustrating the evolutionary relationships among TnpB, Cas9, Cas12, IscB, and Fanzor. The selected nucleases IsDge10, Is-Dra2, IsYmu1, IsAam1, enIscB, and SpuFz1 are highlighted and connected to their corresponding structural diagrams, showing their sizes and domains (HNH and RuvC).

(B) Schematics of the IsDge10, IsDra2, IsYmu1, IsAam1, enIscB, and SpuFz1 constructs used for genome editing in rice.

(C) Comparison of the mutation rates of the IsDge10, IaAam1, enIscB, and SpuFz1 systems in rice protoplasts.

(D) Deletion size profiles for three representative target sites in rice.

(E) Deletion position profiles for three representative target sites in rice.

(F) Assessment of targeting specificity using mismatched guide RNAs at a representative target site in rice protoplasts.

(legend continued on next page)

systems previously used for Cas12a, Cas12b, and Cas12j2, as well as their guide RNAs [\(Tang et al., 2017](#page-2-4), [2019;](#page-3-1) [Ming et al.,](#page-2-5) [2020;](#page-2-5) [Liu et al., 2022;](#page-2-6) [Zheng et al., 2023;](#page-3-2) [Zhou et al., 2023](#page-3-3)). The IsDge10 protein was expressed under the ZmUbi1 promoter, and the seven guide RNAs were expressed under the OsUbi1 promoter and processed by the HH (hammer head)- HDV (hepatitis delta virus) dual ribozyme system to form mature "guide RNA- ω RNA" complexes [\(Figure 1](#page-1-0)H). Interestingly, this multiplexed construct exhibited higher editing efficiencies at all seven target sites compared to those achieved using the OsU6-2 promoter for guide RNA expression ([Figure 1](#page-1-0)C and [Supplemental Figure 2A\)](#page-2-3), with efficiencies ranging from 4.3% to 18.2% in rice protoplasts ([Figure 1](#page-1-0)I). The deletions were typically 6–10 bp in length and occurred 13–23 bp away from the TAM ([Figures 1](#page-1-0)J and 1K and [Supplemental Figures 10 and](#page-2-3) [11](#page-2-3)). These results confirm that IsDge10 can edit multiple sites simultaneously using this robust dual polymerase II promoter system.

In summary, our study establishes IsDge10 is a novel and compact transposon-associated TnpB nuclease suitable for genome editing in rice. Compared to other compact nucleases tested, IsDge10 exhibits robust genome editing activity in rice and requires only a simple TTAT TAM. Although the current IsDge10 system does not yet match the efficiency of the widely used Cas9 and Cas12a systems, this study paves the way for further enhancements through protein engineering and evolutionary approaches. As one of the smallest nucleases functional in plants, IsDge10 holds great potential for various applications, including multi-nuclease combination editing, integration with diverse effectors to develop tools for transcriptional and epigenetic regulation, and incorporation into viral vectors for plant genome engineering.

FUNDING

This research was supported by the Biological Breeding-Major Projects (2023ZD04076) awarded to X.T. and Y.Z., and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (award nos. 32270433, 32101205, and 32072045) to Y.Z., X.Z., and X.T. It was also supported by the NSF Plant Genome Research Program (IOS-2029889 and IOS-2132693) to Y.Q.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

No conflict of interest is declared.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Y.Z. conceived the project and designed the experiments. R.Z., X.T., and Y.H. generated all the constructs. R.Z. performed the rice protoplast transformation and analyzed the mutation frequencies in protoplasts. R.Z., W.W., Y.W., D.W., and X.Z. conducted rice stable transformations. Y.L. revised the manuscript. Y.Z., Y.Q., and R.Z. analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript with input from all authors. All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Correspondence Plant Communications **Plant Communications**

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental information is available at *Plant Communications Online*.

