Protein Translation Can Fluidize Bacterial Cytoplasm

Palash Bera,¹ Abdul Wasim,¹ Somenath Bakshi,^{2,*} and Jagannath Mondal^{1,[†](#page-0-1)}

 1 Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Hyderabad 500046, India ²Department of Engineering, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom

[∗] somenath.bakshi@eng.cam.ac.uk

[†] jmondal@tifrh.res.in

FIG. S1. Linear density of the DNA in presence of various sizes tracer particles.

FIG. S2. Power law fitting of the MSD values for protein P_1 and tracer T_5 particle, both in the absence and presence of crowders, at very short time scales.

| | $\left \text{Particle}\left \Delta\tau_{\alpha}(0.125)\right \Delta\tau_{\alpha}(0.25)\left \Delta\tau_{\alpha}(0.5)\right \Delta\tau_{\alpha}(1)\left \Delta\tau_{\alpha}(2)\right $ | | | | |
|-------|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| T_5 | 193.0 | 225.0 | 248.0 | 277.0 | 301.5 |
| P_5 | 31.0 | 39.0 | 48.0 | 58.0 | 66.0 |
| P_4 | 25.0 | 31.0 | 38.0 | 47.0 | 54.0 |
| P_2 | 11.0 | 15.0 | 19.0 | 26.0 | 31.5 |
| P_1 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 12.0 | 17.0 | 21.0 |

TABLE S1. The difference in relaxation times for various switching rates and particle sizes. Here the time is in the unit of τ_B

.

In the table, we denote a quantity $\Delta \tau_{\alpha}(k_s)$ where k_s represents the switching rate. For example $\Delta \tau_{\alpha}(1)$ indicates $\Delta \tau_{\alpha}(1) = \tau_{\alpha}(k_s = 0) - \tau_{\alpha}(ks = 1)$.

A. Model and Simulation Method Details

We model the DNA of E . Coli as a bead-in-a-spring polymer chain, with each bead representing 5×10^3 bp (5 kb) and this resolution is the same as the Hi-C interaction maps reported by Lioy et al. The diameter and mass of each bead are σ and m respectively. The adjacent beads are interacting harmonically with spring constant $k_{spring} = 300 k_B T / \sigma^2$. The non-bonded interactions of the beads have been modeled by the repulsive part of Lenard-Jones potential i.e $U_{nb} = 4\epsilon(\frac{\sigma}{r})$ $(\frac{\sigma}{r})^{12}$. The Hi-C contacts are also modeled by harmonic springs which act as cross-links between different beads of DNA. We converted the Hi-C probability matrix to the distance matrix as

$$
D_{ij} = \sigma / P_{ij} \tag{1}
$$

where i and j are the row and column index of the matrix respectively. The Hi-C restraining potential between a pair of Hi-C contacts at a separation of r_{ij} is defined as

$$
U_{Hi-C}(r_{ij}) = \frac{1}{2}k_{ij}(D_{ij} - r_{ij})^2
$$
\n(2)

where k_{ij} is the distance-dependent force constant that can be calculated as

$$
k_{ij} = k_0 e^{-\frac{(D_{ij} - \sigma)^2}{w^2}}
$$
\n(3)

where k_0 is the upper bound of the spring constant and w^2 is a constant value. In our simulation we have kept the value of k_0 and w^2 same as of our previous study i.e $k_0 = 10k_BT/\sigma^2$ and $w^2 = 0.3$.

