Table S1 Mouse group sizes and survival analyses by Prism

Figure 1A									
Genotype	Wildtype	Wildtype	Wildtype Wildtype T		Tlr9 ^{-/-}	Tlr9 ^{-/-}	Tlr9 ^{-/-}	Tlr9 ^{-/-}	
Treatment	PBS	Pam2	ODN	Pam2ODN	PBS	Pam2	ODN	Pam2ODN	
Mouse n #	Nouse n # 18 18 18 18				18	18	18	17	
Comparisor	of Survival C	Curves			Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test				
Multiple cu	rves				P<0.0001				
Wildtype +	PBS vs. Wildt	ype + Pam2O	DN		P<0.0001				
Wildtype +	Pam2 vs. Wil	dtype + Pam2	20DN		P<0.0001				
Wildtype +	ODN vs. Wild	type + Pam2	ODN		P<0.0001				
<i>Tlr9^{-/-}</i> + PBS	vs. <i>Tlr9</i> ^{-/-} + P	am2ODN			P<0.0001				
<i>Tlr9^{-/-}</i> + Pam	12 vs. <i>Tlr9^{-/-}</i> +	Pam2ODN			P=0.0044				
<i>Tlr9^{-/-}</i> + ODN	N vs. <i>Tlr9^{-/-}</i> + I	Pam2ODN			P<0.0001				
<i>Tlr9^{-/-}</i> + Parr	120DN vs. Wi	ldtype + Pam	20DN		P=0.0261				
Bonferroni-	corrected P v	alue			P=0.0071				
Figure 1B									
Genotype	Wildtype	Wildtype	Wildtype	Wildtype	Tlr9 ^{-/-}	Tlr9 ^{-/-}	Tlr9 ^{-/-}	Tlr9 ^{-/-}	
Treatment	PBS	Pam2	ODN	Pam2ODN	PBS	Pam2	ODN	Pam2ODN	
Mouse n #	Mouse n # 30 30 30 30				30	30	30	30	
Comparisor	of Survival C	Curves			Log-rank (N	/lantel-Cox) te	est		
Multiple cu	rves				P<0.0001				
Wildtype +	PBS vs. Wildt	ype + Pam2O	DN		P<0.0001				
Wildtype +	Pam2 vs. Wil	dtype + Pam2	20DN		P<0.0001				
Wildtype +	ODN vs. Wild	type + Pam2	ODN		P<0.0001				
<i>Tlr9^{-/-}</i> + PBS	vs. <i>Tlr9</i> ^{-/-} + P	am2ODN			P<0.0001				
<i>Tlr9^{-/-}</i> + Pam	12 vs. <i>Tlr9^{-/-}</i> +	Pam2ODN			P=0.0055				
<i>Tlr9^{-/-}</i> + ODN	N vs. <i>Tlr9^{-/-}</i> + I	Pam2ODN			P<0.0001				
<i>Tlr9^{-/-}</i> + Parr	120DN vs. Wi	ldtype + Pam	120DN		P=0.0163				
Bonferroni-	corrected P v	alue	[1	P=0.0071	1			
Figure 3A						(
Genotype	Wildtype	Wildtype	Wildtype	Rigi ^{-/-}	Rigi ^{-/-}	Rigi ^{-/-}			
Treatment	PBS	Pam2	Pam2ODN	PBS	Pam2	Pam2ODN			
Mouse n #	28	35	38	28	35	38			
Comparisor	of Survival C	lurves			Log-rank (N	/lantel-Cox) te	est		
Multiple cu	Multiple curves					P<0.0001			
Wildtype + PBS vs. Wildtype + Pam2ODN					P<0.0001				
Wildtype +	Pam2 vs. Wil	atype + Pam2	UDN		P<0.0001				
KIGI + PBS	vs. <i>Kigi /</i> ⁻ + Pa				P<0.0001				
Rigi + Pam	12 vs. $Rigi^{7}$ +	Pam2ODN	2000		P=0.0012				
<i>Rigi</i> + Pam2ODN vs. Wildtype + Pam2ODN					P<0.0001				