Received: June 9, 2024 Revised: July 15, 2024 Accepted: August 19, 2024 Published: August 21, 2024

*Rui Zhang1,2, Xu Tang2,3, Yao He2, Yangcun Li2, Wei Wang1, Yawei Wang1, Danning Wang1, Xuelian Zheng2, Yiping Qi4,5, * and Yong Zhang2, **

¹Department of Biotechnology, School of Life Sciences and Technology, Center for Informational Biology, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu 610054, China

²Integrative Science Center of Germplasm Creation in Western China (Chongqing) Science City, Chongqing Key Laboratory of Tree Germplasm Innovation and Utilization, School of Life Sciences, Southwest University, Chongqing 400715, China

³State Key Lab of Rice Biology, China National Rice Research Institute, Hangzhou 311400 Zhejiang, China

4 Department of Plant Science and Landscape Architecture, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA

⁵Institute for Bioscience and Biotechnology Research, University of Maryland, Rockville, MD 20850, USA

*Correspondence: Yiping Qi ([yiping@umd.edu\)](mailto:yiping@umd.edu), Yong Zhang [\(zhangyong916@swu.edu.cn\)](mailto:zhangyong916@swu.edu.cn) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xplc.2024.101068>

REFERENCES

- Han, D., Xiao, Q., Wang, Y., Zhang, H., Dong, X., Li, G., Kong, X., Wang, S., Song, J., Zhang, W., et al. (2023). Development of miniature base editors using engineered IscB nickase. Nat. Methods 20:1029–1036. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-023-01898-9.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-023-01898-9)
- Liu, S., Sretenovic, S., Fan, T., Cheng, Y., Li, G., Qi, A., Tang, X., Xu, Y., Guo, W., Zhong, Z., et al. (2022). Hypercompact CRISPR-Cas12j2 (CasPhi) enables genome editing, gene activation, and epigenome editing in plants. Plant Commun 3:100453. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xplc.2022.100453) [xplc.2022.100453.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xplc.2022.100453)
- Ming, M., Ren, Q., Pan, C., He, Y., Zhang, Y., Liu, S., Zhong, Z., Wang, J., Malzahn, A.A., Wu, J., et al. (2020). CRISPR-Cas12b enables efficient plant genome engineering. Nat. Plants 6:202–208. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-020-0614-6) [doi.org/10.1038/s41477-020-0614-6.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-020-0614-6)
- Saito, M., Xu, P., Faure, G., Maguire, S., Kannan, S., Altae-Tran, H., Vo, S., Desimone, A., Macrae, R.K., and Zhang, F. (2023). Fanzor is a eukaryotic programmable RNA-guided endonuclease. Nature 620:660–668. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06356-2>.
- Tang, X., and Zhang, Y. (2023). Beyond knockouts: fine-tuning regulation of gene expression in plants with CRISPR-Cas-based promoter editing. New Phytol. 239:868–874. [https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.19020.](https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.19020)
- Tang, X., Lowder, L.G., Zhang, T., Malzahn, A.A., Zheng, X., Voytas, D.F., Zhong, Z., Chen, Y., Ren, Q., Li, Q., et al. (2017). A CRISPR-Cpf1 system for efficient genome editing and transcriptional repression in plants. Nat. Plants 3:17018. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nplants.2017.18) [nplants.2017.18.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nplants.2017.18)

⁽G) Genome editing efficiency of IsDge10 in stable rice lines at seven target sites.

⁽H) Schematics of the dual RNA polymerase II promoter-based and multiplexed IsDge10 system for genome editing in rice.

⁽I) Multiplexed editing of seven target sites in rice protoplasts.

⁽J) Deletion size profiles for three representative multiplexed target sites in rice.

⁽K) Deletion position profile for three representative multiplexed target sites in rice. Each dot represents a biological replicate. Data are presented as mean values ± SD. Data were analyzed using a two-tailed unpaired *t*-test. ****P* < 0.001 and *****P* < 0.0001. Solid line, median; dashed line, quartiles.

Plant Communications **Contractions** Correspondence

- Tang, X., Ren, Q., Yang, L., Bao, Y., Zhong, Z., He, Y., Liu, S., Qi, C., Liu, B., Wang, Y., et al. (2019). Single transcript unit CRISPR 2.0 systems for robust Cas9 and Cas12a mediated plant genome editing. Plant Biotechnol. J. 17:1431–1445. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13068>.
- Xiang, G., Li, Y., Sun, J., Huo, Y., Cao, S., Cao, Y., Guo, Y., Yang, L., Cai, Y., Zhang, Y.E., et al. (2023). Evolutionary mining and functional characterization of TnpB nucleases identify efficient miniature genome editors. Nat. Biotechnol. 42:745–757. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-023-<?thyc=10?>01857-x<?thyc?>) [1038/s41587-023-01857-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-023-<?thyc=10?>01857-x<?thyc?>).
- Zheng, X., Zhang, S., Liang, Y., Zhang, R., Liu, L., Qin, P., Zhang, Z., Wang, Y., Zhou, J., Tang, X., et al. (2023). Loss-function mutants of OsCKX gene family based on CRISPR-Cas systems revealed their diversified roles in rice. Plant Genome 16:e20283. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1002/tpg2.20283) [1002/tpg2.20283.](https://doi.org/10.1002/tpg2.20283)
- Zhou, J., Liu, G., Zhao, Y., Zhang, R., Tang, X., Li, L., Jia, X., Guo, Y., Wu, Y., Han, Y., et al. (2023). An efficient CRISPR-Cas12a promoter editing system for crop improvement. Nat. Plants 9:588-604. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-023-01384-2) doi.org/10.1038/s41477-023-01384-2.

