

As illustrated in the figure above, we describe the approximation to the short-term probability of a specific event. Let *T* be the time until event and $C = j, j = 1, 2, \dots, k$, be the type of event (here, the time is time until recurrence of cardiac arrest, and the type of event is the clinical arrest state the patient returns to). Let h_i be the corresponding specific hazard rate for this event in a multi-state model. The event *j* specific hazard rate (often called cause-specific hazard rate) function is given as

$$
h_j(t) = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{P(t < T < t + \Delta t \cap C = j | T > t)}{\Delta t}
$$

or approximately

$$
h_j(t)\Delta t \approx P(t < T < t + \Delta t \cap C = j|T > t)
$$

If the time scale is such that one time unit is "small" , then we further get:

$$
h_j(t) \approx P(t < T < t + 1 \cap C = j | T > t)
$$

So roughly, the hazard for event $C = i$ at time *t* is approximately equal to the probability that event *j* happens the next time unit, given that no event has happened up to time *t*. This is an acceptable approximation if all competing events have small probabilities of happening during one time unit.

Assuming constant hazards

If one, as in a time-homogeneous Markov process, can assume constant hazard rates λ_i for all the competing risks i , we can illustrate this further. Let T_i be the time until event i , and let $T = min(T_1, T_2, ..., T_k)$. Due to the constant hazard assumption T_i will have an exponential distribution with rate λ . Then:

$$
P(t < T_j < t + \Delta t | T > t) = \n\begin{aligned}\n&= \frac{P(t < T_j < t + \Delta t \cap T_i > T_j \forall i \neq j)}{P(T > t)} \\
&= \frac{[F_j(t + \Delta t) - F_j(t)] \cdot \prod_{i \neq j} [1 - F_i(t + \Delta t)]}{1 - F(t)} \\
&= \frac{[(1 - e^{-\lambda_j(t + \Delta t)}) - (1 - e^{-\lambda_j t})] \cdot \prod_{i \neq j} e^{-\lambda_i(t + \Delta t)}]}{e^{-\sum_i \lambda_i t}} \\
&= \frac{[e^{-\lambda_j t} - e^{-\lambda_j(t + \Delta t)}] \cdot e^{-\sum_i \lambda_i t - \sum_{i \neq j} \lambda_i \Delta t}}{e^{-\sum_i \lambda_i t}} \\
&= \frac{(1 - e^{-\lambda_j \Delta t}) \cdot e^{-\sum_i \lambda_i \Delta t}}{2\lambda_j \Delta t} \\
&= \lambda_j \Delta t \cdot (1 - \sum_{i \neq j} \lambda_i \Delta t) + \mathcal{O}((\lambda_j \Delta t)^2) + \mathcal{O}((\sum_{i \neq j} \lambda_i \Delta t)^2) \\
&\approx \lambda_j \Delta t\n\end{aligned}
$$

The Taylor expansion (using only the first term) becomes more precise the smaller the λ∆*t* terms are. If $\lambda_j \Delta t < 0.1$ and $\sum_{i \neq j} \lambda_i \Delta t < 0.1$, the error term will be of the order of 0.01, and so on (error \sim term²). E.g., for combinations of λ 's and Δt such that $\lambda_j \Delta t < 0.1$ and $\sum_{i \neq j} \lambda_i \Delta t < 0.1$ the approximation

$$
h_j(t)\Delta t \approx P(t < T_j < t + \Delta t |T > t)
$$

is OK. So, if the time scale is chosen such that $\lambda_j \Delta t < 0.1$ and $\sum_{i \neq j} \lambda_i \Delta t$ are both small (e.g. < 0.1) even when ∆*t*=1, we get

$$
\lambda_j = h_j(t) \approx P(t < T_j < t + 1 | T > t)
$$