Apart from DNA, bacterial cytoplasm consists of polysome, ribosomes, and numerous other poly-disperse protein particles. There are mainly two types of ribosomal subunits, 50S(large) and 30S(small). During the translation, two sub-units of ribosomes attach together to form 70S ribosomes and the threads of 70S ribosomes are called a polysome. Generally in living cells, there are 80% of the ribosomes are in the form of polysome. We model the ribosomes (30S, 50S, and 70S) as spherical particles with different masses and diameters. The polysome has been modeled by 13-mer of 70S ribosomal sub-units. The adjacent beads of the polysome interact harmonically with spring constant $K_{polysome} = 17000 k_B T / \sigma^2$. Previous experimental studies showed that there are \sim 26000 ribosomal sub-units and among them, 80% ribosomes are in the form of polysome. Thus in our simulation, we have incorporated the same number of spherical particles corresponding to 30S 50S, and 70S ribosomal subunits. Among them 2600 particles are the 30S, 2600 particles are 50S and 20800 particles are the 70S. As we model polysome as 13-mer of 70S ribosomal sub-units, the total number of polysome chains is 1600. The polysomes, ribosomes, and protein particles are interacting with each other and with DNA repulsively and the repulsive interactions are taken from the repulsive part of LJ potential. Our observations indicate that a modest attractive interaction between DNA and ribosomal subunits is required to achieve a simulated linear density that closely approximates experimental data. For the nonbonded interactions between DNA and ribosomal subunits, we employed the complete Lennard-Jones (LJ) potential, denoted as U_F , defined as: $U_F = 4\left(\epsilon_{ij}^r\left(\frac{\sigma_{ij}}{r_{ij}}\right)\right)$ $(\frac{\sigma_{ij}}{r_{ij}})^{12} - \epsilon_{ij}^a(\frac{\sigma_{ij}}{r_{ij}})$ $(\frac{\sigma_{ij}}{r_{ij}})^6$. Here, the subscripts i and j represent particle indices while ϵ_{ij}^r and ϵ_{ij}^a correspond to the repulsive and attractive components, respectively. Specifically, $\epsilon_{ij}^r = 1$ for all particles, and ϵ_{ij}^a assumes a value of 0.2 exclusively for interactions between DNA and ribosomal subunits. This parameterization signifies a relatively weak attractive interaction between these two types of particles. Apart from bonded and non-bonded interactions, all the particles are confined within a spherocylindrical of length $L = 45.754\sigma$ and diameter $d = 12.181\sigma$, mimicking the cell wall. The confinement potential is defined as

$$
U_{res}(r, R_0) = \frac{1}{2} k_{res} \left| \vec{r} - \vec{R}_0 \right|^2 \Theta \left| \vec{r} - \vec{R}_0 \right| \tag{4}
$$

where R_0 is the center of the spherocylinder and k_{res} is the spring constant that controls the softness of the confinement. Here we have used $k_{res} = 310 k_B T / \sigma^2$. The Θ is the step function that will activate if any particle gets out from the confinement. So the total configurational potential energy is given by

$$
U_{tot} = U_b + U_{nb} + U_{Hi-C} + U_{res} + U_F
$$
\n(5)

where U_b , U_{nb} , U_{Hi-C} , and U_{res} are the bonded, non-bonded, Hi-C restraining, and confinement restraining potential.

| Particle Type | Number | mass in m | diameter in σ |
|----------------|---------------|--|----------------------|
| | | $m = 649 \times 5 = 3245 \text{kDa}$ $\sigma = 67.31 nm$ | |
| DNA beads | 928 | 1 | 1 |
| 30s | 257 | 0.26 | 0.20 |
| 50s | 267 | 0.42 | 0.25 |
| Polysome-beads | 173×13 | 0.71 | 0.29 |
| (70s) | | | |
| P_1 | 2887 | 0.24 | 0.19 |
| P_2 | 6112 | 0.27 | 0.21 |
| P_3 | 7848 | 0.29 | 0.23 |
| P_4 | 6112 | 0.31 | 0.25 |
| P_5 | 2887 | 0.34 | 0.27 |
| T_5 | 305 | 0.94 | 0.74 |

TABLE S2. Different types of particles with their number, mass, and diameter for simulations inside the cubical box. Here DNA beads serve as the reference particles, where all measurements of diameter and mass are expressed in terms of DNA particles.