Plant Communications, Volume 5

Supplemental information

IsDge10 is a hypercompact TnpB nuclease that confers efficient ge-

nome editing in rice

Rui Zhang, Xu Tang, Yao He, Yangcun Li, Wei Wang, Yawei Wang, Danning Wang, Xuelian Zheng, Yiping Qi, and Yong Zhang

IsDge10 is a hypercompact TnpB nuclease that confers efficient genome editing in rice

Rui Zhang^{1, 2}, Xu Tang^{2, 3}, Yao He², Yangcun Li², Wei Wang¹, Yawei Wang¹, Danning Wang¹, Xuelian Zheng², Yiping Qi^{4, 5*}, Yong Zhang^{2*}

¹Department of Biotechnology, School of Life Sciences and Technology, Center for Informational Biology, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu 610054, China; ²Integrative Science Center of Germplasm Creation in Western China (Chongqing) Science City, Chongqing Key Laboratory of Tree Germplasm Innovation and Utilization, School of Life Sciences, Southwest University, 400715 Chongqing, China; ³State Key Lab of Rice Biology, China National Rice Research Institute, Hangzhou 311400, Zhejiang, China; ⁴Department of Plant Science and Landscape Architecture, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA; ⁵Institute for Bioscience and Biotechnology Research, University of Maryland, Rockville, Maryland 20850;

Running title: Hypercompact IsDge10 enables genome editing in rice

*Corresponding authors:

Yong Zhang, Integrative Science Center of Germplasm Creation in Western China (Chongqing) Science City, Chongqing Key Laboratory of Tree Germplasm Innovation and Utilization, School of Life Sciences, Southwest University, Chongqing 400715, China; Email: zhangyong916@swu.edu.cn

Yiping Qi, Department of Plant Science and Landscape Architecture, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA; Email: yiping@umd.edu

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Materials and Methods.

Supplementary Figure 1. Detection of genome editing activity with a florescent report assay in rice protoplasts.

Supplementary Figure 2. Comparison of different nucleases at rice endogenous sites in rice protoplasts.

Supplementary Figure 3. Deletion size profile of IsDge10 at 7 target sites in rice protoplasts. Supplementary Figure 4. Deletion position profile of IsDge10 at 7 target sites in rice protoplasts.

Supplementary Figure 5. Sequence alignment results of editing events of IsDge10 at 4 target sites in rice protoplasts.

Supplementary Figure 6. Deletion size profile of enIscB at 5 target sites in rice protoplasts. Supplementary Figure 7. Deletion position profile of enIscB at 5 target sites in rice protoplasts.

Supplementary Figure 8. Genotype of IsDge10 induced genome editing in rice T0 lines. Supplementary Figure 9. Sanger sequencing results of IsDge10 induced genome editing in rice T0 lines.

Supplementary Figure 10. Deletion size profile of IsDge10 at 7 target sites in rice protoplasts using a multiple-editing strategy.

Supplementary Figure 11. Deletion position profile of IsDge10 at 7 target sites in rice protoplasts using a multiple-editing strategy.

Supplementary Figure 12. Nucleotide sequences encoding the IsDge10, IsAam1, enIscB and SpuFz1 codon-optimized for rice.

Supplementary Tables

Supplementary Table 1. Guide RNAs used in this study.

Supplementary Table 2. Oligos used in this study.