Bonferroni-corrected P value						P=0.01			
Figure 3B									
Genotype	Wildtype	Wildtype	Wildtype	Tlr9 ^{-/-} ;	Tlr9 ^{-/-} ;	Tlr9 ^{-/-} ;			
	,,	,,	,,	Rigi ^{-/-}	Rigi -/-	Rigi ^{-/-}			
Treatment	PBS	Pam2	Pam2ODN	PBS	Pam2	Pam2ODN			
Mouse n #	25	25	25	25	25	27			
Comparisor	of Survival C	Curves		•	Log-rank (N	/antel-Cox) te	est		
Multiple cu	rves				P<0.0001				
Wildtype +	PBS vs. Wildt	ype + Pam2O	DN		P<0.0001				
Wildtype +	Pam2 vs. Wil	dtype + Pam2	20DN		P<0.0001				
Tlr9 ^{-/-} Rigi ^{-/-}	+ PBS vs. Tlr9) ^{-/-} Rigi ^{-/-} + Par	m2ODN		P<0.0001				
Tlr9 ^{-/-} Rigi ^{-/-}	+ Pam2 vs. T	<i>lr9^{-/-} Rigi^{-/-}</i> + P	am2ODN		P=0.0656				
Tlr9 ^{-/-} Rigi ^{-/-}	+ Pam2ODN	vs. Wildtype	+ Pam2ODN		P<0.0001				
Bonferroni-	corrected P v	value			P=0.01				
Figure 3C									
Genotype	Wildtype	Wildtype	Rigi⁻⁄-	Rigi -/-					
Treatment	PBS	Pam2ODN	PBS	Pam2ODN	N				
Mouse n #	38	39	40	46					
Comparisor	of Survival C	Curves			Log-rank (N	/lantel-Cox) te	est		
Multiple cu	rves				P<0.0001				
Wildtype +	PBS vs. Wildt	ype + Pam2C	DN		P<0.0001				
<i>Rigi^{-/-}</i> + PBS	vs. <i>Rigi^{-/-}</i> + Pa	am2ODN			P<0.0001				
<i>Rigi^{-/-}</i> + Pam	20DN vs. Wi	ldtype + Pam	20DN		P<0.0001				
Bonferroni-	corrected P v	value			P=0.0167				
Figure 5A									
Genotype	Wildtype	Wildtype	Wildtype	Mavs ^{-/-}	Mavs ^{-/-}	Mavs -/-			
Treatment	PBS	Pam2	Pam2ODN	PBS	Pam2	Pam2ODN			
Mouse n #	30	42	45	30	45	45			
Comparisor	of Survival C	Curves			Log-rank (N	/lantel-Cox) te	est		
Multiple cu	rves				P<0.0001				
Wildtype +	PBS vs. Wildt	ype + Pam2C	DN		P<0.0001				
Wildtype +	Pam2 vs. Wil	dtype + Pam2	20DN		P<0.0001				
Mavs ^{-/-} + PB	S vs. Mavs ^{-/-}	+ Pam2ODN			P<0.0001				
<i>Mavs</i> -/- + Pa	m2 vs. <i>Mavs</i>	^{-/-} + Pam2ODI	N	P=0.0018					
<i>Mavs</i> ^{-/-} + Pa	m2ODN vs. V	Vildtype + Pa	m2ODN		P=0.0009				
Bonferroni-	corrected P v	value			P=0.01				
Figure 5B									
Genotype	Wildtype	Wildtype	Wildtype	Tlr9 ^{-/-}	Tlr9 ^{-/-}	Tlr9 ^{-/-}			
				;Mavs ^{-/-}	;Mavs ^{-/-}	;Mavs ^{-/-}		1	

