## **S4** Appendix. All DCRPs and ANOVA results

In the Results section we reported that all mentioned DCRPs were found to be coupled at above chance levels. Below we report all respective DCRPs together with each a 2 (profiles; coupled behaviors vs. randomized baseline)  $\times$  43 (lags) mixed-design analysis of variance (ANOVA; with lag as the repeated measures factor). Each comparison of a profile to its randomized baseline shows the same pattern of a significant main effect for both profile and lag as well as an interaction of both. This means that all DCRPs under investigation were coupled at above chance levels and to varying degrees across lags.

Measure	Effect	df	F
1) tr_gaze_utr_gaze   tr_speaking	Lags	42	74.93***
	Profiles Lags:Profiles	1 42	1478.29*** 7.01***
2) tr_gaze_utr_gaze   utr_speaking	Lags	42	39.14***
3) tr_point_tr_gaze	Profiles Lags:Profiles Lags	1 42 42	1075.84*** 11.23*** 15.66***
	Profiles	1	636.86***
	Lags:Profiles	42	2.98***
4) utr_point_utr_gaze	Lags	42	8.86***
	Profiles	1	575.33***
	Lags:Profiles	42	2.39***
5) tr_point_utr_gaze	Lags	42	12.52***
	Profiles	1	677.47***
	Lags:Profiles	42	2.64***
6) utr_point_tr_gaze	Lags	42	8.86***
	Profiles	1	575.33***
	Lags:Profiles	42	2.39***

*Note.* tr = trained, utr = untrained. \*\*\* p < .001

Haraped, Huber, Bischof, Kingstone

Looking, pointing, and talking together: How dyads of differential expertise coordinate attention during conversation



2) DCRP of Trained Gaze and Untrained Gaze while Untrained Speaking



3) DCRP of Trained Pointing and Trained Looking



Looking, pointing, and talking together: How dyads of differential expertise coordinate attention during conversation