Supplemental Materials and Methods

Vector construction

To construct the rice IsDge10 vector, the rice codon-optimized IsDge10 was synthesized by Genscript (Nanjing, China). and assembled with the Zea mays ubiquitin 1 (ZmUbi1) promoter and A. thaliana heat shock protein (AtHSP) terminator using Golden Gate assembly using BsaI-HFv2 from New England Biolabs, resulting in the IsDge10 entry vector (pZR406). Subsequently, the ωRNA of IsDge10 was synthesized through overlap extension polymerase chain reaction (PCR). PCR fragments containing the OsU6-2 promoter, IsDge10 ωRNA and lacZ-poly T, were amplified and then inserted into the BsaI-linearized pTSWB vector using Gibson assembly with the NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly Cloning Kit from New England Biolabs, yielding the ωRNA entry vectors pOsU6-2-IsDge10 ωRNA (pZR389). To generate the final IsDge10 backbone, the IsDge10 entry vector, ωRNA entry vector and pMOD_C0000a were assembled into the T-DNA backbone pTRANS_210d (Addgene Plasmid #91109) to generate rice IsDge10 backbone pGEL1011 using Golden Gate assembly. The backbones of IsAam1, IsDra2, IsYmu1, enIscB and SpuFz1 were generated by same way. In order to construct IsDge10 multiplex editing vector, PCR fragments containing the ZmUbi1 promoter-Hammerhead ribozyme, IsDge10 ωRNA-lacZ and hepatitis delta virus (HDV) ribozyme-pinII terminator were amplified and inserted into the BsaI-linearized pTSWB vector, yielding the ωRNA entry vector pOsUbi1- IsDge10 ωRNA (pZR527). To generate the final IsDge10 multiplex editing backbone, the IsDge10 entry vector, the ωRNA entry (pOsUbi1-IsDge10 ωRNA) and pMOD C0000a were assembled into the T-DNA backbone pTRANS 210d to generate rice IsDge10 multiplex editing backbone pGEL1012 using Golden Gate assembly. Nucleotide sequences of IsDge10, IsAam1, enIscB and SpuFz1 codonoptimized for rice were shown in Supplementary Figure 12.

Rice protoplast transformation

The Japonica cultivar Nipponbare rice was used in this study. Rice protoplast isolation and PEG-mediated transformation were performed as previously described (Tang et al., 2019). Briefly, the rice plants were grown in the dark at 28 °C. Then healthy rice seedlings were cut in about 1.0 mm strips, and immediately transferred into the 10ml enzyme solution, followed by vacuum-infiltration for 30 min and incubation at 80 rpm for 6 hours at 25°C in the dark. Next, a 40 μm cell strainer was used to filter the digested products on a 90mm petri dish and further transferred into a sterile 50ml Falcon tube. The protoplasts were collected by centrifugation at 100 g for 5 min and suspended in 10 ml W5 solution for washing. Then, the W5 solution was removed by centrifugation at 100 g for 2 min and the protoplasts were suspended at a concentration of 2×10⁶ ml⁻¹ in MMG buffer. For transformation, 30 μl plasmids (containing 30 μg DNA) were transformed into 200 μl protoplasts. The mixture was gently mixed with 230 μl PEG transformation buffer for 20 min. The transfection reaction was stopped by adding 1 ml W5 buffer. The protoplasts were collected by centrifugation at 250 g for 5 min, gently suspended in 600 μl W5 buffer, and then transferred to a 12-well culture plate. The plate was placed in the dark at 32°C for 48 hours before monitoring under a microscope or extracting DNA.

For fluorescence reporter system test in rice protoplasts, 30 μl plasmids (containing 15 μg DNA of reporter and 15 μg DNA of editing vector) were transformed into 200 μl protoplasts. After 48 hours of dark incubation, we used an Olympus IX73 Inverted Microscope to photograph the transformed rice cells. The microscope was configured with an excitation light intensity set to 25% and an exposure time of 200 ms for image capture. For each biological replicate, we randomly selected a field of view for image capture and separately recorded the raw grayscale values of the mCherry signal and the ZsGreen signal provided by the system. We then calculated the decrease in mCherry by dividing the mCherry signal by the ZsGreen signal. Each experiment was performed with three biological replicates.

Rice stable transformation

As with our previous study (Zhou et al., 2017), the cultivar Japonica Nipponbare was used for stable Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of rice. Briefly, the sterilized rice seeds were placed on solid N6-D medium. Precultured rice calli were transformed by inoculating Agrobacterium EHA105 carrying the recombinant expression vector. The inoculated calli were co-cultured with Agrobacterium for 3 d on 2N6-AS solid medium. Then the calli were washed and transferred to N6D-S solid medium containing 400 mg/l timentin and 50 mg/l hygromycin for 2 weeks. Resistant calli were then transferred to RE-III medium for obtain regenerated plants.