Treatment	PBS	Pam2	Pam2ODN	PBS	Pam2	Pam2ODN			
Mouse n #	35	35	35	35	35	35			
Comparison	of Survival C	Curves		Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test					
Multiple cur	ves				P<0.0001				
Wildtype + I	PBS vs. Wildt	ype + Pam2O	DN		P<0.0001				
Wildtype + I	Pam2 vs. Wil	dtype + Pam2	20DN		P<0.0001				
Tlr9 ^{-/-} Mavs ^{-,}	/- + PBS vs. <i>Tl</i>	r9 ^{-/-} Mavs ^{-/-} +	Pam2ODN		P<0.0001				
Tlr9 ^{-/-} Mavs ^{-,}	/- + Pam2 vs.	Tlr9 ^{-/-} Mavs ^{-/-}	+ Pam2ODN		P=0.2476				
Tlr9 ^{-/-} Mavs ^{-,}	/- + Pam2ODI	N vs. Wildtyp	e + Pam2ODN	N	P<0.0001				
Bonferroni-	corrected P v	alue			P=0.01				
Figure 5C									
Genotype	Wildtype	Wildtype	Mavs ^{-/-}	Mavs -/-					
Treatment	PBS	Pam2ODN	PBS	Pam2ODN					
Mouse n #	31	32	37	38					
Comparison	of Survival C	Curves			Log-rank (N	lantel-Cox) te	est		
Multiple cu	rves				P<0.0001				
Wildtype + I	PBS vs. Wildt	ype + Pam2O	DN		P<0.0001				
Mavs ^{-/-} + PE	3S vs. Mavs -/-	+ Pam2ODN			P<0.0001	P<0.0001			
Mavs ^{-/-} + Pa	m20DN vs. \	Nildtype + Pa	m20DN		P<0.0001				
Bonferroni-	corrected P v	alue			P=0.0167				
Figure 6A									
Genotype	Wildtype	Wildtype	Tlr9 ^{-/-}	Tlr9 ^{-/-}					
Treatment	PBS	ODN	PBS	ODN					
Mouse n #	8	8	25	25					
Comparison	of Survival C	Curves			Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test				
Multiple cur	rves				P=0.0003				
<i>Tlr9^{-/-}</i> + PBS	vs. <i>Tlr9^{-/-}</i> + 0	DN			P<0.0001				
<i>Tlr9</i> ^{-/-} + PBS	vs. Wildtype	+ PBS			P=0.0142				
Bonferroni-	corrected P v	alue			P=0.0167	1			
Figure 6B			· · · · ·						
Genotype	Wildtype	Wildtype	Rig-i⁻⁄⁻	Rig-i -/-					
Treatment	PBS	ODN	PBS	ODN					
Mouse n #	15	15	15	15					
Comparison	of Survival C	Curves			Log-rank (N	lantel-Cox) te	est		
Multiple cu	ves				P=0.0004				
<i>Rig-i^{-/-}</i> + PBS	5 vs. <i>Rig-i^{-/-}</i> +	ODN			P=0.0330				
<i>Rig-i^{-/-}</i> + PBS	5 vs. Wildtyp	e + PBS			P=0.0063				
Bonferroni-	corrected P v	alue		1	P=0.025				
Figure 6C									

Genotype	Wildtype	Wildtype	Mavs ^{-/-}	Mavs -/-					
Treatment	PBS	ODN	PBS	ODN					
Mouse n #	16	16	49	51					
Comparisor	of Survival C	Curves		Log-rank (N	Mantel-Cox) to	est			
Multiple cu	rves				P<0.0001	P<0.0001			
Mavs ^{-/-} + PE	3S vs. Mavs -/-	+ ODN		P<0.0001					
Mavs ^{-/-} + PE	3S vs. Wildtyp	oe + PBS			P=0.0094				
Bonferroni-	corrected P v	alue			P=0.025				
Figure 6D									
Genotype	Wildtype	Wildtype	Tlr9 ^{-/-}	Tlr9 ^{-/-}					
			;Mavs ^{-/-}	;Mavs ^{-/-}					
Treatment	PBS	ODN	PBS	ODN					
Mouse n #	9	9	27	27					
Comparisor	of Survival C	Curves			Log-rank (N	Mantel-Cox) te	est		
Multiple cu	rves				P=0.0053				
Tlr9⁻/⁻ Mavs	^{-/-} + PBS vs. 7	lr9 ^{-/-} Mavs ^{-/-}	+ ODN		P=0.94				
Tlr9 ^{-/-} Mavs	-/- + PBS vs. V	Vildtype + PB	S		P=0.0234				
Bonferroni-	corrected P v	value		P=0.025					
Figure 6E									
Genotype	Wildtype	Wildtype	MyD88 ^{-/-}	MyD88 ^{-/-}					
			;Mavs ^{-/-}	;Mavs ^{-/-}					
Treatment	PBS	ODN	PBS	ODN					
Mouse n #	10	10	24	24					
Comparisor	of Survival C	Curves			Log-rank (N	Nantel-Cox) te	est		
Multiple cu	rves				P<0.0001				
MyD88 ^{-/-} M	avs -/- + PBS v	s. MyD88 ^{-/-} N	<i>1avs ^{-/-}</i> + ODN	l	P=0.3617				
MyD88 ^{-/-} M	<i>avs ^{-/-}</i> + PBS v	s. Wildtype +	PBS		P=0.0190				
Bonferroni-	corrected P v	value			P=0.025				
Figure 7A									
Genotype	Wildtype	Wildtype	Tlr9 ^{-/-}	Tlr9 ^{-/-}					
Treatment	PBS	ODN	PBS	ODN					
Mouse n #	10	10	24	24					
Comparisor	of Survival C	Curves			Log-rank (N	Mantel-Cox) to	est		
Multiple cu	rves				P<0.0001				
<i>Tlr9^{-/-}</i> + PBS	<i>Tlr9^{-/-}</i> + PBS vs. <i>Tlr9^{-/-}</i> + ODN								
<i>Tlr9</i> ^{-/-} + PBS	vs. Wildtype	+ PBS			P=0.0042				
Bonferroni-	corrected P v	value			P=0.025				
Figure 7B									
Genotype	Wildtype	Wildtype	Rig-i ^{-/-}	Rig-i ^{-/-}					