Mutagenesis analysis

The Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS) of PCR amplicons was used for evaluating

editing efficiency in rice protoplasts. Genomic DNA was extracted from the protoplasts using the CTAB method (Stewart and Via, 1993). The amplicons of the editing regions were amplified by 2 x Rapid Taq Master Mix (Vazyme, China). Amplicons were sent to Novogene (China, Tianjin) for deep-sequencing by the Novaseq6000 platform which produced 150 bp paired-end reads. The editing frequency was analyzed by the CRISPRMatch and CrisprStitch (Han et al., 2024; You et al., 2018). For stable rice T0 lines, DNA was extracted from the T0 generation using the CTAB method (Stewart and Via, 1993). Then the target sites were amplified by 2 x Rapid Taq Master Mix (Vazyme, China) and the products were sent to Sangon Biotech (Shanghai, China) for direct PCR product sanger sequencing. Sanger sequencing data were analyzed by Snapgene software (www.snapgene.com).

Statistical analysis

For all bar graphs, the mean and standard deviation (SD) were calculated and plotted using GraphPad Prism 8.0 software, with SD provided only for samples with n > 2. The data are presented as mean \pm SD. Statistical significance was analyzed using an unpaired two-tailed t-test with equal variance in Microsoft Excel version 2212. Asterisks indicate significant differences according to Student's t-test ($p < 0.05$, $p <$ 0.01, $***p$ < 0.001, $***p$ < 0.0001). The figures were further processed using Adobe Photoshop and Adobe Illustrator software.

Reference

Han, Y., Liu, G., Wu, Y., Bao, Y., Zhang, Y., and Zhang, T. (2024). CrisprStitch: Fast evaluation of the efficiency of CRISPR editing systems. Plant Commun 5:100783. 10.1016/j.xplc.2023.100783.

Stewart, C.N., Jr., and Via, L.E. (1993). A rapid CTAB DNA isolation technique useful for RAPD fingerprinting and other PCR applications. Biotechniques 14:748-750.

Tang, X., Ren, Q., Yang, L., Bao, Y., Zhong, Z., He, Y., Liu, S., Qi, C., Liu, B., Wang, Y., et al. (2019). Single transcript unit CRISPR 2.0 systems for robust Cas9 and Cas12a mediated plant genome editing. Plant Biotechnol J 17:1431-1445. 10.1111/pbi.13068.

You, Q., Zhong, Z., Ren, Q., Hassan, F., Zhang, Y., and Zhang, T. (2018). CRISPRMatch: an automatic calculation and visualization tool for high-throughput CRISPR genome-editing data analysis. Int J Biol Sci 14:858-862. 10.7150/ijbs.24581.

Zhou, J., Deng, K., Cheng, Y., Zhong, Z., Tian, L., Tang, X., Tang, A., Zheng, X., Zhang, T., Qi, Y., et al. (2017). CRISPR-Cas9 based genome editing reveals new insights into MicroRNA function and regulation in rice. Front Plant Sci 8:1598. 10.3389/fpls.2017.01598.

Supplementary Figure 1. Detection of genome editing activity with a florescent report assay in rice protoplasts. (A) A diagram of a dual-fluorescence reporter system. (B) The decrease of mCherry fluorescence induced by targeted mutagenesis of different editing systems. (C) Decrease of mCherry fluorescence expression after co-transfection. Each dot represents a biological replicate. Data are presented as mean values +/- SD. Data were analyzed using two-tailed unpaired t-Test.

Supplementary Figure 2. Comparison of different nucleases at rice endogenous sites in rice protoplasts. (A) Editing efficiency of the IsDge10 system at seven endogenous sites in rice. (B) Editing efficiency of the IsAam1 system at seven endogenous sites in rice. (C) Editing efficiency of the SpuFz system at seven endogenous sites in rice. (D) Editing efficiency of the enIscB system at seven endogenous sites in rice. Each dot represents a biological replicate. Data are presented as mean values +/- SD. Data were analyzed using two-tailed unpaired t-Test.

IsDge10 Site 04 25.0 $\frac{\%}{\%}$
Deletion Size ($\frac{20.0}{15.0}$ 5.0 $_{0.0}$

Supplementary Figure 3. Deletion size profile of IsDge10 at 7 target sites in rice protoplasts. Each assay contains three independent experiments (n=3). Data are presented as mean values +/- SD.

Supplementary Figure 4. Deletion position profile of IsDge10 at 7 target sites in rice protoplasts. Editing at each site was biologically replicated three times, designated as Rep1, Rep2, and Rep3, respectively. Sequence in red indicated the TAM of IsDge10.

IsDge10 Site02

Supplementary Figure 5. Sequence alignment results of editing events of IsDge10 at 4 target sites in rice protoplasts. Sequences in blue indicate the guide RNA, and sequences in red indicate the TAM of IsDge10.

Supplementary Figure 6. Deletion size profile of enIscB at 5 target sites in rice protoplasts. Each assay contains three independent experiments (n=3). Data are presented as mean values +/- SD.

Supplementary Figure 7. Deletion position profile of enIscB at 5 target sites in rice protoplasts. Editing at each site was biologically replicated three times, designated as Rep1, Rep2, and Rep3, respectively. Sequence in red indicated the TAM of enIscB.

Supplementary Figure 8. Genotype of IsDge10 induced genome editing in rice

T0 lines. Sequences in blue indicate the guide RNA, and sequences in red indicate the TAM.

Site05 ATATCTTATTACTGGTTACATGGACAAGGTGGGG Marrahmalamalamanhan #pZR493-1 #pZR493-5 ANAMMANMMMMMMMMMMARA #pZR493-16 WWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWW alasanshas minimininamin #pZR493-20 MMMMMMMMMMMMAAAAAA #pZR493-22

Site06 TTGTTATGACTTGATCCGTG<mark>CGGAT</mark> aMaMhana Magne MANANANA #pZR494-9 MAAAMMAAAMMAAM #pZR494-13 Site07 **TAACTTTATTTTGGCTGCTGCAATTT** MWWWWMWWW Janhaa #pZR495-2 #pZR495-14 VWWWWWWWWWWWWW

Site01 CCCAGTTACAAGGTC GCAACTTATGAAGCAAG Munhmmmmmmmmm #pZR489-3 MMMMM MMMMMM #pZR489-6 Margamanhan #pZR489-10 MMMMM Marshammannannan #pZR489-14 rannnanandnanan MMMMMM #pZR489-17 #pZR489-21 Λ A Site02 Maananananananannnnnn #pZR490-13

Site03 AATCCTTATGTGAGTCTCTGAACCATAGCAGGGA Mannmannahalhad *MMMMM* #pZR491-8 #pZR491-17 MMMMMMMMMMMMMMM

TGGAGTTATATCGAATGGTGCTGTGATATTGGCT Site₀₄ Mashhasas MMMMMM #pZR492-4 #pZR492-15 MMMMMMMMMMMMM

Supplementary Figure 9. Sanger sequencing results of IsDge10 induced genome editing in rice T0 lines. Sequences in blue indicate the guide RNA, and sequences in red indicate the TAM.

Supplementary Figure 10. Deletion size profile of IsDge10 at 7 target sites in rice protoplasts using a multiple-editing strategy. Each assay contains three independent experiments (n=3). Data are presented as mean values +/- SD.

Supplementary Figure 11. Deletion position profile of IsDge10 at 7 target sites in rice protoplasts using a multiple-editing strategy. Editing at each site was biologically replicated three times, designated as Rep1, Rep2, and Rep3, respectively. Sequence in red indicated the TAM of IsDge10.

>IsDoe10

sDge 10 sca

-na-g-n-v-sanew
GT66A6C66TTCAC6ACC6C6ACCTCAAC6CC6CC66AACATCAA6C666AA666CTTTC6CAAATC6TC6CC6666CCAC6C66AAC6TTAAAC6CTC6666A6A666T6TCA6ACCT6C6ATA6C666CA6 CCCTCGAtgaAGCGAGAATCCAACGGCTTTAGCCGTTGGAGTGTCAA

>IsAam1 protein

CCGAAGAAGAAGAAGGAAGGTTGGCATCCACGGGGTGCCAGCTGCTATGGTTAACAAATCCTACAAATTTAGACTCTACCCCACAAAAGAACAAGAACAGCTGCTCGCCAAGACCTTTG CONSIDERATION CONTRACT TRANSPORTED A ANGELE A ARCHITECT AND CONTRACT AND CONTRACT AND CONTRACT TRANSPORTED AND CONSUMING ACTIVITY OF A TRANSCRIPTION CONTRACTOR AND ACCESS AND ACCESS TRANSCRIPTION CONSUMING ACTIVITY OF A TRANSCRIPTION OF A TGTGAGTGCGGCTTCGAGTCGGACAGGGACGTCAATGCTGCCATCAATATAAAGCATGAGGGGATGAAAAGCCTAGCGATCGCTAAGCGGCCAGCGCGACGAAGAAGGCGGCCA