Treatment	PBS	ODN	PBS	ODN					
Mouse n #	18	18	21	21					
Comparison	of Survival C	Curves	•	Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test					
Multiple cu	rves				P<0.0001				
Rig-i ^{-/-} + PB	5 vs. <i>Rig-i ^{-/-}</i> +	ODN			P=0.0046				
<i>Rig-i^{-/-}</i> + PB	S vs. Wildtyp	e + PBS		P=0.0035					
Bonferroni-	corrected P v	value			P=0.025				
Figure 7C									
Genotype	Wildtype	Wildtype	Mavs ^{-/-}	Mavs ^{-/-}					
Treatment	PBS	ODN	PBS	ODN					
Mouse n #	20	20	40	40					
Comparison	of Survival C	Curves		I	Log-rank (N	/antel-Cox) t	est	-	
Multiple cu	rves				P<0.0001				
Mavs ^{-/-} + PE	BS vs. Mavs -/-	+ ODN			P<0.0001				
Mavs ^{-/-} + PE	BS vs. Wildty	oe + PBS			P<0.0001				
Bonferroni-	corrected P v	value			P=0.025				
Figure 7D									
Genotype	Wildtype	Wildtype	Tlr9 ^{-/-}	Tlr9⁻/-					
			;Mavs ^{-/-}	;Mavs ^{-/-}					
Treatment	PBS	ODN	PBS	ODN					
Mouse n #	10	10	30	30					
Comparison	of Survival C	Curves			Log-rank (N	/antel-Cox) t	est	-	
Multiple cu	ves				P<0.0001				
Tlr9 ^{-/-} Mavs	^{-/-} + PBS vs. 7	"Ir9 ^{-/-} Mavs ^{-/-}	+ ODN		P=0.0526				
Tlr9 ^{-/-} Mavs	-/- + PBS vs. V	Vildtype + PB	S		P=0.0003				
Bonferroni-	corrected P v	value			P=0.025				
Figure 7E									
Genotype	Wildtype	Wildtype	MyD88 ^{-/-}	MyD88 ^{-/-}					
			;Mavs ^{-/-}	;Mavs ^{-/-}					
Treatment	PBS	ODN	PBS	ODN					
Mouse n #	16	16	20	20					
Comparison	of Survival C	Curves			Log-rank (N	/lantel-Cox) t	est		
Multiple cu	rves				P<0.0001				
MyD88 ^{-/-} M	avs ^{-/-} + PBS v	's. MyD88⁻/⁻ N	<i>1avs ^{-/-}</i> + ODN	l	P=0.1855				
<i>MyD88^{-/-} Mavs^{-/-}</i> + PBS vs. Wildtype + PBS					P<0.0001				
Bonferroni-	corrected P v	value			P=0.025				
Figure 8A									
Genotype	Wildtype	Wildtype	Wildtype	Wildtype	Tlr9 ^{-/-} ;Mavs ^{-/-}	Tlr9 ^{-/-} ;Mavs ^{-/-}	Tlr9 ^{-/-} ;Mavs ^{-/-}	Tlr9 ^{-/-} ;Mavs ^{-/-}	

Treatment	PBS	Pam2	ODN	Pam2ODN	PBS	Pam2	ODN	Pam2ODN
Mouse n #	10	.0 10 10 10		10 10 10 10				
Comparison of Survival Curves					Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test			
Multiple cu	rves				P<0.0001			
Wildtype + I	PBS vs. Wildt	ype + Pam2O	DN		P<0.0001			
Wildtype + I	Pam2 vs. Wile	dtype + Pam2	20DN		P=0.0046			
Wildtype + 0	ODN vs. Wild	type + Pam20	ODN		P=0.0013			
Tlr9 ^{-/-} Mavs	-/- + PBS vs. T	lr9 ^{-/-} Mavs ^{-/-} ·	+ Pam2ODN		P=0.0004			
Tlr9 ^{-/-} Mavs	-/- + Pam2 vs.	Tlr9 ^{-/-} Mavs ⁻	/- + Pam20DI	N	P=0.7336			
Tlr9 ^{-/-} Mavs	-/- + ODN vs. '	Tlr9 ^{-/-} Mavs ^{-/-}	+ Pam2ODN		P=0.3598			
Tlr9 ^{-/-} Mavs	^{-/-} + Pam2OD	N vs. Wildtyp	e + Pam2OD	N	P=0.0040			
Bonferroni-	corrected P v	alue			P=0.0071			
Figure 8B								
Genotype	Wildtype	Wildtype	Wildtype	Wildtype	Tlr9 ^{-/-}	Tlr9 ^{-/-}	Tlr9 ^{-/-}	Tlr9 ^{-/-}
					;Mavs ^{-/-}	;Mavs ^{-/-}	;Mavs ^{-/-}	;Mavs ^{-/-}
Treatment	PBS	Pam2	ODN	Pam2ODN	PBS	Pam2	ODN	Pam2ODN
Mouse n #	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Comparison	of Survival C	Curves			Log-rank (N	lantel-Cox) te	est	
Multiple cur	rves				P<0.0001			
Wildtype + I	PBS vs. Wildt	ype + Pam2O	DN		P<0.0001			
Wildtype + I	Pam2 vs. Wile	dtype + Pam2	20DN		P=0.0046			
Wildtype + 0	ODN vs. Wild	type + Pam20	ODN		P=0.0046			
<i>Tlr9^{-/-} Mavs^{-/-}</i> + PBS vs. <i>Tlr9^{-/-} Mavs^{-/-}</i> + Pam2ODN					P=0.0133			
<i>Tlr9^{-/-} Mavs^{-/-}</i> + Pam2 vs. <i>Tlr9^{-/-} Mavs^{-/-}</i> + Pam2ODN					P=0.6560			
Tlr9 ^{-/-} Mavs ^{-/-} + ODN vs. Tlr9 ^{-/-} Mavs ^{-/-} + Pam2ODN				P=0.0176				
Tlr9 ^{-/-} Mavs	-/- + Pam2OD	N vs. Wildtyp	e + Pam2OD	N	P=0.0040			
Bonferroni-	corrected P v	alue			P=0.0071			

Figure S1A					
Genotype	Wildtype	Wildtype	Cgas ^{-/-}	Cgas -/-	
Treatment	PBS	Pam2ODN	PBS	Pam2ODN	
Mouse n #	10	10	8	9	
Comparison of Surv	ival Curves	·	Log-rank (Mantel-C	ox) test	
Multiple curves			P<0.0001		
Wildtype + PBS vs. V	Wildtype + Pam2ODN	l	P=0.0046		
Cgas ^{-/-} + PBS vs. Cga	as ^{-/-} + Pam2ODN		P=0.0007		
Cgas ^{-/-} + Pam2ODN	vs. Wildtype + Pam20	ODN	P=0.4355		
Bonferroni-correcte	ed P value		P=0.0167		
Figure S1B					
Genotype	Wildtype	Wildtype	Cgas -/-	Cgas -/-	