>enlscB protein

CCGAAGAAGAAGAAGGAAGGTTGGCATCCACGGGGTGCCAGCTGCTATGATGGCCGTGGTATACGTGATCAGCAAGTCTGGTAAACCACTGATGCCAACA CGAATACTTCTCAAGGAAGGGAAGGCTAGGGTGGTTGAGAGGAAGCCGTTCACCATACAATTGACATATGAGAGTGCGGAAACACAGCCGCTCGTGCTGGGCATTGATCCAGGTA CAGAAAATCCTGCCGGTCGCCAAGGTTGTTCTGGAGCTGAACCGTTTCTCCTTCATGGCAATGAATAACCCCAAGGTTCAACGGTGGCAGTACCAGCGCGGCCCGCTCTACGGCAAAG CONSCITABLES ASSOCIATED AT THE HOMOGENEOUS TELEVISION TO THE MANUFACTURE ACCEPTS THE ARGENTS AND TRIAL CONSCITABLES AND TRIAL CONSCITATION AND TRIAL CONSCITATION AND A CONSCITATION OF A CONSCIENCING AND TRIAL CONSCIENCING

>eniscB scaffold

GGCTCGTCCAACTGCGGTTGAACGAGCACAGGCTGAGACATTCGTAAGGCCGAAAGGCCGGACCCTGGGATTTCCCCAGTCCCCGGAACTGCATAGCGGATGCCAGTTGATGGAGCAATCTATCAGATAAGC ${\tt CAGGGGAACAATCACCTCTGTATCAGAGAGTTTTACAAAGGAGGAACGG}$

>SpuFz1 protein

>SpuFz1 scaffold

 $\textit{GACTTIGGAGAAAAATATCAAAACACGACCTCATTCAAGACCTTGGCGCCGTCGTAGGGAGATGAAAAATCCACGGACTGCTGGGTTGTACCAACCCTAACCTTTGCAGCCGGACCTGGGACATCGGGAAAACCTGAGCAAACCTGGACAATCGGGAA$ TTAGGTTTTCCGAGCCGGTTGTCGCGCGGTTCAATCCCTGGTGCGGGTGCTAGTGCCAATACCCACCGGCTCCGCACTA

Supplementary Figure 12. Nucleotide sequences encoding IsDge10, IsAam1, enIscB and SpuFz1 codon-optimized for rice. Sequences in blue indicate the nuclease-coding sequences, and sequences in red indicate the coding regions for nuclear localization signals (NLS).

Targeted gene Targeted site Spacer sequence mCherry IsDge10-mCherry-gRNA cctcctcgcccttgctcacc mCherry IsAam1-mCherry-gRNA gtgggagcgcgtgatgaact mCherry IsDra2-mCherry-gRNA gttgacgttgtaggcgccgg mCherry IsYmu1-mCherry-gRNA gttgacgttgtaggcgccgg mCherry enIscB-mCherry-gRNA ggtggccccctgcccttcgc mCherry SpuFz1-mCherry-gRNA tggagggctccgtgaacggc LOC_Os01g01830 IsDge10-Site1 gaagcaagttcccagttaca LOC_Os03g02150 IsDge10-Site2 tagataccagtgaaacaaaa LOC_Os05g01090 IsDge10-Site3 gtgagtctctgaaccatagc LOC_Os07g02300 IsDge10-Site4 atcgaatggtgctgtgatat LOC_Os09g04110 IsDge10-Site5 tactggttacatggacaagg LOC_Os11g01380 IsDge10-Site6 ttgttatgacttgatccgtg LOC_Os12g01520 IsDge10-Site7 tttggctgctgcaattttaa LOC_Os01g04200 IsAam1-Site1 tgaaaggacaactctaggaa LOC_Os03g01920 IsAam1-Site2 tatctctgcgttgaacacaa LOC_Os05g02880 IsAam1-Site3 ctgtgggattgatggtcact

Supplementary Table 1. Guide RNAs used in this study.

Supplementary Table 2. Oligos used in this study.