Treatment	PBS	Pam2ODN	PBS	Pam2ODN			
Mouse n #	9	10	8	9			
Comparison of Surv	vival Curves	Log-rank (Mantel-	Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test				
Multiple curves		P=0.0352	P=0.0352				
Wildtype + PBS vs.	Wildtype + Pam2ODN	J	P=0.0413	P=0.0413			
Cgas ^{-/-} + PBS vs. Cg	as ^{-/-} + Pam2ODN		P=0.0236				
Cgas ^{-/-} + Pam2ODN	vs. Wildtype + Pam2	ODN	P=0.6056	P=0.6056			
Bonferroni-correcte	ed P value		P=0.0167				
Figure S1C							
Genotype	Wildtype	Wildtype	Sting ^{gt/gt}	Sting ^{gt/gt}			
Treatment	PBS	Pam2ODN	PBS	Pam2ODN			
Mouse n #	11	12	12	12			
Comparison of Surv	vival Curves		Log-rank (Mantel-	Cox) test			
Multiple curves			P=0.0009				
Wildtype + PBS vs.	Wildtype + Pam2ODN	J	P=0.0094				
Sting ^{gt/gt} + PBS vs. S	<i>ting^{gt/gt}</i> + Pam2ODN		P=0.0191				
Sting ^{gt/gt} + Pam2OD	N vs. Wildtype + Par	120DN	P=0.0701				
Bonferroni-correcte	ed P value		P=0.0167				
Figure S1D							
Genotype	Wildtype	Wildtype	Sting ^{gt/gt}	Sting ^{gt/gt}			
Treatment	PBS	Pam2ODN	PBS	Pam2ODN			
Mouse n #	8	10	9	10			
Comparison of Surv	vival Curves		Log-rank (Mantel-	Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test			
Multiple curves			P<0.0001				
Wildtype + PBS vs.	Wildtype + Pam2ODN	J	P<0.0001				
Sting ^{gt/gt} + PBS vs. S	<i>ting^{gt/gt}</i> + Pam2ODN		P<0.0001				
Sting ^{gt/gt} + Pam2OD	N vs. Wildtype + Par	120DN	P=0.9999				
Bonferroni-correcte	ed P value		P=0.0167				
Figure S1E							
Genotype	Wildtype	Wildtype	Ifi204 ^{+/-}	Ifi204 ^{+/-}			
Treatment	PBS	Pam2ODN	PBS	Pam2ODN			
Mouse n #	10	10	10	10			
Comparison of Surv	vival Curves		Log-rank (Mantel-	Cox) test			
Multiple curves			P=0.0007				
Wildtype + PBS vs.	Wildtype + Pam2ODN	J	P=0.0040				
<i>lfi204^{+/-}</i> + PBS vs. <i>lfi</i>	204 ^{+/-} + Pam2ODN		P=0.0141				
<i>lfi204</i> ^{+/-} + Pam20DI	N vs. Wildtype + Pam	20DN	P=0.1246				
Bonferroni-correcte	ed P value		P=0.0167				
Figure S7A							
Genotype	Wildtype						
Mouse n #	10						
1		Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test					

Multiple curves		P<0.0001				
1 x10 ⁵ PFU vs. 0	PFU	P<0.0001	P<0.0001			
5 x10 ⁴ PFU vs. 0	PFU	P=0.0117	P=0.0117			
2.5 x10 ⁴ PFU vs.	0 PFU		P=0.1462			
Bonferroni-corr	ected P value		P=0.0167			
Figure S7B						
Genotype	Tlr9 ^{-/-}					
Mouse n #	5					
Comparison of S	Survival Curves	·	Log-rank (Mante	el-Cox) test		
Multiple curves			P<0.0001			
1 x10 ⁵ PFU vs. 0 PFU			P=0.0035			
5 x10 ⁴ PFU vs. 0	5 x10 ⁴ PFU vs. 0 PFU			P=0.0035		
2.5 x10 ⁴ PFU vs.	0 PFU		P=0.0126			
Bonferroni-corr	ected P value		P=0.0167			
Figure S7C						
Genotype	Mavs -/-					
Mouse n #	5					
Comparison of Survival Curves			Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test			
Multiple curves			P<0.0001			
1 x10 ⁵ PFU vs. 0 PFU			P=0.0035			
5 x10 ⁴ PFU vs. 0 PFU			P=0.0031			
2.5 x10 ⁴ PFU vs.	0 PFU		P=0.0026			
Bonferroni-corr	ected P value		P=0.0167			

Accession	Score	Mass	Num. of matches	Num. of significant matches	Num. of sequences	Sequence coverage	emPAI	Description
MYH10_HUMAN	466	228858	26	26	18	0.07	0.45	Myosin-10 OS=Homo sapiens GN=MYH10 PE=1 SV=3
TBB6_HUMAN	66	49825	3	3	2	0.06	0.19	Tubulin beta-6 chain OS=Homo sapiens GN=TUBB6 PE=1 SV=1
PYC_HUMAN	54	129551	1	1	1	0.01	0.03	Pyruvate carboxylase, mitochondrial OS=Homo sapiens GN=PC PE=1 SV=2
COPA_HUMAN	44	138258	3	3	3	0.02	0.1	Coatomer subunit alpha OS=Homo sapiens GN=COPA PE=1 SV=2
FAS_HUMAN	42	273254	1	1	1	0	0.02	Fatty acid synthase OS=Homo sapiens GN=FASN PE=1 SV=3
MYL6_HUMAN	42	16919	2	2	2	0.14	0.67	Myosin light polypeptide 6 OS=Homo sapiens GN=MYL6 PE=1 SV=2
ADT1_HUMAN	40	33043	1	1	1	0.03	0.14	ADP/ATP translocase 1 OS=Homo sapiens GN=SLC25A4 PE=1 SV=4
RS16_HUMAN	33	16435	2	2	2	0.12	0.69	40S ribosomal protein S16 OS=Homo sapiens GN=RPS16 PE=1 SV=2
DDX58_HUMAN	31	106531	1	1	1	0.01	0.04	Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX58 OS=Homo sapiens GN=DDX58 PE=1 SV=2
CENPB_HUMA N	30	65132	2	2	2	0.03	0.15	Major centromere autoantigen B OS=Homo sapiens GN=CENPB PE=1 SV=2
CDKL1_HUMAN	29	41645	1	1	1	0.02	0.11	Cyclin-dependent kinase-like 1 OS=Homo sapiens GN=CDKL1 PE=1 SV=5
R51A2_HUMAN	27	133822	1	1	1	0.01	0.03	RAD51-associated protein 2 OS=Homo sapiens GN=RAD51AP2 PE=1 SV=1
3BHS7_HUMAN	26	40990	1	1	1	0.02	0.11	3 beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 7 OS=Homo sapiens GN=HSD3B7 PE=1 SV=2
GRP75_HUMAN	26	73635	1	1	1	0.01	0.06	Stress-70 protein, mitochondrial OS=Homo sapiens GN=HSPA9 PE=1 SV=2
SPTN2_HUMAN	26	271157	1	1	1	0	0.02	Spectrin beta chain, non-erythrocytic 2 OS=Homo sapiens GN=SPTBN2 PE=1 SV=3
FLII_HUMAN	25	144659	1	1	1	0.01	0.03	Protein flightless-1 homolog OS=Homo sapiens GN=FLII PE=1 SV=2
OR1E3_HUMAN	25	38492	1	1	1	0.02	0.12	Olfactory receptor 1E3 OS=Homo sapiens GN=OR1E3 PE=3 SV=2

Table S2 Protein candidates precipitated from biotinylated ODN M362 treated cells.

Supplement Figure Legends

Figure S1. Pam2-ODN treatment protects against infections in *Cgas* and *Sting* knockout mice and *Ifi204* heterozygous mice. Survival of wild type or *Cgas*^{-/-} mice challenged with (**A**) influenza A virus or (**B**) *P. aeruginosa* 24 h after nebulized treatment with PBS or Pam2ODN. Survival of wild type or *Sting*^{gt/gt} mice challenged with (**C**) influenza A virus or (**D**) *P. aeruginosa* one day after nebulized treatment with PBS or Pam2ODN. Survival of wild type or *Ifi204*^{+/-} mice challenged with (**E**) influenza A virus one day after nebulized treatment with PBS or Pam2ODN. Survival of wild type or *Ifi204*^{+/-} mice challenged with (**E**) influenza A virus one day after nebulized treatment with PBS or Pam2ODN. Survival of wild type or *Ifi204*^{+/-} mice challenged with (**E**) influenza A virus one day after nebulized treatment with PBS or Pam2ODN. Survival of wild type or *Ifi204*^{+/-} mice challenged with (**E**) influenza A virus one day after nebulized treatment with PBS or Pam2ODN. Survival of wild type or *Ifi204*^{+/-} mice challenged with (**E**) influenza A virus one day after nebulized treatment with PBS or Pam2ODN. Survival of wild type or *Ifi204*^{+/-} mice challenged with (**E**) influenza A virus one day after nebulized treatment with PBS or Pam2ODN. * p <0.01 vs. PBS-treated syngeneic mice treated by log rank analysis.

Figure S2. Identification of candidate ODN receptors. (**A**) Streptavidin precipitation from lysates of HBEC3-kt cells treated as indicated were resolved by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and Coomassie stained. Boxes indicate bands excised for LC-MS analysis. (**B**) Euler diagram of LC-MS-identified proteins binding to ODN, biotinylated-ODN or both in **A**.

Figure S3. Uncut blots from Figure 2. (A) Uncut blot from Panel 4A. (**B**) Uncut blot from Panel 2B. (**C**) Uncut blots from Panel 2C, LI-COR fluorescent imaging for FITC-labeled ODN (*left*) and immunoblot for RIG-I (*right*). (**D**) Uncut blots from Panel 2D, LI-COR fluorescent imaging for FITC-labeled ODN (*left*) and immunoblot for RIG-I (*right*).

Figure S4. RIG-I interaction with ODN is independent of RNA polymerase III. (A) MLE-15 cells were pre-treated with PBS or RNA polymerase III inhibitor ML-60218 for 8 h, then 5s rRNA transcription was examined by qPCR. (**B**) MLE-15 cells were treated with PBS or ML-60218 for 8 h, then exposed to unlabeled ODN or 3' biotinylated-ODN for 2 h prior to streptavidin pulldown of RIG-I. (**C**) MLE-15 cells were exposed to unlabeled ODN or 5' or 3' biotinylated-ODN for 2 h prior to streptavidin pulldown of RIG-I. * p<0.0001 vs PBS-treated by two-way Student's t test.

Figure S5. Uncut blots from Figure 4.

Figure S6. MAVS aggregation upon RIG-I activation by RNA ligand or ODN. HBEC3-KT cells were treated with a known RIG-I ligand 3p-hpRNA or ODN M362 for the indicated times, then examined by MAVS immunoblotting. The smears above the predicted MAVS band indicate MAVS aggregates. GAPDH was a loading control. 3p-hpRNA, 5' triphosphate hairpin RNA.

Figure S7. Influenza A inoculum-dependent survival of wildtype, *Mavs or Tlr9* knockout **mice.** Wildtype mice (**A**), *Tlr9*^{-/-} mice (**B**) or *Mavs*^{-/-} mice (**C**) were challenged with inhaled influenza A virus at the indicated inocula. Shown is survival after infection.

Figure S8. Single ligand ODN treatment-induced *Cxcl10* expression and type I interferon production in mouse lungs. *Cxcl10* gene expression in lung homogenates from wildtype or *Tlr9*^{-/-};*Mavs*^{-/-} mice (**A**) or *MyD88*^{-/-};*Mavs*^{-/-} mice (**B**) 4 h after nebulized PBS or ODN treatment, measured by qPCR. Interferon $\alpha 1$ (**C**) and β (**D**) in lung homogenates from wildtype or *Tlr9*^{-/-};*Mavs*^{-/-} mice 24 h after nebulized PBS or ODN treatment, measured by ELISA.* p<0.02 vs PBS-treated wildtype by one-way ANOVA (Holm-Sidak method).

Figure S9. RIG-I/ MAVS is not involved in mitochondrial ROS pathway in lung epithelial cells. (A) MitoSOX fluorescence in HBEC3-KT RIG-I knockdown cells or scrambled shRNA control cells, shown 100 minutes after treatment with PBS (sham) or Pam2ODN. (**B**) Efficacy of MAVS shRNA knock down in HBEC3-KT cells was determined by immunoblotting. (**C**) MitoSOX fluorescence in HBEC3-KT MAVS knockdown cells or scambled shRNA control cells, shown

100 minutes after treatment with PBS or Pam2ODN. P values reflect intergroup analyses by one-way ANOVA (Holm-Sidak method).



















A В Tlr9^{-/-}; Mavs^{-/-} Myd88^{-/-}; Mavs^{-/-} Wildtype * Wildtype 0.10 Cxc/10 gene expression (RQ) Cxcl10 gene expression (RQ) * 0.08 0.06 0.04 0.02 0 PBS ODN ODN PBS PBS ODN NDO PBS TIr9^{-/-}; Mavs^{-/-} TIr9^{-/-}; Mavs^{-/-} Wildtype * С D Wildtype * 150-250 IFNa1 (pg/ml) 05 200 IFNß (pg/ml) . 150 ▼ 100 ▼ 50 • V ▼ 0 0 PBS NDO PBS PBS NDO NDO PBS NDO





← GAPDH



В